

1    **The *Drosophila melanogaster* ortholog of RFWD3 functions**  
2    **independently of RAD51 during DNA repair**

3

4    Juan Carvajal-Garcia\*, Evan R. Gales<sup>†</sup>, Dale A. Ramsden<sup>\*,‡,§</sup> and Jeff Sekelsky<sup>\*,†,§,\*\*</sup>

5

6    \*Curriculum in Genetics and Molecular Biology, <sup>†</sup>Department of Biology, <sup>‡</sup>Department of  
7    Biochemistry and Biophysics, <sup>§</sup>Lineberger Comprehensive Cancer Center, and <sup>\*\*</sup>Integrative  
8    Program in Biological and Genome Sciences, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North  
9    Carolina 27599

10    \*\*Corresponding author: sekelsky@unc.edu

11

12    Running title: RFWD3 in *Drosophila*

13

14    Key words or phrases: *Drosophila*, DNA repair, ubiquitin ligase

## 15 Abstract

16 Repair of damaged DNA is required for the viability of all organisms. Studies in *Drosophila*  
17 *melanogaster*, driven by the power of genetic screens, pioneered the discovery and  
18 characterization of many genes and pathways involved in DNA repair in animals. However,  
19 fewer than half of the alleles identified in these screens have been mapped to a specific gene,  
20 leaving a potential for new discoveries in this field. Here we show that the previously  
21 uncharacterized mutagen sensitive gene *mus302* codes for the *Drosophila melanogaster*  
22 ortholog of the E3 ubiquitin ligase RING finger and WD domain protein 3 (RFWD3). In human  
23 cells, RFWD3 promotes ubiquitylation of RPA and RAD51 to facilitate repair of collapsed  
24 replication forks and double strand breaks through homologous recombination. Despite the high  
25 similarity in sequence to the human ortholog, our evidence fails to support a role for Mus302 in  
26 the repair of these types of damage. Last, we observe that the N-terminal third of RFWD3 is  
27 only present in mammals and absent in the rest of vertebrates and invertebrates. We propose  
28 that the additional N-terminal portion accounts for the acquisition of a new biological function in  
29 mammals that explains the functional differences between the human and the fly orthologs, and  
30 that *Drosophila* Mus302 may retain the ancestral function of the protein.

31

## 32 Introduction

33 DNA damage repair consists on a processes that detect and fix changes in the DNA  
34 molecules of cells; DNA repair is required for cell and organismal viability. *Drosophila*  
35 *melanogaster* has been an important model in the discovery of genes involved in DNA damage  
36 repair (Sekelsky, 2017). In the 1980s and 1990s, dozens of mutants hypersensitive to the DNA  
37 alkylating agent methyl methanesulfonate (MMS) were isolated (mutagen-sensitive genes, *mus*)  
38 (Boyd, Golino, Shaw, Osgood, & Green, 1981; Laurencon et al., 2004; Mason, Green, Shaw, &

39 Boyd, 1981). Mapping and characterization of these mutants has led to important insights into  
40 DNA repair mechanisms not only in fruit flies but also in humans (Andersen et al., 2009; Chan,  
41 Yu, & McVey, 2010). However, the majority of these mutations have yet to be characterized  
42 (e.g., 20 of 27 on chromosome 3), providing a useful resource to continue improving our  
43 understanding of DNA repair. In this study, we map one these uncharacterized  
44 complementation groups, *mus302*, and show that the gene encodes the ortholog of the human  
45 RING finger and WD domain protein 3 (RFWD3).

46 RFWD3 is an E3 ubiquitin ligase that targets the single-stranded DNA binding protein  
47 Replication Protein A (RPA) (Elia et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2011), the recombinase RAD51 (Inano  
48 et al., 2017) and the tumor suppressor p53 (Fu et al., 2010) after DNA damage in humans. The  
49 fate of the ubiquitylated proteins is not clear, as different groups report different conclusions  
50 (Elia et al., 2015; Inano et al., 2017). In humans, RFWD3 has been shown to be involved in the  
51 restart of hydroxyurea (HU)-stalled replication forks, the repair of Tus/ter collapsed forks through  
52 homologous recombination (HR), as well as repair of *I*-Scel-mediated double strand breaks  
53 (DSBs) (Elia et al., 2015). Human cells deficient in RFWD3 are also hypersensitive to the DNA  
54 crosslinking agent mitomycin C (MMC), ionizing radiation (IR), and HU (Feeney et al., 2017;  
55 Inano et al., 2017). *RFWD3* mutant cells exhibit increased foci of RPA and RAD51 when treated  
56 with MMC (Feeney et al., 2017). Consistent with these observations, RFWD3 localizes to  
57 replication forks in a proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA)-dependent manner (Lin et al.,  
58 2018). In addition, RFWD3 is phosphorylated by the DNA damage response kinase ATR (and  
59 possibly ATM) (Feeney et al., 2017; Fu et al., 2010), and this may be required for its function.  
60 Finally, patients biallelic for inactivating mutations in *RFWD3* display Fanconi Anemia-like  
61 symptoms, so this gene has also been named *FANCW* (Knies et al., 2017).

62 Here we show that flies with mutations in *mus302* display no hypersensitivity to HU or IR,  
63 suggesting that Mus302 is not involved in the repair of collapsed replication forks or DSBs,

64 despite its orthology to RFWD3. Moreover, these flies have no apparent defects in a gap repair  
65 assay of synthesis-dependent strand annealing (SDSA), one of the most common pathways for  
66 homologous repair of DSBs. We also provide evidence that Mus302 acts independently of the  
67 *Drosophila* ortholog of RAD51 (Spn-A) in repair of DNA damage caused by MMS. Last, we  
68 observe that two known ATR phosphorylation sites in human RFWD3 are missing in Mus302,  
69 consistent with a role of this protein in DNA repair outside of S phase. Taken together, our  
70 findings show that the *Drosophila* ortholog of RFWD3 functions differently from the human one,  
71 suggesting it may be used to reveal new roles of the protein in humans.

72

## 73 **Materials and methods**

### 74 **Drosophila stocks**

75 Drosophila stocks were kept at 25°C on standard cornmeal medium. Flies with mutant *mus302*  
76 alleles were obtained from the Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center (BDSC) and are described  
77 in (Boyd et al., 1981) and (Laurençon et al., 2004) (*mus302*<sup>D1</sup>, *mus302*<sup>D2</sup>, *mus302*<sup>D3</sup>,  
78 *mus302*<sup>Z1882</sup>, *mus302*<sup>Z4933</sup> and *mus302*<sup>Z6004</sup>). To generate a wild type CG13025 transgene, the  
79 coding sequence plus the intron of this gene was amplified with 1187 bp upstream of the ATG  
80 and 271 bp downstream of the stop codon and cloned into a plasmid containing an *attB* site and  
81 a *w<sup>+</sup>* gene. The plasmid was injected into the Bloomington stock number 9738 (*y<sup>1</sup> w<sup>1118</sup>*;  
82 *PBac{y<sup>+</sup>-attP-9A}{VK00020}*) (Genetivision) and two independent isolates (A and B) were  
83 generated. The 3L deficiency stocks *Df(3L)ED4606* (deletes 16,087,484-16,780,123) and  
84 *Df(3L)ED4674* (deletes 16,661,284-17,049,418) were obtained from BDSC (stock numbers  
85 8078 and 8098). *spn-A*<sup>057</sup> and *spn-A*<sup>093A</sup> mutations are described in (Staeva-Vieira, Yoo, &  
86 Lehmann, 2003).

87 **DNA damage sensitivity assays**

88 Sensitivity to DNA damaging agents was assessed as in (Holsclaw & Sekelsky, 2017; Sekelsky,  
89 2017). Three males and five females heterozygous for the indicated mutations were crossed  
90 and allowed to lay eggs for three days (untreated brood). They were then transferred into a new  
91 vial and allowed to lay eggs for two days (treated brood). The treated brood was exposed to the  
92 indicated dose of methyl methanesulfonate, hydroxyurea or ionizing radiation (source:  $^{137}\text{Cs}$ ).  
93 The fraction of homozygous mutants for both broods was calculated per vial. Survival was  
94 calculated as the fraction of homozygous mutants in the treated brood over the fraction of  
95 homozygous mutant in the untreated brood.

96 **Allele amplification and sequencing**

97 For sequencing the coding region of *CG13025* in flies with mutant *mus302* alleles, each allele  
98 was crossed to the deficiency line *Df(3L)ED4606* and DNA was extracted from a male as in  
99 Adams *et al.* (2003). *CG13025* was amplified with the high-fidelity polymerase PrimeSTAR HS  
100 (Takara) and sequenced by Sanger sequencing (Eton). Sequences from the mutant alleles were  
101 compared to the presumed original wild-type alleles in flies from the corresponding screen by  
102 sequencing *CG13025* from the *mus312<sup>D1</sup>* and *mus312<sup>Z1973</sup>*, which were isolated in the same  
103 screens. Allele-specific PCRs were developed for the *mus302<sup>D1</sup>* and the *mus302<sup>Z1882</sup>* mutations  
104 to generate recombinants.

105 **Gap repair assay**

106 The *P{w<sup>a</sup>}* gap repair assay was performed as a slightly modified version of the one described  
107 by Adams *et al.* (2003). In short, females containing the *P{w<sup>a</sup>}* element and heterozygous for the  
108 *mus302<sup>D1</sup>* allele were crossed to males carrying *P* transposase and heterozygous for the  
109 *mus302<sup>Z1882</sup>* allele. Single male progeny of this cross expressing *P* transposase and either  
110 heterozygous for *mus302<sup>Z1882</sup>* or heteroallelic for both *mus302* mutations were crossed to

111 females with the compound X chromosome C(1)DX. Male progeny that did not inherit  
112 transposase were scored as “red-eyed” (SDSA), “white-eyed” (alt-EJ), or “apricot-eyed” (mostly  
113 no excision but possibly full restoration of  $P\{w^a\}$ ).

114 **Sequence alignment**

115 The sequences for the RFWD3 orthologs in *Homo sapiens*, *Mus musculus*, *Gallus gallus*,  
116 *Xenopus tropicalis*, *Danio rerio*, *Strongylocentrotus purpuratus*, and *Drosophila melanogaster*  
117 were downloaded from Ensembl. Protein sequences were aligned in ClustalX 2.1 (Larkin et al.,  
118 2007) and edited in GeneDoc 2.7.000 (Nicholas et al., 1997).

119 **Statistical analysis**

120 Statistical analyses were performed with Prism 8 (GraphPad). Tests are indicated in figure  
121 legends. Statistical significance is defined as  $p<0.05$ .

122 **Data and reagent availability**

123 *Drosophila* stocks, plasmids, and primer sequences are available upon request. Supplemental  
124 figures S1 and S2 have been uploaded to FigShare.

125

126 **Results and discussion**

127 ***mus302* encodes the *Drosophila melanogaster* ortholog of the human RFWD3**

128 We sought to map one of the uncharacterized mutagen-sensitive (*mus*) complementation  
129 groups in the third chromosome of *Drosophila melanogaster* to a defined chromosomal location.  
130 20 of the 27 groups are yet to be mapped so we focused on *mus302*. *mus302* alleles (*D1*  
131 through *D6*) were first isolated by Boyd et al. (1981) as conferring hypersensitivity to methyl  
132 methanesulfonate (MMS). Laurençon et al. (2004) found five additional *mus302* mutations in

133 another screen (*Z1882*, *Z4933*, *Z6004*, *Z2530* and *Z5541*). We confirmed that Boyd's *mus302*  
134 complementation group corresponded to Laurençon's by testing the sensitivity to 0.025% MMS  
135 in *mus302<sup>D1</sup>*/*mus302<sup>Z1882</sup>* heteroallelic mutants and observing that this dose is lethal to these  
136 mutants but not their heterozygous siblings (Fig. 1a).

137 *mus302* had been mapped previously between the phenotypic markers *scarlet* (*st*,  
138 recombination map 3-44) and *curled* (*cu*, recombination map 3-50) in the third chromosome of  
139 *D. melanogaster* (Fig. 1b) (Boyd et al., 1981). This region spans more than 5 Mb and hundreds  
140 of predicted genes, so we used recombination mapping to more finely localize *mus302*. Our  
141 data showed that *mus302* is close to *st*. We next used deficiency mapping and found that  
142 *mus302* is included in a set of 22 genes within the overlap between the deletions *Df(3L)ED4606*  
143 and *Df(3L)ED4674*. Analyzing the current literature on the proteins encoded by the genes in this  
144 region suggested the predicted gene *CG13025*, which encodes the ortholog of the human RING  
145 Finger and WD domain protein 3 (RFWD3), as our primary candidate to be *mus302*. Similar to  
146 human RFWD3, *CG13025* has an N-terminal RING finger domain (containing the catalytic  
147 cysteine), a coiled coil structural motif, and a C-terminal WD domain (Fig. 1c).

148 We sequenced the *CG13025* coding region of the six *mus302* alleles that were available  
149 to us (*D1*, *D2*, *D3*, *Z1882*, *Z4933* and *Z6004*) and found non-synonymous mutations in all of  
150 them that are either nonsense (*Z1882*) or missense mutations (Fig. 1d). *D1* and *D3* had the  
151 same mutations, suggesting they originated from the same mutational event or that perhaps  
152 stocks were mixed up in the ~30 years since these mutations were first isolated. Most missense  
153 mutations change highly conserved amino acids and are likely to be detrimental to the protein  
154 stability or function (*D1*, *D3*, *Z4933* and *Z6004*, Fig. S1); the *D2* mutation alters the AUG start  
155 codon. Based on the DNA changes and the finding that all mutants are extremely sensitive to a  
156 dose of 0.025% MMS (Fig. S2), we conclude that all alleles we analyzed are amorphic or  
157 severely hypomorphic.

158        If the mutant alleles of *mus302* correspond to mutations in *CG13025*, introducing a wild-  
159        type copy of *CG13025* should rescue the sensitivity of *mus302* mutants to MMS. We amplified  
160        the coding sequence of *CG13025* plus one kb upstream and integrated it into the right arm of  
161        the third chromosome (99F8 site) of *D. melanogaster*. Two independent integrants were isolated  
162        and recombined onto a chromosome containing the *mus302*<sup>D1</sup> mutation. Flies with this  
163        chromosome in *trans* to *mus302*<sup>Z1882</sup> were resistant to 0.05% MMS (Fig. 1e).

164        The findings that a wild-type copy of *CG13025* rescues the MMS-sensitivity phenotype of  
165        *mus302* mutants, and that we found detrimental mutations in all six alleles of *mus302* sequence  
166        leads us to conclude that *mus302* is *CG13025* and encodes the *Drosophila* ortholog of *RFWD3*.

167        ***mus302* is not required for homologous recombination**

168        Human *RFWD3* participates in the repair of collapsed replication forks and DSBs through  
169        homologous recombination (HR) by ubiquitylating RPA and RAD51, both of which promote HR  
170        (Elia et al., 2015; Inano et al., 2017). We hypothesized that *mus302* would work in a similar  
171        manner, especially since other the same screen identified other HR genes, including *mus301*  
172        (ortholog of *HELQ*) (McCaffrey, St Johnston, & González-Reyes, 2006), and *mus309* (ortholog  
173        of *BLM*) (Kusano, Johnson-Schlitz, & Engels, 2001). We tested the sensitivity of *mus302*  
174        mutants to hydroxyurea (HU), which stalls replication, and ionizing radiation (IR), which  
175        generates DSBs. Surprisingly, *mus302* heteroallelic mutants were not more sensitive to a  
176        moderate dose of HU (100 mM) or IR (1000 rads) than their untreated siblings (Fig. 2a, b).  
177        Since flies harboring mutations in *spn-A* (encodes the *Drosophila* ortholog of RAD51,), which is  
178        required for HR, are sensitive to lower doses of both agents (Brough et al., 2008; Staeva-Vieira  
179        et al., 2003), we conclude that Mus302 is not essential for HR.

180        In human cells lacking *RFWD3*, HR repair at either Ter-stalled replication forks or *I*-Scel-  
181        generated DSBs is significantly decreased, as measured by a DR-GFP assay (Elia et al., 2015).

182 We tested the ability of *mus302* deficient flies to perform HR in another type of chromosomal  
183 break with a gap repair assay ( $P\{w^{\beta}\}$ ) (Adams et al., 2003). This assay takes advantage of a  $P$   
184 element containing a hypomorphic version of the white gene that confers an orange eye color,  
185 inserted in the X chromosome. Excision of the  $P$  element creates a DSB that gives flies a red  
186 eye color if repaired by SDSA/HR, or a white eye color when repaired by Polymerase Theta-  
187 Mediated End Joining (TMEJ). *mus302* mutant flies exhibit no apparent defect in either repair  
188 pathway (Fig 2c).

189 In contrast to cells deficient in RFWD3, *mus302* mutants are not sensitive to HU or IR and  
190 are proficient in SDSA. We conclude that the functions described for human RFWD3 are not  
191 shared with the *Drosophila* ortholog.

192 **Mus302 functions independently of Spn-A**

193 Given that both *mus302* and *spn-A* (the *RAD51* ortholog) mutants are sensitive to MMS  
194 (albeit different MMS concentrations are required to see such sensitivity (Staeva-Vieira et al.,  
195 2003)) and that *RAD51* has functions outside of HR, it remains formally possible that they are  
196 part of the same pathway. Hence, we directly tested such possibility.

197 We exposed *mus302* and *spn-A* single and double mutants to increasing concentrations of  
198 MMS (0%, 0.001%, 0.025%). In untreated flies, we did not observe any differences in viability  
199 between the three genotypes (Fig. 3); however, at the low dose of 0.001% MMS, *mus302* *spn-A*  
200 double mutants had significantly reduced survival compared to *mus302* single mutants (Fig. 3).  
201 As previously reported, a dose of 0.025% MMS is lethal for *mus302* single mutants but not for  
202 *spn-A* mutants (Boyd et al., 1981; Staeva-Vieira et al., 2003); double mutants are also highly  
203 sensitive to this dose (Fig. 3). These results show that, unlike their human orthologs, Mus302  
204 and Spn-A are part of different DNA repair pathways.

205

206 **ATR phosphorylation motifs of RFWD3 appeared late in evolution**

207 To understand the functional differences observed between the human and the *Drosophila*  
208 orthologs, we performed a protein sequence alignment between different RFWD3 orthologs. In  
209 addition to the human and the fly proteins, we used sequences from five other animal species:  
210 mouse (*Mus musculus*), chicken (*Gallus gallus*), frog (*Xenopus tropicalis*), zebrafish (*Danio*  
211 *rerio*) and sea urchin (*Strongylocentrotus purpuratus*). We observed a high conservation across  
212 species from the beginning of the RING finger through the end of the protein. However, the  
213 sequence upstream of the RING finger showed low conservation (Fig. 4).

214 Since it is the C-terminus of the human RFWD3 that interacts with RPA32 (Liu et al.,  
215 2011), we reasoned that this interaction may be conserved. Moreover, four amino acids in  
216 RPA32 required for its interaction with RFWD3 are present in flies (Feeney et al., 2017). In  
217 contrast, the N-terminus of the human protein has two serines (S46 and S63) that are part of  
218 SQ motifs that are phosphorylated by ATR in response to DNA damage (Fu et al., 2010). They  
219 are also hypothesized to target RFWD3 repair to S phase. Strikingly, we observed that both  
220 serines are missing in the frog, zebrafish, and fly orthologs, and at least one is missing in the  
221 chicken and sea urchin proteins (there is a nearby SQ motif in these latter two species but the  
222 surrounding amino acids sequences are not conserved).

223 Based on our analysis , we suggest that ATR phosphorylation of RFWD3 was acquired  
224 relatively recently on the mammalian branch. We speculate that Mus302 and other non-  
225 mammalian orthologs may be active outside of S phase, and that this may represent the  
226 ancestral function of the protein. This would explain our observation that Mus302 is not involved  
227 in homologous recombination, a DNA repair pathway most active during S phase in some  
228 organisms.

229        Mus302 is required for survival in the presence of MMS. Alkylating damage is repaired  
230    outside of S phase by excision repair mechanisms (Kondo, Takahashi, Ono, & Ohnishi, 2010).  
231    Because most of the protein sequence of RFWD3 is conserved, it is possible that the human  
232    protein is also involved in the repair of alkylating damage outside of S phase, and that *mus302*  
233    represents a “separation-of-function” ortholog that can be used to elucidate possible functions of  
234    RFWD3 in excision repair pathways.

235        In summary, we have found that the mutagen sensitive complementation group *mus302*  
236    corresponds to the *Drosophila melanogaster* ortholog of the human *RFWD3*. The findings  
237    presented here show that Mus302 lacks the known functions of RFWD3 in promoting  
238    homologous recombination during replication fork collapse and DSB repair. Our analysis  
239    suggests that Mus302 may not be phosphorylated by the ATM/ATR kinases and we propose  
240    that this is responsible for the differences between the fly and the human protein. Further  
241    characterization of this gene in *Drosophila* has the potential to uncover new functions of the  
242    human protein.

243

244    **Acknowledgements**

245        We thank Evan Dewey for comments on the manuscript. This work was supported by a grant  
246    from the National Institute of General Medical Sciences to J.S. under award 1R35GM118127  
247    and by a grant from the National Cancer Institute to D.R. under award 5R01CA222092.

248

249 **Figure legends**

250 **Figure 1. Mus302 is an ortholog of RFWD3. A)** Survival of flies exposed to 0.025% methyl  
251 methanesulfonate of the indicated genotype with respect to the untreated progeny from the  
252 same parents. Chromosomes with wild-type *mus302* had the *mus312*<sup>Z1973</sup> mutation (crossed to  
253 *mus302*<sup>D1</sup>) or the *mus312*<sup>D1</sup> mutation (crossed to *mus302*<sup>Z1882</sup>). Horizontal dashed line at Y=1  
254 indicates 100% survival. **B)** Schematic of the third chromosome of *Drosophila melanogaster*  
255 (circle represents the centromere, not to scale). Numbers represent the genetic position of *st*  
256 (44) and *cu* (50). After crossover mapping, we observed that *mus302* was close to *st*. Deficiency  
257 mapping narrowed the region 22 possible genes. The predicted gene CG13025 was our primary  
258 candidate. **C)** Schematic of *Homo sapiens* (*Hsa*) RFDW3 and *Drosophila melanogaster* (*Dme*)  
259 CG13025. RING finger and WD domain boundaries were determined with the Conserved  
260 Domain tool from NCBI (Marchler-Bauer et al., 2013) and the coiled-coil motif with DeepCoil  
261 (Ludwiczak et al., 2019). The asterisk represents the catalytic cysteine required for ubiquitin  
262 ligase activity. **D)** Schematic of the *Drosophila melanogaster* CG13025 including the amino acid  
263 changes found in the indicated *mus302* alleles; the base substitutions that lead to the amino  
264 acid changes are: *D2*, A1T; *Z4933*, G466A; *Z6004*, C400T; *Z1882*, T576A; *D1/D3*, T908A. **E)**  
265 Survival of heteroallelic *msu302* mutants with a transgene of CG13025 integrated into 3R  
266 (99F8) (two independent integrants are shown, A and B). Each dot represents a vial, horizontal  
267 bar represents the mean and error bars the standard deviation. Horizontal dashed line at Y=1  
268 indicates 100% survival.

269

270 **Figure 2. Mus302 is not involved in DSB repair. A) and B)** Survival after exposure to 100 mM  
271 hydroxyurea (HU) (A) or 1000 rads of ionizing radiation (IR) (B), calculated as in Figure 1A.  
272 Horizontal bar represents the mean and error bars the standard deviation. Statistical  
273 significance was determined by ANOVA with Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons  
274 (NS, not significant; \*p<0.05). Horizontal dashed line at Y=1 indicates 100% survival. **C)** Single  
275 males expressing a transposase, containing the *P{wa} P* element, *mus302*<sup>Z1882</sup>, and either  
276 *mus302*<sup>D1</sup> or not (+) were crossed to females with a compound X chromosome. Each dot  
277 represents the fraction of males with either red eyes or white eyes, and not carrying the  
278 transposase, per vial. Horizontal bar represents the mean and error bars the standard deviation.  
279 Statistical significance was determined by two-tailed t-test (NS, not significant; \*p<0.05).

280

281 **Figure 3. Mus302 functions independently of Spn-A.** Survival after exposure to the indicated  
282 dose of MMS was calculated as in Fig. 1A. Dots represent the mean and error bars the standard  
283 error of the mean ( $n \geq 5$  biological replicates). Statistical significance was determined by  
284 ANOVA with Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons (NS, not significant; \*p<0.05) for  
285 each concentration of MMS. An outlier was removed from the *spn-A*, 0.001% MMS with ROUT  
286 test, Q = 1%. Horizontal dashed line at Y=1 indicates 100% survival.

287

288 **Figure 4. The N terminus of RFWD3 appeared late in evolution.** Protein alignment of 7  
289 RFWD3 orthologs performed as in Figure S2. Thin lines represent gaps introduced for optimal  
290 alignment. Black bars indicate conservation across all species examined; light colors represent  
291 conservation in a subset of species (see Fig S2). SQ indicates the two SQ motifs in human  
292 RFWD3 known to be phosphorylated by ATR. Domain boundaries shown for the human protein  
293 determined as in Figure 1c. Asterisk indicates the catalytic cysteine.

294 **Figure S1.** Representation of an alignment between The RFWD3 protein sequences from  
295 *Homo sapiens* (*Has*), *Mus musculus* (*Mmu*), *Gallus gallus* (*Gga*), *Xenopus tropicalis* (*Xtr*), *Danio*  
296 *rerio* (*Dre*), *Strongylocentrotus purpuratus* (*Spu*), and *Drosophila melanogaster* (*Dme*) were  
297 obtained from Ensembl and aligned with ClustalX. Alignment representation was made with  
298 GeneDoc. The colors represent the conservation of the identity of the amino acid, (four levels of  
299 conservation: black, present in all seven species, dark grey, in 6/7, light grey, in 4/7 or 5/7, and  
300 white, in <4/7); hyphens indicate gaps introduced for optimal alignment. Arrows denote the  
301 residues mutated *mus302* alleles, with the predicted new amino acid shown below.

302

303 **Figure S2.** Survival after exposure to 0.025% MMS calculated as in Fig. 1A. Mutants were  
304 hemizygous for the indicated *mus302* allele over *Df(3L)ED4606*. Each dot represents a vial,  
305 horizontal bar represents the mean and error bars the standard deviation.

## 306 Literature Cited

307 Adams, M. D., McVey, M., & Sekelsky, J. J. (2003). Drosophila BLM in double-strand break  
308 repair by synthesis-dependent strand annealing. *Science*.  
309 <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1077198>

310 Andersen, S. L., Bergstrahl, D. T., Kohl, K. P., LaRocque, J. R., Moore, C. B., & Sekelsky, J.  
311 (2009). Drosophila MUS312 and the Vertebrate Ortholog BTBD12 Interact with DNA  
312 Structure-Specific Endonucleases in DNA Repair and Recombination. *Molecular Cell*,  
313 35(1), 128–135. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2009.06.019>

314 Boyd, J. B., Golino, M. D., Shaw, K. E., Osgood, C. J., & Green, M. M. (1981). Third-  
315 chromosome mutagen-sensitive mutants of Drosophila melanogaster. *Genetics*.

316 Brough, R., Wei, D., Leulier, S., Lord, C. J., Rong, Y. S., & Ashworth, A. (2008). Functional  
317 analysis of Drosophila melanogaster BRCA2 in DNA repair. *DNA Repair*.  
318 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dnarep.2007.07.013>

319 Chan, S. H., Yu, A. M., & McVey, M. (2010). Dual roles for DNA polymerase theta in alternative  
320 end-joining repair of double-strand breaks in Drosophila. *PLoS Genetics*.  
321 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1001005>

322 Elia, A. E. H., Wang, D. C., Willis, N. A., Boardman, A. P., Hajdu, I., Adeyemi, R. O., ... Elledge,  
323 S. J. (2015). RFWD3-Dependent Ubiquitination of RPA Regulates Repair at Stalled  
324 Replication Forks. *Molecular Cell*, 60(2), 280–293.  
325 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2015.09.011>

326 Feeney, L., Muñoz, I. M., Lachaud, C., Toth, R., Appleton, P. L., Schindler, D., & Rouse, J.  
327 (2017). RPA-Mediated Recruitment of the E3 Ligase RFWD3 Is Vital for Interstrand  
328 Crosslink Repair and Human Health. *Molecular Cell*.  
329 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2017.04.021>

330 Fu, X., Yucer, N., Liu, S., Li, M., Yi, P., Mu, J. J., ... Wang, Y. (2010). RFWD3-Mdm2 ubiquitin  
331 ligase complex positively regulates p53 stability in response to DNA damage. *Proceedings  
332 of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*.  
333 <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0912094107>

334 Holsclaw, J. K., & Sekelsky, J. (2017). Annealing of complementary DNA sequences during  
335 double-strand break repair in *drosophila* is mediated by the ortholog of SMARCAL1.  
336 *Genetics*, 206(1), 467–480. <https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.117.200238>

337 Inano, S., Sato, K., Katsuki, Y., Kobayashi, W., Tanaka, H., Nakajima, K., ... Takata, M. (2017).  
338 RFWD3-Mediated Ubiquitination Promotes Timely Removal of Both RPA and RAD51 from  
339 DNA Damage Sites to Facilitate Homologous Recombination. *Molecular Cell*.  
340 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2017.04.022>

341 Knies, K., Inano, S., Ramírez, M. J., Ishiai, M., Surrallés, J., Takata, M., & Schindler, D. (2017).  
342 Biallelic mutations in the ubiquitin ligase RFWD3 cause Fanconi anemia. *Journal of Clinical*  
343 *Investigation*. <https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI92069>

344 Kondo, N., Takahashi, A., Ono, K., & Ohnishi, T. (2010). DNA damage induced by alkylating  
345 agents and repair pathways. *Journal of Nucleic Acids*. <https://doi.org/10.4061/2010/543531>

346 Kusano, K., Johnson-Schlitz, D. M., & Engels, W. R. (2001). Sterility of *Drosophila* with  
347 mutations in the bloom syndrome gene - Complementation by Ku70. *Science*.  
348 <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.291.5513.2600>

349 Larkin, M. A., Blackshields, G., Brown, N. P., Chenna, R., Mcgettigan, P. A., McWilliam, H., ...  
350 Higgins, D. G. (2007). Clustal W and Clustal X version 2.0. *Bioinformatics*.  
351 <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btm404>

352 Laurencon, A., Orme, C. M., Peters, H. K., Boulton, C. L., Vladar, E. K., Langley, S. A., ...  
353 Burtis, K. C. (2004). A large-scale screen for mutagen-sensitive loci in *Drosophila*.  
354 *Genetics*. <https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.167.1.217>

355 Lin, Y. C., Wang, Y., Hsu, R., Giri, S., Wopat, S., Arif, M. K., ... Prasanth, S. G. (2018). PCNA-  
356 mediated stabilization of E3 ligase RFWD3 at the replication fork is essential for DNA  
357 replication. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of*  
358 *America*. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1814521115>

359 Liu, S., Chu, J., Yucer, N., Leng, M., Wang, S. Y., Chen, B. P. C., ... Wang, Y. (2011). RING  
360 Finger and WD Repeat Domain 3 (RFWD3) associates with Replication Protein A (RPA)  
361 and facilitates RPA-mediated DNA damage response. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*.  
362 <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M111.222802>

363 Marchler-Bauer, A., Zheng, C., Chitsaz, F., Derbyshire, M. K., Geer, L. Y., Geer, R. C., ...

364 Bryant, S. H. (2013). CDD: Conserved domains and protein three-dimensional structure.

365 *Nucleic Acids Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks1243>

366 Mason, J. M., Green, M. M., Shaw, K. E. S., & Boyd, J. B. (1981). Genetic analysis of X-linked  
367 mutagen-sensitive mutants of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Mutation Research - Fundamental  
368 and Molecular Mechanisms of Mutagenesis*. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0027-5107\(81\)90120-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0027-5107(81)90120-2)

369 McCaffrey, R., St Johnston, D., & González-Reyes, A. (2006). *Drosophila* *mus301/spindle-C*  
370 encodes a helicase with an essential role in double-strand DNA break repair and meiotic  
371 progression. *Genetics*, 174(3), 1273–1285. <https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.106.058289>

372 Nicholas, K. B. K. B. K. B., Jr, H. B. N., li, D. W. D., America, B., Street, M., Francisco, S., ...  
373 Hugh B. Nicholas Jr. and David W. II.2, D. (1997). GeneDoc: analysis and visualization of  
374 genetic variation. *EMBnet News*. <https://doi.org/citeulike-article-id:3198041>

375 Sekelsky, J. (2017). DNA repair in *Drosophila*: Mutagens, models, and missing genes. *Genetics*.  
376 <https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.116.186759>

377 Staeva-Vieira, E., Yoo, S., & Lehmann, R. (2003). An essential role of DmRad51/SpnA in DNA  
378 repair and meiotic checkpoint control. *EMBO Journal*.  
379 <https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/cdg564>







