

# **LTR\_FINDER\_parallel: parallelization of LTR\_FINDER enabling rapid identification of long terminal repeat retrotransposons**

Shujun Ou, Ning Jiang\*

Department of Horticulture, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA

ORCIDs: 0000-0001-5938-7180 (S.O.); 0000-0002-2776-6669 (N.J.)

\*To whom correspondence should be addressed.

## **Abstract**

**Summary:** Annotation of plant genomes is still a challenging task due to the abundance of repetitive sequences, especially long terminal repeat (LTR) retrotransposons. LTR\_FINDER is a widely used program for identification of LTR retrotransposons but its application on large genomes is hindered by its single threaded processes. Here we report an accessory program that allows parallel operation of LTR\_FINDER, resulting up to 8,500X faster identification of LTR elements. It takes only 72 minutes to process the 14.5 Gb bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) genome in comparison to 1.16 years required by the original sequential version.

**Availability:** LTR\_FINDER\_parallel is freely available at

[https://github.com/oushujun/LTR\\_FINDER\\_parallel](https://github.com/oushujun/LTR_FINDER_parallel).

**Contact:** [jiangn@msu.edu](mailto:jiangn@msu.edu)

## **1. Introduction**

Transposable elements (TEs) are the most prevalent components in eukaryotic genomes. Among different TE classes, long terminal repeat (LTR) retrotransposons, including endogenous retroviruses (ERVs), is one of the most repetitive TEs due to their high copy numbers and large element sizes (Ou and Jiang, 2018). LTR retrotransposons are found in almost all eukaryotes including plants, fungi, and animals, but are most abundant in plant genomes (Bennetzen and Wang, 2014). For example, LTR retrotransposons contribute more

than 65% and 70% to the genomes of bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) and maize (*Zea mays*), respectively (Ou and Jiang, 2018).

Annotation of LTR retrotransposons relies primarily on *de novo* approaches due to their highly diverse terminal repeats. For this purpose, many computational programs have been developed in the past two decades. LTR\_FINDER is one of the most popular LTR search engines and the prediction quality out-performs counterpart programs (Ou and Jiang, 2018). However, LTR\_FINDER runs on a single thread and is prohibitively slow for large genomes with long contigs, preventing its application in those species. In this study, we applied the “divide and conquer” approach to simplify and parallel the annotation task for the original LTR\_FINDER and observed an up to 8,500 times speedup for analysis of known genomes.

## 2. Methods

We hypothesized that complete sequences of highly complex genomes may contain a large number of complicated nested structures that exponentially increase the search space. To break down these complicated sequence structures, we split chromosomal sequences into relatively short segments (1 Mb) and executes LTR\_FINDER in parallel. We expect the time complexity of LTR\_FINDER\_parallel is  $O(n)$ . For highly complicated regions (i.e., centromeres), one segment could take a rather long time (i.e., hours). To avoid extended operation time in such regions, we used a timeout scheme (300 seconds) to control for the longest time a child process can run. If timeout, the 1 Mb segment is further split into 50 Kb segments to salvage LTR candidates. After processing all segments, the regional coordinates of LTR candidates is converted back to the genome-level coordinates for the convenience of downstream analyses.

LTR\_FINDER\_parallel is a Perl program that is ready on the go and does not require any form of installation. We used the original LTR\_FINDER as the search engine which is binary and also installation free. Based on our previous study (Ou and Jiang, 2018), we applied the optimized parameter for LTR\_FINDER (-w 2 -C -D 15000 -d 1000 -L 7000 -I 100 -p 20 -M 0.85), which identifies long terminal repeats ranging from 100 - 7,000 bp with identity  $\geq 85\%$  and

interval regions from 1 - 15 Kb. The output of LTR\_FINDER\_parallel is convertible to the popular LTRharvest (Ellinghaus, et al., 2008) format, which is compatible to the high-accuracy post-processing filter LTR\_retriever (Ou and Jiang, 2018).

### 3. Results

To benchmark the performance of LTR\_FINDER\_parallel, we selected four plant genomes with sizes varying from 120 Mb to 14.5 Gb, which are *Arabidopsis thaliana* (version TAIR10) (Arabidopsis Genome Initiative, 2000), *Oryza sativa* (rice, version MSU7) (International Rice Genome Sequencing, 2005; Kawahara, et al., 2013), *Zea mays* (maize, version AGPv4) (Jiao, et al., 2017), and *Triticum aestivum* (wheat, version CS1.0) (International Wheat Genome Sequencing, et al., 2018), respectively. Each of the genomes was analyzed both sequentially (1 thread) and in parallel (36 threads) with wall clock time and maximum memory recorded.

Table 1. Benchmarking the performance of LTR\_FINDER\_parallel.

Genome	Arabidopsis	Rice	Maize	Wheat
Version	TAIR10	MSU7	AGPv4	CS1.0
Size	119.7 Mb	374.5 Mb	2134.4 Mb	14547.3 Mb
Original memory (1 thread*)	0.37 Gbyte	0.55 Gbyte	5.00 Gbyte	11.88 Gbyte**
Parallel memory (36 threads*)	0.10 Gbyte	0.12 Gbyte	0.82 Gbyte	17.67 Gbyte
Original time (1 thread)	0.58 h	2.1 h	448.5 h	10169.3 h**
Parallel time (36 threads)	6.4 min	2.6 min	10.3 min	71.8 min
Speed up	5.4 x	48.5 x	2,613 x	8,498 x
# of LTR candidates (1 thread)	226	2,851	60,165	231,043
# of LTR candidates (36 threads)	226	2,834	59,658	237,352
% difference in candidate #	0.00%	0.60%	0.84%	-2.73%

\* Intel(R) Xeon(R) CPU E5-2660 v4 @ 2.00GHz

\*\* LTR\_FINDER was run on each chromosome; the maximum memory and the total time are shown.

69

70           Using our method, we observe 5X - 8,500X increase in speed for plant genomes with  
71   varying sizes (Table 1). For the 14.5 Gb bread wheat genome, the original LTR\_FINDER took  
72   10,169 hours, or 1.16 years, to complete, while the multithreading version completed in 72  
73   minutes on a modern server with 36 threads, demonstrating an 8,500X increase in speed (Table  
74   1). Even we analyzed each wheat chromosome separately, the original LTR\_FINDER still take  
75   20 days in average to complete. Among the genomes we tested, the parallel version of  
76   LTR\_FINDER produced slightly different numbers of LTR candidates when compared to those  
77   generated using the original version (0% - 2.73%; Table 1), which is likely due to the use of the  
78   dynamic task control approach for processing of heavily nested regions. Given the substantial  
79   speed improvement (Table 1), we consider the parallel version to be a promising solution for  
80   large genomes.

81

## 82   **Funding**

83   This study was supported by National Science Foundation (IOS-1740874 to N.J.); United States  
84   Department of Agriculture National Institute of Food and Agriculture and AgBioResearch at  
85   Michigan State University (Hatch grant MICL02408 to N.J.).

86   *Conflict of Interest: none declared.*

87

## 88   **References**

- 89   Arabidopsis Genome Initiative. Analysis of the genome sequence of the flowering plant  
90   *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Nature* 2000;408(6814):796-815.
- 91   Bennetzen, J.L. and Wang, H. The Contributions of Transposable Elements to the Structure,  
92   Function, and Evolution of Plant Genomes. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 2014;65(1):505-530.
- 93   Ellinghaus, D., Kurtz, S. and Willhoeft, U. LTRharvest, an efficient and flexible software for *de*  
94   *novo* detection of LTR retrotransposons. *BMC Bioinformatics* 2008;9(1):18.

95 International Rice Genome Sequencing, P. The map-based sequence of the rice genome.  
 96 *Nature* 2005;436(7052):793-800.

97 International Wheat Genome Sequencing, C., *et al.* Shifting the limits in wheat research and  
 98 breeding using a fully annotated reference genome. *Science* 2018;361(6403).

99 Jiao, Y., *et al.* Improved maize reference genome with single-molecule technologies. *Nature*  
 100 2017;546:524-527.

101 Kawahara, Y., *et al.* Improvement of the *Oryza sativa* Nipponbare reference genome using next  
 102 generation sequence and optical map data. *Rice* 2013;6(1):1-10.

103 Ou, S. and Jiang, N. LTR\_retriever: A Highly Accurate and Sensitive Program for Identification  
 104 of Long Terminal Repeat Retrotransposons. *Plant Physiol.* 2018;176(2):1410-1422.

105