

Clinical Efficacy and Adverse Effects of Antibiotics Used to Treat

Mycobacterium abscessus Pulmonary Disease

Jianhui Chen^{1, 2*}, Lan Zhao^{1*}, Yanhua Mao^{1*}, Meiping Ye¹, Qi Guo^{1, 2}, Yongjie Zhang^{1, 2}, Liyun Xu¹, Zhemin Zhang¹, Bing Li^{1#}, Haiqing Chu^{1, 3#}

¹Department of Respiratory Medicine, Shanghai Pulmonary Hospital, Tongji University School of Medicine, Shanghai 200433, China

²Tongji University School of Medicine, Shanghai 200092, China

³Shanghai Key Laboratory of Tuberculosis, Shanghai Pulmonary Hospital, Tongji University School of Medicine, Shanghai 200433, China

*These authors contributed equally to this work.

Running title: Efficacy & adverse effects of anti-*M. abscessus* drugs

#Correspondent: Haiqing Chu, Department of Respiratory Medicine, Shanghai Pulmonary Hospital, Tongji University School of Medicine, No.507 Zhengmin Road, Shanghai 200433, China, E-mail: chu_haiqing@126.com.

#Co-correspondent: Bing Li, Department of Respiratory Medicine, Shanghai Pulmonary Hospital, Tongji University School of Medicine, No. 507 Zhengmin Road, Shanghai 200433, China, E-mail: libing044162@163.com

Abstract

Treatment of *Mycobacterium abscessus* pulmonary infection requires long-term administration of multiple antibiotics. Little is known, however, about the impact of each antibiotic on treatment outcomes. A retrospective analysis was conducted to evaluate the efficacy and adverse effects of antibiotics administered in 244 cases of *M. abscessus* pulmonary disease. Only 110 (45.1%) patients met the criteria for treatment success. Treatment with amikacin (AOR, 3.275; 95% CI, 1.221 - 8.788), imipenem (AOR, 2.078; 95% CI, 1.151 - 3.753), linezolid (AOR, 2.231; 95% CI, 1.078 - 4.616) and tigecycline (AOR, 2.040; 95% CI, 1.079 - 3.857) was successful. The incidence of adverse effects was high (192/244, 78.7%). Severe adverse effects were primarily: ototoxicity (14/60, 23.3%) caused by amikacin; gastrointestinal (14/60, 23.3%) caused by tigecycline; and myelosuppression (5/60, 8.3%) caused by linezolid. In conclusion, the rate of success in treating *M. abscessus* pulmonary disease is still unsatisfactory; the administration of amikacin, imipenem, linezolid and tigecycline correlated with increased treatment success. Adverse side effects are common due to the long-term and combined antibiotic therapy. Ototoxicity, gastrointestinal and myelosuppression are the most severe.

Keywords: *Mycobacterium abscessus*, pulmonary disease, drug, efficacy, adverse effect.

Introduction

The incidence of pulmonary infections caused by non-tuberculous mycobacteria (NTM) has increased dramatically worldwide in recent years (1-3). Among them, *Mycobacterium abscessus* (*M. abscessus*) infections are the most difficult to manage (4, 5). *M. abscessus* infections, which are even refractory to combined, long-term antibiotic therapy, often result in mortality.

M. abscessus treatment is challenging, albeit effective treatment options are evolving. In 2007, the American Thoracic Society (ATS)/Infectious Disease Society of America (IDSA) introduced a clarithromycin-based multidrug therapy with amikacin plus cefoxitin or imipenem administered parenterally (6). In 2017, the British Thoracic Society guidelines recommended a revision in antibiotic therapy that consisted of intravenous amikacin, tigecycline, and imipenem with a macrolide, e.g., clarithromycin, for the initial treatment phase (7). This was followed by a continuation phase composed of nebulized amikacin and a macrolide in combination with additional oral antibiotics. It was further recommended that selection of a specific agent should consider the antibiotic susceptibility of the isolate and the antibiotic tolerance of the patient.

Patients with pulmonary disease due to *M. abscessus* infection require long-term treatment with multiple antibiotics. Little is known about the impact of each antibiotic on treatment outcomes. Recently, the NTM International Network released a consensus statement defining the treatment outcomes of NTM pulmonary disease, allowing for a better evaluation of the efficacy of each antibiotic used in

clinical studies (8). Using this criteria, Kwak and colleagues conducted an excellent meta-analysis of 14 studies with detailed individual patient data (9). Patients treated with azithromycin, amikacin or imipenem exhibited better outcomes, emphasizing the import of different therapeutic approaches. However, two important antibiotics specifically recommended in the 2017 British Thoracic Society guidelines, i.e., linezolid and tigecycline, were not used or administered in very few cases. Moreover, despite identifying the antibiotics most effective, the adverse effects of these antibiotics were not considered.

We previously reported a series of studies demonstrating the antibiotic susceptibility of clinical *M. abscessus* isolates and the treatment outcomes of patients diagnosed with *M. abscessus* pulmonary disease (10-13). A number of cases accumulated during the course of these studies dealt with the long-term treatment with antibiotics, including linezolid and tigecycline; the adverse effects of antibiotic treatment were well documented. The retrospective analysis reported herein was undertaken to evaluate the efficacy and adverse effect of a variety of antibiotics used to treat *M. abscessus* pulmonary disease. The results of this analysis should facilitate therapeutic choices in clinical practice.

Material and Methods

Study population

A retrospective review was conducted of the medical records of all patients entering Shanghai Pulmonary Hospital between January 2012 and December 2017 with *M. abscessus* lung disease. The inclusion criteria were: 1) age >16 years; 2) underwent initial diagnosis and treatment at the Shanghai Pulmonary Hospital in accordance with the 2007 ATS/IDSA Guidelines or 2017 British Thoracic Society Guidelines; 3) follow-up period lasting more than 12 months. Exclusion criteria were: 1) age <16 years; 2) co-infection with active tuberculosis or another NTM; 3) refusal to sign informed consent form; 4) AIDS. Notably, patients with cystic fibrosis were never found and are essentially nonexistent in Asia. A detailed, patient enrollment flow chart is shown in Figure 1. This study was approved by the Ethics Committees of Shanghai Pulmonary Hospital and Tongji University School of Medicine, ethics number K17-150. All participants signed informed consent forms before enrollment.

Collection, identification and preservation of bacteria

All clinical *M. abscessus* isolates used in this study were preserved in the Clinical Microbiology Laboratory of Shanghai Pulmonary Hospital. Shanghai Pulmonary Hospital is one of the designated treatment centers for tuberculosis and NTM in China, attracting NTM cases nationwide. *M. abscessus* isolates were obtained from sputum and bronchoalveolar lavage fluid. The detailed process of *M. abscessus* identification was described previously by us using

rpoB, *erm*(41) and *PRA-hsp65* genes to identify and differentiate *abscessus*, *massiliense* and *bolletii* subspecies (13). *M. abscessus* subsp. *bolletii* is extremely rare and, therefore, was excluded. Identified isolates, stored at -80° C, were recovered for microbiology and molecular biology studies.

Genotype analysis

Genomic information of *rpoB*, *erm*(41) and *PRA-hsp65* genes for 182 isolates was obtained by whole genome sequencing, which was available at DDBJ/ENA/GenBank under the bioproject PRJNA448987, PRJNA398137, and PRJNA488058. The genotype of the remaining isolates was determined by PCR and sequencing the *rpoB*, *erm*(41) and *PRA-hsp65* genes.

Treatment regimen

All patients were treated with antibiotics recommended by the ATS/IDSA or British Thoracic Society guidelines (6, 7). Clarithromycin, azithromycin, amikacin, tigecycline, linezolid, imipenem, meropenem, cefoxitin, ciprofloxacin, moxifloxacin, doxycycline, minocycline and levofloxacin (among the most common antibiotics used to treat *M. abscessus* infections) were included in the analysis.

Treatment efficacy and adverse drug effects

Treatment outcomes were defined in accordance with the NTM International Network consensus statement (8), a microbiological cure was considered successful treatment. Evaluation of chest images and symptoms was determined by the treating physician.

Adverse drug effects and the drugs responsible were confirmed by referring to the medical records.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS version 20 (IBM Corporation, Chicago, IL, USA). Group comparisons for continuous data were performed using Mann-Whitney U-test. Group comparisons of proportions were made using Pearson's Chi-squared test or Fisher's exact test. Multivariable logistic regression was used to confirm the association of specific drug use with treatment success, symptomatic and radiographic improvement, adjusting for age, sex, BMI and radiographic features. Statistical significance was set at a two-sided p value of less than 0.05.

Results

Patient characteristics

Two hundred and forty-four patients who conformed to the recruitment criteria were enrolled. Among them, 75.8% of the patients were infected with *M. abscessus* subsp. *abscessus*; 24.2% were infected with *M. abscessus* subsp. *massiliense* (Table 1). Patients experiencing *M. abscessus* pulmonary disease were 73.0% female and had relatively low body mass indices. Most of the patients had comorbidities consisting of prior TB/NTM infection or bronchiectasis. The main symptoms were cough and sputum production. The proportion of pulmonary disease patients infected with *M. abscessus* subsp. *abscessus* exhibited higher fibrocavitary and lower nodular bronchiectasis in chest images relative to patients infected with *M. abscessus* subsp. *massiliense*.

Treatment outcomes and modalities

Only 45.1% of total patients (110/244) met the criteria for treatment success (Table 2). Among them, 62 patients were infected with *M. abscessus* subsp. *abscessus*; 48 were infected with *M. abscessus* subsp. *massiliense* group. A significantly higher treatment success rate was observed among patients infected with *M. abscessus* subsp. *massiliense* compared to those infected with the subsp. *abscessus*. The highest success rate was observed among patients treated with amikacin, imipenem, linezolid and tigecycline. For pulmonary disease patients infected with *M. abscessus* subsp. *abscessus*, treatment success was more frequently associated the administration of

azithromycin rather than clarithromycin. None of the drugs was particularly successful when used to treat *M. abscessus* subsp. *massiliense* infected patients. As expected, the duration of treatment was longer for all patients in the treatment failure, compared to the successfully-treated, group. Similarly, successfully-treated patients infected with *M. abscessus* subsp. *abscessus* (but not subsp. *massiliense*) experienced a shorter period of treatment. The association between drug treatment, and both symptomatic and radiographic improvements is shown in Supplementary Tables 1 and 2, respectively.

Effect of individual drugs on treatment outcomes

Treatment with either amikacin, imipenem, linezolid or tigecycline alone was successful for all pulmonary disease patients (Table 3). Specifically, patients infected with *M. abscessus* subsp. *abscessus* were successfully treated with azithromycin, amikacin, imipenem or linezolid. Amikacin was the only antibiotic that exerted a positive effect on the outcome of pulmonary, *M. abscessus* subsp. *massiliense* infections. The association between each drug, and symptomatic and radiographic improvements was subjected to multivariable logistic regression analysis (Supplementary Tables 3 and 4).

Adverse effects of antibiotics

One hundred ninety-two of the 244 patients enrolled in the study experienced 319 adverse events caused by therapeutic intervention (Table 4). The most frequent adverse events were gastrointestinal complaints that included nausea, vomiting,

diarrhea and abdominal pain. Hematologic toxicity and nephrotoxicity were the next most frequent events reported. Most of these were mild, tolerable and did not result in disability or death. Serious adverse reactions, however, occurred in 60 (24.6%) patients resulting in a discontinuation or modification of the treatment regimen. Notably, severe myelosuppression was mainly a consequence of linezolid treatment, gastrointestinal side effects were primarily due to tigecycline, and amikacin caused most cases of serious ototoxicity and nephrotoxicity. Fortunately, all the side effects disappeared or were remarkably alleviated after changes in the treatment regimen.

Discussion

The study reported here evaluated the efficacy and adverse effect of different antibiotics used to treat patients with pulmonary disease caused by *M. abscessus*. A variety of antibiotics recommended by the British Thoracic Society guidelines were analyzed including linezolid and tigecycline, two important drugs recently used more frequently. While the overall rate of treatment success is still very low, the use of amikacin, imipenem, linezolid and tigecycline was associated with increased success. The overall safety of macrolide-based regimens was moderately satisfactory insofar as no fatalities or disabilities resulted from treatment, however, the total incidence of adverse effects was high. There were cases in which the patient was unable to tolerate one or more potentially effective drugs, i.e., azithromycin, amikacin, imipenem, linezolid and tigecycline, during the course of treatment.

Two recent meta-analyses reported disappointing treatment outcomes for *M. abscessus* pulmonary disease; the therapeutic efficiency rates were 54% and 45.6% for all patients, and 35% and 33.0% for patients diagnosed with pulmonary, *M. abscessus* subsp. *abscessus* infections (9, 14). Similar rates of treatment success are reported here, i.e., 45.1% for all cases of *M. abscessus* pulmonary disease and 33.5% for cases involving *M. abscessus* subsp. *abscessus*. As such, the therapeutic efficacy of *M. abscessus* pulmonary disease continues to be unsatisfactory, and is even worse for *M. abscessus* subsp. *abscessus* infections.

Amikacin exhibits a high level of antibacterial activity and a low rate of resistance *in vitro*; its successful use to treat pulmonary, *M. abscessus* infections has

been reported (15, 16). Indeed, amikacin administered parenterally is regarded as one of the most active antibiotics available to treat *M. abscessus* pulmonary disease (6). Consistent with this perception, amikacin administered in our study was strongly associated with the alleviation of symptoms and treatment success suggesting that amikacin remains an ideal, first choice for treating *M. abscessus* infections. Clinicians should be aware, however, that amikacin is ototoxic. As such, blood concentration of amikacin should be monitored continually to ensure safety.

The anti-*M. abscessus* activity of imipenem *in vitro* is variable; bacterial resistance was over 60% in some studies (12, 17, 18). Imipenem was efficacious, however, in treating pulmonary *M. abscessus* disease in our study. Similar results were reported by Kwak et al. [9]. The elevated antimicrobial activity expressed by imipenem intracellularly provides one plausible explanation for the apparent difference in activity exhibited *in vitro* versus *in vivo* (19). In this regard, the high *in vivo* killing activity of imipenem in an embryonic zebrafish test system was reported (20). Moreover, it is likely that the combination of imipenem with other antibiotics has a synergistic or additive effect, which contributes to the treatment success associated with imipenem (21, 22). Notably, imipenem caused the fewest severe, adverse side effects among the four dominant drugs (i.e., amikacin, imipenem, linezolid and tigecycline) identified in this study suggesting that it should be included as a treatment option provided *in vitro* sensitivity testing demonstrates the susceptibility of the clinical *M. abscessus* isolate.

Accumulated evidence suggests that linezolid possesses elevated anti-*M.*

abscessus activity. Recently, we reported the high activity expressed by linezolid *in vitro* against clinical *M. abscessus* isolates collected from patients with lung diseases (10). A study conducted using a *Drosophila melanogaster*-infection model demonstrated the anti-*M. abscessus* activity of linezolid *in vivo* (23); the successful use of linezolid in treating clinical *M. abscessus* infections was also reported (24). These results are supported by data presented here. Better outcomes occurred when linezolid was a component of multi-drug therapy used to treat *M. abscessus* pulmonary disease. Linezolid has the advantage that it can be administered orally. It penetrates well into both extracellular fluid and cells, making linezolid one of the more important options for treating *M. abscessus* infections (25). Linezolid-induced myelosuppression, however, was the most severe event leading to treatment intervention in our study. Considering its high price and limited availability in some areas, linezolid may be a more appropriate secondary treatment choice, especially when antibiotic sensitivity testing demonstrates alternatives.

Tigecycline exhibits the potentially strongest antibacterial activity of any antibiotic against *M. abscessus in vitro*. One study conducted in Japan showed it exerts 100% bacteriostasis against *M. abscessus* at very low concentrations (MIC ≤ 0.5 $\mu\text{g/ml}$), which is far superior to the antibacterial effect of clarithromycin (62%) and linezolid (77%) at the CLSI recommended breakpoint (26). Similar results were found in both France (90%, MIC ≤ 1 $\mu\text{g/mL}$) and China (94.3%, MIC ≤ 2 $\mu\text{g/mL}$) (27, 28). Moreover, the combination of tigecycline with

clarithromycin *in vitro* produces synergistic antibacterial effects against *M. abscessus* (29). Tigecycline also showed excellent therapeutic effects against *M. abscessus* infection in a clinical study. Wallace and colleagues reported that daily treatment of *M. abscessus* disease with 50-100 mg tigecycline for 1 month resulted in a clinical remission rate that exceeded 60% (30). Tigecycline also proved superior in treating *M. abscessus* infections in the study reported here, supporting the British Thoracic Society guidelines that list tigecycline as a first-line solution for treating *M. abscessus* infections (7). It is pertinent to note that tigecycline-treated patients often suffered from severe nausea and vomiting.

The study described herein has several limitations. First, it is a retrospective analysis of data obtained at single center, which could limit the generalization and accuracy of the results. Second, only a relatively small number of *M. abscessus* subsp. *massiliense* infected cases were included, consequently, their characteristics may not be representative. Third, due to the simultaneous administration of multiple antibiotics, conclusions regarding the adverse effects of one may be inaccurate. Finally, the efficacy of newly adopted drugs (e.g., clofazimine) or routes of administration (inhaled amikacin) could not be adequately explored due to administration in very few cases.

In conclusion, the success rate of *M. abscessus* pulmonary disease treatment is still unsatisfactory, albeit the use of amikacin, imipenem, linezolid and tigecycline is associated with increased treatment success. Adverse effects are common due to the long-term and combined anti-*M. abscessus* therapy. Ototoxicity caused by amikacin,

273 gastrointestinal side effects caused by tigecycline and myelosuppression caused
274 by linezolid were the most severe adverse effects observed.
275

Acknowledgements

This project was supported by grants obtained from the: National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 81672063 and 81800003); Natural Science Foundation of Shanghai Municipal Science and Technology Commission (no. 18ZR1431600); Medical Guide Program of Shanghai Science and Technology Committee (no.18411970600 and 19411969600); New Frontier Technology Joint Project of Municipal Hospital, Shanghai Shenkang Hospital Development Center (No. SHDC12017113); and Project of top clinical medicine centers and key disciplines construction in Shanghai (no. 2017ZZ02012).

Dr. Stephen H. Gregory (Providence, RI, USA) helped write and edit this manuscript.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

1. Lee H, Myung W, Koh WJ, Moon SM, Jhun BW. 2019. Epidemiology of Nontuberculous Mycobacterial Infection, South Korea, 2007-2016. *Emerg Infect Dis* 25:569-572.
2. Lin C, Russell C, Soll B, Chow D, Bamrah S, Brostrom R, Kim W, Scott J, Bankowski MJ. 2018. Increasing Prevalence of Nontuberculous Mycobacteria in Respiratory Specimens from US-Affiliated Pacific Island Jurisdictions(1). *Emerg Infect Dis* 24:485-491.
3. Hoefsloot W, van Ingen J, Andrejak C, Angeby K, Bauriaud R, Bemer P, Beylis N, Boeree MJ, Cacho J, Chihota V, Chimara E, Churchyard G, Cias R, Daza R, Daley CL, Dekhuijzen PN, Domingo D, Drobniewski F, Esteban J, Fauville-Dufaux M, Folkvardsen DB, Gibbons N, Gomez-Mampaso E, Gonzalez R, Hoffmann H, Hsueh PR, Indra A, Jagielski T, Jamieson F, Jankovic M, Jong E, Keane J, Koh WJ, Lange B, Leao S, Macedo R, Mannsaker T, Marras TK, Maugein J, Milburn HJ, Mlinko T, Morcillo N, Morimoto K, Papaventsis D, Palenque E, Paez-Pena M, Piersimoni C, Polanova M, Rastogi N, Richter E, et al. 2013. The geographic diversity of nontuberculous mycobacteria isolated from pulmonary samples: an NTM-NET collaborative study. *Eur Respir J* 42:1604-13.
4. Griffith DE. 2019. *Mycobacterium abscessus* and antibiotic resistance: Same as it ever was. *Clin Infect Dis* doi:10.1093/cid/ciz071.
5. Nessar R, Cambau E, Reytrat JM, Murray A, Gicquel B. 2012. *Mycobacterium*

310 *abscessus*: a new antibiotic nightmare. J Antimicrob Chemother 67:810-8.

311 6. Griffith DE, Aksamit T, Brown-Elliott BA, Catanzaro A, Daley C, Gordin F,
312 Holland SM, Horsburgh R, Huitt G, Iademarco MF, Iseman M, Olivier K,
313 Ruoss S, von Reyn CF, Wallace RJ, Jr., Winthrop K, Subcommittee ATSM, D,
314 American Thoracic S, Infectious Disease Society of A. 2007. An official
315 ATS/IDSA statement: diagnosis, treatment, and prevention of nontuberculous
316 mycobacterial diseases. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 175:367-416.

317 7. Haworth CS, Banks J, Capstick T, Fisher AJ, Gorsuch T, Laurenson IF, Leitch
318 A, Loebinger MR, Milburn HJ, Nightingale M, Ormerod P, Shingadia D,
319 Smith D, Whitehead N, Wilson R, Floto RA. 2017. British Thoracic Society
320 guidelines for the management of non-tuberculous mycobacterial pulmonary
321 disease (NTM-PD). Thorax 72:ii1-ii64.

322 8. van Ingen J, Aksamit T, Andrejak C, Bottger EC, Cambau E, Daley CL,
323 Griffith DE, Guglielmetti L, Holland SM, Huitt GA, Koh WJ, Lange C,
324 Leitman P, Marras TK, Morimoto K, Olivier KN, Santin M, Stout JE,
325 Thomson R, Tortoli E, Wallace RJ, Jr., Winthrop KL, Wagner D, for N-N.
326 2018. Treatment outcome definitions in nontuberculous mycobacterial
327 pulmonary disease: an NTM-NET consensus statement. Eur Respir J 51.

328 9. Kwak N, Dalcolmo MP, Daley CL, Eather G, Gayoso R, Hasegawa N, Jhun
329 BW, Koh WJ, Namkoong H, Park J, Thomson R, van Ingen J, Zweijpfenning
330 SMH, Yim JJ. 2019. *Mycobacterium abscessus* pulmonary disease: individual
331 patient data meta-analysis. Eur Respir J doi:10.1183/13993003.01991-2018.

- 332 10. Ye M, Xu L, Zou Y, Li B, Guo Q, Zhang Y, Zhan M, Xu B, Yu F, Zhang Z,
333 Chu H. 2019. Molecular Analysis of Linezolid-Resistant Clinical Isolates of
334 *Mycobacterium abscessus*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 63.
- 335 11. Li B, Ye M, Guo Q, Zhang Z, Yang S, Ma W, Yu F, Chu H. 2018.
336 Determination of MIC Distribution and Mechanisms of Decreased
337 Susceptibility to Bedaquiline among Clinical Isolates of *Mycobacterium*
338 *abscessus*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 62.
- 339 12. Li B, Yang S, Chu H, Zhang Z, Liu W, Luo L, Ma W, Xu X. 2017.
340 Relationship between Antibiotic Susceptibility and Genotype in
341 *Mycobacterium abscessus* Clinical Isolates. Front Microbiol 8:1739.
- 342 13. Guo Q, Chu H, Ye M, Zhang Z, Li B, Yang S, Ma W, Yu F. 2018. The
343 Clarithromycin Susceptibility Genotype Affects the Treatment Outcome of
344 Patients with *Mycobacterium abscessus* Lung Disease. Antimicrob Agents
345 Chemother 62.
- 346 14. Pasipanodya JG, Ogbonna D, Ferro BE, Magombedze G, Srivastava S,
347 Deshpande D, Gumbo T. 2017. Systematic Review and Meta-analyses of the
348 Effect of Chemotherapy on Pulmonary *Mycobacterium abscessus* Outcomes
349 and Disease Recurrence. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 61.
- 350 15. Olivier KN, Shaw PA, Glaser TS, Bhattacharyya D, Fleshner M, Brewer CC,
351 Zalewski CK, Folio LR, Siegelman JR, Shallom S, Park IK, Sampaio EP,
352 Zelazny AM, Holland SM, Prevots DR. 2014. Inhaled amikacin for treatment
353 of refractory pulmonary nontuberculous mycobacterial disease. Ann Am

- 354 Thorac Soc 11:30-5.
- 355 16. Lee H, Sohn YM, Ko JY, Lee SY, Jhun BW, Park HY, Jeon K, Kim DH, Kim
356 SY, Choi JE, Moon IJ, Shin SJ, Park HJ, Koh WJ. 2017. Once-daily dosing of
357 amikacin for treatment of *Mycobacterium abscessus* lung disease. Int J Tuberc
358 Lung Dis 21:818-824.
- 359 17. Lee MC, Sun PL, Wu TL, Wang LH, Yang CH, Chung WH, Kuo AJ, Liu TP,
360 Lu JJ, Chiu CH, Lai HC, Chen NY, Yang JH, Wu TS. 2017. Antimicrobial
361 resistance in *Mycobacterium abscessus* complex isolated from patients with
362 skin and soft tissue infections at a tertiary teaching hospital in Taiwan. J
363 Antimicrob Chemother 72:2782-2786.
- 364 18. Chua KY, Bustamante A, Jelfs P, Chen SC, Sintchenko V. 2015. Antibiotic
365 susceptibility of diverse *Mycobacterium abscessus* complex strains in New
366 South Wales, Australia. Pathology 47:678-82.
- 367 19. Rominski A, Schulthess B, Muller DM, Keller PM, Sander P. 2017. Effect of
368 beta-lactamase production and beta-lactam instability on MIC testing results
369 for *Mycobacterium abscessus*. J Antimicrob Chemother 72:3070-3078.
- 370 20. Lefebvre AL, Dubee V, Cortes M, Dorchene D, Arthur M, Mainardi JL. 2016.
371 Bactericidal and intracellular activity of beta-lactams against *Mycobacterium*
372 *abscessus*. J Antimicrob Chemother 71:1556-63.
- 373 21. Le Run E, Arthur M, Mainardi JL. 2019. In Vitro and Intracellular Activity of
374 Imipenem Combined with Tedizolid, Rifabutin, and Avibactam against
375 *Mycobacterium abscessus*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 63.

- 376 22. Miyasaka T, Kunishima H, Komatsu M, Tamai K, Mitsutake K, Kanemitsu K,
377 Ohisa Y, Yanagisawa H, Kaku M. 2007. In vitro efficacy of imipenem in
378 combination with six antimicrobial agents against *Mycobacterium abscessus*.
379 Int J Antimicrob Agents 30:255-8.
- 380 23. Oh CT, Moon C, Park OK, Kwon SH, Jang J. 2014. Novel drug combination
381 for *Mycobacterium abscessus* disease therapy identified in a Drosophila
382 infection model. J Antimicrob Chemother 69:1599-607.
- 383 24. Inoue T, Tsunoda A, Nishimoto E, Nishida K, Komatsubara Y, Onoe R, Saji J,
384 Mineshita M. 2018. Successful use of linezolid for refractory *Mycobacterium*
385 *abscessus* infection: A case report. Respir Med Case Rep 23:43-45.
- 386 25. Honeybourne D, Tobin C, Jevons G, Andrews J, Wise R. 2003.
387 Intrapulmonary penetration of linezolid. J Antimicrob Chemother 51:1431-4.
- 388 26. Hatakeyama S, Ohama Y, Okazaki M, Nukui Y, Moriya K. 2017.
389 Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of rapidly growing mycobacteria isolated
390 in Japan. BMC Infect Dis 17:197.
- 391 27. Mougari F, Amarsy R, Veziris N, Bastian S, Brossier F, Bercot B, Raskine L,
392 Cambau E. 2016. Standardized interpretation of antibiotic susceptibility
393 testing and resistance genotyping for *Mycobacterium abscessus* with regard to
394 subspecies and erm41 sequevar. J Antimicrob Chemother 71:2208-12.
- 395 28. Li G, Pang H, Guo Q, Huang M, Tan Y, Li C, Wei J, Xia Y, Jiang Y, Zhao X,
396 Liu H, Zhao LL, Liu Z, Xu D, Wan K. 2017. Antimicrobial susceptibility and
397 MIC distribution of 41 drugs against clinical isolates from China and reference

398 strains of nontuberculous mycobacteria. Int J Antimicrob Agents 49:364-374.

399 29. Zhang Z, Lu J, Liu M, Wang Y, Zhao Y, Pang Y. 2017. In vitro activity of
400 clarithromycin in combination with other antimicrobial agents against
401 *Mycobacterium abscessus* and *Mycobacterium massiliense*. Int J Antimicrob
402 Agents 49:383-386.

403 30. Wallace RJ, Jr., Dukart G, Brown-Elliott BA, Griffith DE, Scerpella EG,
404 Marshall B. 2014. Clinical experience in 52 patients with
405 tigecycline-containing regimens for salvage treatment of *Mycobacterium*
406 *abscessus* and *Mycobacterium chelonae* infections. J Antimicrob Chemother
407 69:1945-53.

408

409 **Figure 1.** Flow diagram of the study. Two hundred *M. abscessus* pulmonary disease
 410 patients, who conformed to the inclusion criteria, were enrolled. One hundred and
 411 eighty-five patients were in the *M. abscessus* subsp. *abscessus* pulmonary disease
 412 group, fifty-nine patients were in *M. abscessus* subsp. *massiliense* pulmonary disease.

Table 1. Baseline patient characteristics^a

	Total (n=244)	<i>M. abscessus</i> subsp. <i>abscessus</i> pulmonary disease (n=185)	<i>M. abscessus</i> subsp. <i>massiliense</i> pulmonary disease (n=59)	<i>P</i> value
Median age, yrs	56.0 (49.0, 65.8) ^b	56 (49.0, 66.0) ^b	54.0 (48.0, 63.0) ^b	0.207
Sex, Male	66 (27.0)	53 (28.6)	13 (22.0)	0.319
Body mass index, kg/m ²	19.6 (18.6, 20.5) ^b	19.7 (18.6, 20.5) ^b	19.4 (18.6, 20.6) ^b	0.536
Respiratory comorbidities				
Prior TB/NTM ^c	127 (52.0)	92 (49.7)	35 (59.3)	0.199
Bronchiectasis	208 (85.2)	154 (83.2)	54 (91.5)	0.118
COPD ^c	16 (6.6)	13 (7.0)	3 (5.1)	0.768
Cor pulmonale	12 (4.9)	10 (5.4)	2 (3.4)	0.736
Asthma	15 (6.1)	12 (6.5)	3 (5.1)	1.000
Main respiratory symptoms				
Cough	201 (82.4)	153 (87.4)	48 (81.4)	0.246
Sputum	206 (84.4)	158 (85.4)	48 (81.4)	0.455
Hemoptysis	59 (24.2)	47 (25.4)	12 (20.3)	0.429

Shortness of breath	75 (30.7)	54 (29.2)	21 (35.6)	0.353
Chest pain	48 (19.7)	38 (20.5)	10 (16.9)	0.546
Radiographic features				<0.001
Fibrocavitary	61 (25.0)	57 (30.8)	4 (6.8)	
Nodular bronchiectatic	171 (70.1)	116 (62.7)	55 (93.2)	
Indeterminate	12 (4.9)	12 (6.5)	0 (0)	

^aData are presented as medians (interquartile range) or numbers (percentage).

^bRange.

^cAbbreviation: NTM, Nontuberculous mycobacterium; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.

Table 2. Rates of success and failure of antibiotic treatment

Antibiotics ^a	Total (n=244)			<i>M. abscessus</i> subsp. <i>abscessus</i> pulmonary disease (n=185)			<i>M. abscessus</i> subsp. <i>massiliense</i> pulmonary disease (n=59)		
	Success (n=110)	Failure (n=134)	<i>P</i> value	Success (n=62)	Failure (n=123)	<i>P</i> value	Success (n=48)	Failure (n=11)	<i>P</i> value
Clarithromycin	86 (78.2)	113 (84.3)	0.218	44 (71.0)	105 (85.4)	0.020	42 (87.5)	8 (72.7)	0.347
Azithromycin	32 (29.1)	29 (21.6)	0.181	23 (37.1)	24 (19.5)	0.010	9 (18.8)	5 (45.5)	0.110
Amikacin	104 (94.5)	114 (85.1)	0.017	60 (96.8)	106 (86.2)	0.025	44 (91.7)	8 (72.7)	0.112
Imipenem	39 (35.5)	28 (20.9)	0.011	22 (35.5)	25 (20.3)	0.025	17 (35.4)	3 (27.3)	0.734
Meropenem	7 (6.4)	6 (4.5)	0.514	5 (8.1)	5 (4.1)	0.256	2 (4.2)	1 (9.1)	0.468
Cefoxitin	65 (59.1)	79 (59.0)	0.983	38 (61.3)	72 (58.5)	0.719	27 (56.2)	7 (63.6)	0.745
linezolid ^b	24 (21.8)	14 (10.4)	0.015	15 (24.2)	12 (9.8)	0.009	9 (18.8)	2 (18.2)	1.000
tigecycline	32 (29.1)	21 (15.7)	0.011	19 (30.6)	20 (16.3)	0.024	13 (27.1)	1 (9.1)	0.269
Doxycycline	10 (9.1)	20 (14.9)	0.167	6 (9.7)	17 (13.8)	0.420	4 (8.3)	3 (27.3)	0.112
Minocycline	10 (9.1)	12 (9.0)	0.971	4 (6.5)	11 (8.9)	0.558	6 (12.5)	1 (9.1)	1.000

Moxifloxacin ^b	28 (25.5)	25 (18.7)	0.200	13 (21.0)	21 (17.1)	0.519	15 (31.2)	4 (36.4)	0.734
Levofloxacin ^b	8 (7.3)	18 (13.4)	0.121	4 (6.5)	16 (13.0)	0.175	4 (8.3)	2 (18.2)	0.310
Ciprofloxacin	8 (7.3)	9 (6.7)	0.865	4 (6.5)	9 (7.3)	1.000	4 (8.3)	0 (0)	1.000
No. of patients using			0.810			0.148			0.367
one parenteral drug	6 (5.5)	9 (6.7)		1 (1.6)	8 (6.5)		5 (10.4)	1 (9.1)	
two parenteral drugs	70 (63.6)	91 (67.9)		38 (61.3)	86 (69.9)		32 (66.7)	5 (45.5)	
three parenteral drugs	31 (28.2)	31 (23.1)		21 (33.9)	26 (21.1)		10 (20.8)	5 (45.5)	
more than three parenteral drugs	3 (2.7)	3 (2.2)		2 (3.2)	3 (2.4)		1 (2.1)	0 (0)	
Duration of treatment, months, median (IQR)	20.7 (16.2, 31.0)	30.0 (22.0, 43.3)	<0.001	23.4 (18.1, 34.6)	30.0 (22.0, 44.0)	0.001	18.0 (15.9, 26.8)	28.0 (16.0, 43.0)	0.179
Surgical resection	2 (1.8)	8 (6.0)	0.192	1 (1.6)	6 (4.9)	0.427	1 (2.1)	2 (18.2)	0.086

^aEach antibiotic listed was included regardless of whether it was discontinued during the course of treatment.

^bAdministered orally and/or intravenously.

Table 3. Treatment success with individual antibiotics

Antibiotics	Total (n=244)			<i>M. abscessus</i> subsp. <i>abscessus</i> pulmonary disease (n=185)			<i>M. abscessus</i> subsp. <i>massiliense</i> pulmonary disease (n=59)		
	Adjusted OR ^b	95% CI ^a	P value	Adjusted OR ^b	95% CI	P value	Adjusted OR ^b	95% CI	P value
Clarithromycin	0.588	0.290-1.194	0.142	0.425	0.191-0.945	0.036	1.460	0.214-9.962	0.699
Azithromycin	1.558	0.844-2.877	0.156	2.339	1.141-4.794	0.020	0.295	0.061-1.418	0.128
Amikacin	3.275	1.221-8.788	0.018	5.911	1.247-28.012	0.025	15.023	1.294-174.400	0.030
Imipenem	2.078	1.151-3.753	0.015	2.050	1.018-4.126	0.044	1.357	0.280-6.575	0.705
Meropenem	1.218	0.390-3.806	0.735	1.787	0.486-6.574	0.382	0.341	0.026-4.487	0.413
Cefoxitin	1.121	0.659-1.908	0.672	1.253	0.656-2.394	0.495	0.610	0.133-2.795	0.524
linezolid ^c	2.231	1.078-4.616	0.031	2.875	1.221-6.772	0.016	1.286	0.189-8.746	0.797
Tigecycline	2.040	1.079-3.857	0.028	1.971	0.931-4.173	0.076	2.614	0.291-23.514	0.391
Doxycycline	0.599	0.260-1.380	0.229	0.628	0.222-1.772	0.379	0.408	0.053-3.147	0.390
Minocycline	0.992	0.399-2.467	0.986	0.691	0.206-2.315	0.549	1.312	0.116-14.876	0.827
Moxifloxacin ^c	0.695	0.372-1.300	0.255	0.866	0.393-1.908	0.720	1.495	0.303-7.388	0.622
Levofloxacin ^c	0.474	0.193-1.162	0.103	0.453	0.142-1.445	0.181	0.242	0.032-1.857	0.172

Ciprofloxacin	1.026	0.372-2.831	0.960	1.155	0.330-4.039	0.822	0	0	0
---------------	-------	-------------	-------	-------	-------------	-------	---	---	---

^aAbbreviations: CI, confidence interval; OR: odds ratio.

^bAdjusted for age, sex, body mass index and radiographic findings.

^cAdministered orally and/or intravenously.

Table 4. Adverse events

		Antibiotic-specific adverse events leading to treatment modification							
		Total patients (n=192)	Total frequency of adverse events (n=319)	(n=60)					Tigecycline (n=15) (53 patients)
				Clarithromycin (n=4) (199 patients)	Azithromycin (n=3) (61 patients)	Amikacin (n=26) (218 patients)	Imipenem (n=3) (67 patients)	Linezolid (n=9) (38 patients)	
Gastrointestinal	side effects	79 (41.1)	143 (44.8)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Diarrhea		15 (7.8)	22 (6.9)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Abdominal pain		13 (6.8)	25 (7.8)	1	1	0	0	1	0
Nausea		35 (18.2)	66 (20.7)	1	2	4	0	2	10
Vomiting		16 (8.3)	30 (9.4)	2	0	0	0	1	4
Dizziness		7 (2.9)	15 (4.7)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ototoxicity		11 (5.7)	15 (4.7)	0	0	14	0	0	0
Nephrotoxicity		20 (10.4)	34 (10.7)	0	0	5	0	0	0
Hepatotoxicity		9 (4.7)	15 (4.7)	0	0	0	0	0	1
Hematologic toxicity		11 (5.7)	36 (11.3)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Leukopenia		5 (2.6)	11 (3.4)	0	0	0	1	2	0

Thrombocytopenia	2 (1.0)	5 (1.6)	0	0	0	0	2	0
Anemia	4 (2.1)	10 (3.13)	0	0	0	0	1	0
Insomnia	3(1.6)	6 (1.9)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fever	3 (1.6)	5 (1.6)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Headache	14 (7.3)	22 (6.9)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Myoclonus	3 (1.6)	4 (1.3)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Agitation	3 (1.6)	3 (0.9)	0	0	0	1	0	0
Taste alteration	10 (5.2)	11 (3.4)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Allergic reactions	19 (9.9)	20 (6.3)	0	0	3	1	0	0

