

Tig1 regulates proximo-distal identity during salamander limb regeneration

Catarina R. Oliveira¹, Dunja Knapp^{1*}, Ahmed Elewa², Tobias Gerber³, Sandra G. Gonzalez Malagon^{4,5}, Phillip B. Gates⁴, Hannah E. Walters¹, Andreas Petzold¹, Hernan Arce^{6,7},
 5 Rodrigo C. Cordoba⁶, Elaiyaraja Subramanian², Osvaldo Chara^{6,7,8}, Elly M. Tanaka⁹, Andrés Simon², Maximina H. Yun^{1,10*}

Affiliations:

¹ Technische Universität Dresden, CRTD/Center for Regenerative Therapies Dresden, Dresden, Germany

10 ² Department of Cell and Molecular Biology, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden

³ European Molecular Biology Laboratory (EMBL), Heidelberg

⁴ Institute of Structural and Molecular Biology, University College London, London, UK

⁵ Department of Biomedical Research, Institute of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology/FORTH, Ioannina, Greece

15 ⁶ Systems Biology Group, Institute of Physics of Liquids and Biological Systems, National Scientific and Technical Research Council (CONICET) and University of La Plata, La Plata, Argentina

⁷ Instituto de Tecnología, Universidad Argentina de la Empresa (UADE), Buenos Aires, Argentina

20 ⁸ Technische Universität Dresden, Center for Information Services and High Performance Computing ZIH, Dresden, Germany

⁹ Institute of Molecular Pathology, Vienna Biocenter, Vienna, Austria

¹⁰ Max Planck Institute for Molecular Cell Biology and Genetics, Dresden, Germany

25 *Correspondence to: dunja.knapp@tu-dresden.de ; maximina.yun@tu-dresden.de

Abstract:

30 **Salamander limb regeneration is an accurate process which gives rise exclusively to the missing structures, irrespective of the amputation level. This suggests that cells in the stump have an awareness of their spatial location, a property termed ‘positional identity’. Little is known about how positional identity is encoded, in salamanders or other biological systems. Through single-cell RNAseq analysis, we identified Tig1/Rarres1 as a potential determinant of proximal identity. Tig1 encodes a conserved**
 35 **cell surface molecule, is regulated by retinoic acid and exhibits a graded expression along the proximo-distal axis of the limb. Its overexpression leads to regeneration defects in the distal elements and elicits proximal displacement of blastema cells, while its neutralisation blocks proximo-distal cell surface interactions. Critically, Tig1 reprogrammes distal cells to a proximal identity, upregulating Prod1 and inhibiting**
 40 **Hoxa13 and distal transcriptional networks. Thus, Tig1 is a central cell surface determinant of proximal identity in the salamander limb.**

Main Text:

Introduction:

5 The mechanisms by which cells determine their position within a biological structure are central to the correct development and regeneration of tissues and organs. Despite their importance, they remain poorly understood. Significant insights into this problem originate from the study of salamander limb regeneration, a powerful model for probing the mechanisms underlying positional information¹⁻³. In salamanders, the amputation of a limb
10 elicits the generation of a mass of progenitor cells -named blastema- which subsequently grows and re-patterns, invariably giving rise to the structures distal to its level of origin⁴. This indicates that mature cells within the limb stump retain a memory of their location along the proximo-distal (PD) axis which blastema cells are able to interpret in order to regenerate only the missing parts, a property termed positional identity⁵. Elegant blastema juxtaposition
15 experiments offered first clues into the nature of PD identity, suggesting that it is encoded as a proximo-distal gradient of molecules along the limb and manifested at the cell surface level. First, grafting of a distal blastema onto the site of a proximal one leads to the displacement of the distal blastema to its level of origin along the PD axis as regeneration proceeds⁶, indicating an initial recognition of PD disparity at the cell surface. Second, apposing
20 proximal and distal blastemas in culture results in the engulfment of the distal blastema by the proximal one, indicating the existence of differential adhesive properties between cells of different PD identities and therefore underscoring the importance of cell surface interactions⁷. Third, grafting of a wrist blastema onto a shoulder stump elicits regenerative growth from the shoulder stump, while wrist blastema cells contribute to the hand structures⁸. Similarly,
25 transplantation of distal blastema cells to proximal blastemas results in translocation of distal cells and their contribution to hand elements, indicating that PD identity is manifested on an individual cell basis and is a stable feature of cell identity⁹. Additional clues into the nature of PD identity came from classical experiments addressing the effect of retinoic acid (RA) and its derivatives on limb regeneration. Notably, exposing a wrist blastema to increasing doses
30 of retinoic acid leads to regeneration of more proximal elements in a dose-dependent manner, resulting in tandem limb duplications at the highest retinoic acid concentrations^{5,10}. Thus, retinoic acid is able to reprogram positional identity towards proximal values. From these seminal findings, an approach for identifying a mediator of proximal identity was proposed¹¹: it would exhibit a graded expression along the PD axis, be present at the cell
35 surface, and be upregulated by retinoic acid. This led to the identification of the GPI-anchored cell-surface molecule Prod1¹¹ in newts, which fulfils all said criteria. Indeed, newt Prod1 was shown to mediate the engulfment activity of proximal blastemas when juxtaposed to distal ones and thus cell affinity, an important aspect of proximal identity¹¹. Subsequently, axolotl Prod1 overexpression in early blastemas was shown to affect patterning of distal
40 elements during limb regeneration and, notably, its focal overexpression in cells of distal domains led to their translocation to proximal positions within the limb⁹. Further, axolotl Prod1 expression was shown to be regulated by Meis homeobox transcription factors¹², critical targets of retinoic acid during proximo-distal patterning of the vertebrate limb¹³. Altogether, these experiments associated Prod1 to the acquisition of proximal identity. Given
45 its PD distribution along the mature limb, it was proposed that Prod1 is part of the positional memory system that confers mature limb cells their spatial identity and determines the extent of regeneration¹⁴. As such a system would determine how much to regenerate based on the levels of PD factors, direct or indirect connections to growth control mechanisms are to be expected. Indeed, Prod1 was found to interact with a Schwann-cell derived factor of the

anterogradient protein family, nAG, which acts as a mitogen for blastema cells¹⁵, providing a link between positional determination and growth regulation.

To date, Prod1 is considered the best example of a molecule encoding positional information³. However, important questions remain unanswered. First, it is unclear whether Prod1 reprograms cells to a proximal identity, as it could be expected from a PD determinant, or simply regulates their adhesive properties such that they translocate to proximal locations. Second, axolotl Prod1 lacks a membrane anchor, despite exhibiting the ability to regulate aspects of cell affinity associated to proximalisation¹⁶. This raises the possibility that, in order to achieve a graded distribution along the PD axis and execute its functions, Prod1 interacts with additional, as yet unidentified proximo-distal identity factors.

In view of these considerations, we set out to identify novel components of the PD determination system. This led to the identification of Tig1, a RA responsive gene encoding a cell surface molecule which exhibits graded expression along the PD axis of the mature limb. We show that Tig1 fulfils functional criteria attributed to a proximal determinant, including the ability to reprogramme blastema cells to a proximal identity. Thus, our results implicate Tig1 in the regulation of proximo-distal identity during salamander limb regeneration.

Tig1, a potential cell surface determinant of proximal identity

To identify molecules involved in the PD identity system, we performed Principal Component Analysis on a single-cell RNAseq dataset of connective tissue cells during axolotl limb regeneration¹⁷. This particular tissue type was selected given that it is implicated in the establishment of PD identity during limb regeneration, in contrast to other types such as muscle, which appears to be positionally naïve¹⁸. Through this approach, we identified a principal component (PC3) that modelled the proximo-distal axis based on the expression profile of the key developmental markers *Hoxa13*⁺ (distal) and *Meis2*⁺ (proximal) cells (Supplementary Fig. 1a,b, Supplementary data 1,2). By mining the transcriptome of single cells at the *Meis2*⁺ end of this component, we identified the axolotl homologue of Tig1 (Tazarotene-induced gene 1), also known as *Rarres1* (Retinoic Acid Receptor Responder 1), as a highly enriched gene in modelled proximal cells (Supplementary Fig 1a-c). A limitation of this dataset is the absence of important PD markers such as Prod1, as it was not originally included in the transcriptome used. To overcome this, we analysed a recently published sc-RNAseq dataset of axolotl limb regeneration¹⁹, in which we were able to detect Prod1 expression in the connective tissue compartment. As above, we identified a principal component (PC1) which modelled the PD axis based on the distribution of *Hoxa13*/*Hoxd13* (distal) and *Meis1*/*Prod1* (proximal) in connective tissue cells of the early blastema stage (Fig. 1a,b). Further, a Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) performed to visualise cellular heterogeneity revealed a clear separation of cells based on their expression of PD markers (Fig. 1c), with Tig1⁺ cells found at the same area as those expressing *Meis1*, *Meis2* and *Prod1* (Fig. 1c). Next, we calculated 'distal' and 'proximal' scores for each cell based on the expression of *Hoxa13*/*Hoxd13* for the former and *Meis1*/*Meis2*/*Prod1* for the latter (Fig. 1d, Supplementary data 3). Notably, Tig1 is differentially expressed along the proximo-distal axis with an enrichment in proximal cells (Fig. 1e), raising the possibility that it could constitute a novel proximal determinant.

To experimentally test this notion, we performed qRT-PCR gene expression studies in mature and regenerating limbs. As suggested by our *in silico* analysis, Tig1 is expressed in a graded fashion along the PD axis of the mature axolotl limb, reaching the highest level in the upper arm and decreasing towards the hand (Fig. 2a). Of note, this is also observed in the limbs of *Notophthalmus viridescens* newts (Supplementary Fig. 2). Thus, similar to Prod1, Tig1 fulfils a key criterion associated with a molecular determinant of proximal identity, namely graded expression along the mature limb.

Human TIG1 is a RA-responsive putative tumour suppressor^{20,21} which exhibits structural resemblance to CD38 (Supplementary Fig. 3a), a retinoid-responsive surface molecule found in immune cells²². Comparative protein sequence analysis (Supplementary Fig. 3b) indicates that axolotl TIG1 exhibits substantial sequence similarity (57%) with its human counterpart.

Further, the most significant protein features of human TIG1, namely a transmembrane 'latexin' domain -present in the carboxypeptidase inhibitor Latexin and highly conserved among vertebrates-, and a hyaluronic acid binding motif^{21,23}, are all present in axolotl TIG1 (Supplementary Fig. 3b). These observations raise the possibility that axolotl Tig1 is a RA-responsive gene encoding a cell surface protein, thus fulfilling important criteria¹¹ for a factor involved in the determination of proximo-distal identity. Indeed, axolotl Tig1 expression is upregulated by RA *in vivo*, in both normal and regenerating limb tissues (Fig. 2b). In agreement, several RA regulatory elements (RXRA; RXRB, RXRG; RARA, RARG) and MEIS binding sites (MEIS1 and MEIS2) are present in the axolotl Tig1 promoter (Supplementary data 4). Of note, RA-dependent Tig1 induction is observed in connective-tissue derived *AmAL1* cells, but not in the myogenic line *NvA1* (Supplementary Fig. 4). To determine its cellular localisation, axolotl Tig1 was expressed in *AmAL1* cells as a fusion protein carrying a myc tag in either its C- or N-terminus (Supplementary Fig. 5a), followed by live cell staining with anti-myc antibodies (Fig. 2c). While no myc-derived signal is detected upon expression of N-terminal myc-Tig1, a distinct cell surface staining is observed following expression of the C-terminal tagged Tig1 (Fig. 2c). The opposite pattern is observed for myc-tagged forms of axolotl Amphiregulin, a known surface protein whose N-terminus faces the extracellular space (Fig. 2c). Similar results were observed in 293T cells (Supplementary Fig. 5b). Together, these observations indicate that axolotl TIG1 localises to the cell surface, with its C-terminus, which comprises the majority of its protein sequence, facing the extracellular space.

While little is known about the biological functions of Tig1 in any context, *in vitro* studies suggest that human Tig1 has a negative impact on cell proliferation²¹. This is particularly interesting in light of the fact that Prod1 has also been shown to impair proliferation⁹. To test whether this is also the case for axolotl Tig1, pN2-Tig1 or empty pN2 vectors were electroporated together with a fluorescent reporter into midbud blastemas, followed by systemic EdU injection and subsequent analysis of EdU incorporation (Supplementary Fig. 6a). As the latexin domain had been proposed to be important for Tig1 function²³, we generated a Tig1 mutant version (Tig1^{P155A}) in which the critical cis-proline residue within the latexin domain was mutated to an alanine. Overexpression of either Tig1 or Tig1^{P155A} led to significant decreases in EdU incorporation by blastema cells (Supplementary Fig. 6b,c), suggesting that the function of Tig1 as a negative regulator of cell proliferation is conserved in the axolotl, and that an intact latexin domain is dispensable for it. Together, the aforementioned observations draw important parallels between Tig1 and Prod1, and thus pinpoint Tig1 as a candidate regulator of proximal identity during regeneration.

Tig1 misexpression disrupts regeneration of distal structures

To gain insights into the functions of Tig1, we first examined its expression throughout limb regeneration. Notably, Tig1 expression is upregulated during the first 7 days post amputation (dpa, Fig 2d, e), coinciding with the establishment of proximal identities²⁴, followed by a strong downregulation that is maintained until the end of the process (Supplementary Fig. 7), in agreement with previous reports¹⁷. These observations are recapitulated by *in situ* hybridisation analysis, which also reveals that Tig1 expression is restricted to the proximal blastema and adjacent stump tissue (Fig. 2e). Regeneration of lower and upper arms follow a similar pattern, however Tig1 levels start to decrease earlier (noticeable at 10 dpa) in the lower arm (Supplementary Fig. 8). Further, Tig1 expression is primarily detected in

connective tissue cells (Supplementary Fig. 9), as suggested by our transcriptomic analysis (Fig. 1, Supplementary Fig. 1).

Next, we sought to disrupt the endogenous expression dynamics by overexpressing *Tig1* from the midbud stage onwards, when it is normally downregulated (Fig. 3a). Significantly, increasing the levels of *Tig1* via baculovirus(Bv)-mediated transduction, which preferentially targets connective tissue cells²⁵, leads to impaired regeneration of distal elements (Fig. 3a, b). Defects induced by *Tig1* overexpression include fusion and shortening of digits (5/21), morphological alterations in the lower arm elements radius and ulna, namely uneven thickness of the elements (2/21) and elongated or fused distal epiphysis (6/21), as well as malformations of carpals and metacarpals (11/21), while normal limb elements are observed in contralateral control limbs transduced with a mCherry Bv. Importantly, *Tig1* transduction leads to a significant reduction in limb length (Fig. 3c), which is accompanied by shortening of the lower arm and a significant downsizing of the hand, while the length of the upper arm does not differ from the control condition (Fig. 3d). This phenotype suggests a specific effect of *Tig1* in the regeneration of distal elements. Furthermore, it exhibits a striking resemblance to that resulting from overexpressing *Prod1*⁹ during axolotl regeneration. Taken together, these observations raise the possibility that *Tig1* may be implicated in the determination of proximal identity.

***Tig1* induces proximal displacement of blastema cells**

The few molecules implicated in the regulation of proximal identity to date, *Prod1* and *Meis1/2*, are able to elicit the proximal translocation of cells when overexpressed in the early blastema^{9,14}. To test whether this is also true for *Tig1*, we performed focal electroporations on the distal-most compartment of 4-day blastemas. Concurrent with previous reports⁹, transfection of a fluorescent reporter results in the labelling of cells that contribute to distal-most elements, digits and carpals, whilst electroporation of *Prod1* leads to the displacement of the labelled cells towards proximal regions, occasionally beyond the amputation plane (Fig. 4a, b). Notably, electroporation of either form of *Tig1* results in the proximal displacement of the transfected cells (Fig. 4a, b), with a stronger effect observed for *Tig1*^{P155A}, as more animals exhibited electroporated cells in the proximal segments, including lower and upper arm. These observations show that *Tig1* induces proximal displacement of blastema cells that would otherwise contribute to distal domains. In order to track changes in the frequency and distribution of cells along the PD axis, we developed an image analysis algorithm termed Meandros. This software allows the automated detection and analysis of the distribution of cells within the limb based on their fluorescence (Fig. 4c and Supplementary Fig. 10). Analysis of the scaled limb width indicates that this parameter does not change across different experimental conditions (Supplementary Fig. 11). Quantitative insights into the final location of cells along the PD axis indicate a proximal shift in fluorescence distribution after overexpression of *Tig1* and *Tig1*^{P155A} when compared with RFP (Fig. 4d, Supplementary Fig. 12). While the majority of the cells electroporated with the control plasmid contribute to the distal hand elements, the overexpression of both wild-type and mutant *Tig1* leads to a marked extension in the distribution of cells up to the lower (*Tig1*) and upper (*Tig1*^{P155A}) arm levels, reinforcing the notion that *Tig1* overexpression induces proximal displacement.

Meandros analysis highlighted differences in PD distribution between *Prod1* and *Tig1* overexpressing cells (Supplementary Fig. 12, 13a). Furthermore, we observed that the combination of *Prod1* and *Tig1* expression has a differential effect on the extent of proximalisation of either factor alone (Supplementary Fig. 12, 13a). It remains an open question whether *Prod1* and *Tig1* act independently of each other or they synergise within the same pathway to promote proximal cell translocation. Expression data (see below) suggest

that Tig1 acts upstream of Prod1. However, as dose-dependent effects remain possible, this is a notion that merits additional consideration.

While the displacement assay is indicative of the participation of Tig1 in determining the positional outcome of cells, it does not inform on the cellular processes that could mediate this relocation, such as proliferation, apoptosis, migration and/or adhesion. To address the contribution of cell proliferation to proximal displacement, we compared the effect of overexpressing Prod1, Tig1 and an anti-proliferative factor with no connection to proximalisation, p53²⁶. Whereas all three molecules decrease EdU incorporation upon electroporation in blastema cells (Supplementary Fig. 14a), p53 overexpression does not lead to the proximal translocation of blastema cells (Supplementary Fig. 14b,c, Supplementary Fig. 10, 12), demonstrating that the inhibition of cell proliferation *per se* is not sufficient to promote proximal displacement, and indicating that additional processes are at play. Given these observations, and considering that we and others^{9,14} have not detected any significant changes in apoptosis in the context of proximal displacement, it seems likely that the preferential distribution of cells towards proximal limb elements is explained by differences in migratory or cell adhesion behaviour along the PD axis. Interestingly, Tig1 overexpression negatively impacts on the invasive ability of AL1 cells (Supplementary Fig. 15), which could be explained by changes in cell adhesion. Indeed, Tig1 overexpression modulates the expression of adhesion factors (Fig. 6h) proximally-enriched in our analysis (Supplementary Fig 1c), supporting this hypothesis.

Tig1 mediates proximo-distal cell surface interactions

The aforementioned observations led us to functionally test the involvement of Tig1 in mediating proximo-distal differences in cell affinity. To this end, we adapted the blastema engulfment assay^{7,11} (Fig. 5a), consisting of juxtaposing blastema mesenchymal tissues derived from similar or different levels along the PD axis and analysing their behaviour *ex vivo*. Recapitulating previous observations^{7,11}, we found that apposing proximal (P) and distal (D) blastemas resulted in engulfment of the distal blastema by its proximal counterpart, while no engulfment took place between blastemas of the same positional value (PP or DD), or between PD blastema pairs treated with anti-Prod1 neutralising antibodies (Fig. 5b,c). To test whether Tig1 mediates such cell affinity differences, we generated custom antibodies against two non-overlapping regions of axolotl TIG1 (Supplementary Fig. 3,15, Supplementary data 5,6), and addressed the effects of apposing P and D blastemas in their presence. We found that anti-TIG1 neutralising antibodies fully abrogated the engulfment activity, indicating that Tig1 mediates PD differences in cell affinity (Fig. 5b-e). This implies that Tig1 underlies cell surface recognition between proximal and distal cells, providing a mechanistic explanation for its role in proximal displacement.

Tig1 reprogrammes cells to a proximal identity

Whether proximal displacement mediated by Tig1 is merely caused by a change in cell affinity or is a consequence of a broader reprogramming of cellular identity towards proximal values is a critical question⁹ that remains unanswered. To address this issue, we investigated the impact of overexpressing Tig1 in cells which had already been specified to a distal identity. To exclusively label distal cells, we used a C-Ti^{v+}(*Hoxa13:Hoxa13-T2a-mCherry*)^{Emka} reporter line, *Hoxa13:mCherry* hereafter, created by CRISPR/Cas9 technology²⁷. In these axolotls, mCherry is fused to the C-terminus of HOXA13, separated by a T2a self-cleaving peptide, and expressed from the endogenous Hoxa13 genomic locus. Validation studies show that mCherry is exclusively detectable in the distal-most part of the limb bud/ blastema during development and regeneration (Supplementary Fig. 16), labelling the field that gives rise to the hand segment in accordance with the model of progressive

specification^{24,28}, thus offering the means to genetically identify blastema cells that have been specified to a distal fate based on their fluorescence. Upon appearance of the cohort of mCherry⁺ distal cells in the regenerating limb, we electroporated Tig1 or Tig1^{P155A}T2a-GFP constructs, FACS sorted mCherry⁺/GFP⁺ (distal cells overexpressing Tig1) and mCherry⁺/GFP⁻ (distal control cells) cells at 3 or 8 days post electroporation, and performed bulk RNA sequencing analysis (Fig. 6a, Supplementary data 7). PCA analysis indicates a clear segregation between control versus Tig1/Tig1^{P155A} overexpressing cells, and between timepoints (Fig 6b). Overexpression of Tig1 versus Tig1^{P155A} has limited impact on clustering, suggestive of phenotypic similarities and consistent with our experimental data. This is also reflected in the differential expression analysis (Fig. 6c). Notably, we found a substantial number of genes (c. 5000) whose expression significantly changed upon Tig1 overexpression (P<0.001), suggesting an extensive transcriptome remodelling (Fig. 6c, Supplementary Fig. 17). Using K-means hierarchical clustering we grouped the top 2000 most variable genes into three main gene clusters (Fig 6c). Gene Ontology enrichment analysis of the three groups revealed main GO term categories for each cluster. Cluster A (genes activated by Tig1) is enriched in GO terms related to developmental and tissue morphogenesis processes (Fig. 6d), whereas clusters B (genes activated by Tig1 at all timepoints) and C (genes activated by Tig1 but primarily at 8dpe) are enriched in immune system and actin cytoskeleton remodelling processes respectively (Supplementary Fig. 18, Supplementary data 8).

Critically, in depth analysis of gene expression changes caused by Tig1 overexpression revealed effects in genes related to the establishment of the proximo-distal axis. Tig1 overexpression results in a significant upregulation of the proximalisation factor *Prod1*, a moderate upregulation of the proximal regulator *Meis1*, and the downregulation of *Hoxa* genes, in particular the distal marker *Hoxa13* compared to control cells (Fig. 6e). Consistent with the latter, a network of 11 genes known to be either co-regulated or direct targets of *Hoxa13* is also downregulated in response to either of the Tig1 forms (Fig. 6f). Among these are bone morphogenetic proteins (BMP) *Bmp2* and *Bmp7*, important for sustaining progressive regeneration and formation of distal elements²⁸. In addition, elevated Tig1 levels promote the downregulation of morphogenetic factors associated with blastema growth and patterning, including *Lhx9*, *Lmo1*, *Spry1* and *Lhx2* (Fig. 6g), which are known to be expressed in the distal portion of the developing and regenerating vertebrate limb and are downregulated in the axolotl limb upon proximalising doses of RA²⁹. Further, we observe downregulation of *Fgf8* (Fig 6g), a negative regulator of *Meis*³⁰ associated with the specification of the distal limb domain³¹. This inhibitory effect on distal growth provides a molecular explanation for the defects caused by Tig1 overexpression in midbud blastemas (Fig. 3). Besides its effect on growth factors, Tig1 overexpression also promotes the downregulation of genes encoding factors that promote cell cycle progression, and the upregulation of genes encoding the tumour suppressors *Cdkn1B* and *Retinoblastoma* (Supplementary Fig. 19), consistent with the anti-proliferative effect of Tig1 (Supplementary Fig. 6). Also in agreement with the predicted functions of Tig1, a number of cell adhesion and ECM remodelling factors were found among the differentially expressed genes (Fig. 6h), suggestive of changes in cell affinity and migratory capabilities. These include the downregulation of *Tnc*, *Fn1*, *Col3A1*, *Lsu*, *Col8A1*, *Mmp18* and *N-cadherin*, and the upregulation of *Hza13*, *Sdc3*, *Cdh1* and *Mmp13*. Of note, *Mmp13* upregulation has been shown to occur upon proximalising RA doses²⁹. Further, *Cdh1* and *Sdc3* were found to be upregulated in proximal connective tissue cells during limb regeneration according to our PCA analysis (Supplementary Fig. 1c). These observations are consistent with the acquisition of proximal ECM and cell affinity expression profiles upon Tig1 overexpression, and provide a mechanistic explanation for the effect of Tig1 in the engulfment and displacement assays.

Interestingly, whereas our global analysis reveals moderate dynamic changes in gene expression between 3 and 8dpe (Fig 6b,c), no significant changes are observed for the aforementioned PD-related genes (Fig 6e-h). This suggest that Tig1 elicits a rapid and stable transcriptome re-shaping towards proximal identity in originally distal cells, already manifest at 3dpe. Altogether, this dataset demonstrates that Tig1 confers blastema cells proximal values and hinders distalisation.

Tig1 affects the expression of proximo-distal regulators

In order to validate the RNAseq and obtain further insights into the impact of Tig1 on the expression of PD-related factors, we performed qRT-PCR analysis on *Hoxa13:mCherry* sorted cells after Tig1 electroporation, according to the conditions used for the RNAseq (Fig. 7). We observed that overexpression of either Tig1 or Tig1^{P155A} lead to the upregulation of the proximal factor *Prod1*, as well as the downregulation of *Hoxa11*, *Hoxa13*, the distal growth factor *Lxh2*, and two additional *Hoxa13*-regulated genes, *Enpp2* and *Epha7* (Fig. 7), consistent with the results of our RNAseq analysis. Interestingly, we note that Tig1 overexpression not only leads to an increase in the relative levels of Tig1 mRNA, but also to an increase in Tig1 5'UTR (not present in the pN2-Tig1 vector), indicating that increased Tig1 levels result in the upregulation of Tig1 transcription, constituting a positive feedback loop (Fig. 7). It is noteworthy that *Meis1* expression shows an upward trend upon Tig1 overexpression even though, due to the high variability between the replicates, the increase does not reach statistical significance. An association between *Meis* and Tig1 gene expression is also indicated by our PCA analysis of connective tissue cells at 11dpa (Fig. 1, Supplementary Fig. 1). Therefore, it is conceivable that Tig1 activation is achieved through the upregulation of *Meis* factors, given that the Tig1 promoter contains *Meis* binding sites (Supplementary data 4). This is a notion that merits further exploration.

Lastly, we asked whether the gene expression signatures associated to Tig1 overexpression match those found in non-perturbed, proximal or distal cells from blastema connective tissue. By evaluating the contribution of Tig1 up or downregulated genes to the PC1 of early blastema connective tissue cells, we found that most Tig1 upregulated genes contribute to the proximal end of PC1, whereas downregulated genes contribute to the distal compartment (Fig. 8b). Further, we calculated a score based on Tig1 up or downregulated genes for each cell of the early blastema (Fig. 8a, Supplementary data 9,10), which reveals a differential clustering of cells. Cells with a high Tig1-upregulated gene score were found at the proximal side of the UMAP, whereas those with a high Tig1-downregulated gene score were located at the distal-most end. The expression of key PD markers for the top 100 cells of each condition after scoring supports a proximal identity of cells enriched in genes upregulated by Tig1 overexpression, and a distal identity of cells enriched in genes that are downregulated by Tig1 overexpression (Fig. 8c). Together, these results suggest that Tig1 is associated with and actively regulates the network of factors that modulate proximo-distal identity in the salamander limb.

Concluding remarks

The molecular and cellular basis of positional identity remains poorly understood^{1,32}. Mechanistic knowledge is currently lacking, from the molecular factors that determine the PD nature of a cell, to their coordination and their re-specification in a regenerative context. Our study offers significant insights into this problem by identifying Tig1 as a new determinant of PD identity.

Whilst a system for the specification of PD identity has been proposed to operate at the cell surface level, *Prod1* remained its only presumed component. Like *Prod1*¹¹, Tig1 is a RA-responsive factor localised to the cell surface and exhibiting graded PD expression in the

mature limb. Further, *Prod1* expression is regulated by *Meis*¹² and, as our *Tig1* promoter analysis suggests, *Tig1* is also a putative *Meis* target. Yet unlike *Prod1*, which is salamander-specific³³ and lacks a membrane anchor in the axolotl¹⁶, *Tig1* is highly conserved through evolution and membrane bound, raising the possibility that it forms part of a conserved PD determination mechanism. *Tig1* resembles *Prod1* in that it mediates cell behaviours associated with proximal identity, including the engulfment activity of apposed proximal and distal blastemas, and the displacement of blastema cells to proximal locations. Further, like *Prod1*⁹, *Tig1* overexpression leads to patterning defects during limb regeneration, primarily affecting distal elements. Altogether, this strongly suggest that *Tig1* is involved in the determination of proximal identity. Yet, our study provides additional evidence for this notion, namely the demonstration that *Tig1* is able to elicit the reprogramming of distal cells to a proximal identity.

To our knowledge, this is the first example of a cell surface molecule able to induce a global reprogramming of proximo-distal identity. Based on our observations we hypothesise that the amount of *Tig1* molecules at the cell surface determines the expression levels of proximal factors such as *Meis1/2* and *Prod1* to confer proximal identity. This, together with its graded expression along the PD axis of the mature limb, raises the possibility that *Tig1* could form part of a positional identity system encoded at the cell surface level. In this model, *Tig1* levels would confer spatial coordinates to adult tissues and contribute to the sensing of PD positional disparities upon amputation, an essential component of the regenerative response^{2,34}, as previously proposed for *Prod1*¹¹. It would be of interest to address whether *Prod1* and *Tig1* act together in order to mediate PD disparity recognition. The existence of cell-autonomously encoded spatial information in adult cells has been well-documented in human fibroblasts, where it is epigenetically maintained^{35,36}. It would thus be informative to address if *Tig1* is also associated with positional information in mammals, and whether epigenetic mechanisms are responsible for the regulation of its expression as well as that of other PD factors.

Beside the regulation of proximal factors, *Tig1*-induced reprogramming involves a number of additional, noteworthy processes. First, it entails a marked downregulation of distal factors. Whether the prevention of distalisation is sufficient to proximalise cell identity is an important outstanding question. Second, it is associated with a marked anti-proliferative molecular signature, consistent with the fact that *Tig1* counteracts proliferation. It is thus possible that a stable proximal identity is associated to lack of cell proliferation. This is in agreement with the decrease in proliferation observed in the proximal-most regions of the late blastema³⁷. Importantly however, suppressing cell cycle progression is not sufficient to promote proximal translocation. Lastly, the mechanisms by which the levels of this cell surface factor determine broad transcriptional changes are as yet unknown. It is conceivable that cytoskeletal arrangements are involved, as *Tig1* has been proposed as a modulator of microtubule dynamics³⁸⁻⁴⁰, a notion that should be addressed by further research.

Given the importance of *Tig1* for the specification of proximal identity, and taking its plastic responsiveness to RA treatment in both blastema and mature limb tissues into account, we propose that *Tig1* is a likely mediator of the RA-dependent proximalisation effect^{10,41}. *Meis1* has been proven necessary for the proximalising activity of RA in this context¹⁴. In light of our observations, in particular the upregulation of *Tig1* upon RA treatment and the upregulation of *Meis1* following an increase in *Tig1* levels, forthcoming efforts should identify whether *Tig1* is part of the RA-responsive molecular network which regulates proximalisation of regenerating structures.

Together, the body of evidence hereby presented indicates that *Tig1* is a central regulator of proximo-distal identity. While this clearly constitutes an important step towards

understanding the molecular network underlying PD determination, critical questions remain. These include the nature of the connection between *Tig1* and other key factors, such as *Prod1* and *Meis*, in the determination of PD identity, the contribution of *Tig1* to a putative system of positional memory, and its mechanism of action at the cellular and molecular levels, particularly whether and how it may act as a ‘sensor’ of positional disparity between cells. Future studies will provide answers to these questions, delivering fundamental insights into the mechanism underlying positional identity in a vertebrate context.

References

- 1 Flowers, G. P. & Crews, C. M. Remembering where we are: Positional information in salamander limb regeneration. *Dev Dyn* **249**, 465-482, doi:10.1002/dvdy.167 (2020).
- 2 Vieira, W. A. & McCusker, C. D. Hierarchical pattern formation during amphibian limb regeneration. *Biosystems* **183**, 103989, doi:10.1016/j.biosystems.2019.103989 (2019).
- 3 Wolpert, L. & Vicente, C. An interview with Lewis Wolpert. *Development* **142**, 2547-2548, doi:10.1242/dev.127373 (2015).
- 4 Brockes, J. P. & Kumar, A. Comparative aspects of animal regeneration. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol* **24**, 525-549, doi:10.1146/annurev.cellbio.24.110707.175336 (2008).
- 5 Stocum, D. L. & Thoms, S. D. Retinoic-acid-induced pattern completion in regenerating double anterior limbs of urodeles. *J Exp Zool* **232**, 207-215, doi:10.1002/jez.1402320208 (1984).
- 6 Crawford, K. & Stocum, D. L. Retinoic acid proximalizes level-specific properties responsible for intercalary regeneration in axolotl limbs. *Development* **104**, 703-712 (1988).
- 7 Nardi, J. B. S., D. Surface properties of regenerating limb cells: Evidence for gradation along the proximodistal axis. *Differentiation* **25**, 27-31, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1432-0436.1984.tb01334.x> (1983).
- 8 Pescitelli, M. J., Jr. & Stocum, D. L. The origin of skeletal structures during intercalary regeneration of larval *Ambystoma* limbs. *Dev Biol* **79**, 255-275, doi:10.1016/0012-1606(80)90115-3 (1980).
- 9 Echeverri, K. & Tanaka, E. M. Proximodistal patterning during limb regeneration. *Dev Biol* **279**, 391-401, doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2004.12.029 (2005).
- 10 Maden, M. Vitamin A and pattern formation in the regenerating limb. *Nature* **295**, 672-675, doi:10.1038/295672a0 (1982).
- 11 da Silva, S. M., Gates, P. B. & Brockes, J. P. The newt ortholog of CD59 is implicated in proximodistal identity during amphibian limb regeneration. *Dev Cell* **3**, 547-555, doi:10.1016/s1534-5807(02)00288-5 (2002).
- 12 Shaikh, N., Gates, P. B. & Brockes, J. P. The *Meis* homeoprotein regulates the axolotl *Prod 1* promoter during limb regeneration. *Gene* **484**, 69-74, doi:10.1016/j.gene.2011.06.003 (2011).
- 13 Mercader, N. *et al.* Conserved regulation of proximodistal limb axis development by *Meis1/Hth*. *Nature* **402**, 425-429, doi:10.1038/46580 (1999).
- 14 Mercader, N., Tanaka, E. M. & Torres, M. Proximodistal identity during vertebrate limb regeneration is regulated by *Meis* homeodomain proteins. *Development* **132**, 4131-4142, doi:10.1242/dev.01976 (2005).

15 Kumar, A., Godwin, J. W., Gates, P. B., Garza-Garcia, A. A. & Brockes, J. P.
Molecular basis for the nerve dependence of limb regeneration in an adult vertebrate.
Science **318**, 772-777, doi:10.1126/science.1147710 (2007).

16 Blassberg, R. A., Garza-Garcia, A., Janmohamed, A., Gates, P. B. & Brockes, J. P.
5 Functional convergence of signalling by GPI-anchored and anchorless forms of a
salamander protein implicated in limb regeneration. *J Cell Sci* **124**, 47-56,
doi:10.1242/jcs.076331 (2011).

17 Gerber, T. *et al.* Single-cell analysis uncovers convergence of cell identities during
axolotl limb regeneration. *Science* **362**, doi:10.1126/science.aag0681 (2018).

10 18 Nacu, E. *et al.* Connective tissue cells, but not muscle cells, are involved in
establishing the proximo-distal outcome of limb regeneration in the axolotl.
Development **140**, 513-518, doi:10.1242/dev.081752 (2013).

19 Lin, T.-Y. *et al.* Fibroblast dedifferentiation as a determinant of successful
regeneration. *Developmental Cell* **56**, 1541-1551.e1546,
15 doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2021.04.016 (2021).

20 Jing, C. *et al.* Tazarotene-induced gene 1 (TIG1) expression in prostate carcinomas
and its relationship to tumorigenicity. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **94**, 482-490,
doi:10.1093/jnci/94.7.482 (2002).

21 Nagpal, S. *et al.* Tazarotene-induced gene 1 (TIG1), a novel retinoic acid receptor-
20 responsive gene in skin. *J Invest Dermatol* **106**, 269-274, doi:10.1111/1523-
1747.ep12340668 (1996).

22 Wang, X. *et al.* TIG1 promotes the development and progression of inflammatory
breast cancer through activation of Axl kinase. *Cancer Res* **73**, 6516-6525,
doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-13-0967 (2013).

25 23 Aagaard, A. *et al.* An inflammatory role for the mammalian carboxypeptidase
inhibitor latexin: relationship to cystatins and the tumor suppressor TIG1. *Structure*
13, 309-317, doi:10.1016/j.str.2004.12.013 (2005).

24 Roensch, K., Tazaki, A., Chara, O. & Tanaka, E. M. Progressive specification rather
than intercalation of segments during limb regeneration. *Science* **342**, 1375-1379,
30 doi:10.1126/science.1241796 (2013).

25 Oliveira, C. R. *et al.* Pseudotyped baculovirus is an effective gene expression tool for
studying molecular function during axolotl limb regeneration. *Dev Biol* **433**, 262-275,
doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2017.10.008 (2018).

26 Yun, M. H., Gates, P. B. & Brockes, J. P. Regulation of p53 is critical for vertebrate
35 limb regeneration. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United
States of America* **110**, 17392-17397, doi:10.1073/pnas.1310519110 (2013).

27 Fei, J. F. *et al.* Application and optimization of CRISPR-Cas9-mediated genome
engineering in axolotl (*Ambystoma mexicanum*). *Nat Protoc* **13**, 2908-2943,
doi:10.1038/s41596-018-0071-0 (2018).

40 28 Vincent, E. *et al.* BMP signaling is essential for sustaining proximo-distal progression
in regenerating axolotl limbs. *Development* **147**, doi:10.1242/dev.170829 (2020).

29 Nguyen, M. *et al.* Retinoic acid receptor regulation of epimorphic and homeostatic
regeneration in the axolotl. *Development* **144**, 601-611, doi:10.1242/dev.139873
(2017).

45 30 Mercader, N. *et al.* Opposing RA and FGF signals control proximodistal vertebrate
limb development through regulation of Meis genes. *Development* **127**, 3961-3970
(2000).

31 Mariani, F. V., Ahn, C. P. & Martin, G. R. Genetic evidence that FGFs have an
instructive role in limb proximal-distal patterning. *Nature* **453**, 401-405,
50 doi:10.1038/nature06876 (2008).

32 Stocum, D. L. & Cameron, J. A. Looking proximally and distally: 100 years of limb
regeneration and beyond. *Dev Dyn* **240**, 943-968, doi:10.1002/dvdy.22553 (2011).

33 Garza-Garcia, A. A., Driscoll, P. C. & Brockes, J. P. Evidence for the local evolution
of mechanisms underlying limb regeneration in salamanders. *Integr Comp Biol* **50**,
528-535, doi:10.1093/icb/icq022 (2010).

34 Endo, T., Bryant, S. V. & Gardiner, D. M. A stepwise model system for limb
regeneration. *Dev Biol* **270**, 135-145, doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2004.02.016 (2004).

35 Rinn, J. L., Bondre, C., Gladstone, H. B., Brown, P. O. & Chang, H. Y. Anatomic
demarcation by positional variation in fibroblast gene expression programs. *PLoS*
Genet **2**, e119, doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.0020119 (2006).

36 Rinn, J. L. *et al.* Functional demarcation of active and silent chromatin domains in
human HOX loci by noncoding RNAs. *Cell* **129**, 1311-1323,
doi:10.1016/j.cell.2007.05.022 (2007).

37 McCusker, C. D. *et al.* Positional plasticity in regenerating *Amybystoma mexicanum*
limbs is associated with cell proliferation and pathways of cellular differentiation.
BMC Dev Biol **15**, 45, doi:10.1186/s12861-015-0095-4 (2015).

38 Maimouni, S. *et al.* Tumor suppressor RARRES1 links tubulin deglutamylation to
mitochondrial metabolism and cell survival. *Oncotarget* **10**, 1606-1624,
doi:10.18632/oncotarget.26600 (2019).

39 Sahab, Z. J. *et al.* Tumor suppressor RARRES1 interacts with cytoplasmic
carboxypeptidase AGBL2 to regulate the alpha-tubulin tyrosination cycle. *Cancer Res*
71, 1219-1228, doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-10-2294 (2011).

40 Sahab, Z. J., Hall, M. D., Zhang, L., Cheema, A. K. & Byers, S. W. Tumor
Suppressor RARRES1 Regulates DLG2, PP2A, VCP, EB1, and Ankrd26. *J Cancer* **1**,
14-22, doi:10.7150/jca.1.14 (2010).

41 Stocum, D. L. & Crawford, K. Use of retinoids to analyze the cellular basis of
positional memory in regenerating amphibian limbs. *Biochem Cell Biol* **65**, 750-761,
doi:10.1139/o87-098 (1987).

42 Knapp, D. *et al.* Comparative transcriptional profiling of the axolotl limb identifies a
tripartite regeneration-specific gene program. *PLoS One* **8**, e61352,
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0061352 (2013).

43 Tank, P. W., Carlson, B. M. & Connelly, T. G. A staging system for forelimb
regeneration in the axolotl, *Ambystoma mexicanum*. *J Morphol* **150**, 117-128,
doi:10.1002/jmor.1051500106 (1976).

44 LeCun, Y., Bengio, Y. & Hinton, G. Deep learning. *Nature* **521**, 436-444,
doi:10.1038/nature14539 (2015).

45 K. He, G. G., P. Dollár and R. Girshick. Mask R-CNN. *2017 IEEE International*
Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV), Venice, 2980-2988,
doi:10.1109/ICCV.2017.322 (2017).

46 K. He, X. Z., S. Ren and J. Sun. in *2016 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and*
Pattern Recognition (CVPR), Las Vegas, NV 770-778 (2016).

47 Sobkow, L., Epperlein, H. H., Herklotz, S., Straube, W. L. & Tanaka, E. M. A
germline GFP transgenic axolotl and its use to track cell fate: dual origin of the fin
mesenchyme during development and the fate of blood cells during regeneration. *Dev*
Biol **290**, 386-397, doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2005.11.037 (2006).

48 Yun, M. H., Gates, P. B. & Brockes, J. P. Sustained ERK activation underlies
reprogramming in regeneration-competent salamander cells and distinguishes them
from their mammalian counterparts. *Stem Cell Reports* **3**, 15-23,
doi:10.1016/j.stemcr.2014.05.009 (2014).

- 49 Corcoran, J. P. & Ferretti, P. RA regulation of keratin expression and myogenesis suggests different ways of regenerating muscle in adult amphibian limbs. *J Cell Sci* **112** (Pt 9), 1385-1394 (1999).
- 50 Butler, A., Hoffman, P., Smibert, P., Papalexi, E. & Satija, R. Integrating single-cell
5 transcriptomic data across different conditions, technologies, and species. *Nature Biotechnology* **36**, 411-420, doi:10.1038/nbt.4096 (2018).
- 51 Blighe K, R. S., Lewis M. EnhancedVolcano: Publication-ready volcano plots with enhanced colouring and labeling. R package version 1.10.0. *Bioconductor* (2021).
- 52 Love, M. I., Huber, W. & Anders, S. Moderated estimation of fold change and
10 dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol* **15**, 550, doi:10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8 (2014).
- 53 Ge, S. X., Son, E. W. & Yao, R. iDEP: an integrated web application for differential expression and pathway analysis of RNA-Seq data. *BMC Bioinformatics* **19**, 534, doi:10.1186/s12859-018-2486-6 (2018).
- 15 54 Ashburner, M. *et al.* Gene ontology: tool for the unification of biology. The Gene Ontology Consortium. *Nat Genet* **25**, 25-29, doi:10.1038/75556 (2000).

Acknowledgments: We thank all members of the Yun Lab for critical comments, Jifeng Fei for advice on knock-in transgenesis, Jeremy Brockes for insightful discussions, Gabriel
20 Waksman for institutional support, MPI-CBG Protein Expression Facility for protein/viral expression support, TUD-CMCB Histology, Flow Cytometry and Light Microscopy Facilities for tissue processing and imaging support, and Beate Gruhl, Anja Wagner and Dominick Kruger for animal care.

Funding: A.E. was supported by a NIH Ruth Kirschstein postdoctoral fellowship (F32GM117806), H.E.W. by an Alexander von Humboldt postdoctoral fellowship, H.A. by a CONICET-UADE scholarship, O.C. by CONICET (Argentina) and ANPCyT grants (PICT-2014-3469 & PICT-2017-2307), E.M.T by ERC Advanced grant (294324) and DFG grant (TA274/3-3); A.S. by Cancerfonden, Swedish Research Council, Knut and Alice Wallenberg
30 Foundation, Stiftelsen Olle Engkvist Bryggmästare, and M.H.Y. by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft grants (DFG 22137416 & 450807335) and TUD-CRTD core funds.

Author contributions: C.O., D.K., S.G.M., P.B.G., H.E.W. and E.S. performed experiments; C.O., S.G.M., A.E., D.K., T.G., P.B.G., H.E.W., A.P, H.A., R. C., E.S., O.C., A.S. and
35 M.H.Y. analysed and discussed data; C.O., D.K., S.G.M., P.B.G., H.E.W. and M.H.Y. designed experiments; E.M.T. contributed reagents; M.H.Y. supervised the project and wrote the manuscript with contributions from all authors.

Competing interests: Authors declare no competing interests.

40

Material & Correspondence: Correspondence and request for materials should be addressed to M.H.Y. and D.K.

Figure Legends:

Fig. 1. Single-cell transcriptomics identifies *Tig1* as a marker for proximal limb identity in connective tissue cells of early limb blastemas.

(a) UMAP embedding of single-cell transcriptomic data from connective tissue cells at 11 days post amputation¹⁹. This corresponds to an early blastema regeneration stage (8 - 9 cm snout-to-tail axolotls). Each dot represents a single cell; colours indicate results of Louvain clustering of single cells. (b) Contribution of axolotl genes to PC1. Genes are presented as dots and are ordered based on their contribution to PC1. Genes associated with the proximal (red) and distal (blue) axes, or with cell adhesion (yellow) are indicated. (c) Gene expression for genes of the proximal-distal axis are shown as feature on top of the UMAP embedding. Encircled genes are used to calculate a proximal and distal score (see d). (d) Distal (left) and proximal (right) scores were calculated for each cell and visualized on the UMAP embedding. Scores were combined to obtain proximal-distal identity assignments (center) for each cell as indicated on the embedding. (e) Volcano plot visualization of genes that are differentially expressed between the 100 most proximal and the 100 most distal cells identified by the scoring in d. Relevant proximal (red) and distal (blue) genes are highlighted. P values are shown as $-\log_{10}$ and the p value cutoff is 0.0001 (horizontal dotted line) and the fold change (FC) cutoffs are 0.4 and -0.4 (vertical dotted lines).

Fig. 2. The retinoic acid-responsive gene *Tig1* encodes a cell surface protein and is differentially expressed along the proximo-distal axis of the axolotl limb.

(a) *Tig1* expression along the proximo-distal axis of the mature axolotl limb, relative to *Ef1- α* (UA: upper arm; LA: lower arm; H: hand; qRT-PCR, n=4). Error bars represent standard deviation of the mean. (b) Expression of axolotl *Tig1* in mature or regenerating (midbud stage) limbs 48h after retinoic acid (RA) treatment (100 μ g/g body weight), relative to DMSO treated samples, normalised against *Ef1- α* expression (qRT-PCR, n=4). (c) Representative images of AL1 cells after electroporation with the indicated myc-tagged constructs and live cell staining using anti-myc antibodies. Scale bar: 20 μ m. (d) Microarray⁴² analysis of *Tig1* gene expression for the indicated bulk tissues and days post limb amputation. Note the upregulation of *Tig1* during the first 7 days after amputation. Results obtained with 6 independent microarray probes, each one showing the mean of three biological replicates. Note the consistent expression profile obtained with each one. (e) Expression of axolotl *Tig1* during limb regeneration from an upper arm amputation (7.5cm snout-to-tail axolotls) by *in situ* hybridization. Representative images show blastema (upper row) or corresponding magnified area (lower row). No signal is detected in the mature limb using ISH. Scale bar: 500 μ m. ** p<0.01 ; * p<0.05 (ANOVA, a; paired-matched t-test, b).

Fig. 3. *Tig1* overexpression disrupts regeneration of distal elements.

(a) Schematic of the experimental approach. (b) *Tig1* Bv transduction from midbud blastema onwards leads to shortening of the regenerated limb through malformations in the distal limb elements, as analysed through Alcian blue/Alizarin red staining (n=21). (c) Quantification of limb length. Error bars represent standard deviation of the mean; whiskers denote upper and lower quartiles. ** p<0.01; *** p<0.001 (paired-matched t-test). (d) Kernel Density Estimation of lengths for the indicated limb segment. The coloured diamonds on the x axis represent individual measurements. A broadening of the distribution towards shorter lengths is observed upon *Tig1* overexpression for whole limb, lower arm and hand, while no changes are observed in the upper arm.

Fig. 4. *Tig1* induces distal-to-proximal displacement of blastema cells.

(a) Representative images of the displacement assay. Cells in the distal third of a 4-day blastema give rise to distal elements⁹. Upon electroporation of a control vector (n=7) to enable tracing during

regeneration, they contribute primarily to digits (first column). Overexpression of *Prod1* (n=8), *Tig1* or *Tig1*^{P155A} (n=13) coupled to fluorescent proteins promotes autologous displacement of cells from distal to proximal positions during limb regeneration. dpe: days post electroporation. Scale bar: 1 mm. (b) Quantification of the percentage of animals with electroporated cells found in the indicated limb segments. (c) An image analysis algorithm for the automatised quantification of cells (by fluorescence intensity) along the PD axis. f indicates the number of pixels whose intensity is higher than the threshold; f/f_{max} indicates the ratio between each f and its maximal value along the anatomical axis. (d) Distribution of fluorescence intensity along the PD axis for the indicated conditions. Coloured shading indicates standard deviation. Grey shading indicates standard deviation of the PD position corresponding to elbow (E) or wrist (W). A: amputation plane.

Fig. 5. Tig1 mediates proximo-distal cell-surface interactions. (a) Schematic of the engulfment assay. Juxtaposition of proximal (P) and distal (D) blastemas results in the engulfment of the distal one by its proximal counterpart. (b) Representative images of juxtaposed proximal (red) and distal (white) blastemas at the indicated times post juxtaposition, and after the indicated treatments. Note lack of engulfment following treatment with anti-TIG1 (α -TIG1) antibodies raised against two extracellular epitopes. [Antibody]=20 μ g/ml. (c) Quantification of engulfment for the indicated pairs (pooled data from 3 independent experiments). (d) Images along an X-stack (x1 to x5) of representative PD blastema pairs at 5 days post juxtaposition (5dpj), following treatment with the indicated antibodies. Proximal blastemas derive from *caggs:eGFP^{Emka}* axolotls. (e) Quantification of the overlap between proximal and distal blastemas at 5dpj, based on (d). *** $p < 0.001$ (unpaired t-test).

Fig. 6. Tig1 reprograms distal cells to proximal identities. (a) Experimental design. Tig1-T2a-GFP or Tig1^{P155A}-T2a-GFP were electroporated in the distal, mCherry⁺ domain of late bud blastemas of *Hoxa13:mCherry^{Emka}* axolotls; FACS isolation followed by bulk RNAseq analysis was carried out for the indicated cells at 3 or 8dpe. (b) tSNE plot using the first and second dimension shows consistent behaviour between replicates and a separation between treatments. (c) Heatmap of the 2000 most differentially expressed genes between conditions (d) GO enrichment analysis of Biological Process for three gene groups determined by K-means hierarchical clustering, displaying the top ten enriched terms for cluster A. The enriched pathways are listed with their corresponding adjusted p-values and number of genes. (e-h) Tig1 overexpression in distal cells promotes a transcriptome shift toward proximal identity. Bars represent ratios of gene expression in Tig1 overexpressing cells versus control cells. (e) Relative gene expression changes in PD markers. Note that Tig1 overexpression leads to the upregulation of proximal factors Meis1a and Prod1 and the downregulation of distal genes including the master regulator Hoxa13 (e), and its downstream network (f). mCherry protein persists in distal cells even if Hoxa13 is downregulated. (g) Relative gene expression changes in genes associated with blastema growth. (h) Relative gene expression changes in cell adhesion/matrix remodelling factors. Adj. p-values: * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.001$.

Fig. 7. Tig1 affects the expression of proximo-distal factors Meis1, Prod1 and Hoxa13. qRT-PCR quantification of the expression of the indicated factors in FACS-sorted blastema cells from *Hoxa13:mCherry* axolotls at 3 or 8 days post-electroporation with the indicated constructs, relative to the control sample at 3dpe. Rpl4 was used as a normaliser. p values are indicated in grey (Welch Anova test, group analysis); * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.001$ (Welch t-test for individual analysis).

Fig. 8. Genes up and down-regulated by Tig1 map on opposite ends of the proximo-distal axis of the regenerating limb. (a) Contribution of up- and down-regulated genes by Tig1 overexpression to PC1 (see Fig. 1b). Note that most genes upregulated by Tig1 overexpression map to the proximal portion of PC1, whereas genes downregulated by Tig1 overexpression contribute to the distal portion. (b) Up- and down-regulated genes by Tig1 overexpression were used to calculate either an upregulation (right) or a downregulation (left) score for each cell as well. (c) Box plots represent the gene expression levels for the given genes among the top 100 cells expressing Tig1-upregulated genes (red) and top 100 expressing Tig1-downregulated genes (blue) cells based on the scoring in (b). Results of a t-test comparing the gene expression distributions for the two groups are shown above each boxplot panel.

Additional information:

Supplementary information is available for this manuscript.

Supplementary information:

Supplementary Figures 1- 19

5 Supplementary Table 1

Supplementary data 1-10

Supplementary figure/table legends:

10 **Supplementary Fig. 1. Tig1 expression is segregated along a modelled proximodistal axis of the regenerating limb.** (a) Principal component analysis (PCA) (PC3 vs PC4) of individual connective tissue cells at 11 days post amputation (dpa), based on scRNAseq from Gerber et al¹⁷. In this dataset PC3 models the proximodistal axis in the regenerating blastema, as exemplified by the expression of Meis2 (proximal) and Hoxa13 (distal). (b) PC3 plotted against log2(transcripts per million) for the indicated genes. (c) Contributions of axolotl genes to PC3. Genes associated with the proximal (red) and distal (blue) axes, or with cell adhesion (yellow) are indicated. This scRNAseq dataset does not contain expression data for Prod1. Note that Tig1 is found at the extreme Meis2⁺ end of PC3. In this dataset we found 90/163 cells express Tig1, 43/163 express Hoxa13 and 61/163 express Meis2. PC3 explains 1.2% of the variance.

25 **Supplementary Fig. 2. Tig1 is expressed in gradient along the proximo-distal axis in newt limbs.** (a) Tig1 expression along the proximo-distal axis of the mature limb of 3-4 years old *Notophthalmus viridescens* newts. Expression is relative to Ef1- α (UA: upper arm; LA: lower arm; H: hand; qRT-PCR, n=6). *p<0.05, **p<0.001 (Kruskal Wallis test).

30 **Supplementary Fig. 3. Axolotl TIG1 protein sequence.** (a) Phylogenetic tree based on the indicated Tig1 and CD38 protein sequences, built using the unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean (UPGMA) algorithm. (b) Sequence alignment between axolotl TIG1 and its human counterpart. Axolotl TIG1 exhibits 57% similarity at the aminoacid level, an intact latexin domain (IPDXXG, red square) and a hyaluronic acid binding motif (green square). The peptide sequences selected for subsequent antibody production are underscored in yellow. The hydrophobic tract corresponding to the transmembrane domain is underscored in blue.

35 **Supplementary Fig. 4. Tig1 is upregulated by RA in cells of connective tissue origin.** (a,b) qRT-PCR analysis of Tig1 expression in connective tissue- derived axolotl AL1 cells (a) or muscle-derived newt A1 cells (b). Data represents Tig1 gene expression at the indicated times following treatment with 1×10^{-7} M RA, relative to treatment with vehicle (DMSO). Results are normalised against Ef1- α (n=3). *p<0.05, **p<0.001.

Supplementary Fig. 5. Axolotl TIG1 localises to the cell surface exposing its C-terminus to the extracellular environment. (a) Western blot analysis of myc-tagged protein in AmAL1 extracts 48hs after nucleofection with the indicated vectors. Asterisk indicates the band corresponding to the TIG1-myc fusion (31kDa). (b) Representative images of 293T cells 24 hours after transfection with myc-tagged versions of axolotl amphiregulin or TIG1 followed by live cell staining using anti-myc antibodies. Scale bar: 20µm.

Supplementary Fig. 6. Tig1 overexpression impairs blastema cell proliferation *in vivo*. (a) Schematic of experimental strategy (b) Representative images of axolotl late-bud blastemas following anti-RFP immunohistochemistry and azide-mediated EdU detection, 72h after electroporation with the indicated constructs and after two EdU pulses at 24h and 48h post electroporation (n = 5). Insert shows a magnification of the blastema area. Arrowheads indicate EdU⁺/RFP⁺ cells. Scale bar: 100µm. (c) Percentage of EdU⁺ cells among the RFP⁺ cell population. **p<0.001.

Supplementary Fig. 7. Analysis of Tig1 gene expression during axolotl limb regeneration. Expression of Tig1 during axolotl limb regeneration following amputation at the upper arm level, relative to mature limb and normalised against Ef1-α (qRT-PCR, n=6). Similar results were obtained normalising against L-27.

Supplementary Fig. 8. Tig1 gene expression changes during limb regeneration following lower arm amputation. Expression of axolotl Tig1 during lower arm limb regeneration (7.5cm snout-to-tail axolotls) by *in situ* hybridization. Representative images show blastema (upper row) or corresponding magnified area (lower row). Scale bar: 500µm.

Supplementary Fig. 9. Tig1 gene expression in connective tissue cells during limb regeneration. (a) Representative images of an early blastema (5dpa, upper arm, 7.5cm snout-to-tail axolotls) following Tig1 *in situ* hybridization and anti-PRRX1 staining. Tissue autofluorescence (green) is also shown. Similar results were obtained at 3 and 7 dpa. In all samples analysed, 70-80% of PRRX1⁺ cells express Tig1. Selected magnified areas are shown in (b) and (c).

Supplementary Fig. 10. Meandros *f/fmax* charts per experimental condition. Plots of fluorescence distribution along the PD axis as obtained by Meandros image analysis algorithm are given for each individual condition. Dashed line at PD position=0 indicates the location of the amputation plane (A). Coloured shading indicates standard deviation of *f/fmax*. Grey shading indicates standard deviation of the PD position corresponding to elbow (E) or wrist (W). Control (n=3), Tig1^{wt} (n=4), Tig1^{P155A} (n=4), Prod1 (n=5), Prod1-Tig1^{wt} (n=3), p53 (n=3).

Supplementary Fig. 11. Meandros scaled width analysis along the PD axis. Plots representing the variation of limb width (µm) along the PD axis as obtained by Meandros image analysis algorithm are given for each individual condition. Dashed line at PD position=0 indicates the location of the amputation plane (A). Coloured shading indicates standard deviation of scaled width. Grey shading indicates standard deviation of the PD position corresponding to elbow (E) or wrist (W).

Supplementary Fig. 12. Statistical analysis of Meandros *f/fmax* profiles. Kolmogorov-Smirnov statistical test comparing the cumulative distribution function (CDF) of the mean *f/fmax* profiles for the indicated pairs of conditions (in continuous curves). The dashed line

represents the distribution of the difference between CDFs and the p-value for each test is indicated.

Supplementary Fig. 13. Tig1 and Prod1 have differential effects on distal-to-proximal blastema cell displacement.

(a) Distribution of fluorescence intensity along the PD axis for the indicated conditions. Coloured shading indicates standard deviation. Grey shading indicates standard deviation of the PD position corresponding to elbow (E) or wrist (W). A: amputation plane. (b) Quantification of the number of limbs with electroporated cells in the indicated segments following a displacement assay. Control (n=7), Tig1^{wt} (n=7), Prod1 (n=8) and Prod1-Tig1^{wt} (n=12).

Supplementary Fig. 14. Impairment in cell cycle progression is not sufficient to promote distal-to-proximal blastema cell displacement.

(a) Quantification of EdU⁺/RFP⁺ blastema cells following anti-RFP immunohistochemistry and azide-mediated EdU detection, 72 hours post electroporation with the indicated pN2 vectors and after two EdU pulses at 24h and 48h post electroporation (n = 5). (b) Quantification of the number of animals with electroporated cells in the indicated limb segments following a displacement assay using a control vector (n=7), p53 (n=7), Tig1^{wt} (n=7), and Prod1 (n=8). (c) Distribution of fluorescence intensity along the PD axis for the indicated conditions. Coloured shading indicates standard deviation. Note that p53 overexpression does not lead to distal-to-proximal displacement. Grey shading indicates standard deviation of the PD position corresponding to elbow (E) or wrist (W). A: amputation plane.

Supplementary Fig. 15. Tig1 levels impact on cell migration.

(a) Western blot analysis of 293T cell extracts 48hs after lipofection with the indicated vectors, using the Tig1 antibody mix. Asterisk indicates the band corresponding to the TIG1-myc fusion (31kDa). Triangle indicates an unspecific band, present in all extracts including the untransfected control. (b-d) Scratch assay for evaluation cell migration in AL1 cells. (a) Representative images of AL1 cells. Migration was evaluated for 100hs, starting at 48hs after lipofection with the indicated constructs (0h) and in the presence of either α -RFP or α -Tig1 antibodies. (c) Kinetics of cell migration for the indicated conditions. Cells were imaged every 24 hours and gap closure was quantified as the % cell-free area remaining relative to the 0h timepoint. (d) % gap closure at 72h for the indicated conditions. Note that treatment with α -Tig1 antibodies neutralises the negative impact of TIG1 overexpression on cell migration. *p<0.05, **p<0.001.

Supplementary Fig. 16. A Hoxa13 knock-in reporter line allows distal cell labelling during salamander limb regeneration.

(a) Representative images of limb bud development in the *Hoxa13:Hoxa13-T2a-mCherry* axolotl line. Scale bar: 100 μ m. (b) Representative images of limb regeneration in *Hoxa13:Hoxa13-T2a-mCherry* axolotls. mCherry fluorescence appears in the distal domain of midbud blastema, and intensifies through the course of regeneration until digit stage. Scale bar: 500 μ m.

Supplementary Fig. 17. Tig1 overexpression promotes broad transcriptomic changes.

(a-d) MA plot representation of differential expression analysis of genes expressed in control distal cells (mCherry⁺) versus Tig1 (a, b) or Tig1^{P155A} (c, d) overexpressing distal cells (mCherry⁺/GFP⁺), at 3 (a,c) or 8 (b, d) days post electroporation. The number of differentially expressed genes (DGE) for each comparison is annotated in the top right corner. Red points mark the genes with significantly increased or decreased expression (FDR<0.05). The number of differentially expressed genes is indicated in the top left corner of each graph.

Supplementary Fig. 18. Gene ontology analysis of differentially expressed genes between control, Tig1 and Tig1^{P155A} overexpressing cells. GO enrichment analysis using g:Profiler of the Biological process terms in the 3 groups determined by K-means hierarchical clustering (number of groups was chosen based on tSNE and Elbow method), displaying the top ten enriched terms for cluster B (a) and C (b). The enriched pathways are listed with their corresponding adjusted p-value and number of genes.

Supplementary Fig. 19. Tig1 overexpression promotes the downregulation of cell cycle progression genes and upregulation of tumour suppressors. Relative gene expression changes in genes associated with cell cycle progression among differentially expressed genes between control, Tig1 or Tig1^{P155A} overexpressing distal cells at 3 or 8 dpe. * p<0.05; ** p<0.001.

Supplementary Table 1. qRT-PCR primer sequences.

Supplementary data description:

Supplementary data 1. Single cell transcriptomic analysis of gene contribution to different principal components (Gerber et al dataset).

Supplementary data 2. Hoxa13 and Tig1 coexpression at different TPM cutoffs (Gerber et al dataset). Fisher's exact test rules out co-expression (p value > 0.5 for every cutoff); blue and gray circled depict co-expression and lack of coexpression, respectively

Supplementary data 3. List of genes correlated with proximal or distal compartments after PD score (Lin et al, 2021 dataset¹⁹).

Supplementary data 4. Predicted regulatory elements (RA-related, Hox and Meis1/2 binding sites) within 40kb upstream of the start site of Tig1 coding sequence.

Supplementary data 5. Elisa and SDS-PAGE analysis of purified antibodies against Tig1 peptide 154

Supplementary data 6. Elisa and SDS-PAGE analysis of purified antibodies against Tig1 peptide 155

Supplementary data 7. List of up and downregulated genes in Hoxa13/mCherry⁺ cells following Tig1 or Tig1^{P155A} overexpression at 3 and 8dpa

Supplementary data 8. List of genes corresponding to the GO pathway enrichment analysis in Fig 6d and Supp. Fig 18 and adjusted p-values.

Supplementary data 9. List of Tig1 upregulated genes used for the upregulation score (Fig. 8).

Supplementary data 10. List of Tig1 downregulated genes used for the downregulation score (Fig. 8).

Methods:

Animal husbandry

Procedures for care and manipulation of all animals used in this study were performed in compliance with the Animals -Scientific Procedures- Act 1986 (United Kingdom Home Office), and the laws and regulations of the State of Saxony, Germany. Axolotls (*A. mexicanum*) were obtained from Neil Hardy Aquatica (Croydon, UK) and from the axolotl Facility at TUD-CRTD Center for Regenerative Therapies Dresden (Germany). Animals were maintained in individual aquaria at approximately 18-20 °C, as previously described²⁶. Axolotls of the leucistic (d/d) strain were used in all experiments, except for the engulfment assay, where Caggs:EGFP⁴⁷ transgenics were also used.

Animal Procedures and tissue collection

Animals of length between 2.5 and 4 cm from snout to cloaca (that is, between 4 and 8 cm from snout to tail tip) were used in all experiments, except for the engulfment assay, for which animals 7-10 cm from snout to cloaca were utilised.

Axolotls were anaesthetised in 0.03 % benzocaine (Sigma) prior to limb amputation at the mid-humerus or mid-radius/ulna level as indicated. Animals were allowed to regenerate at 20 °C and limbs or blastemas collected and processed for various assays as specified. Axolotl regeneration stages were defined as previously described⁴³. For RA treatments, anaesthetised axolotls were injected i.p. with freshly made stocks of 30 mg/ml all-trans retinoic acid in DMSO, at 100 µg/g body weight. All procedures were performed using Olympus SZX10 microscopes. Injections were carried out with a Narishige micromanipulator connected to a PV820 pressure injector (WPI).

Tig1 cloning

For cell localisation studies, *A. mexicanum* (*Am*) Tig1 and *H. sapiens* Tig1 were amplified and cloned into a pEGFP-N2 vector (Clontech) in which the eGFP sequence was removed and a myc tag added in either C- or N- terminal positions, using standard cloning techniques. For expression studies, *Am* TIG1 or *Prod1* were cloned into a pEGFP-N2 vector (Clontech), replacing the EGFP sequence. The p53-N2 vector was previously described (Yun et al, 2013). The *Am* TIG1^{P155A} mutant version was generated using site-directed mutagenesis kit (New England Biolabs). For baculovirus production, *Am* Tig1^{wt} and *Am* Tig1^{P155A} cDNA sequences were coupled with a T2a element followed by reporter gene, EGFP or mCherry, and inserted into a pOEMGED baculovirus-rescuing vector (Oliveira et al 2018). Two fragments corresponding to Tig1 gene and T2a-mCherry sequences were amplified from pN2-TIG1-myc vectors using standard PCR techniques, and integrated into a BmtI and EcoRI-HF-digested pOEMGED plasmid via Gibson assembly method (NEB), with 1:3:3 plasmid to amplicon ratio. The Tig1-T2a-EGFP cassette was then transferred to a small transfection vector derived from a SacI and SphI-HF – digested pGEMT plasmid and ligated using classic cloning techniques. The same strategy was used to generate pOEMGED and pGMT-derived vectors containing the Tig1^{P155A} sequence.

Displacement assay

Forelimbs of 4 cm (snout-tail length) axolotls were amputated at the distal end of the humerus. At 4 days post amputation, the distal region of blastemas was co-injected and electroporated with a reporter plasmid (pEGFP-N2 or pRFP-N2; Clontech) together with the Tig1, Tig1^{P155A}, *Prod1* or a p53 gene plasmids alone or in combinations as indicated (Fig. 3, Supplementary Fig. 6, 8 and 9), using a 1:3 reporter plasmid to plasmid or mix ratio, with a final DNA concentration of 2 µg/µl. Animals were carefully placed in a tightly fit 2% agarose mold in a petri dish with 0.8 PBS, and electroporated using the NepaGene Nepa II machine coupled with CUY611P3-1 electrodes (Xceltis). A bipolar poring pulse of 70v for

5ms and subsequent 5 bipolar transfer pulses of 50v for 50 ms, with an interval of 999ms and 5% decay were applied. Gene expression was accessed at 1, 7, 12, 28 dpe using light microscopy. Scoring for the localisation of cells according to upper arm, lower arm and hand levels used to calculate the frequency of displacement phenotypes was done manually. All samples were analysed at endpoint (28dpe). This analysis took into consideration any identifiable cell observed at a given PD level.

Image analysis of cell distribution along the PD axis

The spatial distribution of electroporated cells and their clones along the proximal-distal (PD) axis of the regenerating axolotl limb was quantified by using Meandros, a Python 3-based image analysis tool that we designed to extract intensity profiles from fluorescent signals spread over non-linear tissue shapes (full methods will be described elsewhere). Through a user-friendly graphical user interface (GUI), Meandros allows simultaneous handling of multiple images, analyse them and run statistical tests on the flight to finally export the analysed data and plots. Briefly, we used the following modules from Meandros: I) ROI detection: Meandros automatically detected the initial ROI contours delimiting the borders of the regenerating limb from the brightfield of the microscopy images by using a deep neural network approach⁴⁴, using the Mask R-CNN solution⁴⁵ as architecture and utilising ResNet101⁴⁶ as backbone. When necessary, we fine-tuned this initial automatic guess by using the Ramer–Douglas–Peucker (RDP) algorithm to manually correct the ROI contours and export the corrected limits with Bézier curves. II) PD axis determination: By using the image bright field, we identified the PD axis by drawing a Bézier curve crossing the amputation plane, passing by the elbow and the wrist joints together with the digits tip. We arbitrarily settled the amputation plane and the distal extreme of the longest digit tip as 0 and 100 % of the PD axis, respectively, and we annotated the elbow and wrist joints as anatomical landmarks for the ulterior analysis. III) Thresholds and exclusion areas: By using the image channels depicting the fluorescent signal of interest, we selected the image-dependent intensity threshold discriminating from the background the pixels that later were quantified. When necessary, we excluded from the analysis image areas in which unspecific signal was present, such as bone autofluorescence or tissue heterogeneity-derived light diffraction artefacts, by enclosing them within a polygon. IV) Collapsing 2D images into a 1D axis: We collapsed the 2D image information into the 1D PD axis obtained for each image. To achieve this, Meandros determined the orthogonal direction to the tangent line passing by each pixel of the PD axis. The tangent line in the pixel i from the PD axis was calculated from the least square line fitted to the $i - j, i - j - 1, \dots, i, \dots, i + j - 1, i + j$ pixels, where j was set in its default value of 20. Thus, for each pixel i of the PD axis, Meandros determined the number of pixels belonging to the orthogonal line intersecting the domain within the ROI (w_i), and the frequency of them with intensity higher than the previously settled threshold (f_i). Observables: We quantified each PD profile as the spatial distribution along the PD axis coordinate i of the ratio between each f_i and its maximal value along the PD axis f_{max} (f_i/f_{max}). We also quantified the local limb width profile as each w_i along the coordinate i of the PD axis.

Engulfment assay

To generate blastemas of distinct proximal-distal values, forelimbs of 8.5 cm (snout-vent length) animals were amputated either at the stylopod at the distal end of humerus, or at the distal end of radius/ulna level. At 12 days post-amputation, blastemas along with 2 mm of the adjacent stump were collected and washed twice in A-PBS (80 % 1X PBS). The epidermis was carefully removed with the help of fine forceps.

When using exclusively DD white axolotls, one blastema of each pair was labelled with Fast-DiO or CM-DiI at a concentration of 0.15 mg/mL and 0.25 mg/ml respectively, diluted in serum-free MEM and incubated for 30 min at 25 °C, and finally washed twice with A-PBS. In the other cases, the pairs consisted in one white and one GFP (Caggs:EGFP⁴⁷) -derived blastema.

The stump segment was removed, thereby creating a basal surface corresponding to the proximal-most area of the blastemas. Blastema pairs were then transferred to wells of 24 or 96 multi-well plates, and juxtaposed at their basal surface. Space in between wells was filled with water to maintain a moist environment, and the plate was incubated at 25 °C for 20 minutes. Blastemas retain a thin PBS layer on its surface that prevents them from drying for such a short period of time. MEM supplemented with 5 % FBS was carefully added to the wells to cover the blastema pair, and incubation proceeded for 7 days at 25 °C, 5 % CO₂. Images were acquired in Zeiss Axio Zoom V16 microscope (Zeiss).

Treatment with antibodies against *Am* TIG1, *Am* PROD1 or control IgG rabbit antibody (antibody section) was performed at a final concentration of 20 µg/ml in MEM supplemented with 5 % FBS. The solution was added to the juxtaposed blastema pairs after a 20 minute incubation period, and maintained until the end of the experiment.

Baculovirus-mediated transduction

Baculovirus-mediated transduction of axolotl tissues was performed as described elsewhere²⁵. Briefly, Baculovirus was pseudotyped with *vsv-ged* gene, inserted into the rescue vector under the baculovirus polyhedrin promoter. Genes of interest (Tig1^{wt}-T2a-EGFP, Tig1^{P155A}-T2a-EGFP) were cloned into the baculovirus rescue vector under the *CMV* promoter using standard restriction enzyme methods. Pseudotyped baculoviruses carrying the gene of interest were generated by co-transfection of the rescue vector together with replication-incompetent baculovirus DNA into a modified *Spodoptera frugiperda* cell line (expresSF+, ProteinSciences Corporation). Upon culture expansion, recombinant baculoviruses were collected, concentrated and purified. Baculovirus titre was assessed by end-point dilution assay in SF-9 Easy Titer cells, a modified *Spodoptera frugiperda* cell line expressing a reporter *egfp* gene under the control of the baculovirus polyhedrin promoter.

Expression of the genes of interest in axolotl blastemas was achieved by injecting the corresponding baculovirus (2 µl each; Bv titre: c. 1x10¹²) into the upper arm blastemas as indicated. Injections were performed using a glass capillary needle pulled on a micropipette puller (Sutter Instrument Co. model P-97). Glass capillaries (1.2 mm OD, 0.9 mm ID borosilicate glass) were acquired from Harvard Apparatus.

Bone and cartilage staining and segment measurements

Regenerates were fixed with 1% MEMFA, washed with PBS-Tween (0.2%), equilibrated for 10 min in 25% and 50% EtOH, and then incubated in alcian blue-60% EtOH solution for 1 h at 37 °C. Samples were washed for 1 h in 60% EtOH-acetic acid solution, placed in 95% EtOH for 15 min and then in 1% KOH solution for 10 min, followed by a 35 minute incubation with alizarin red-1% KOH solution at room temperature. Samples were washed in 1% KOH-85% glycerol for 10 min and then overnight, and stored in 20% glycerol-PBS. Images were acquired and length of the upper arm, lower arm and hand segments was estimated by measuring the length of the humerus, radius and ulna, and from the wrist until the tip of the second finger, respectively.

Cell culture

Axolotl AL1 cells (initially derived by S. Roy, University of Montreal, Montreal, Canada) were grown on 0.75 % gelatine-coated plastic dishes in MEM (Gibco, UK) supplemented

with 10 % heat-inactivated foetal calf serum (FCS, Gibco), 25 % H₂O, 2 nM L-Glutamine (Gibco), 10 µg/ml insulin (Sigma, St Louis, MO) and 100 U/ml penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco) in a humidified atmosphere of 2.5 % CO₂ at 25 °C. Cell subculture was performed as previously described⁴⁸. 293T cells were grown on plastic dishes in DMEM (Gibco) supplemented with 10 % heat-inactivated foetal calf serum (FCS, Gibco), 2 nM L-Glutamine (Gibco), and 100 U/ml penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco) in a humidified atmosphere of 2.5 % CO₂ at 37 °C. Retinoic acid (RA; all-trans retinoic acid, 50mg/ml stock solution, Sigma) treatment was performed as described⁴⁹.

10 AL1 cell electroporation

AL1 cell suspensions (10⁵ cells in 0.1 ml) were mixed with 2 µg of the indicated constructs (pN2-amphiregulin-myc, pN2-myc-amphiregulin, pN2-Tig1-myc, pN2-myc-Tig1) and nucleofected using a Nucleofector II (Lonza) as per manufacturer's instructions. The nucleofected cells were added to 1.5 ml supplemented minimal medium (MEM, Gibco) and incubated at 25 °C for 20 minutes prior to plating into gelatin-coated dishes. Expression of myc-tagged Tig1 was confirmed through Western blot analysis, as previously described²⁶. Live staining was carried out at 48 hours post nucleofection.

20 293T and AL1 lipofection

Cells were grown to 70 % confluency and lipofected using lipofectamine 2000 (ThermoFisher). Briefly, 8 µl Lipofectamine solution were mixed with 200 µl OPTI-MEM (Gibco), incubated for 5 minutes at room temperature and added to a pre-mix of 3 µg of the indicated constructs plus 200 µl Opti-MEM. After a 20 minute incubation, the 400 µl solution was added to the cells, in their own growth media (1.5 ml), drop-by-drop. Cells were incubated for 24 hours prior to live staining. Volumes of lipofectamine, OPTI-MEM, and penicillin-streptomycin-free media, and amount of DNA constructs lipofected were scaled according to the culture format.

30 Scratch assay

AL1 cells were seeded at 80% confluence into Ibidi culture inserts and lipofected overnight. Subsequently, the culture inserts were removed (leaving a cell-free gap 500 µm in width), and cells were cultured in control or antibody-supplemented complete media. Cells were imaged every 24 hours and gap closure was quantified as the % cell-free area remaining relative to the 0 hour timepoint. The location of the culture insert was marked prior to removal to ensure the same field of view was imaged at each timepoint. For antibody treatments, AL1 cells were treated with a combined concentration of 2 µg/ml of equal parts of α-TIG1 antibodies, or α-RFP IgG rabbit antibody, in complete media.

40 qRT-PCR

RNA was isolated from axolotl AL1 cells or indicated tissues using Tri Reagent (Sigma) and random primed cDNA synthesised using Superscript II (Invitrogen). Gene expression was determined by quantitative real time PCR using the indicated primers (Supplementary table 1). RT-PCR was carried out using iQ SYBR Green supermix (Bio-rad, Hercules, CA) on a Chromo 4 instrument running Opticon 3 software (Bio-rad). All reactions were run in triplicate and at least 3 independent RNA preparations were analysed for each sample. For newt tissues, samples were collected from 3 to 4-years-old *Notophthalmus viridescens* newts. Norgen RNA extraction kit was used for RNA isolation and cDNA was prepared using Superscript-IV kit. Expression levels relative to eflα (Supplementary table 1) were calculated using the Livak 2^{-ΔΔC_q} method.

For FACS sorted blastema cells: three biological replicates per condition of the libraries used for the RNA seq experiment plus 3 additional replicates, prepared in the same way (see below), served as basis for the qPCR to confirm gene expression changes caused by Tg1 overexpression. The minimum number of biological replicates for each condition was 5. qPCR was carried out using iQ SYBR Green supermix (Bio-rad, Hercules, CA) on a Chromo 4 instrument running Opticon 3 software (Bio-rad). Gene expression was normalised to the ribosomal protein Rpl4. For visualising individual genes, all conditions were normalised to the mean value of the control condition at 3 dpe.

10 **EdU incorporation assays**

To determine the percentage of cells in S-phase, AL1 cells were sub-cultured and incubated in growth medium supplemented with 5 μ M 5-ethynyl-2'-deoxyuridine (EdU) for 24 hours. Cells were then fixed in 4 % PFA for 10 minutes and stained using Click-iT Edu Alexa Fluor 594 Imaging kit (Life Technologies) according to manufacturer's instructions.

15 To detect EdU incorporation in salamander tissues, 10 mM EdU (20 μ l per animal) were administered by i.p. injection at 24 and 48h after electroporation. Blastemas were collected 72h post-electroporation, fixed O/N in 4 % PFA, embedded and cryosectioned. EdU incorporation was determined using Click-iT Edu Alexa Fluor 488 Imaging kit (Life Technologies) on tissue sections. Estimation of EdU incorporation was performed by
20 calculating the number of EdU⁺ cells over the total number of transduced cells (RFP⁺).

Generation of C-Ti^{+/+}(Hoxa13:Hoxa13-T2a-mCherry)^{Etika} transgenic axolotls

The endogenous HOXA13 protein was tagged at the C-terminus according to the published protocol²⁷. Briefly, a portion of the Hoxa13 gene including a part of the single intron and the remaining downstream part of the CDS was amplified from the axolotl genomic DNA and
25 inserted into the vector pGEM-T along with a DNA fragment encoding T2a-mCherry-3xnl using Gibson assembly cloning (BioLabs). The resulting vector was injected into fertilised eggs along with the CAS9 protein (MPI-CBG) and the gRNA targeting the sequence GGGGCTTTTGCGGGTTTCC, which is present in the intron sequence within the vector
30 and in the genome. The insertion is mediated by NHEJ and is thus expected to cause a small InDel within the intron, but it will result in a seamless Hoxa13 CDS. T2a-mCherry is followed by the pGEM-T poly(A) signal and the rest of the vector.

Validation: Ti^{+/+}(Hoxa13:mCherry) axolotls exhibit expression of mCherry in the distal part of the developing limb bud throughout limb formation (Supplementary fig. 10). During
35 regeneration, mCherry expression is observed in the distal portion of the blastema from midbud onwards, coinciding with the appearance of the Hoxa13 domain²⁴ and remaining until digit stage. The animals used in the experiment were heterozygous for the T2a-mCherry tag, to overcome any potential loss of function that could be originated from the knock-in.

40 **Antibodies**

Polyclonal anti *Am* TIG1 antibodies were manufactured by Eurogentec, by raising rabbit antisera against two non-overlapping peptides corresponding to residues MAQVKSVMQRLRND (154) and GSSGGRSEEGSASF (155) of the full-length axolotl
45 TIG1 protein, using KLH as carrier. Both reagents were analysed by solid phase ELISA and affinity purified using their respective immobilised peptides (Supplementary data 2 and 3). IR/Odyssey-based Western blotting was performed as previously described⁴⁷. Note that while these reagents work for Elisa and western blotting, we did not manage to find conditions suitable for immunofluorescence applications. The two affinity-purified anti-PROD1
50 antibodies were generated as previously described¹¹. Anti-Myc (mouse monoclonal 9E10, Sigma-Aldrich) and anti-RFP (Rockland) were also used in this study.

Live cell staining and immunofluorescence

For live cell staining, 293T or AL1 cells were incubated for 3 hours with anti-Myc mouse monoclonal antibody (Sigma-Aldrich; 1:500), and secondary staining was performed using anti-mouse AlexaFluor568 antibodies (Invitrogen; 1:1000). Hoechst 33258 (2 µg/ml) was used for nuclei counterstaining. Samples were observed under a Zeiss Axioskop2 microscope and images were acquired with a Hamamatsu Orca camera using Openlab (Improvision) software. Whenever comparative analyses between samples were performed, all images were acquired with identical camera settings and illumination control. Image processing (contrast enhancement) was equally applied to all matched experimental and control samples using Openlab software.

Tissue dissociation for RNAseq

Limbs of Hoxa13:Cherry transgenics (4.5 cm snout-vent length) were amputated at the stylopod level, near the elbow. The resulting blastemas were electroporated with Tig1-T2a-GFP or Tig1^{P155A}-T2a-GFP plasmids at 13 dpe, and harvested at 3 or 8 days post electroporation. 12 blastemas were pooled per condition, washed in 0.8 PBS, and incubated in 500 µl of 1x LiberaseTM research grade (Sigma-Aldrich) for 5 minutes at room temperature. The epidermis was removed and the blastema mesenchyme dissociated with the help of very fine forceps. The incubation proceeded at room temperature for 30 minutes with rocking motion at 40 rpm. Cells in solution were gently pipetted up and down, filtered through a 70 µm Filcon (BDTM Medimachine) and collected into a falcon tube. Sytox blue (Thermo-Fisher) was added at 1:2000 and the solution was kept in ice until FACS sorting. After sorting, cells were kept in 1x freshly-prepared lysis buffer containing RNase inhibitor, and kept at -80 °C. cDNA library preparation from 300 cells per sample (3 replicates per condition) followed by SMARTseq2 sequencing was performed by CMCB-Deep sequencing facility/Dresden-concept Genome Center.

Bioinformatic analysis

***In silico* modelling of the proximodistal axis from scRNAseq data:** Single-cell RNA-seq data from genetically labelled (via LoxP-reporter and Prrx1 limb enhancer driving expression of the Cre recombinase) FACS sorted connective tissue cells from 3, 5, 8, 11 and 18 dpa limb blastemas¹⁷ were analysed as follows: gene expression (log2(transcripts per million)) was used as input for principal component analysis. The top twenty loadings of the positive and negative axes of the first nine principal components were inspected to identify principal components that segregated proximodistal markers on separate ends (supplementary data 1). Principal components 3, 5 and 9 in timepoint 11 dpa had the largest distance between Meis2 (proximal) and Hoxa13 (distal), followed by PC6 and PC3 of 18 dpa. We therefore adopted PC3 of 11dpa as a model for the proximodistal axis based on single-cell RNAseq data. Subsequently, a recently published single-cell RNA-seq data set of axolotl blastema formation (GSE165901)¹⁹ was re-analysed. Only the blastema collected 11 days after amputation yielded enough cells with a descent expression of well-established markers (Meis1, Meis2, Hoxa13, Hoxd13) for the proximal-distal axis. The Seurat package (v4)⁵⁰ was used for almost all analyses and visualizations unless stated differently. Cells with a percentage of mitochondrial genes less than 10%, and over 500 but under 30,000 RNA counts, were selected. A Principle Component Analysis was performed and the first 50 PCs were used as input for Louvain clustering (resolution of 0.8) and dimensionality reduction by a UMAP embedding. Connective tissue clusters were identified by the canonical markers Prrx1 and Col6A1 and extracted ignoring pericytes expressing Myh11 and other CT clusters

that are solely characterized by low RNA counts. Obtained cells were re-clustered using the same parameters and remaining doublet clusters with epidermis cells expressing EPCAM or macrophages expressing C1QB were further filtered out. The final data set yielded 810 pure CT cells. Cell cycle scores were obtained and regressed out together with differences in RNA/Gene counts and the percentage of mitochondrial genes during data scaling with Seurat. After running a new PCA (Fig.1b) for the final data set, the first 10 PCs were used as input for Louvain clustering (resolution 0.3) and UMAP embedding (Fig. 1a). Feature plots (Fig.1c) were generated with calculating feature-specific contrast levels based on 5% and 95% quantiles of non-zero expression. Seurat's AddModuleScore function⁵⁰ was used to calculate a distal score based on Hoxa13 and Hoxd13 expression and a proximal score based on the expression of Meis1, Meis2 and Prod1 with 5 controls per bin, respectively. The scores were united by subtracting the Distal score from the Proximal score (Fig.1d). A cutoff of 0.3 and -0.3 for the combined score was applied to assign a proximal or a distal identity to the cells, respectively. Afterwards, the FindMarkers function was used to identify genes that are differentially expressed between the distal and proximal cells based on a Wilcoxon Rank Sum test (Supplementary data 3). The result was visualised as a volcano plot (Fig.1e) generated with the 'EnhancedVolcano' R package⁵¹. Genes down- or upregulated by Tig1 overexpression (Supplementary data 7) were intersected with the genes detected in the scRNA-seq data set which resulted in 822 downregulated and 1297 upregulated genes that are reliably detected (sum of normalised counts across all cells must be greater than 10) in the scRNA-seq data set. The AddModuleScore function was again used to assign scores based on the gene lists obtained with 100 control genes per bin, respectively. As described above the scores were united (Fig.8) and the resulting top 100 'proximal' and top 100 'distal' cells were extracted to visualize the gene expression of important proximal-distal marker genes as boxplots by using the R package 'rstatix' and 'ggpubr' (Fig.8).

Tig1 promoter analysis: A putative Tig1 promoter region corresponding to 40Kb upstream the start site (axolotl-omics.org) was analysed using the web interface <https://molotool.autosome.ru/>.

RNAseq reference sequence and annotation: Sequence and gene annotation of the axolotl nuclear genome assembly AmexG_v6.0-DD were downloaded from <https://www.axolotl-omics.org>. The gene models for the HoxA and HoxD genes were replaced with manual curated gene models (personal communication with Sergej Nowoshilow, axolotl-omics.org). Sequence and gene annotation of the mitochondrial genome assembly (NCBI GenBank, AY659991.1) and RNA spike-in control sequences (ERCC, Ambion; for quality control) were included as well.

RNAseq mapping and counting: RNA-seq reads were mapped against the reference using STAR (v2.7.6a; PMID:27115637) and splice sites information from the gene models. Uniquely mapped reads were converted into counts per gene model and sample using featureCounts (v2.0.1; PMID:30783653).

DGE analysis: Differential gene expression analysis was performed using DESeq2 package⁵². The iDEP.93 web application⁵³ was used for K-means clustering and g:profiler (<https://biit.cs.ut.ee/gprofiler/gost>) for GO enrichment. From the initial 44224 genes in 18 samples, 19761 genes passed the filter (minimum 0.5 counts per million in at least one sample). K-means clustering across all samples was performed using top 2000 variable genes as ranked by standard deviation. Number of clusters, k=3 was chosen based on elbow and tSNE method. Pathway enrichment analysis for each cluster was conducted based on Gene

Ontology (GO) Biological Process database⁵⁴. P-values are corrected for multiple testing using false discovery rate (FDR).

Statistical analysis

5 Animals in each sample group were randomly selected. Sample group size (n) is indicated in each figure legend, while all experiments were carried out in at least three biological replicates. Statistical analyses were performed with Prism 4.0 software. The method used for each analysis is indicated in the corresponding section.















