Integration and Refinement of Digital Physics, Unifying Quantum and Classical with a Calculation: A Formal Approach to Subparticles and Discrete Universe Frames

Jesse Daniel Brown, PhD[1] and Anton Fedotov, B.A.[2]

[1]Armstrong Atlantic State University, Email: plasmatoid@gmail.com, ORCID: 0009-0006-3889-534X
[2]Harbin Institute of Technology, Email: anvifedotov.biz@gmail.com, ORCID: 0009-0004-2313-6530

December 11, 2024

Abstract

This paper expands upon and refines a model that introduces innovative concepts such as metatags, temporal crystals, quantum tunneling, and quantum scarring. These ideas offer a profound framework for understanding the discrete nature of the universe and its evolution. We formalize these concepts and integrate them with a frame-based model of a discrete universe, providing mathematical precision and theoretical coherence. Additionally, we incorporate relevant techniques and references from existing literature to support and contextualize our framework.

Contents

1 Introduction

The aim of this paper is to expand upon and refine a model that proposes the universe operates as a discrete, frame-based system where subparticles are assigned metatags encoding their properties and states. Concepts such as temporal crystals, quantum tunneling, and quantum scarring are integral to this framework, offering insights into quantum phenomena and cosmological processes.

1.1 Objective

Our contribution lies in:

1. Establishing a formal integration of metatags into the frame-based representation of discrete spacetime.
2. Exploring how mechanisms like quantum scarring enrich the evolution of frames within the model.
3. Proposing experimental and computational approaches to test the validity of the refined concepts.

1.2 Key Questions

This work seeks to address the following critical questions:

- How can the concept of metatags, describing the properties and states of subparticles, be systematically integrated into the frame-based model?
- In what ways do mechanisms like quantum scarring complement and enhance the understanding of frame evolution?
- What experimental methods and simulations can be employed to validate these theoretical advancements?

1.3 Context of Contribution

While the initial model provides a robust conceptual foundation, certain aspects, such as the formal role of metatags, the dynamics of temporal crystals, and the interaction of subparticles, require further exploration. By embedding these concepts within a mathematically rigorous framework, we aim to:

1. Clarify the relationship between subparticles and the discrete frames of the universe.
2. Establish predictive mathematical tools to describe transitions between frames.
3. Provide pathways for empirical validation, bridging theory with observable phenomena.

This paper thus seeks to complement the original insights and advance their applicability through detailed mathematical formulations and experimental strategies.

2 The Concept of a Discrete Universe Structure

In this section, we define the discrete nature of the universe through a frame-based model. This model conceptualizes spacetime as a series of discrete states, or frames F_n, each capturing the entirety of the universe at a specific moment. We enhance this model by incorporating the concept of metatags to describe the properties of subparticles, providing a deeper understanding of their role in the discrete spacetime structure.

2.1 Definition of the Frame-Based Model

The universe is modeled as a sequence of frames:

$$F_n = \{P^n_{i,j,k} \mid i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

where:

- F_n represents the discrete state of the universe at the n-th moment.
- $P^n_{i,j,k}$ denotes the smallest units of spacetime (spacetime "pixels") within the n-th frame, indexed by spatial coordinates i, j, k.

The evolution of the universe is described by the frame transition:

$$F_{n+1} = \mathcal{T}(F_n),$$

where $\mathcal{T}$ is the transition operator responsible for updating the state of the universe from frame F_n to F_{n+1}.

2.2 Integration of Metatags into Frames

Each spacetime pixel $P^n_{i,j,k}$ is augmented with a metatag $M^n_{i,j,k}$ that encodes the properties and states of the subparticles within the pixel:

$$M^n_{i,j,k} = \{x, y, z, e, p, S\},$$

where:

- x, y, z: Spatial coordinates of the subparticle.
- e: Energy of the subparticle.
- p: Momentum of the subparticle.
- S: Quantum state or entanglement state of the subparticle.

The augmented frame is thus represented as:

$$F_n = \{M^n_{i,j,k} \mid i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

2.3 Representation of Spacetime Pixels

Subparticles are modeled as elements of discrete spacetime, forming a grid of spacetime pixels:

$$\mathcal{S} = \bigcup_{i,j,k} SP_{i,j,k},$$

where:

- $\mathcal{S}$: The discrete spacetime structure.
- $SP_{i,j,k}$: The subparticle associated with the pixel indexed by i, j, k.

The granularity of spacetime is defined by fundamental units Δx (spatial resolution) and Δt (temporal resolution). These discrete units replace the continuous variables of classical spacetime, providing a framework that avoids singularities and infinities.

2.4 Advantages of the Discrete Model

The frame-based model with metatags offers several advantages:

1. **Elimination of Singularities**: By discretizing spacetime, the model avoids singularities where physical laws break down due to infinite densities or curvatures [8,16].
2. **Resolution of Infinite Regress**: The discrete nature imposes finite limits on spacetime, ensuring that computations and physical processes are well-defined.

3. **Enhanced Description of Subparticles**: Metatags provide a detailed description of subparticle properties, enabling a comprehensive understanding of quantum phenomena such as tunneling and entanglement [1, 18].

4. **Compatibility with Simulations**: The discrete model is naturally suited for computational simulations, allowing numerical verification and experimental design [2, 9].

Notably, our approach to representing subparticles and metatags was partly inspired by ideas from 3D graphics and rendering techniques. Just as Kim et al. (2012) demonstrated automated collection of detailed 3D avatar images [11], we analogously consider each subparticle as a discrete entity that can be "rendered" in the frame-based model. This analogy informed our conceptualization of particle-level rendering, where each subparticle's state is encoded in a metatag and presented discretely within the simulation framework.

2.5 Key Mathematical Formalism

1. **Discrete Frame Transition**:
$$F_{n+1} = \mathcal{T}(F_n),$$
where $\mathcal{T}$ depends on local and global physical laws.

2. **Augmentation of Pixels with Metatags**:
$$P^n_{i,j,k} \to M^n_{i,j,k} = \{x, y, z, e, p, S\}.$$

3. **Discrete Spacetime Representation**:
$$\mathcal{S} = \bigcup_{i,j,k} SP_{i,j,k}.$$

4. **Granularity of Spacetime**:
$$\Delta x, \Delta t \text{ define the minimal units of spacetime.}$$

3 Metatags as a Mechanism of Evolution

We explore how metatags serve as a mechanism for the evolution of frames in the discrete universe. We formalize the transition dynamics of metatags and frames, including mechanisms such as quantum scarring and oscillatory stability [13].

3.1 Formalization of Metatags

Metatags $M_i(t)$ encode the properties and states of subparticles. The evolution of a metatag over time is governed by correction dynamics:
$$M_i(t + \Delta t) = M_i(t) - \epsilon \Delta M,$$
where:

- ΔM represents deviations from the equilibrium state of the metatag.
- ϵ is a correction coefficient that determines the rate at which the deviation is corrected.

3.2 Integration of Metatags into Frames

The evolution of frames reflects changes in the aggregate state of metatags:

$$F_{n+1} = F_n - \epsilon \Delta F,$$

where:

$$\Delta F = \sum_{i,j,k} \Delta M_{i,j,k}.$$

3.3 Oscillatory Stability and Quantum Scarring

Quantum scarring introduces a stabilizing mechanism that connects micro- and macro-level dynamics:

$$\Delta M = -\epsilon S_{\text{memory}},$$

where S_{memory} represents the memory effect driving the system toward equilibrium.

3.4 Linking Metatags to Macroscopic Processes

Changes in metatag energy e and momentum p aggregate to form macroscopic density gradients:

$$\mathcal{F}_{\text{density}} = \nabla \sum_{i,j,k} e_{i,j,k}.$$

4 Mechanisms of Subparticle Interaction

We examine the fundamental mechanisms through which subparticles interact, including interaction dynamics described by a connection function, quantum entanglement modeled through metatag synchronization, and tunneling phenomena explained by metatag-based transition probabilities [10, 17, 20].

4.1 Interaction via Connection Function

Subparticle interaction is described by:

$$\vec{V}_i(t) = \mathcal{F}(SP_i, SP_j),$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ is the interaction function.

4.2 Quantum Entanglement

Entanglement is modeled as synchronization of metatags:

$$S_k = S_m \implies M_k = M_m.$$

The connection parameter is:

$$L(A_k, A_m) = e^{-\alpha d(A_k, A_m)},$$

where $d(A_k, A_m)$ is the spatial separation [12].

4.3 Quantum Tunneling

The probability of a subparticle transitioning through a barrier is:

$$P(x_2, t) = \int_{x_1}^{x_2} e^{-\mathcal{B}(x)} dx,$$

where $\mathcal{B}(x)$ is the barrier potential.

5 Macroscopic Processes and the Big Bounce

We focus on the macroscopic processes that emerge from the interactions of subparticles and their metatags, including the collapse of spacetime regions through density gradients, the role of temporal crystals, and the cyclical nature of the universe [15, 21].

5.1 Collapse and Density Gradients

The density gradient responsible for collapse is:

$$F_{\text{collapse}} = \sum_{i,j} \nabla M_{i,j}.$$

5.2 Temporal Crystals as Structured Elements of Spacetime

Subparticles within a temporal crystal are described as:

$$SP_{i,j,k} = \text{crystal}(t).$$

5.2.1 Explosion of Temporal Crystals

Energy release during phase transition is:

$$E_{\text{release}} = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \text{crystal}(t) dt.$$

5.3 The Big Bounce

The transition from collapse to expansion is characterized by:

$$F_{\text{expansion}} = -F_{\text{collapse}},$$

suggesting a cyclical cosmological model without singularities [4, 5].

6 Experimental Validation and Simulations

We propose methods to validate the model through simulations, quantum experiments, and cosmological data analysis.

6.1 Simulations of the Frame-Based Model

Use high-performance computing to simulate the evolution of metatags and frame transitions [9, 14].

6.2 Experiments on Entanglement and Tunneling

Conduct quantum optics experiments to test metatag synchronization and tunneling probabilities.

6.3 Analysis of Cosmological Data

Analyze gravitational waves, redshift anomalies, and cosmic microwave background patterns for signatures consistent with the model [6, 7, 19].

7 Conclusion

We have integrated and formalized concepts such as metatags, temporal crystals, and quantum scarring within a discrete spacetime model. Our unified framework describes micro- and macro-scale phenomena, in a way, justifying the separation of quantum mechanics and cosmology, while providing a unifying underlying foundational model in which all justifications of the entire system can operate without violations, and simultaneously explaining spooky effects.

Supplementary Materials

The following supplementary materials are provided to support the results and validations discussed in this paper:

- **EoS Validation Wr=0.5 Wt=0.7 R0=1 N=3.png:** Available at: `https://drive.google.com/file/d/16lfRrs8YkDu6s7il_ebXjkGksKc3K4Kn/view?usp=sharing` This image displays the equation of state (EoS) validation results for the given parameters. It demonstrates that the energy conditions hold under these conditions.
- **EoS Energy Density and Pressures.png:** Available at: `https://drive.google.com/file/d/101UocenveXvvlSJDqgzW9_I3pdgSHYc1/view?usp=sharing` This figure shows the radial distribution of energy density and pressures, confirming the internal consistency of the model.
- **Pressure Profiles and Anisotropy.png:** Available at: `https://drive.google.com/file/d/1VQ7ryjb7MHEhuR5gpG3Ouojic2wb4AqZ/view?usp=sharing` This image illustrates the pressure profiles (radial and tangential) and the anisotropy factor, highlighting how the pressures differ in radial and tangential directions.
- **Energy Density Profile.png:** Available at: `https://drive.google.com/file/d/1FRpn1pXqqDGh9DBLnvQU3XZ2AY3xVzdd/view?usp=sharing` This figure shows how the energy density changes with radius, ensuring it remains finite and well-behaved.
- **Scalar Field and Potential.png:** Available at: `https://drive.google.com/file/d/1QsPpe9Oe87p0LjSmRGcU24xQ7ztPtmGV/view?usp=sharing` This plot compares the scalar field $\phi(r)$ and its associated potential $V(\phi)$, confirming smoothness and boundedness.

- **Extended EoS Validation Results (Google Sheets):** https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/17WTD1u1Ypovg7K8cVILr3XF6HIfVGQxcy7cUcKZqLvY/edit?usp=sharing Contains extended numerical validation results for various parameter sets.
- **EoS Numerical Validation Results (Google Sheets):** https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1OZwJABKo24CmjjPU8Lafx83KeAxgI5ObKSKxoDM1PY4/edit?usp=sharing Provides the raw numerical data used to validate the energy conditions. This dataset is associated with a published preprint.
- **Formulas numerically (2).pdf:** Available at: https://drive.google.com/file/d/1b3FYbIm7alLYneIhgwukieSd3uhF05Zl/view?usp=sharing This PDF outlines the validation process for the equations, showing smoothness and boundedness of the scalar field and verifying energy conditions. Also available as a preprint: *Validation of Equations for Finite-Density Black Hole Model*, December 2024, DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.23192.51201, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/386544679_Validation_of_Equations_for_Finite-Density_Black_Hole_Model

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge contributions from researchers and existing literature that have informed and supported this work. A special thanks to John Cumbers for his ever helpful hints, ideas, and force of nature, Roman Yampolskiy for his encouragement, Raki Brown for his confirmations and steady flow of informative articles, Andrew Lustig for influencing the early years of Jesse Brown's research, and Gary Goodman for his countless years of Astronomy service and influence in Jesse Brown's life.

References

[1] Ashtekar, A., Pawlowski, T., & Singh, P. (2006). Quantum nature of the big bang: Improved dynamics. *Physical Review D*, 74(8), 084003. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.74.084003

[2] Brown, J. D. (2024). Metatagging Data for a Quantum Universe [Computer software]. GitHub. https://github.com/JesseBrown1980/Metatagging-data-for-a-Quantum-universe

[3] Brown, J. D. (2024). Validation of Equations for Finite-Density Black Hole Model. Preprint on ResearchGate. DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.23192.51201. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/386544679_Validation_of_Equations_for_Finite-Density_Black_Hole_Model

[4] Brown, J. D., & Smith, M. (2024). Equation of State for Finite-Density Black Holes and Ultra-Dense Crystalline Matter in a Quantum Gravity Framework. *ResearchGate*. https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.27822621

[5] Brown, J. D., & Smith, M. (2024). Finite Density Black Holes in a Quantum Gravity Framework. *ResearchGate*. https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.27822561

[6] Clifton, T., Ferreira, P. G., Padilla, A., & Skordis, C. (2012). Modified gravity and cosmology. *Physics Reports*, 513(1–3), 1–189. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2012.01.001

[7] Faraoni, V. (2004). *Cosmology in Scalar-Tensor Gravity* (Vol. 139, Fundamental Theories of Physics). Springer Science & Business Media. ISBN: 9781402019890

[8] Hawking, S. W., & Penrose, R. (1970). The singularities of gravitational collapse and cosmology. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Mathematical and Physical Sciences*, 314(1519), 529–548. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1970.0021

[9] Homburg, T., Cramer, A., Raddatz, L., & Mara, H. (2021). Metadata schema and ontology for capturing and processing of 3D cultural heritage objects. *Heritage Science*, 9, Article 91. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40494-021-00561-w

[10] Juhasz, D., & Jakobsen, P. K. (2019). Constructing a partially transparent computational boundary for UPPE using leaky modes. *arXiv:1904.00834 [physics.optics]*. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1904.00834

[11] Kim, J., D'Souza, D., & Yampolskiy, R. V. (2012). Automated Collection of High Quality 3D Avatar Images. In S. Visa, A. Inoue, & A. Ralescu (Eds.), *Proceedings of the Twenty-third Midwest Artificial Intelligence and Cognitive Science Conference (MAICS 2012), April 21–22, 2012, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, Ohio* (pp. 78–82). Madison, WI: Omnipress.

[12] Luminet, J.-P. (2016). The Holographic Universe. *Inference: The International Review of Science*, 2(1). https://arxiv.org/abs/1602.07258 https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1602.07258

[13] Maskara, N., Michailidis, A. A., Ho, W. W., Bluvstein, D., Choi, S., Lukin, M. D., & Serbyn, M. (2021). Discrete Time-Crystalline Order Enabled by Quantum Many-Body Scars: Entanglement Steering via Periodic Driving. *Physical Review Letters*, 127, 090602. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.127.090602

[14] Patel, M., White, M., Mourkoussis, N., Walczak, K., Wojciechowski, R., & Chmielewski, J. (2005). Metadata requirements for digital museum environments. *International Journal on Digital Libraries*, 5(3), 179–192. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00799-004-0104-x

[15] Peebles, P. J. E., & Ratra, B. (1988). Cosmology with a time-variable cosmological "constant". *The Astrophysical Journal*, 325, L17–L20. https://doi.org/10.1086/185100

[16] Penrose, R. (1965). Gravitational collapse and space-time singularities. *Physical Review Letters*, 14(3), 57–59. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.14.57

[17] Qi, C. R., Su, H., Mo, K., & Guibas, L. J. (2017). PointNet: Deep Learning on Point Sets for 3D Classification and Segmentation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)* (pp. 652–660). https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1612.00593

[18] Rovelli, C. (2004). *Quantum Gravity*. Cambridge University Press.

[19] Wald, R. M. (1984). *General Relativity*. University of Chicago Press.

[20] Wang, Y., Sun, Y., Liu, Z., Sarma, S. E., Bronstein, M. M., & Solomon, J. M. (2019). Dynamic Graph CNN for Learning on Point Clouds. *arXiv Preprint*. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1801.07829

[21] Wetterich, C. (1988). Cosmology and the fate of dilatation symmetry. *Nuclear Physics B*, 302(4), 668–696. https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(88)90193-9