

**1 *ALI-1*, candidate gene of *BI* locus, is associated with awn length and grain weight**  
**2 in common wheat**

3 Dongzhi Wang<sup>1,2</sup>, Kang Yu<sup>1,5</sup>, Di Jin<sup>3</sup>, Linhe Sun<sup>1</sup>, Jinfang Chu<sup>6</sup>, Wenying Wu<sup>1,2</sup>,  
 4 Peiyong Xin<sup>6</sup>, Xin Li<sup>1</sup>, Jiazhu Sun<sup>1</sup>, Wenlong Yang<sup>1</sup>, Kehui Zhan<sup>3</sup>, Aimin Zhang<sup>1,\*</sup>,  
 5 Dongcheng Liu<sup>1,4,\*</sup>

6 <sup>1</sup> State Key Laboratory of Plant Cell and Chromosome Engineering, Institute of  
 7 Genetics and Developmental Biology, Innovation Academy of Seed Design, Chinese  
 8 Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China

9 <sup>2</sup> University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100039, China

10 <sup>3</sup> College of Agronomy/The Collaborative Innovation Center of Grain Crops in Henan,  
 11 Henan Agricultural University, Zhengzhou 450002, China

12 <sup>4</sup> Biology and Agriculture Research Center, University of Science and Technology  
 13 Beijing, Beijing 100024, China

14 <sup>5</sup> BGI Institute of Applied Agriculture, BGI-Agro, Shenzhen 518120, China

15 <sup>6</sup> National Centre for Plant Gene Research (Beijing), Institute of Genetics and  
 16 Developmental Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China

**17 \*Correspondence Authors:**

18 Aimin Zhang ([amzhang@genetics.ac.cn](mailto:amzhang@genetics.ac.cn); +86-010-64806618), Dongcheng Liu  
 19 ([dongchengliu@ustb.edu.cn](mailto:dongchengliu@ustb.edu.cn); +86-13522650970)

20 Dongzhi Wang ([wangdongzhi1990@163.com](mailto:wangdongzhi1990@163.com)), Kang Yu ([yuying2008l@163.com](mailto:yuying2008l@163.com)), Di  
 21 Jin ([jindizheng@qq.com](mailto:jindizheng@qq.com)), Linhe Sun ([knight9001@163.com](mailto:knight9001@163.com)), Jinfang Chu  
 22 ([jfchu@genetics.ac.cn](mailto:jfchu@genetics.ac.cn)), Wenying Wu ([wywu@genetics.ac.cn](mailto:wywu@genetics.ac.cn)), Peiyong Xin  
 23 ([pyxin@genetics.ac.cn](mailto:pyxin@genetics.ac.cn)), Xin Li ([lixin@genetics.ac.cn](mailto:lixin@genetics.ac.cn)), Jiazhu Sun  
 24 ([jzsun@genetics.ac.cn](mailto:jzsun@genetics.ac.cn)), Wenlong Yang ([wlyang@genetics.ac.cn](mailto:wlyang@genetics.ac.cn)), Kehui Zhan  
 25 ([kh486@163.com](mailto:kh486@163.com)), Aimin Zhang ([amzhang@genetics.ac.cn](mailto:amzhang@genetics.ac.cn)), Dongcheng Liu  
 26 ([dongchengliu@ustb.edu.cn](mailto:dongchengliu@ustb.edu.cn))

27 **Short Title** : *ALI-1* associates with awn length and grain weight in common wheat

28 The date of submission (2019.6.30), 8 figures, 0 tables, and the word count (6458).

29

## 30 **Highlight**

31 *ALI-1*, candidate gene of awn suppressing *B1* locus, associates with awn length and  
32 grain length, providing a reacquaint of the effect of wheat awn on grain production.

## 33 **Abstract**

34 Awn plays a vital role in the photosynthesis, grain production and drought tolerance of  
35 common wheat; however, works on the systematic identification or cloning of genes  
36 controlling wheat awn length (AL) were seldom reported. Here, we conducted the  
37 Genome-wide association study (GWAS) in 364 wheat accessions and identified 25  
38 loci involved in the AL, including dominant awn suppressors *B1*, *B2* and four  
39 homologs of awn controlling genes in rice and barley. Furthermore, the *B1* locus was  
40 mapped to a 125-kb physical interval harboring two genes on chromosome 5AL  
41 through map-based cloning. As the candidate gene for *B1* locus, a C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> zinc finger  
42 gene *Awn Length Inhibitor 1* (*ALI-1*) expressed predominantly in the developing spike  
43 of awnless individuals and suppresses downstream genes transcriptionally. *ALI-1*  
44 reduces cytokinin content and simultaneously restrains cytokinin signal transduction,  
45 which leads to a stagnation of cell proliferation and reduction of cell number in awn.  
46 Noteworthy, *ali-1* was the first awn controlling locus that observed increasing grain  
47 length in wheat, which is a valuable supplemental attribution of awn on grain weight  
48 besides photosynthesis. Thus, *ALI-1* pleiotropically regulates awn and grain  
49 development, and this work provides a strategy to achieve improved grain yield and  
50 address future extreme climate.

## 51 **Keywords**

52 Awn, Cytokinin, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> zinc finger, Grain length, GWAS, *Triticum aestivum* L.

## 53 **Abbreviations**

54 *ALI-1*, *Awn Length Inhibitor 1*; AL, Awn Length; GWAS, Genome-Wide Association  
55 Study; NIL, Near-Isogenic Line; DEG, Differentially Expressed Gene; ANOVA,  
56 Analyses of Variance; BLUP, Best Linear Unbiased Predictor; SAL, Significant  
57 Association Locus; KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; *tZ*,  
58 *trans*-Zeatin; *tZR*, *trans*-zeatin nucleoside; iP, isoprenyl adenine; TGW, Thousand

59 Grain Weight; GL, Grain Length; DPA, Days Post Anthesis

60

## 61 Introduction

62 As an important component of the spike, awn is a long needle-like apical extension of  
 63 the lemma formed on the florets of grass species, e.g., wheat, barley, rye, oats, sorghum  
 64 and rice. Wheat awns have an acutely triangular shape with sclerenchyma, two  
 65 chlorenchyma zones and three well-developed vascular bundles inside, and rows of  
 66 stomata on the epidermis of the abaxial terminal (Li et al., 2010). The photosynthesis of  
 67 chlorenchyma in awn serves as an essential supplemental assimilates for grain filling,  
 68 especially when flag leaves senesce (Grundbacher, 1963; Olugbemi et al., 1976;  
 69 Weyhrich et al., 1994; Li et al., 2006). Under drought condition, awn contributes up to  
 70 16% of the total grain weight and production (Thorne, 1965; Evans et al., 1972;  
 71 Duwayri, 1984; Blum, 1985; Maydup et al., 2010). Besides, long awn in the wild wheat  
 72 and its relatives protects seeds from shattering and predation, facilitates seed dispersal,  
 73 helps balance and land the embryo, and propels seed burial (Grundbacher, 1963;  
 74 Sorensen, 1986; Elbaum et al., 2007; Hua et al., 2015).

75 Many genes involved in the development of awn have been cloned in cereal crops, such  
 76 as *Lks2*, *Hooded* and *ROUGH AWN1* in barley, and *An-1*, *An-2/LABA1*, *GAD1/RAE2*,  
 77 *DL*, *GLA*, *OsETT2* and *SHL2* in rice (Müller et al., 1995; Yuo et al., 2012; Luo et al.,  
 78 2013; Toriba and Hirano, 2014; Hua et al., 2015; Gu et al., 2015; Jin et al., 2016;  
 79 Bessho-Uehara et al., 2016; Milner et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2019). In common wheat,  
 80 the elaborately genome-wide identification of genes controlling the awn length was  
 81 seldom reported, although there are a few works on the genome-wide association  
 82 analysis of awn presence/absence or awn type (Sheoran *et al.*, 2019; Mackay et al.,  
 83 2014; Liu et al., 2017a). *Tipped1* (*B1*), *Tipped2* (*B2*) and Hooded (*Hd*) are the known  
 84 dominant genes suppressing awn development in common wheat and whose different  
 85 combinations bring in variations for awn performance (Watkins and Ellerton, 1940).  
 86 The *B1* produces apically tip-awned phenotype with short awns at the top and absent at  
 87 the base and middle of the spike (Watkins and Ellerton, 1940). The awn tips of *B1* are  
 88 usually straight and unbent at the base, while awn in *B2* is gently curved and nearly  
 89 equal in length along the spike. For the *Hd*, awns are reduced in length, curved/twisted

90 and in some cases considerably broadened at the base resembling a membranous lateral  
91 expansion of *Hooded* mutants in barley (Watkins and Ellerton, 1940; Müller et al.,  
92 1995). *B1* was located on the long arm of chromosome 5A and narrowed to a 7.5-cM  
93 interval closely linked with marker BW8226\_227 (Sourdille et al., 2002; Mackay et al.,  
94 2014; Yoshioka et al., 2017), while *B2* and *Hd* were on the long arm of 6B and the short  
95 arm of 4A, respectively (Sourdille et al., 2002; Yoshioka et al., 2017). However, none  
96 of the causative genes have been cloned, fine mapped, or molecularly systematic  
97 investigated.

98 Given the potential influence of awns on yield potential and drought tolerance,  
99 breeding wheat varieties with long awns especially in Europe where varieties are  
100 predominantly awnless, might help to deal with the threat of future food crisis and  
101 climate change. A better understanding of the genetics, evolution, and molecular  
102 mechanism of awn would facilitate this process. Here, a whole-genome-wide  
103 identification of genes controlling the awn length in common wheat was performed,  
104 and a candidate gene conferring to the awn suppressing of *B1* locus was characterized.

## 105 **Materials and methods**

### 106 **Plant materials**

107 Accessions in GWAS panel (**Table S1**) were grown in Zhaoxian (37°51'N, 114°49'E)  
108 during three successive cropping seasons (2015-2018) in individual (R1) and plots  
109 (R2). The field experiment was performed using a completely randomized design, and  
110 each accession planted in six 200-cm-long rows. Agronomic management followed  
111 local practices.

112 Several F<sub>12:13</sub> populations derived from the NongKeYuanSanLiMai/NongDa3214  
113 cross were identified using tightly linked SSR markers and served as a set of  
114 near-isogenic lines (NILs) of *ALI-1*. The NILs were planted in Zhaoxian during the  
115 2016–2017 and 2017–2018 cropping seasons with regular management and drought  
116 treatment, respectively.

### 117 **Phenotypic evaluation**

118 Six awns at the middle of spikes each for five spikes were averaged, representing the

119 awn length of each accession. Agronomical traits were measured accordingly, and  
120 kernel traits investigated using the SC-G image analysis system (Wanshen Detection  
121 Technology Co., Ltd., Hangzhou, China).

## 122 **Genome Wide Association Analyses**

123 Each accession was genotyped using Affymetrix Wheat660K SNP arrays by Capital  
124 Bio Corporation (Beijing, China). GWAS was performed using Tassel v5.2 with single  
125 chromosomal-located SNPs on IWGSC RefSeq V1.0 after quality control (missing rate  
126  $\leq 10\%$  and  $MAF \geq 5\%$ ). Significant markers were visualized by Manhattan plots and  
127 quantile-quantile plots using the R package “qqman”. A significance threshold of  
128  $-\log_{10}P \geq 3.5$  was applied to declare significant SNPs. The pairwise  $r^2$  (squared allele  
129 frequency correlation) values were calculated and displayed with LD plots by  
130 Haploview 4.2 software (Barrett et al., 2005).

## 131 **Primers**

132 The primers used in this study are listed in **Table S10**.

## 133 **Molecular mapping**

134 SSR markers were designed in SSRLocator, and CAPS and dCAPS primers in the  
135 CAPS/dCAPS Designer, respectively, and the resultant genotypes were subjected to  
136 genetic linkage map construction in JoinMap 4 (Van Ooijen J. 2006.) and drawn using  
137 Mapchart v2.3 software (Voorrips, 2002).

## 138 **Sequencing and Data Analysis**

139 Genomic DNA region of *TraesCS5A02G542800* and *TraesCS5A02G542900* in each  
140 accession were amplified using primer pairs (**Table S10**), with 16-nt asymmetric  
141 barcodes tagged on the 5' end  
142 ([https://github.com/PacificBiosciences/Bioinformatics-Training/wiki/Barcoding-with-](https://github.com/PacificBiosciences/Bioinformatics-Training/wiki/Barcoding-with-SMRT-Analysis-2.3)  
143 *SMRT-Analysis-2.3*). The library of purified PCR products pool was sequenced using a  
144 PacBio RS II SMRT DNA Sequencing System (Kozich et al., 2013). The output  
145 circular consensus sequencing reads were assigned to each accession separated by  
146 barcode sequences, and aligned to the gene reference sequence in Chinese Spring using  
147 the software BWA. Randomly selected sequence variations were verified by realigned

148 using Clustal-W and confirmed using Sanger sequencing in some accessions.

#### 149 **Phylogenetic analysis**

150 Protein sequences of *TraesCS5A02G542800* and all C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> genes in *Arabidopsis*  
151 *thaliana* were performed to the phylogenetic reconstruction in MEGA version 7.0.26  
152 using the neighbor-joining method. Bootstrap values were estimated (with 1000  
153 replicates) to assess the relative support for each branch.

#### 154 **RNA-Seq analyses.**

155 Young spikes (1.0-2.0 cm in length) of homozygous dominant and recessive individuals  
156 (genotyped by SSR88, SSR151 and InDel-07) from three pairs of NILs were harvested  
157 and pooled into six samples (three lines × two genotypes, ≥ 200 spikes per sample).  
158 Each sample was evenly divided into two portions for RNA isolation and quantitative  
159 content determination of endogenous CKs and IAA.

160 Total RNA was extracted using a TRIzol kit (Invitrogen) and sequenced by the BGI  
161 (Shenzhen, China) on HiSeq 4000 (Illumina, San Diego, USA). Filtered reads were  
162 mapped to Chinese Spring TGAC v1 genome assembly  
163 ([http://plants.ensembl.org/Triticum\\_aestivum](http://plants.ensembl.org/Triticum_aestivum)), and transcripts aligned to each gene  
164 was calculated and normalized to FPKM values. Significant differentially expressed  
165 genes (DEGs) in each pair of NILs were screened through NOISeq, with a threshold of  
166  $|\log_2(\text{FPKM}_{\text{Awnless}}/\text{FPKM}_{\text{Awned}})| \geq 1$  and probability  $\geq 0.8$  (Tarazona et al., 2011). The  
167 enrichment of GO terms and the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG)  
168 pathway were conducted using R package clusterProfiler (Yu et al., 2012).

#### 169 **Real-time PCR analysis**

170 Quantitative real-time PCR was performed on a LightCycler 480 system (Roche,  
171 Indianapolis, IN, USA) using *Ta4045* gene as internal reference (Paolacci et al., 2009).  
172 The comparative CT method ( $\Delta\Delta\text{CT}$ ) was used in the quantification analysis  
173 (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995).

#### 174 **Quantitative analyses of endogenous CKs and IAA**

175 Quantitative analyses of endogenous CKs and IAA were conducted based on the  
176 method reported previously, with three biological replicates (Du et al., 2017; Fu et al.,

2012). The  $^2\text{H}_2$ -IAA was served as the internal standard of IAA, while  $\text{D}_5$ -tZ,  $\text{D}_5$ -tZR,  $\text{D}_6$ -iP and  $\text{D}_6$ -iPR were used as the internal standards for CKs. LC-MS/MS analysis was performed with purified extracts on an ACQUITY UPLC system coupled to the 6500 Q-Trap system (AB SCIEX).

### Transcriptional activation analysis of *ALI-1*

The GAL4 reporter plasmid was generated using the firefly LUC reporter gene driven by the minimal TATA box of the 35S promoter plus five GAL4 binding elements, and the ORF of *ALI-1* amplified by PCR were fused into the  $\text{Pro}_{35\text{S}}:\text{GAL4DBD}$  vector to construct the effector plasmid. The  $\text{Pro}_{35\text{S}}:\text{GAL4DBD}$  vector was used as negative control and the  $\text{Pro}_{35\text{S}}:\text{GAL4DBD}:\text{VP16}$  vector fused with strong activation protein VP16 as a positive control. Other procedures carried out following the protocol reported previously with six independent measures carried out for each analysis (Hao et al., 2010).

### Histological observations

Awns with lemma of awned and awnless individuals ( $5 \pm 0.5$  cm in spike length) were fixed with FAA solution, embedded in paraffin, then longitudinally sectioned, stained with 1.0% Safranin O and 0.5% FastGreen, and observed using NIKON CI-S microscope. The cell lengths of each sample were measured on three serial sections at the upper, middle and bottom parts of awn. Cell number for the entire length of an awn was estimated based on the length of awns.

### Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics, analyses of variance (ANOVA), Pearson's correlation analyses were performed using OriginPro, Version 2019 (OriginLab Corporation, Northampton, MA, USA). Variance components were used to calculate broad sense heritability ( $h^2$ ) of awn length defining as  $h^2 = \sigma_g^2 / (\sigma_g^2 + \sigma_{ge}^2 / r + \sigma_e^2 / re)$  (Liu et al., 2017a). To eliminate the environmental impact, the BLUP value across all tested environments was calculated using R package "lme4".



## 204 Results

### 205 25 loci including *B1* significantly associated with wheat awn length in the 206 Genome-wide-association panel

207 During the 2015–2016, 2016–2017 and 2017–2018 cropping seasons, winter wheat  
208 (*Triticum aestivum* L.) panel of 364 accessions were grown at Zhaoxian in individual  
209 (R1) and plots (R2) (**Table S1**). Significant variation ( $P < 0.001$ ) of AL was identified  
210 among the 364 accessions across all six environments, ranging from 0 mm to 110 mm  
211 with a coefficient of variation ranged from 0.22 to 0.26 (**Table S2, Figure S1**),  
212 indicating that this population embodies abundant variations and suitable for the  
213 GWAS. A significant correlation was detected between environments with Pearson's  
214 correlation coefficients between 0.61–0.91 (**Figure S1**). Significant differences ( $P \leq$   
215 0.001) among genotypes, environments, and genotype  $\times$  environment interactions were  
216 observed with ANOVA (**Table S3**), and a high broad sense heritability  
217 ( $h^2 = \sigma_g^2 / (\sigma_g^2 + \sigma_{ge}^2 / r + \sigma_e^2 / re)$ ,  $h^2 = 0.985$ ) was observed across all the six environments,  
218 revealing that major phenotypic variation was derived from genetic factors.

219 A total of 439,209 SNPs from Affymetrix 660K SNP arrays were subjected to the  
220 GWAS analysis on AL using the mixed linear model. SNP cluster (more than three  
221 SNPs with  $-\log_{10}(P\text{-value}) \geq 3.50$  in less than 1 Mb distance) detected in resulting best  
222 linear unbiased predictors value (BLUP) and at least three environments was regarded  
223 as a reliable significant association locus (SAL). This allowed 25 SAL associated with  
224 AL on chromosome 1A, 1D, 2A (2), 2B (3), 3A (2), 3B (2), 3D, 4A, 4B, 5A (3), 5B (2),  
225 6B (2), 7A (2) and 7D (2), explaining phenotypic variation of BLUP ranging from 5.91%  
226 to 13.99%, respectively (**Figure 1a,b and Figure S2, Table S4**). These SAL were  
227 compared with previously reported genes, QTL, or markers of awn controlling loci  
228 based on the physical positions on IWGSC RefSeq V1.0 of Chinese Spring (IWGSC,  
229 2018). Four SAL, *AX-95086847*, *AX-111043485*, *AX-109508056* and *AX-108780287*  
230 were overlapped with the homologs of *An-1*, *OsETT2*, *SHL2* in rice and *Lks2* in barley,  
231 respectively. *AX-109312058* and *AX-109882617* were with wheat awn inhibiting loci  
232 *B1* and *B2*, respectively.

Haplotypes of the significant SNPs among the GWAS accessions were identified based on the genotypes of these SAL, and the effects on AL for each haplotype were calculated (**Figure 1a, Table S5**). For the *Lks2*, haplotype-AAG included 91 accessions with an average AL of 54.28 mm, reducing AL for 8.97 mm as comparing with haplotype-GGA (267 accessions, 63.25 ± 10.58 mm) (**Figure 1c, Table S5**). Similarly, elite haplotypes (with shorter awn) of *OsETT2*, *An-1*, *SHL2*, *qAL.5A.3\_B1*, and *qAL.6B.1\_B2* reduced AL by 8.99, 3.58, 5.62, 21.46 and 3.61 mm, respectively (**Figure 1c, Table S5**). Beyond that, the other 18 SAL has not been reported and might be potential loci controlling AL in common wheat. Among these SAL, the *qAL.5A.2* explained the most phenotypic variation (BLUP, 13.99%) and reduced AL by 23.02 mm (**Figure 1c, Table S5**). Interestingly, 18 out of 46 genes in the *qAL.5A.2* LD block (547.59–548.25 Mb) are auxin-responsive proteins, suggesting an auxin-mediated potential mechanism of this locus on AL (**Table S6**). Among all SAL, *qAL.5A.2* and *qAL.5A.3\_B1* explain 43.15% phenotypic variation, reducing the AL from 62.09 mm (Hap\_GAA+Hap\_TTA, double inferior haplotype) to 14.63 mm (Hap\_CCG+Hap\_CCG, double elite haplotype) (**Figure 1c**). Since *B1* was a major and well-known awn controlling locus in common wheat, fine mapping and candidate gene characterization of *qAL.5A.3\_B1* was further carried out.

#### **The *qAL.5A.3\_B1* was fine mapped to a 125 kb region**

SNPs in the 2 Mb regions around AX-109312058, the representative SNP of *qAL.5A.3\_B1* (**Table S7**), were used to calculate the pairwise  $r^2$  values. LD plot formed a ~0.14 Mb LD block (AX-86177799–AX-109312058, 698.00–698.14 Mb) and a ~0.94 Mb one (AX-110564755–AX-109843442, 698.18–699.12 Mb), illustrating that the *qAL.5A.3\_B1* was mapped to the 698.00–699.12 Mb interval (**Figure S3**).

Based on the awn performance and genotype at *qAL.5A.3\_B1* locus, two bi-parental genetic populations YS-F<sub>2</sub> and NN-F<sub>2</sub> derived from the crosses of YeMaiZi (YMZ, awnless) with Shi4185 (S4185, awned) and NongKeYuanSanLiMai (NK, awnless) with NongDa3214 (ND3214, awned) respectively were developed to fine map the *B1* locus (**Figure 2a,b**). The AL of F<sub>1</sub> resembled the awnless parents and individuals in

the  $F_2$  populations were divided into awnless or awned groups (**Figure 2b**), the segregation of awnless to awned individuals fit the expected ratio of 3:1 in both  $F_2$  populations (YS,  $\chi^2 = 0.44$ ,  $P = 0.50$ ; NN,  $\chi^2 = 0.88$ ,  $P = 0.35$ ), demonstrating that the performance of the awn inhibition was controlled by a single dominant gene. The segregation in subsequently derived YS- $F_{2:3}$  and YS- $F_{3:4}$  also agreed with expected Mendelian inheritance ratios of 3:1 (**Table S8**).

To fine map the *BI* locus, *Xgwm291-5A*, previously reported linkage marker of *BI* were subjected to screen 12 long-awn and 12 awnless individuals (Kosuge et al., 2008). The data provided that the *BI* locus should be the causative factor for the awn presence/absence in both YS- $F_2$  and NN- $F_2$  population. Bulk separating analysis of wheat660K SNP chip with awned and awnless pools in YS- $F_2$  and NN- $F_2$  population also detected a significantly differential marker enrichment at the distal end of chromosome 5AL, overlapping with the *qAL.5A.3\_BI* locus (**Figure 2c**). Three 1-Mb genome sequences with 8 Mb in-between on IWGSC RefSeq V1.0 Chromosome 5A were subjected to SSR marker development, and resultant polymorphic markers *SSR276*, *SSR82*, *SSR162*, and *SSR100* were screened using the whole YS- $F_2$  population. With this, *BI* was mapped to the *SSR82–SSR162* interval (**Figure 2d**). The derived YS- $F_{2:3}$  population was screened with flanking marker *SSR82* and *SSR162*, and the resultant 23 recombinants between *SSR82* and *SSR162* were subjected to further analysis. More SSR markers and InDel markers based on gene sequencing were developed in the *SSR82–SSR162* interval, and SNPs between bulks in YS- $F_{2:3}$  population were performed to design CAPS/dCAPS primers. With this effort, the *BI* region was narrowed to 0.074 cM interval flanked by *SSR151* and *dCAPS-06* (**Figure 2d**). With a larger YS- $F_{3:4}$  population composed of 5405 individuals, *BI* was flanked by proximal marker *dCAPS-02* and distal marker *dCAPS-13* and co-segregated with *SSR88* and *dCAPS-05*. Thus, the *BI* region was narrowed to a 0.046 cM interval, corresponding to a 134 kb physical region on IWGSC RefSeq V1.0 chromosome 5A (**Figure 2d**).

To confirm the mapping region in YS- $F_{3:4}$  population, the NN- $F_2$  population were

291 screened with *SSR151*, *SSR88* and *InDel-07*. One recombination event between *SSR88*  
 292 and *InDel-07* was identified, and this allows the *BI* locus delimited to a 125 kb interval  
 293 (698.516–698.641 Mb) (**Figure 2d**). According to the IWGSC RefSeq V1.1 annotation  
 294 (<https://wheat-urgi.versailles.inra.fr/Seq-Repository/Annotations>), this interval only  
 295 harbors two genes, *TraesCS5A02G542800* and *TraesCS5A02G542900* (**Figure 2d**),  
 296 one of which should be the candidate gene of the *BI* locus.

# 297 **A C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> zinc finger *ALI-1* is the candidate gene for the *BI* locus**

298 To assess the gene expression patterns of candidate genes for the *BI* locus, the  
 299 expression databases of “Developmental time-course of Chinese Spring” and  
 300 “Developmental time-course of Azhurnaya” through Wheat Expression Browser  
 301 (<http://www.wheat-expression.com/>) were searched (Borrill et al., 2016;  
 302 Ramírez-González et al., 2018). The homolog genes of *TraesCS5A02G542800* are  
 303 dynamically expressed at different stages and tissues, predominantly in the spike, while  
 304 *TraesCS5A02G542900* does not have any homolog expression bias and apparent tissue  
 305 specificity (**Figure 3a, b**). Besides, analysis of the transcriptome profiling during spike  
 306 development in KN9204 demonstrated that *TraesCS5A02G542800* is expressed in the  
 307 early stages of spike development before the glume primordium differentiation stage  
 308 (Li et al., 2018), from which period the formation of lemma starts and difference  
 309 between awned and awnless individuals emerges (Luo et al., 2013; Vahamidis et al.,  
 310 2014), but expression of *TraesCS5A02G542900* basically remains unchanged  
 311 throughout the spike development process (**Figure 3c**). Through quantitative real-time  
 312 PCR analysis with the developing spikes of three *BI* NILs, *TraesCS5A02G542800*  
 313 were significantly up-regulated (23.28, 5.63 and 5.28 folds) in awnless lines, while the  
 314 expression of *TraesCS5A02G542900* could not provide consistent data among these  
 315 NILs (**Figure 3d**). Moreover, RNA-Seq analysis of developing spikes revealed that  
 316 *TraesCS5A02G542800* had a much higher expression level than its homolog genes,  
 317 *TraesCS4B02G345000* and *TraesCS4D02G340000*. More importantly, its expression  
 318 in awnless lines was up-regulated with 4.3-8.7 folds as compared to the awned lines  
 319 (**Figure 3e**).

To determine the gene carrying the *B1* mutation, genomic DNA sequences of these two candidate genes, including exons, flanking intronic region, approximately 2-kb promoter region and 0.5-kb 3'-UTR region were sequenced in the parental lines of two mapping population, YMZ, S4185, NK and ND3214. Only five coincident SNPs in the promoter region of *TraesCS5A02G542800* were detected between the awned/awnless lines (**Figure 3f**). Among these five SNPs, the T>C mutation at -1139 bp could cause the loss of cis-elements BOXCPSAS1 and LTRE1HVBLT49 and the A>G mutation at -707 bp lost the cis-elements SORLIP2AT and SITEIIATCYTC (**Figure 3f**), and thus might be a causative factor for its significantly differential expression. No coincident polymorphisms in *TraesCS5A02G542900* were detected between YMZ/S4185 and NK/ND3214.

Meanwhile, using SMRT<sup>®</sup> sequencing platform, *TraesCS5A02G542800* and *TraesCS5A02G542900* were sequenced to characterize sequence variations in 43 Chinese cultivars, 17 Chinese landraces, and 24 foreign accessions. No identical variants were detected in *TraesCS5A02G542900* and the coding region of *TraesCS5A02G542800*. However, a total of 31 variations (29 SNPs, a 25-bp deletion and a 1-bp insertion) were identified in the promoter region of *TraesCS5A02G542800* (**Table S9**). Among these variations, all the 10 accessions with *B1* allele (identified in the F<sub>2</sub> population derived from the cross with long awn cultivar ShiAiYiHao) share the same haplotype for the 31 variations, but accessions with *b1* allele (27 long-awn accessions and 3 awnless accessions) have nine haplotypes, and the remaining 44 accessions with unknown allele have six haplotypes (**Table S9**). For the accessions with *b1* allele, they have a haplotype of CGAG at -1139, -1076, -1075 and -707 bp, expected for one Slovakia accession SV73. Of the 44 accessions with unknown allele, 39 accessions (88.64%) have the CGAG haplotype, and the left (11.36%) have the GAGA one. Noteworthy, this GAGA/CGAG haplotype coincides with the polymorphisms detected between the parental lines of our two mapping populations, YS and NN (**Figure 3f**). Thus, the GAGA/CGAG haplotype of *TraesCS5A02G542800* was highly consistent with its alleles of *B1* locus, which might be the causative factor

for the differentiation of *BI/bI* allele.

According to the IWGSC RefSeq V1.1 annotation, *TraesCS5A02G542800* encodes a C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> zinc finger transcription factor protein. Protein sequences of *TraesCS5A02G542800* and all C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> genes in *Arabidopsis thaliana* were subjected to construct a neighbor-joining phylogenetic tree. *TraesCS5A02G542800* was grouped into the zf-C2H2\_6 family (PF13912) together with cellular proliferation repressor *KNU*, trichome developmental regulators *ZFP5*, *ZFP7*, *ZFP8*, *GIS* and *GIS2*, and abscisic acid signaling negative regulators *ZFP1*, *ZFP2*, *ZFP3* and *ZFP4*, etc (**Figure 3g**).

In addition, *TraesCS5A02G542900* was excluded for its over-expressed transformants in an awned variety KN199 did not provide any awn-shortened or awnless performance. In summary, *TraesCS5A02G542800*, a predicted C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> zinc finger transcription factor, is a highly probable candidate gene for awn inhibitor *BI*, designated thereafter as *Awn Length inhibitor 1 (ALI-1)*.

***ALI-1* negatively regulates awn elongation through restraining the cytokinin-mediated cell proliferation**

To better understand the mechanism of *ALI-1* on awn development, RNA-Seq, paraffin sections, quantitative content determination of endogenous CKs and IAA were performed using three pairs of NILs. Transcriptome profiling of three pairs of NILs identified 1039, 2001, and 3387 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between the awned and awnless individuals (**Figure 4a**). With this, a total of 478 overlapped DEGs were identified, including 244 up-regulated DEGs and 234 down-regulated ones in the awnless lines (**Figure 4b**). These overlapped DEGs were subjected to the analysis of GO enrichment and mapped to the reference KEGG pathways. The “nutrient reservoir activity”, “transporter activity”, “localization”, and “molecular transducer activity” were mostly enriched GO terms (**Figure 4c**, **Figure S4a**). For the KEGG, “phenylpropanoid biosynthesis”, “glutathione metabolism” and cytochrome P450 involved metabolism pathways were significantly enriched (**Figure S4b**). The up-regulated and down-regulated DEGs were separately subjected to GO enrichment



analysis. “rRNA N-glycosylase activity”, “negative regulation of translation” and “defense response” were the most enriched GO terms in the up-regulated subgroup, and “circadian regulation of gene expression” and fatty-acyl-CoA metabolic process involved GO terms were also highly abundant (**Figure 4d**). In contrast, “transcription factor activity”, “regulation of cell size”, and auxin influx/efflux activity related GO terms were enriched in the down-regulated subgroup (**Figure 4e**). Notably, the up-regulated DEGs were most enriched in the cellular component of the Golgi membrane and chloroplast thylakoid membrane (**Figure 4f**), while the down-regulated ones located in plasmodesma and cell wall (**Figure 4g**). Similarly, some enriched GO terms in biological process (**Figure S4c,d**), molecular function (**Figure S4e,f**) and KEGG pathways (**Figure S4g,h**) were detected in the up- and down-regulated subgroup, respectively.

Among the 478 overlapped DEGs, only eight genes including *ALI-1* (*TRIAE\_CS42\_5AL\_TGACv1\_374501\_AA1201650*) were significantly up-regulated, and 16 genes were down-regulated in all three awnless NIL lines (**Figure 5a**). Since *ALI-1* is a transcriptional factor, a dual-luciferase reporter (DLR) assay system in *Arabidopsis* protoplasts was exploited to measure its transcriptional activation ability (Hao et al., 2010), using Pro<sub>35S</sub>:GAL4DBD:VP16 as a positive control (**Figure 5b**). Compared with the Pro<sub>35S</sub>:GAL4DBD negative control, Pro<sub>35S</sub>:GAL4DBD:ALI-1 decreases the luc activity by approximately seven folds (**Figure 5c**), providing a strongly transcriptional suppression activity of *ALI-1*. Therefore, the direct downstream target genes of *ALI-1* should exist in the 16 down-regulated gene set, including transcription factors *ZFP182* (*TRIAE\_CS42\_5BL\_TGACv1\_406541\_AA1346800*) and *bHLH99* (*TRIAE\_CS42\_5AL\_TGACv1\_374213\_AA1193840*), dual-specificity phosphatase *CDC25* (*TRIAE\_CS42\_5AL\_TGACv1\_377856\_AA1249830*) and an auxin-responsive gene *IAA2* (*TRIAE\_CS42\_7DS\_TGACv1\_621699\_AA2023540*) (**Figure 5a**). These genes were highly coincident with the “transcription factor activity”, “cell cycle arrest”, “cyclin-dependent protein serine/threonine kinase inhibitor activity” and “auxin influx/efflux activity” in the GO analysis of down-regulated genes.

Endogenous IAA concentrations in the spike of three NILs were measured, as GO terms of auxin influx/efflux activity were significantly enriched in the down-regulated subgroup, and a significantly higher IAA content was observed in the *ALI-1* lines (awnless lines) (**Figure 5d**). For cytokinin, the concentration of *trans*-zeatin (*tZ*) was much higher than isoprenyl adenine (iP) in both the *ali-1* (awned lines) (47.32-folds) and *ALI-1* lines (32.41-folds) (**Figure 5d**), indicating that *tZ* was the main active cytokinin component in NILs. The concentrations of *tZ* in *ali-1* were higher than that in *ALI-1* (**Figure 5d**), which therefore might promote the division of awn primordium cells. On the contrary, nucleoside-type *trans*-zeatin nucleoside (*tZR*) were lower in *ali-1* lines (**Figure 5d**), which might be owing to a dynamic transformation from *tZR* to *tZ*. It is noteworthy that the type-A response regulator (K14492, ARR-A), a negative regulator in the cytokinin-mediated signal transduction, were significantly up-regulated in *ALI-1* lines (ko04075, [https://www.kegg.jp/dbget-bin/www\\_bget?map04075](https://www.kegg.jp/dbget-bin/www_bget?map04075)). Hence, *tZ* was much less in *ALI-1* plants, and the cytokinin-mediated signal transduction was suppressed as the overexpression of negative regulator ARR-A. To attribute the short awn of *ALI-1* to the cell size or cell number, longitudinal sections of awns in *ALI-1* (~5 mm in length) and *ali-1* (~50 mm in length) NILs were compared (**Figure 5e**). No significant differences in cell length detected between *ALI-1* ( $27.99 \pm 0.56 \mu\text{m}$ ) and *ali-1* ( $28.28 \pm 0.85 \mu\text{m}$ ) (**Figure 5f**). However, the longitudinal cell number in *ali-1* was nearly 10 times than that of *ALI-1* (**Figure 5f**), concluding that the cell proliferation was restrained in *ALI-1*, which might result from the reduced cytokinin content and/or suppressed cytokinin-mediated signal transduction.

#### **Pleiotropic effects of *ALI-1* on awn and grain development**

The awn lengths in YS-F<sub>2</sub>, NN-F<sub>2</sub>, and NILs were surveyed to evaluate the effect of *ALI-1* on awn performance (**Figure 2b**). The average AL of awned and awnless individuals in YS-F<sub>2</sub> population was 49.63 mm and 4.51 mm, respectively, while it was 44.80 mm and 3.70 mm in Shi4185 and YMZ. Similar data were observed in the NN-F<sub>2</sub> population, revealing the complete dominant characteristics of *ALI-1*. The value of AL reduction in three pairs of NILs was 54.69 mm, 62.30 mm and 54.11 mm, respectively,



436 which was roughly equal to that in F<sub>2</sub> populations but much higher than that of  
437 *qAL.5A.3\_B1* in the open population of GWAS panel (reducing AL for 21.46 mm). As  
438 many loci were identified involving in the awn development, the effect of a single locus  
439 may be affected by the complicated additive-dominance-epistatic effects among those  
440 loci.

441 Other agronomic traits of these NILs were simultaneously measured in four  
442 environments (E1 and E2, normal condition of 2016–2017 and 2017–2018 growing  
443 seasons; E3 and E4, drought condition of 2016–2017, and 2017–2018 growing seasons).  
444 For most agronomic traits, there was no apparent difference between the *ALI-1* and  
445 *ali-1* individuals (**Figure S5a-j**), except for plant height under drought condition  
446 (**Figure S5k**) and kernel traits (**Figure 6a-h**)

447 *ALI-1* lines showed a significantly depressed thousand-grain weight (TGW) (**Figure**  
448 **6a-d**). The TGW of four awnless NILs decreased ~1.30 g under the normal condition,  
449 while that was up to 2.11 g under the drought condition, manifesting the contribution of  
450 *ALI-1* on wheat yield development, especially under the drought condition. Through  
451 the measurement of grain parameters, the reduction of TGW on the awnless NILs was  
452 attributed to the decrease of grain length (GL) (**Figure 6e-h**).

453 The contribution of awn on TGW was confirmed with our GWAS data. A significant  
454 correlation was observed between AL and TGW (Pearson's  $r^2=0.258$ ) (**Figure 7a**).  
455 TGW associated SNPs were overlapping with the *qAL.5A.3\_B1* locus, and the short  
456 awn haplotype-CCG had a TGW reduction of 5.31g (from 41.48g to 36.17g) as  
457 compared to the long awn haplotype-AAT. This reduction was consistent with the  
458 decrease of GL between two haplotypes (6.40 mm for haplotype-CCG and 6.24 mm for  
459 haplotype-AAT) (**Figure 7b**). Meanwhile, through re-assaying the available published  
460 data, the *qAL.5A.3\_B1* locus was proven to contain the QTL for TGW and GL using  
461 recombinant-inbred line populations derived from the awnless×awned crosses (Li *et al.*,  
462 2012; Wang *et al.*, 2011; Wu *et al.*, 2015).

463 Moreover, grain development time courses of NIL<sup>*ALI-1*</sup>/NIL<sup>*ali-1*</sup> were conducted and  
464 significant differences in the TGW was observed since the first investigate stage at 5

465 DPA (days post anthesis), suggesting the difference in final TGW between NIL<sup>ALI-1</sup>  
 466 and NIL<sup>ali-1</sup> was caused by the grain development process rather than grain filling  
 467 (**Figure 7c**). GL of NIL<sup>ALI-1</sup> and NIL<sup>ali-1</sup> rapidly increased during 5~15 DPA and  
 468 maintaining the status thereafter, and the difference of GL between NIL<sup>ALI-1</sup> and  
 469 NIL<sup>ali-1</sup> was also detected throughout the time courses, indicating that *ALI-1* might  
 470 affect the early grain development process (**Figure 7c**). Noteworthy, dynamic analysis  
 471 of gene expression during grain development in 17 Chinese cultivars provides that  
 472 *bHLH99* was highly expressed at 5 DPA and 10 DPA, but drastically reduced at 15 DPA  
 473 and remained stable thereafter (**Figure 7d**). In addition, *bHLH99* was predominantly  
 474 expressed in the pericarp, especially in the outer pericarp, of immature grain at 12 DPA  
 475 (Pearce et al., 2015) (**Figure 7e**). Taken together, *ALI-1* might repress the expression  
 476 of *bHLH99* in pericarp and consequently reduces the GL and TGW.  
 477 *ALI-1* was the first wheat awn controlling locus observed reducing GL and TGW,  
 478 especially under drought condition. The contribution of awn to grain yield has been  
 479 extensively researched, and the photosynthesis of awn was generally considered  
 480 responsible for the improvement of grain weight (Grundbacher, 1963; Evans et al.,  
 481 1972; Olugbemi et al., 1976; Li et al., 2006; Li et al., 2010). This work illustrates that  
 482 *ali-1* removes sink limitation with larger grain size, and hence provides a reacquaint of  
 483 the effect of wheat awn on grain production. Accordingly, regulating the expression of  
 484 *ALI-1* and/or its downstream target genes would provide a strategy to achieve  
 485 improved grain yield and address future extreme climate.

## 486 Discussion

### 487 Genome-wide identification of loci involved in wheat awn development

488 In this work, the GWAS provided 25 loci involved in AL on 14 chromosomes, among  
 489 which six was overlapped with known QTL in wheat or wheat homologs of awn  
 490 controlling genes in rice and barley. *Lks2* in barley was the first cloned gene for awn  
 491 length in the grass family. The short-awn *lks2* allele is present in limited accessions and  
 492 was a natural variation that occurred after barley domestication (Yuo et al., 2012). A  
 493 stable SAL on chromosome 7A detected in all environments was overlapped with

494 wheat homolog of *Lks2*, explaining 9.52% phenotypic variation of BLUP value and  
 495 reducing AL of 8.97 mm, which was medium compared to other loci and accorded with  
 496 its incomplete baldness in barley (**Figure 1c, Table S5**). Unlike its low frequency of  
 497 *lks2* allele in barley, short awn haplotype AAG included 91 accessions (25.00%) in  
 498 GWAS panel, indicating that the long-awn allele *Lks2* has not been artificially selected  
 499 during the domestication. The *An-1*, regulating long awn formation in *O. rufipogon*,  
 500 was a major target for artificial selection in rice (Luo et al., 2013). However, the elite  
 501 haplotype of its wheat homolog comprises 59 accessions (16.21%) and with a weak  
 502 effect in wheat (reduces 3.85 mm of AL). *DL* affects the formation of rice awn and  
 503 *OsETT2* enhances its elongation, while *SHL2* acts on *OsETT2* transcripts to inhibit the  
 504 awn length (Toriba and Hirano, 2014). The short awn allele of *OsETT2* and *SHL2*  
 505 homologs were detected in a limited proportion of accessions (20 and 26 accessions,  
 506 respectively), suggesting an artificial selection during the breeding history. Two SNPs  
 507 at the genome region of *DL* homolog was significantly associated with the AL, which  
 508 were insufficient to form a SNP cluster and not observed as a SAL, and was  
 509 overlapped with the important wheat awn inhibitor *Hd* (Yoshioka et al., 2017). The  
 510 haplotypes AG and GT comprising 359 accessions and 5 accessions, respectively, with  
 511 a 23.67 mm difference in AL ( $61.09 \pm 11.99$  mm vs  $37.42 \pm 20.29$  mm) (**Figure 7a**).  
 512 The wheat homolog of *DL* is predominantly expressed in the spike of Chinese Spring  
 513 (**Figure 7b**), and an S>T amino acid substitution at the conserved YABBY domain was  
 514 found in the long-awn variety AK58 (**Figure 7c**), suggesting that *DL* might be the  
 515 candidate gene conferring to the awn inhibition of *Hd* locus. Hence, homologs of  
 516 several genes controlling the awn development in rice and barley affect the AL in  
 517 GWAS panel, and these genes exhibit to be functionally conserved and might  
 518 experience parallel evolution/domestication across different species.

519 Chinese Spring deletion line 5AL-10 was reported slightly bearded while 5AL-17 was  
 520 awnless (Sourdille et al., 2002), but no QTL was detected on chromosome 5AL using a  
 521 doubled-haploid line population derived from the cross of Courtot and Chinese Spring,  
 522 which confused researchers for a long time (Sourdille et al., 2003; Yoshioka et al.,

2017). The deletion line 5AL-10 and 5AL-17 lack the telomeric region of the long arm of chromosome 5A, with breakpoints located between Xgwm156-Xgwm617 and Xcfa2163-Xcfa2155, respectively (Sourdille et al., 2004; Yoshioka et al., 2017). In this work, we identified a new locus *qAL.5A.2* (547.59–548.25 Mb) located between the breakpoints of 5AL-10 and 5AL-17 (Xgwm156-Xcfa2155, 450.16–632.60 Mb). Thus, the awn-suppressing *qAL.5A.2* allele might locate in the 5AL-17, and the deletion of this locus in the 5AL-10 relived its inhibition on awn development. The *qAL.5A.2* reduced the AL by 23.02 mm in the GWAS panel (**Figure 1c, Table S5**), which was equivalent to the AL of line 5AL-10. However, that the *qAL.5A.2* was not detected in the population of Courtot and Chinese Spring might due to the lack of enough markers in the genetic linkage map surrounding *qAL.5A.2* locus, or other loci interacted/complemented with the *qAL.5A.2* in Courtot to result in an awn performance.

#### ***ALI-1* represses cytokinin-mediated cell proliferation in awn**

*ALI-1* encodes a C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> zinc finger transcription factor protein, and the phylogenetic analysis grouped it with cellular proliferation repressor *KNU* and trichome developmental regulators *ZFP5*, *ZFP7*, *ZFP8*, *GIS*, and *GIS2* (**Figure 3g**). *KNU* is a transcriptional repressor of cellular proliferation in *Arabidopsis* (Payne et al., 2004). C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> zinc finger proteins integrate hormonal signals to control trichome cell differentiation in *Arabidopsis*, and *GIS2*, *GIS3*, *ZFP5*, *ZFP6*, and *ZFP8* were reported to regulate trichome initiation through GA and cytokinin signaling (Gan et al., 2007; Sun et al., 2015).

*ALI-1* seems to have a similar role in controlling the awn elongation, suppressing the cytokinin signaling and cell proliferation. In the NILs, concentrations of *tZ* in *ali-1* were higher than that of *ALI-1* lines (**Figure 5d**), and even the cytokinin signal transduction was suppressed because of the overexpression of negative regulator ARR-A. The stimulatory effect of cytokinin was achieved through cytokinin-mediated cell cycling arrest of plant tissues, and the plant homolog of *CDC25* was considered as an early target for cytokinin action (John, 1998; Lipavská, H. et al., 2010). We screened

the ~2000 bp promoter regions of the 16 down-regulated DEGs to search for the binding sequence A[AG/CT]CNAC of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> zinc finger proteins (Sun et al., 2015). One, Two, and three perfect matches were detected in the promoter region of *CDC25*, *bHLH99*, and *IAA2*, respectively. *CDC25* was the only gene that expression changes highly resembling that of *ALI-1*, NIL-2 > NIL-1 > NIL-3, with an average 28.1-folds down-regulation in awnless individuals. Thus, the absence of *CDC25* accumulation in *ALI-1* lines might further aggravate the inadequate cytokinin signal on promoting cell division. Longitudinal sections of awns showed that the numbers of cells were markedly decreased in the awns of *ALI-1* lines (**Figure 5e,f**). Besides, a prominent enrichment of GO terms with cell cycle, plasmodesma, and cell wall were obtained in the down-regulated DEGs. Sequence analysis provides that SNPs in the promoter region lead to the absence of cis-elements BOXCPSAS1, LTRE1HVBLT49, SORLIP2AT and SITEIIATCYTC in *ALI-1* (**Figure 3f**), which is involved in the regulation of gene expression in meristematic tissues and/or proliferating cells (Hudson, 2003; Welchen, 2006).

Taken together, we speculate that SNPs in the promoter of awnless individuals result in the up-regulation of *ALI-1* and the consequent trace expression of *CDC25*, and this reduces the cytokinin content and simultaneously restrains the signal transduction of cytokinin, which leads to a stagnation of cell proliferation and reduction of cell number. As a consequence, the elongation of awn in *ALI-1* was inhibited and presented as very short awn phenotype. Due to a cascading effects of transcription factor on the downstream genes, *ALI-1* exhibits an exceeding inhibition on awn elongation that plant carries this allele (even in heterozygous state) to be awnless without the presence of *B2* or *Hd*. However, it's still unclear whether and how *ALI-1* directly regulates cytokinin concentrations.

### ***ALI-1* pleiotropically regulates awn and grain development**

The long spiculate awn with barbs severely hinders manual harvesting and storage; however, as a potential photosynthetic organ, awn could significantly increase the grain weight, especially under drought condition (Evans et al., 1972; Li et al., 2010). Except

enhancing photosynthesis source, *ali-1* also acts to remove sink limitation, providing a larger grain size, and might manipulate the carbon source-sink balance. *NSG*, the *ALI-1* homolog in rice, involved in the regulation of glume length (Wang et al., 2013), which was the key physiological factor limiting grain size in rice. In our NILs and GWAS population, an appreciable effect of *ALI-1* on GL and TGW was observed, which is consistent with previously reported QTL (Li et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2011; Wu et al., 2015). Moreover, analysis of grain growth process in the GWAS panel detected associated SNPs within the *ALI-1* region at 5 DPA and 10 DPA (unpublished), indicating that *ALI-1* involves the grain formation at the lag phase (Bennett et al., 1975). *bHLH99* showed significant sequence similarities with *OsRHL1*, *An-1*, and *PIL* genes, and it was predominantly expressed at the early grain development process, especially in the pericarp (**Figure 7d,e**). *An-1* prolongs cell division in the lemma, resulting in an increased cell number and grain length (Luo et al., 2013). *PGL1* mediates the grain elongation and increases grain weight by controlling cell elongation in lemma and palea (Heang and Sassa, 2012). Besides, *OsPIL1/OsPIL3* regulates internode elongation and plant height via cell wall-related genes in response to drought stress in rice (Todaka et al., 2012). Under drought condition, plant height was decreased and a notable increase in GL was obtained in *ali-1*. In addition, plasmodesma and cell wall were the most enriched cellular components GO terms in the down-regulated DEGs (**Figure 4g**). Taken together, *ALI-1* might negatively regulate the expression of *bHLH99* in the developing grains, resulting in a reduction of GL and TGW in awnless lines. Thus, silencing *ALI-1* and regulating its downstream target genes would theoretically increase the awn length and accordingly broaden the photosynthesis source and kernel sink simultaneously, which would provide an alternative strategy to improve wheat yield potential. Nevertheless, a better understanding of its mechanism in regulating the grain elongation is pre-requisite before its practical application in the wheat breeding program.

## 608 **Supplementary data**

609 Figure S1 Distribution and correlation coefficients of AL for the six environments in  
610 the GWAS panel.

611 Figure S2 Manhattan plots and quantile-quantile plots of AL for the six environments  
612 and the BULP value.

613 Figure S3 Genome regions showing strong association signals and the LD plot around  
614 the *qAL.5A.3\_BI* locus.

615 Figure S4 Top enriched GO terms and KEGG pathways in the up- and down-regulated  
616 genes.

617 Figure S5 Phenotypic performances of spike length, spikelet number per spike, plant  
618 height, grain width, spike number, and grain number per spike in the NILs.

619  
620 Table S1 Accessions used in the Genome-Wide-Association Study and their awn  
621 performance.

622 Table S2 Phenotype variation of awn length in the six environments.

623 Table S3 Analysis of variance of awn length in the GWAS panel.

624 Table S4 Significantly associated loci of wheat awn length identified in the GWAS.

625 Table S5 Descriptive statistics and ANOVA of awn length between haplotypes.

626 Table S6 Annotation of genes in the *qAL.5A.2* LD block.

627 Table S7 SNPs associated with wheat awn length around the *qAL.5A.3\_BI* locus.

628 Table S8 The  $\chi^2$  test of awn segregation of the four populations used in the mapping of  
629 *BI* locus.

630 Table S9 Haplotype analysis of *ALI-1* in 84 accessions.

631 Table S10 Primers used in this study.

## 632 **Acknowledgements**

633 This work was supported financially by National Key Research and Development  
634 Program of China (2016YFD0101004, 2016YFD0101802) and National Natural  
635 Science Foundation of China (31571643).

636 **Author's contributions**

637 DL and AZ conceived and supervised the study; DW, DL, KY, DJ, LS, JC, WW, WY,  
 638 JS, XL and PX conducted the research and analyzed the data; DW and DJ collected  
 639 phenotypic data; DW, KY, DL and KZ conducted the GWAS; DW, KY, DJ and WW  
 640 participated in the fine mapping; DW collected samples for RNA-Seq and quantitative  
 641 content determination of endogenous CKs and IAA. DW and KY contributed to  
 642 analyses of transcriptomic data; PX, JC conducted the quantitative content  
 643 determination of endogenous CKs and IAA, and JC, DW analyzed the data; DW and  
 644 DJ carried out the paraffin section; DJ and DW contributed to the determination of  
 645 transcriptional activation ability. DW, DL, and AZ prepared the manuscript. All  
 646 authors discussed the results and commented on the manuscript.

647 **Competing interests**

648 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

649



## 650 References

- 651 **Barrett, J.C., Fry, B., Maller, J. and Daly, M.J.** 2005. Haploview: analysis and  
652 visualization of LD and haplotype maps. *Bioinformatics* **21**, 263–265.
- 653 **Bassam, B.J., Caetano-Anollés, G. and Gresshoff, P.M.** 1991. Fast and sensitive  
654 silver staining of DNA in polyacrylamide gels. *Analytical Biochemistry* **196**, 80–83.
- 655 **Benjamini, Y. and Hochberg, Y.** 1995. Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A  
656 Practical and Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. *Journal of the Royal Statistical*  
657 *Society. Series B (Methodological)* **57**, 289–300.
- 658 **Bessho-Uehara, K., Wang, D.R., Furuta, T., et al.** 2016. Loss of function at *RAE2*, a  
659 previously unidentified EPFL, is required for awnlessness in cultivated Asian rice.  
660 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **113**, 8969–8974.
- 661 **Blum, A.** 1985. Photosynthesis and Transpiration in Leaves and Ears of Wheat and  
662 Barley Varieties. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **36**, 432–440.
- 663 **Borrill, P., Ramirez-Gonzalez, R. and Uauy, C.** 2016. expVIP: a Customizable  
664 RNA-seq Data Analysis and Visualization Platform. *Plant Physiology* **170**, 2172–2186.
- 665 **Consortium (IWGSC), T.I.W.G.S., Investigators, I.R. principal, Appels, R., et al.**  
666 2018. Shifting the limits in wheat research and breeding using a fully annotated  
667 reference genome. *Science* **361**, eaar7191.
- 668 **Du, Y., Liu, L., Li, M., Fang, S., Shen, X., Chu, J. and Zhang, Z.** 2017.  
669 *UNBRANCHED3* regulates branching by modulating cytokinin biosynthesis and  
670 signaling in maize and rice. *New Phytologist* **214**, 721–733.
- 671 **Duwayri, M.** 1984. Effect of flag leaf and awn removal on grain yield and yield  
672 components of wheat grown under dryland conditions. *Field Crops Research* **8**,  
673 307–313.
- 674 **Elbaum, R., Zaltzman, L., Burgert, I. and Fratzl, P.** 2007. The Role of Wheat Awns  
675 in the Seed Dispersal Unit. *Science* **316**, 884–886.
- 676 **Evans, L.T., Bingham, J., Jackson, P. and SUTHERLAND, J.** 1972. Effect of awns  
677 and drought on the supply of photosynthate and its distribution within wheat ears.  
678 *Annals of Applied Biology* **70**, 67–76.
- 679 **Fu, J., Chu, J., Sun, X., Wang, J. and Yan, C.** 2012. Simple, rapid, and simultaneous  
680 assay of multiple carboxyl containing phytohormones in wounded tomatoes by  
681 UPLC-MS/MS using single SPE purification and isotope dilution. *Analytical Sciences*  
682 **28**, 1081–1087.
- 683 **Gan, Y., Liu, C., Yu, H. and Broun, P.** 2007. Integration of cytokinin and gibberellin

- 684 signalling by Arabidopsis transcription factors *GIS*, *ZFP8* and *GIS2* in the regulation of  
685 epidermal cell fate. *Development* **134**, 2073–2081.
- 686 **Grundbacher, F.J.** 1963. The physiological function of the cereal awn. *The Botanical*  
687 *Review* **29**, 366–381.
- 688 **Gu, B., Zhou, T., Luo, J., et al.** 2015. *An-2* Encodes a Cytokinin Synthesis Enzyme  
689 that Regulates Awn Length and Grain Production in Rice. *Molecular Plant* **8**,  
690 1635–1650.
- 691 **Hao, Y.J., Song, Q.X., Chen, H.W., et al.** 2010. Plant NAC-type transcription factor  
692 proteins contain a NARD domain for repression of transcriptional activation. *Planta*  
693 **232**, 1033–1043.
- 694 **Hao, Y.J., Wei, W., Song, Q.X., et al.** 2011. Soybean NAC transcription factors  
695 promote abiotic stress tolerance and lateral root formation in transgenic plants. *The*  
696 *Plant Journal* **68**, 302–313.
- 697 **Heang, D. and Sassa, H.** 2012. Antagonistic Actions of HLH/bHLH Proteins Are  
698 Involved in Grain Length and Weight in Rice. *PloS one* **7**, e31325.
- 699 **Hua, L., Wang, D.R., Tan, L., et al.** 2015. *LABA1*, a Domestication Gene Associated  
700 with Long, Barbed Awns in Wild Rice. *The Plant Cell* **27**, 1875–1888.
- 701 **Hudson, M.E.** 2003. Identification of Promoter Motifs Involved in the Network of  
702 Phytochrome A-Regulated Gene Expression by Combined Analysis of Genomic  
703 Sequence and Microarray Data. *Plant physiology* **133**, 1605–1616.
- 704 **Ishii, T. and Ishikawa, R.** 2018. Domestication Loci Controlling Panicle Shape, Seed  
705 Shattering, and Seed Awning. In: T. Sasaki and M. Ashikari, eds. *Rice Genomics,*  
706 *Genetics and Breeding.* Singapore: Springer Singapore, 207–221.
- 707 **Jin, J., Hua, L., Zhu, Z., et al.** 2016. *GAD1* Encodes a Secreted Peptide That  
708 Regulates Grain Number, Grain Length and Awn Development in Rice Domestication.  
709 *The Plant Cell*, tpc.00379.2016.
- 710 **John, P.** 1998. Cytokinin stimulation of cell division: essential signal transduction is  
711 via cdc25 phosphatase. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **49**, 91.
- 712 **Kato, K., Miura, H., Akiyama, M., Kuroshima, M. and Sawada, S.** 1998. RFLP  
713 mapping of the three major genes, *Vrn1*, *Q* and *B1*, on the long arm of chromosome 5A  
714 of wheat. *Euphytica* **101**, 91–95.
- 715 **Kosuge, K., Watanabe, N., Kuboyama, T., Melnik, V.M., Yanchenko, V.I., Rosova,**  
716 **M.A. and Goncharov, N.P.** 2008. Cytological and microsatellite mapping of mutant  
717 genes for spherical grain and compact spikes in durum wheat. *Euphytica* **159**, 289–296.

- 718 **Kozich, J.J., Westcott, S.L., Baxter, N.T., Highlander, S.K. and Schloss, P.D.** 2013.  
719 Development of a Dual-Index Sequencing Strategy and Curation Pipeline for  
720 Analyzing Amplicon Sequence Data on the MiSeq Illumina Sequencing Platform.  
721 Applied and Environmental Microbiology **79**, 5112–5120.
- 722 **Lei, L., Jiajun, L., Xiang, X., Changcheng, L., Zefeng, Y. and Tao, L.** 2018.  
723 CAPS/dCAPS Designer: a web-based high-throughput dCAPS marker design tool.  
724 Science China Life Sciences **61**, 992–995.
- 725 **Li, H., Han, Y., Guo, X., Xue, F., Wang, C. and Ji, W.** 2015. Genetic effect of locus  
726 *B2* inhibiting awning in double-ditelosomic 6B of *Triticum durum* DR147. Genetic  
727 Resources and Crop Evolution **62**, 407–418.
- 728 **LI, M., YANG, R., LI, Y., CUI, G., WANG, Z., XI, Y. and LIU, S.** 2012. QTL  
729 Analysis of Kernel Characteristics Using a Recombinant Inbred Lines (RILs)  
730 Population Derived from the Cross of *Triticum polonicum* L. and *Triticum aestivum* L.  
731 Line" Zhong 13". Journal of Triticeae Crops **5**, 813–819..
- 732 **Li, X., Bin, D. and Hong-gang, W.** 2010. Awn anatomy of common wheat (*Triticum*  
733 *aestivum* L.) and its relatives. Caryologia **63**, 391–397.
- 734 **Li, X., Wang, H., Li, H., et al.** 2006. Awns play a dominant role in carbohydrate  
735 production during the grain-filling stages in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). Physiologia  
736 Plantarum **127**, 701–709.
- 737 **Li, Y., Fu, X., Zhao, M., et al.** 2018. A Genome-wide View of Transcriptome  
738 Dynamics During Early Spike Development in Bread Wheat. Scientific Reports **8**,  
739 15338.
- 740 **Liu, J., He, Z., Rasheed, A., et al.** 2017. Genome-wide association mapping of black  
741 point reaction in common wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). BMC Plant Biology **17**, 220.
- 742 **Lipavská, H., Mašková, P. and Vojvodová, P.** 2011. Regulatory dephosphorylation  
743 of CDK at G2/M in plants: yeast mitotic phosphatase *cdc25* induces cytokinin-like  
744 effects in transgenic tobacco morphogenesis. Annals of Botany **107**, 1071–1086.
- 745 **Liu, Y., Lin, Y., Gao, S., Li, Z., Ma, J., Deng, M., Chen, G., Wei, Y. and Zheng, Y.**  
746 2017. A genome-wide association study of 23 agronomic traits in Chinese wheat  
747 landraces. The Plant Journal **91**, 861–873.
- 748 **Luo, J., Liu, H., Zhou, T., et al.** 2013. *An-1* Encodes a Basic Helix-Loop-Helix  
749 Protein That Regulates Awn Development, Grain Size, and Grain Number in Rice. The  
750 Plant Cell **25**, 3360–3376.
- 751 **Mackay, I.J., Bansept-Basler, P., Barber, T., et al.** 2014. An Eight-Parent  
752 Multiparent Advanced Generation Inter-Cross Population for Winter-Sown Wheat:

- 753 Creation, Properties, and Validation. *G3: Genes, Genomes, Genetics* **4**, 1603–1610.
- 754 **Maia, L.C. da, Palmieri, D.A., Souza, V.Q. de, Kopp, M.M., Carvalho, F.I.F. de**  
755 **and Costa de Oliveira, A.** 2008. SSR Locator: Tool for Simple Sequence Repeat  
756 Discovery Integrated with Primer Design and PCR Simulation. *International Journal of*  
757 *Plant Genomics* **2008**, 1–9.
- 758 **Maydup, M.L., Antonietta, M., Guiamet, J.J., Graciano, C., López, J.R. and**  
759 **Tambussi, E.A.** 2010. The contribution of ear photosynthesis to grain filling in bread  
760 wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Field Crops Research* **119**, 48–58.
- 761 **Milner, S.G., Jost, M., Taketa, S., et al.** 2018. Genebank genomics highlights the  
762 diversity of a global barley collection. *Nature Genetics* **51**, 319–326.
- 763 **Müller, K.J., Romano, N., Gerstner, O., Garcia-Marotot, F., Pozzi, C., Salamini, F.**  
764 **and Rohde, W.** 1995. The barley *Hooded* mutation caused by a duplication in a  
765 homeobox gene intron. *Nature* **374**, 727–730.
- 766 **Olugbemi, L.B., Austin, R.B. and Bingham, J.** 1976. Effects of awns on the  
767 photosynthesis and yield of wheat, *Triticum aestivum*. *Annals of Applied Biology* **84**,  
768 241–250.
- 769 **Paolacci, A.R., Tanzarella, O.A., Porceddu, E. and Ciaffi, M.** 2009. Identification  
770 and validation of reference genes for quantitative RT-PCR normalization in wheat.  
771 *BMC Molecular Biology* **10**, 11.
- 772 **Payne, T., Johnson, S.D. and Koltunow, A.M.** 2004. *KNUCKLES (KNU)* encodes a  
773 C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> zinc-finger protein that regulates development of basal pattern elements of the  
774 *Arabidopsis* gynoecium. *Development* **131**, 3737–3749.
- 775 **Pearce, S., Huttly, A.K., Prosser, I.M., et al.** 2015. Heterologous expression and  
776 transcript analysis of gibberellin biosynthetic genes of grasses reveals novel  
777 functionality in the GA3ox family. *BMC Plant Biology* **15**, 130.
- 778 **Ramírez-González, R.H., Borrill, P., Lang, D., et al.** 2018. The transcriptional  
779 landscape of polyploid wheat. *Science* **361**, eaar6089.
- 780 **Sheoran S, Jaiswal S, Kumar D, et al.** 2019. Uncovering Genomic Regions  
781 Associated With 36 Agro-Morphological Traits in Indian Spring Wheat Using GWAS.  
782 *Frontiers in Plant Science* **10**, 527.
- 783 **Sorensen, A.E.** 1986. Seed Dispersal by Adhesion. *Annual Review of Ecology and*  
784 *Systematics* **17**, 443–463.
- 785 **Sourdille, P., Cadalen, T., Gay, G., Gill, B. and Bernard, M.** 2002. Molecular and  
786 physical mapping of genes affecting awning in wheat. *Plant Breeding* **121**, 320–324.

- 787 **Sourdille, P., Cadalen, T., Guyomarc'h, H., Snape, J., Perretant, M., Charmet, G.,**  
788 **Boeuf, C., Bernard, S. and Bernard, M.** 2003. An update of the Courtot × Chinese  
789 Spring intervarietal molecular marker linkage map for the QTL detection of agronomic  
790 traits in wheat. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **106**, 530–538.
- 791 **Sourdille, P., Singh, S., Cadalen, T., et al.** 2004. Microsatellite-based deletion bin  
792 system for the establishment of genetic-physical map relationships in wheat (*Triticum*  
793 *aestivum* L.). *Functional & Integrative Genomics* **4**, 12–25.
- 794 **Sun, L., Zhang, A., Zhou, Z., Zhao, Y., Yan, A., Bao, S., Yu, H. and Gan, Y.** 2015.  
795 *GLABROUS INFLORESCENCE STEMS3 (GIS3)* regulates trichome initiation and  
796 development in Arabidopsis. *New Phytologist* **206**, 220–230.
- 797 **Tarazona, S., García- Alcalde, F., Dopazo, J., Ferrer, A., and Conesa, A.** 2011.  
798 Differential expression in RNA-seq: A matter of depth. *Genome Research* **21**,  
799 2213–2223.
- 800 **Thorne, G.N.** 1965. Photosynthesis of Ears and Flag Leaves of Wheat and Barley.  
801 *Annals of Botany* **29**, 317–329.
- 802 **Todaka, D., Nakashima, K., Maruyama, K., et al.** 2012. Rice  
803 phytochrome-interacting factor-like protein *OsPIL1* functions as a key regulator of  
804 internode elongation and induces a morphological response to drought stress.  
805 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **109**, 15947–15952.
- 806 **Toriba, T. and Hirano, H.-Y.** 2014. The *DROOPING LEAF* and *OsETTIN2* genes  
807 promote awn development in rice. *The Plant Journal* **77**, 616–626.
- 808 **Vahamidis, P., Karamanos, A., Economou, G. and Fasseas, C.** 2014. A new scale for  
809 the assessment of wheat spike morphogenesis: A new scale for the assessment of wheat  
810 spike morphogenesis. *Annals of Applied Biology* **164**, 220–231.
- 811 **Van Ooijen, J.** 2006. JoinMap® 4, Software for the calculation of genetic linkage  
812 maps in experimental populations. *Kyazma BV, Wageningen*, **33**.
- 813 **Voorrips, R.E.** 2002. MapChart: Software for the Graphical Presentation of Linkage  
814 Maps and QTLs. *Journal of Heredity* **93**, 77–78.
- 815 **Wang, N., Li, Y., Sang, X., Ling, Y., Zhao, F., Yang, Z. and He, G.** 2013. *nonstop*  
816 *glumes (nsg)*, a novel mutant affects spikelet development in rice. *Genes & Genomics*  
817 **35**, 149–157.
- 818 **Wang, T., Zou, T., He, Z., et al.** *GRAIN LENGTH AND AWN 1* negatively regulates  
819 grain size in rice. *Journal of Integrative Plant Biology*. doi:10.1111/jipb.12736.
- 820 **Watkins, A.E. and Ellerton, S.** 1940. Variation and genetics of the awn in *Triticum*.  
821 *Journal of Genetics* **40**, 243–70.

- 822 **Welchen, E.** 2006. Overrepresentation of Elements Recognized by TCP-Domain  
823 Transcription Factors in the Upstream Regions of Nuclear Genes Encoding  
824 Components of the Mitochondrial Oxidative Phosphorylation Machinery. *Plant*  
825 *physiology* **141**, 540–545.
- 826 **Weyhrich, R.A., Carver, B.F. and Smith, E.L.** 1994. Effect of Awn Suppression on  
827 Grain Yield and Agronomic Traits in Hard Red Winter Wheat. *Crop Science* **34**,  
828 965–969.
- 829 **Yang, N., Lu, Y., Yang, X., et al.** 2014. Genome Wide Association Studies Using a  
830 New Nonparametric Model Reveal the Genetic Architecture of 17 Agronomic Traits in  
831 an Enlarged Maize Association Panel. *PloS Genetics* **10**, e1004573.
- 832 **Yoshioka, M., Iehisa, J.C.M., Ohno, R., Kimura, T., Enoki, H., Nishimura, S.,**  
833 **Nasuda, S. and Takumi, S.** 2017. Three dominant awnless genes in common wheat:  
834 Fine mapping, interaction and contribution to diversity in awn shape and length. *PloS*  
835 *one* **12**, e0176148.
- 836 **Yu, G., Wang, L.G., Han, Y. and He, Q.Y.** 2012. clusterProfiler: an R Package for  
837 Comparing Biological Themes Among Gene Clusters. *Omics: a journal of integrative*  
838 *biology* **16**, 284–287.
- 839 **Yuo, T., Yamashita, Y., Kanamori, H., Matsumoto, T., Lundqvist, U., Sato, K.,**  
840 **Ichii, M., Jobling, S.A. and Taketa, S.** 2012. A *SHORT INTERNODES (SHI)* family  
841 transcription factor gene regulates awn elongation and pistil morphology in barley.  
842 *Journal of Experimental Botany* **63**, 5223–5232.
- 843 **Zhang, Y., Zhang, Z., Sun, X., et al.** Natural alleles of *GLA* for grain length and awn  
844 development were differently domesticated in rice subspecies japonica and indica.  
845 *Plant Biotechnology Journal*. doi:10.1111/pbi.13080



## 846 **Figure legends**

### 847 **Figure 1 Genome-wide association study of awn length among the 364 wheat**

848 **accessions.** (a) Manhattan plots for BULP value of AL identifies 25 SAL across the 21  
849 chromosomes using the mixed linear model (MLM). The  $-\log_{10}(P)$  values from a  
850 genome-wide scan are plotted against positions on 21 chromosomes. Blue and red  
851 horizontal dashed lines indicate the genome-wide significance threshold of  $P=10^{-5}$  and  
852  $P=10^{-7}$ , respectively. Gray solid vertical lines were used to depict the QTL of AL.  
853 Labels in red and black indicate the QTL overlapping with reported gene/QTL and new  
854 QTL, respectively. (b) Quantile-quantile plot of MLM for AL. The solid red line  
855 indicates the expected values. (c) Haplotypes and their distribute frequency of SAL  
856 among the wheat natural population. The boxes cover the twenty-fifth to seventy-fifth  
857 percentiles with a middle line indicates median, the whiskers outside the box extend to  
858 the  $\pm 1.5$  SD. AL of each accession and their normal distribution are displayed by the  
859 box using black dots and blue curve. The differences of mean values among haplotypes  
860 were tested using the Fisher LSD test. \*,  $P < 0.05$ , \*\*,  $P < 0.01$ . The violin plot shows a  
861 smoothed approximation of the frequency distribution (a kernel density plot) was used  
862 to compare haplotype combinations of *qAL.5A.2* and *qAL.5A.3\_B1*, a standard box plot  
863 is represented within the violin plot, with the mean value of the distribution shown as a  
864 white dot. The Tukey's original box plot and the violin plot were plotted using software  
865 OriginPro, Version 2019.

### 866 **Figure 2 The *qAL.5A.3\_B1* locus was fine mapped to a 125 kb interval harboring**

867 **two genes.** (a,b) The awn performance of YS-F<sub>2</sub>, NN-F<sub>2</sub>, and NILs. Spikes of parents  
868 YMZ/S4185 (YS-F<sub>2</sub> population) and NK/ND3214 (NN-F<sub>2</sub> population and NILs) were  
869 displayed (a) and the AL in the YS-F<sub>2</sub> population, NN-F<sub>2</sub> population and NILs were  
870 measured (b). (c) A circos plot indicating BSA enrichment peaks overlapping with  
871 *qAL.5A.3\_B1* locus. The relative frequency distribution of chip SNPs per 1 Mb in each  
872 chromosome was displayed in the innermost cycle using heatmap and histogram plot.  
873 The density of SNPs positively correlated with the color depth in the heatmap. The  
874 second inner circle indicates 21 chromosomes of wheat, with the physical location

marked in a scale of 10 Mb. The histogram plot of associated SNPs in the GWAS (using  $-\log_{10}(P\text{-values})$  of SNP in BLUP data) was displayed in the outermost layer, and the histogram plots indicate the distribution of polymorphic SNPs frequency on each chromosome in NN-F<sub>2</sub>-Pool1, NN-F<sub>2</sub>-Pool2 and YS-F<sub>2</sub> were displayed in outer layer 2–4, respectively. The polymorphic SNPs frequency was defined as the ratio of polymorphic SNPs in the total SNPs per 1 Mb in each chromosome. The *qLA.5A.3\_B1* region was surrounded by a red dotted box and indicated by an arrow. The circos plot was drawn in TBtools. (d) The fine mapping of *B1* locus using bi-parental mapping populations. The physical locations of markers were marked on the physical map on chromosome 5A of Chinese Spring IWGSC RefSeq V1.0. The linkage map of each population and the physical map were lined together by consensus genetic markers using dashed lines, and the *B1* mapping intervals in each population were filled with cyan or blue. The genes in and around the 125 kb *B1* interval were displayed.

**Figure 3 The expression patterns, sequence analysis, and phylogenetic analysis of candidate genes.** (a) The time-course expression bias of homolog genes of *TraesCS5A02G542800* and *TraesCS5A02G542900* in Chinese Spring and Azhurnaya. Each yellow circle represents for the expression ratio of A, B, D homolog genes at a specific tissue and stage in CS or Azhurnaya. (b) The expression level of *TraesCS5A02G542800* and *TraesCS5A02G542900* in Chinese Spring at different tissue and stage. (c) The dynamic expression of *TraesCS5A02G542800* and *TraesCS5A02G542900* in KN9204 during the spike developmental process. (d) The relative expression levels of *TraesCS5A02G542800* and *TraesCS5A02G542900* in spikes of three pairs of NILs based on the internal control gene *Ta4045*. Error bars indicate SD. Each reaction was performed in three technical repeats. (e) The RNA-Seq data FPKM of homolog genes *TraesCS5A02G542800*, *TraesCS4B02G345000* and *TraesCS4D02G340000* in spikes of three pairs of NILs. (f) Consensus sequence variants identified between YMZ/S4185 and NK/ND3214 in the promoter region of *TraesCS5A02G542800*. (g) The neighbor-joining tree of *ALI-1* clustered with all C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> genes in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. The gene name marked in red (*TraesCS5A02G542800*)



904 indicates *ALI-1*.

905 **Figure 4 Transcriptome profiling of three pairs of NILs.** (a) Differentially  
906 expressed genes identified from NIL1-Awnless vs. NIL1-Awned, NIL2-Awnless vs  
907 NIL2-Awned and NIL3-Awnless vs NIL3-Awned. (b) The number of genes with  
908 different expression pattern in three pairs of NILs. “Up”, “Down” and “\*” indicates  
909 up-regulated genes, down-regulated genes and non-differentially-expressed genes in  
910 *ALI-1* lines, respectively. The numbers indicate gene numbers in each type. (c) The  
911 number of genes assigned to each GO terms in “biological process”, “cellular  
912 component” and “molecular function” of the 478 DEGs. (d,e) Dot plots of the top 20  
913 GO terms of the up-regulated DEGs (d) and down-regulated DEGs (e) enriched in three  
914 pairs of NILs. GO terms were aligned with DEGs and considered to be significantly  
915 enriched with adjusting *P*-value < 0.05. The degree of enrichment was defined as the  
916  $\text{GeneRatio} = N_{\text{GO Terms}} / N_{\text{All DEGs}}$ , in which  $N_{\text{GO Terms}}$  represents the number of DEGs in  
917 a specified GO term (“Count” in the GO plots) and  $N_{\text{All DEGs}}$  for the number of DEGs  
918 in all GO terms. (f,g) The dot plots of the top 20 GO terms enriched in the “cellular  
919 component” of the up-regulated DEGs (f) and down-regulated DEGs (g) enriched in  
920 three pairs of NILs.

921 **Figure 5 Transcriptional activation analysis, endogenous phytohormone**  
922 **quantitative analyses, and histological observation of *ALI-1*.** (a) Heatmap of the 24  
923 overlapped DEGs in three pairs of NILs. The expression level of each sample is print as  
924 deep blue representing the lowest value to deep red representing the highest value in the  
925 heat map. The gene marked in red indicates *ALI-1*, while genes marked in blue indicate  
926 possible direct downstream target genes. (b) Vectors used in the dual-luciferase reporter  
927 (DLR) assay system. (c) *ALI-1* strongly suppresses the luciferase activity of  
928 GAL4-LUC. The relative luciferase activity was measured, using the  
929 Pro<sub>35S</sub>:GAL4DBD and Pro<sub>35S</sub>:GAL4DBD:VP16 as a negative control and positive  
930 control, respectively. The error bars indicate SD from six independent measures of each  
931 analysis. (d) Endogenous IAA content and CKs contents in three pairs of NILs. The  
932 error bars indicate SD. One-way ANOVA test was used to determine the significance of

the difference between awnless and awned lines. \*\*,  $P < 0.01$ , \*,  $P < 0.05$ . (e) The awn microscopic structure of awned (left) and awnless (right) plants. The red arrows indicate the cells used to the measurement of cell length and the irregular boxes depict the shape of cells. Bar = 100  $\mu$ m. (f) The cell length and cell number in the awns of awnless and awned plants. The cell lengths of each sample were measured on three serial sections at the upper, middle, and bottom parts of awn. Cell number for the entire length of an awn was estimated based on the length of awns. Error bars show  $\pm$  SD. One-way ANOVA test was used to determine the significance of the difference between awnless and awned lines. \*\*,  $P < 0.01$ , ns,  $P > 0.05$ .

**Figure 6 Effects of *ALI-1* on the yield-related traits.** (a-l) The TGW (a-d), GL (e-h) and grain yield (i-l) performance of each line at environment E1–E4. The boxes cover the twenty-fifth to seventy-fifth percentiles with a middle line indicates median, the whiskers outside the box extend to the  $\pm 1.5$  SD. TGW, GL and grain yield of individuals are displayed using gray dots. The significance of differences in TGW, GL and grain yield between awnless and awned lines were tested using one-way ANOVA. \*\*,  $P < 0.01$ , \*,  $P < 0.05$ , ns,  $P > 0.05$ .

**Figure 7 *ALI-1* reduces TGW by suppressing grain length.** (a) Distribution and correlation coefficients of AL (AL), grain length (GL), grain width (GW), and TGW of 2018-R1 in the GWAS panel. The frequency distribution of AL, GL, GW, and TGW was shown in the histogram at the diagonal cells. The X-Y scatter plot with the adjusted Pearson's coefficients, and the corresponding Pearson's coefficients between each trait were showed at the upper- and lower-triangle panel. \*\*\*,  $P < 0.001$  in the multiple comparison significant test. (b) The grain length and TGW of haplotypes based on the genotype at *qAL.5A.3\_B1* locus. The boxes cover the twenty-fifth to seventy-fifth percentiles with a middle line indicates median. The whiskers outside the box extend to the  $\pm 1.5$  SD. GL and TGW of each individual are displayed using gray dots. The significance of differences in GL and TGW between different haplotypes was tested using one-way ANOVA. \*\*,  $P < 0.01$ . (c) Comparison of the TGW (fresh weight) and grain length between the NIL<sup>*ALI-1*</sup> and NIL<sup>*ali-1*</sup> during grain development at 5, 10, 15, 20,

25, 30 and 35 DPA in 2018–2019 field trials. \*\*,  $P < 0.01$ . The error bars indicate SD.

(d) The dynamic expression of *bHLH99* during grain development in 17 Chinese cultivars. FPKM in the RNA-Seq data were used, two biological repetitions were carried out for each sample. (e) The expression level of *bHLH99* in different layers of the developing wheat grain at 12 DPA.

**Figure 8 The haplotype analysis and candidate gene analysis of *Hd* locus.** (a) The haplotype analysis of *Hd* locus. The violin plot with a standard box plot inside was used to compare the AL between two haplotypes, with mean values linked by a red dash line. One-way ANOVA test was used to determine the significance of difference, and the violin plot were plotted using software OriginPro, Version 2019. (b) The expression pattern of *TaDL* at different time/tissue in Chinese Spring. (c) Sequence alignment of the *DL* gene and its homolog *TaDL* in Chinese Spring (*TaDL\_CS*) and AK58 (*TaDL\_AK58*). The Zinc-finger domain and YABBY domain were annotated and the S>T amino acid substitution in AK58 indicated with an asterisk and a box.



















