

Analysis host-recognition mechanism of staphylococcal kayvirus ϕ SA039 reveals a novel strategy that protects *Staphylococcus aureus* against infection by *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* Siphoviridae phages

Authors:

Aa Haeruman Azam^{1,3}, Kenji Kadoi¹, Kazuhiko Miyanaga¹, Masaru Usui², Yutaka Tamura², Longzhu Cui³, and Yasunori Tanji^{1*}

¹School of Life Science and Technology, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 4259 J2-15 Nagatsuta-cho, Midori-ku, Yokohama 226-8501, Japan.

²Graduate School of Veterinary Medicine, Rakuno Gakuen University, 582 Bunkyoudaimidorimachi, Ebetsu-shi, Hokkaido 069-0836, Japan.

³Division of Bacteriology, Jichi Medical University, 3311-1 Yakushiji, Shimotsuke-shi, Tochigi 329-0498

* Correspondence author

Email: ytanji@bio.titech.ac.jp

Tel.: +81-45-924-5763

Fax: +81-45-924-5818

Abstract

Following the emergence of antibiotic resistant bacteria such as methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) and methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* (MRSP), phage therapy has attracted significant attention as an alternative to antibiotic treatment. Bacteriophages belonging to kayvirus (previously known as Twort-like phages) have broad host range and are strictly lytic in *Staphylococcus* spp. Previous work revealed that kayvirus ϕ SA039 has a host-recognition mechanism distinct from those of other known kayviruses: most of kayviruses use the backbone of wall teichoic acid (WTA) as their receptor; by contrast, ϕ SA039 uses the β -N-acetylglucosamine (β -GlcNAc) residue in WTA. In this study, we found that ϕ SA039 could switch its receptor to be able to infect *S. aureus* lacking the β -GlcNAc residue by acquiring a spontaneous mutation in open reading frame (ORF) 100 and ORF102. Moreover, ϕ SA039 could infect *S. pseudintermedius*, which has a different WTA structure than *S. aureus*. By comparison with newly isolated *S. pseudintermedius*-specific phage (SP phages), we determined that glycosylation in WTA of *S. pseudintermedius* is essential for adsorption of SP phages, but not ϕ SA039. Finally, we describe a novel strategy of *S. aureus* which protects the bacteria from infection of SP phages. Notably, glycosylation of ribitol phosphate (RboP) WTA by TarM or/and TarS prevents infection of *S. aureus* by SP phages. These findings could help to establish a new strategy for treatment of *S. aureus* and *S. pseudintermedius* infection, as well as provide valuable insights into the biology of phage–host interactions.

Keyword: Kayvirus, phage therapy, host-recognition mechanism, *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius*, *Staphylococcus aureus*

Introduction

Staphylococcus is a Gram-positive bacterium that causes many kinds of infections. Two representative species, *S. aureus* and *S. pseudintermedius*, are coagulase-positive bacteria that are notoriously pathogenic in humans and animals (Kloos and Bannerman 1994; Pompilio et al. 2015). *S. aureus* is a commensal found on the skin and mucosae of humans, whereas *S. pseudintermedius* commonly inhabits dog skin. Both are common bacterial pathogens associated with chronic and recurrent skin infections that require long-term systemic antimicrobial therapy. Infection by *S. aureus* and *S. pseudintermedius* is becoming problematic due to the emergence of antibiotic-resistant strains, including methicillin-resistant (MRSA and MRSP) and vancomycin-resistant strains (VRSA) (Enright et al. 2002; Sakoulas et al. 2005). Virulent bacteriophages that can kill a wide range of *S. aureus* hosts represent promising alternatives to conventional antibiotic treatment (Alves et al. 2014; Iwano et al. 2018; Azam and Tanji 2019a). The success of phage infection depends on its host specificity, which is often determined by the interaction between a phage receptor-binding protein (RBP) and its cognate receptor on the surface of the host cell (Hyman and Abedon 2010).

Staphylococcal kayviruses (previously known as Twort-like phages) have broad host range and high lytic ability, making them suitable candidates for phage therapy (Łobocka et al. 2012). Most phages belonging to this group use the backbone of wall teichoic acid (WTA), the most abundant molecule in the cell wall of *Staphylococcus*, as their receptor (Xia et al. 2011). However, previous work showed that kayvirus ϕ SA039 uses the β -GlcNAc moiety in WTA of *S. aureus* SA003, a unique feature within the group (Azam et al. 2018).

The broad host range of kayviruses includes coagulase-negative staphylococci (CoNS) (Cui et al. 2012; Łobocka et al. 2012; Iwano et al. 2018). WTA in *S. aureus* generally consists of

repetitive 1,5-ribitol-phosphate (RboP) modified with a GlcNAc residue and D-alanine. The GlcNAc moieties are transferred onto WTA by the α -GlcNAc transferase TarM and the β -GlcNAc transferase TarS (Xia et al. 2010; Brown et al. 2012). By contrast, WTA of *S. pseudintermedius* and CoNS has glycerol-phosphate (GroP) as the backbone and various glycoepitopes (GlcNAc, GalNAc, or Glc) (Endl et al. 1983; Winstel et al. 2014).

Staphylococcal phages that use glycoepitopes in the WTA as their receptors, e.g., phages from families *Siphoviridae* and *Podoviridae*, target either the RboP or GroP type of WTA. For example, *Siphoviridae* ϕ 11 only recognizes GlcNAc of RboP WTA, whereas ϕ 187 only recognizes the glycoepitope of GroP WTA (Winstel et al. 2014). Therefore, the unique feature of ϕ SA039, a kayvirus that requires the β -GlcNAc residue in the WTA of SA003 (RboP WTA), raises the question of whether this phage can also recognize other types of WTA from different *Staphylococcus* species. In this study, we evaluated the host range of ϕ SA039 and its potential for phage therapy toward various strains of *S. pseudintermedius*, including MRSP. In addition, we also screened *S. pseudintermedius*-specific phages, evaluated them as potential antimicrobial agents, and compared them with ϕ SA039.

In addition, a previous study showed that kayviruses such as ϕ SA012 and ϕ K have at least two RBPs (RBP1 and RBP2), which are responsible for these phages' broad host range (O'Flaherty et al. 2004; Takeuchi et al. 2016). Mutant ϕ SA012 has a modified RBP2 (ORF103) that enables the phage to infect mutant *S. aureus* SA003R38, which has an altered WTA and overproduces capsular polysaccharide (Takeuchi et al. 2016; Osada et al. 2017; Azam et al. 2018), indicating that mutated RBP2 may allow the phage to counter-adapt to resistant hosts by using an alternative component as a novel receptor (Takeuchi et al. 2016). Like ϕ SA012, ϕ SA039 also has two RBPs, RBP1 (ORF100) and RBP2 (ORF102) (Azam et al. 2018). Hence,

in light of the unique infection strategy of ϕ SA039, we also investigated the ability of the phage to counter-adapt to phage-resistant host and analyzed the underlying mechanism.

Material and Methods

Bacterial strains, bacteriophages, and plasmids

Bacteria, phages, and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 1. *S. aureus* RN4220 was used with the permission of Professor Richard P. Novick (Skirball Institute of Biomolecular Medicine, New York, NY, USA). *S. aureus* SA003 was isolated from milk of a mastitic cow (Synnott et al. 2009). The *S. aureus* virulent phages ϕ SA039 and ϕ SA012 were isolated from sewage in Japan (Synnott et al. 2009). All *S. pseudintermedius* isolates were isolated from the skin of dogs with canine pyoderma. Nine coagulase-negative *Staphylococcus* (CoNS) were isolated from a patient at Jichi Medical University hospital, and species identification was performed based on the 16S rRNA gene. *S. aureus* SA003, *S. pseudintermedius* SP015, *S. pseudintermedius* SP070, and *S. pseudintermedius* SP079 were deposited in the culture collection of NITE Biological Research Center, Kisarazu, Japan, under accession numbers NBRC110650, NBRC113855, NBRC113857 and NBRC113858, respectively. All phages used in this study are deposited in the corresponding author's institution and distributed to other researcher by request. All primers used in this study are listed in Supplemental Table S1.

Isolation of *S. pseudintermedius*

Staphylococcus was isolated based on standard culture and biochemical tests on site. Screening of *S. pseudintermedius* was performed using the API®/ID32 kit (Sysmex-bioMérieux, Tokyo, Japan). To distinguish *S. pseudintermedius* and *S. intermedius*, restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) analysis was performed on the *kat* gene (Blaiotta et al. 2010). *S.*

pseudintermedius strains were distinguished by PCR amplification of the hypervariable X region of the protein A gene (*spa*) (Moodley et al. 2009). Multilocus sequence typing (MLST) was performed following Bannoehr's method, which targets five genes (16SrRNA, *tuf*, *cpn60*, *pta*, and *agrD*) (Bannoehr et al. 2007; Bannoehr et al. 2009).

Bacteriophage isolation and purification

Phage ϕ DP001, which is capable of lysing *S. pseudintermedius*, was isolated from dog saliva. Saliva was collected using sterile cotton swabs, which were soaked in 1 ml SM buffer (100 mM NaCl, 8 mM MgSO₄, 50 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.5], 0.01% gelatin), and incubated at 4°C for at least 1 h. After centrifugation (9730 *g*, 1 min), 100 μ l supernatant was mixed with 100 μ l overnight culture of *S. pseudintermedius* SP015 in 3 ml of 0.5% top agar, plated on LB agar, and incubated at 37°C overnight. ϕ SP120, ϕ SP197, and ϕ SP276 were isolated by mitomycin induction; Mitomycin was added into *S. epidermidis* culture (OD = 0.5) at a final concentration of 0.5 μ g/ml and incubated for 1 h. Phages were isolated from the supernatant after centrifugation (9730 *g*, 3 minutes). Propagation and purification of the phages was performed as previously described (Synnott et al. 2009).

Plaque assay and efficiency of plating (EOP)

Plaque assay was performed by mixing 100 μ l of phage (10⁴ PFU/ml) with 100 μ l overnight culture of bacteria in 3 ml of 0.5% top agar, plated on LB agar, and incubated at 37°C overnight. Experiments were conducted in triplicate. The EOP value was measured as a percentage of the number of observed plaques on the tested bacteria divided by the number of plaques observed on the wild-type strain.

Spot test

Two microliters of phage lysate at a titer of 10^8 plaque forming units (PFU) per ml (10^5 PFU) was dropped onto a lawn of bacteria and incubated overnight at 37°C. Experiments were conducted in triplicate.

Adsorption assay

The adsorption efficiency of phages on *S. aureus* strains was measured by titrating the presence of free phages in the supernatant after 20 minutes of cell–phage contact following previous study (Azam et al. 2018). Free phage was collected by centrifugation ($9730 \times g$, 1 min) and titrated using SA003 for ϕ SA039 and SP070 for SP phages.

Isolation and characterization of spontaneous mutant of ϕ SA039

Co-culture experiments were performed with *S. aureus* SA003 and ϕ SA039. First, 4.5 ml of LB broth was inoculated with 1% overnight culture of SA003 and cultured until early exponential phase ($OD_{660}=0.1$) in a TVS062CA BioPhotorecorder (Advantec, Tokyo, Japan). Then, phage ϕ SA039 was added at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) = 1. The mixture was cultured at 37°C with shaking at 40 rpm. After 2 days, the culture was transferred to 4.5 ml of fresh LB medium (1% dilution) and cultured under the same condition. Co-cultures were repeated until a spontaneous mutant of ϕ SA039 that could infect TarS-null *S. aureus* was isolated. Two spontaneous mutants were isolated from the co-culture and were designated ϕ M1 and ϕ M2.

Checking for mutations in genes encoding tail and baseplate proteins

Genes encoding tail and baseplate proteins (ORF95–ORF102) in spontaneous mutant phages were amplified by plaque PCR using DirectAmpPCR (TAKARA, Shiga, Japan) and

analyzed by Sanger sequencing. Phage plaques were touched with a toothpick and mixed into the PCR mixtures.

Generation and characterization of chimeric phage

Chimeric phage was generated by homologous recombination using plasmid pNL9164 (Sigma-Aldrich, MO, USA) in *S. aureus* SA003. Mutated DNA fragments of ORF100 and ORF102 were amplified from spontaneous mutant phages by PCR using KOD-plus Neo enzyme (Toyobo, Shiga, Japan). PCR fragments and the plasmid were digested with appropriate restriction enzymes. The DNA fragment was inserted into plasmid pNL9164 using T4 DNA Ligase (New England BioLabs, Ipswich, MA, USA). The constructed plasmid was cloned into *Escherichia coli* JM109 competent cells (TAKARA, Shiga, Japan) and pre-introduced into restriction-deficient *S. aureus* RN4220 before being transformed into *S. aureus* SA003.

To perform homologous recombination, transformant SA003 harboring plasmid with homologous region was infected with phage (MOI = 1). The mixture was incubated at 37°C overnight. Recombinant phages were isolated from the supernatant fraction of the mixture after centrifugation (8000 × g, 3 minutes). SA003ΔTarS was used to screen recombinant phages from homologous recombination of ORF100, and RN4220 was used to screen recombinant phages from homologous recombination of ORF102. Mutated ORF100 from spontaneous mutant phage ϕM1 was introduced into wild-type ϕSA039, yielding chimeric phage ϕrM1/r-100. Mutated ORF102 of ϕM1 was introduced into ϕrM1/r-100, yielding chimeric phage ϕrM1/r-100&102.

Deletion of *oatA* gene in RN4220ΔtarMΔtarS

The gene was deleted by pCasSA plasmid with clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR)-Cas9 system (Chen et al. 2017). Plasmid construction was

performed as previously described (Chen et al. 2017; Azam et al. 2018). Spacers were manually selected by searching the protospacer adjacent motif (PAM) region. Two oligos were designed as single-stranded DNA for each spacer, and double-stranded spacer was generated by phosphorylation with T4 PNK (New England BioLabs, Ipswich, MA, USA) and annealed at 95°C for three minutes. Plasmid pCasSA was digested with *Bsa*I. The double-stranded spacer and digested pCasSA were ligated with T4 DNA ligase (New England BioLabs, Ipswich, MA, USA). Editing template was amplified by splicing by overlap extension (SOE) PCR using the region flanking the target gene as the DNA template. The resultant plasmid was cloned into *Escherichia coli* JM109 competent cells (TAKARA, Shiga, Japan) and transformed into *S. aureus* RN4220 Δ tarM Δ tarS. Complementation of deletion mutants was performed using plasmid pLI50 (purchased from Addgene [Cambridge, MA]) under the control of the P3 promoter (pLIP3) (Jeong et al. 2011; Takeuchi et al. 2016). The wild-type allele from RN4220 was used as the insert.

Selection of phage-resistant mutants of *S. pseudintermedius* SP015

Plaque assays were performed using SP015 and ϕ SA039 at an MOI = 10 and incubated at 37°C overnight. Colonies (resistant mutants) were purified, inoculated onto LB plates, and incubated at 37°C overnight. The phage resistance of isolated mutants was determined by spot test and adsorption assay. Phage-resistant SP015-R1 which showed ability to inhibit phage adsorption was further characterized.

DNA extraction, sequencing, and bioinformatics

Phage genome was extracted using phage DNA isolation kit (NORGEN, ON, Canada). Bacterial genome was extracted using DNA extraction kit (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA).

Whole-genome sequencing was conducted on the Illumina HiSeq platform with genome coverage (sequencing depth) of 100-fold. Genomes were assembled using velvet ver 1.2.10. ORFs were predicted and annotated using the RAST server (<http://rast.nmpdr.org/>). The presence of toxin or virulence genes in the phage genome was determined using PHASTER (PHAge Search Tool Enhanced Release) server (phaster.ca).

Statistical analysis

Two-tailed Student's *t*-test was used to determine statistical significance.

Accession number (s)

Genome data of three SP phages (φSP120, φSP197, and φSP276) and *S. pseudintermedius* SP079 were submitted to the DNA Data Bank of Japan (DDBJ) database under accession numbers AP019560, AP019561, AP019562, and AP019372, respectively.

Results

ϕ SA039 can recognize WTA of *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* SP015

ϕ SA039 exhibited at least a moderate ability to infect various strains of *S. pseudintermedius*, and 12 CoNS (Table 2). A close relative of ϕ SA039, ϕ SA012, also had a broad host range toward the *Staphylococcus* species we tested. In this study, we also isolated *S. pseudintermedius*-specific phages (SP phages) ϕ DP001, ϕ SP120, ϕ SP197, and ϕ SP276, and compared them with ϕ SA012 and ϕ SA039.

As shown in Table 2, in 20 isolates of *S. pseudintermedius*, ϕ SA012 and ϕ SA039 exhibited at least a moderate infection (turbid plaque): ϕ SA012 infected 95% of isolates (19/20), whereas ϕ SA039 infected 90% (18/20). ϕ SA012 and ϕ SA039 could infect 93% of *S. aureus* isolates (13/14) and the 12 CoNS. Unlike ϕ SA012 and ϕ SA039, no SP phages could infect the *S. aureus* strains used in this study. Regarding *S. pseudintermedius*, ϕ DP001 infected 50% of isolates (10/20), whereas the other three SP phages infected 95% of isolates (19/20). *S. pseudintermedius* SP079 was the only strain that was resistant against all SP phages. Whole-genome analysis revealed that this bacterium encodes CRISPR-Cas9 system in its genome. DNA fragments of ϕ SP120 and ϕ SP197 were identified in the CRISPR regions of the two SP079 (Supplementary Table 1). Four SP phages formed at least turbid plaques in CoNS, with the exception of *Staphylococcus saprophyticus*.

We speculated that SP phages recognize receptors in *S. pseudintermedius* and CoNS isolates that are absent in *S. aureus*. Because most staphylococcal phages require WTA as their receptor (Azam and Tanji 2019b), we sought to determine whether our SP phages also require WTA. To this end, we generated WTA-free *Staphylococcus* by inhibiting WTA synthesis in the

cell using tunicamycin (Zhu et al. 2018). ϕ SA039, ϕ SA012, and three SP phages (ϕ DP001, ϕ SP120, and ϕ SP197) had no ability to infect WTA-free *S. pseudintermedius* and *S. epidermidis* (data not shown). The phages could not form a plaque and failed to adsorb onto WTA-free isolates. By contrast, ϕ SP276 did not completely lose its infectivity toward WTA-free isolates, indicating that phage can use another component as a receptor. These findings indicated that all phages in this study utilize WTA in *S. pseudintermedius* and *S. epidermidis* as their receptor, but that the WTA of these bacteria is likely distinct from that of *S. aureus*.

Accumulation of point mutations in ORF100 enables ϕ SA039 to infect TarS-null *S. aureus*

ϕ SA039 requires β -GlcNAc glycosylation of WTA by TarS (Azam et al. 2018). In this study, we found that ϕ SA039 could generate spontaneous mutants capable of infecting TarS-null *S. aureus*. Mutants of ϕ SA039 that could infect TarS-null *S. aureus* were obtained from the fifth batch of two co-cultures. One spontaneous mutant phage (ϕ M1 and ϕ M2) was purified from each of co-culture and further characterized. In SA003 Δ tarS, adsorption of ϕ M1 and ϕ M2 was around 8-fold than that of wild-type ϕ SA039 (Fig 1a). ϕ M1 and ϕ M2 exhibited similar adsorption toward SA003 Δ tarS. Because the phage tail fiber and baseplate region are thought to be involved in phages' adsorption specificity, we amplified the genomic region encoding the tail and baseplate proteins (ORF94 until ORF102) using primers described in Supplemental Table S1. Spontaneous mutations were detected in ORF100 and ORF102. ϕ M1 harbors three point mutations in ORF100 and one point mutation in ORF102, whereas ϕ M2 only has three point mutations in ORF100. All mutations changed the amino acid sequence of the protein. The mutations in ORF100 were distributed among five locations (one near the N-terminus, two in the middle, and two near the C-terminus). A point mutation located at base 623 (TCT, S $\rightarrow$ TAT, Y) was detected in both mutant phages. Point mutations at bases 907 (GAT, D $\rightarrow$ TAT, N) and 1850 (ACG, T $\rightarrow$ AGG,

R) were detected in ϕ M1, whereas mutations at bases 1012 (GAA, E $\rightarrow$ AAA, K) and 1844 (ACA, T $\rightarrow$ AAA, K) were detected in ϕ M2. The mutation in ORF102 was located in the C-terminus at base 1116 (AAA, K $\rightarrow$ ACA, T).

Because all ϕ SA039 mutants had spontaneous base changes in ORF100, we speculated that these mutations enabled the phages to infect SA003 Δ tarS. We hypothesized that by introducing the point mutation in ORF100 into wild type ϕ SA039, we should be able to construct chimeric ϕ SA039 capable of infecting SA003 Δ tarS. Hence, we introduced ORF100 of ϕ M1 into wild-type ϕ SA039 by homologous recombination, yielding the chimeric phage ϕ rM1/r-100.

Spot tests revealed that ϕ rM1/r-100 could infect SA003 Δ tarS (data not shown). We then performed an adsorption assay to determine whether the ability of the recombinant phages to infect SA003 Δ tarS was due to an effect of adsorption as a result of replacement of ORF100. Indeed, adsorption of ϕ rM1/r-100 on SA003 Δ tarS was significantly elevated relative to wild-type ϕ SA039 (Fig. 1a).

The α -GlcNAc residue in WTA blocks the infection of mutant ϕ SA039 lacking a mutation in ORF102

S. aureus SA003 naturally lacks the gene encoding glycosyltransferase TarM (genome accession number: AP018376). Because certain *S. aureus* strains have *tarM* and *tarS* in their genome (Brown et al. 2012), in this study, we also used *S. aureus* RN4220, which has a complete set of WTAs (genome accession number: GCA_000212435.2), to characterize the mutant phage. As shown in Fig. 1b, wild-type ϕ SA039 could not infect RN4220 Δ tarS or RN4220 Δ tarM Δ tarS. However, mutant phages ϕ M1 and ϕ M2 exhibited completely different patterns of infectivity in the presence of *tarM*. Infection of ϕ M2 was severely impaired toward RN4220, which has *tarM*.

Only 3.86% of ϕ M2 could adsorb onto RN4220, whereas 38.93% of ϕ M1 could do so. Deletion of the *tarM* gene in RN4220 (RN4220 Δ TarM) improved adsorption of ϕ M1 and ϕ M2 to 63.97% and 28.04%, respectively. A similar pattern was observed in RN4220 Δ TarS. The presence of *tarM* in RN4220 Δ TarS decreased the adsorption efficiency of both ϕ M1 and ϕ M2, and conversely, deletion of *tarM* in RN4220 Δ TarS (RN4220 Δ TarM Δ TarS) improved the adsorption of both mutant phages. Overall, the mutations in ϕ M1 and ϕ M2 decreased the ability of the phages to adsorb onto WTA glycosylated by TarM; in particular, adsorption of ϕ M2 was almost completely abolished.

As with ϕ M2, the chimeric phage ϕ rM1/r-100 was unable to adsorb onto RN4220 (6.06%), but was infectious toward RN4220 Δ TarM (14.60% adsorbed phage). Based on this observation, we hypothesized that the absence of a point mutation in ORF102 in ϕ M2 and ϕ rM1/r-100 may make these phages unable to infect RN4220. To test this idea, we introduced a point mutation in ORF102 into ϕ rM1/r-100 by homologous recombination, yielding the chimeric phage ϕ rM1/r-100&102. Indeed, adsorption of the chimeric phage ϕ rM1/r-100&102 improved three times higher than that of ϕ rM1/r-100 (fig 1b).

Whole-genome sequencing of SP phages

Because ϕ SP120, ϕ SP197, and ϕ SP276 had a broad host range in *S. pseudintermedius*, we further investigated their potential as antimicrobial agents. To this end, we first sequenced the entire genomes of all three phages. In doing so, our primary goal was to investigate the presence of toxin, virulence, and antibiotic-resistance genes that could make them inappropriate for phage therapy.

The whole-genome sequencing analysis revealed that ϕ SP120, ϕ SP197, and ϕ SP276 belong to *Siphoviridae*, with genome sizes of 40530, 41149, and 40711 bp, respectively. The GC content of ϕ SP120, ϕ SP197, and ϕ SP276 was similar to that of *S. pseudintermedius*, but somewhat higher than that of *S. aureus* (36%, 35%, and 36%, respectively). The genomes of the phages had a low degree of identity relative to one another. Their genomes were organized into six functional modules; lysogeny, DNA replication, packaging, head, tail, and lysis. The presence of integrase (CI) and repressor (Cro) genes in the genome indicate that these phages can undergo a lysogenic cycle (Xia and Wolz 2014). The integrases of the three phages were identical. Toxin, virulence, and antibiotic-resistance genes were absent from all three genomes, according to the PHASTER server.

Using the ViPTree online tool (<https://www.genome.jp/viptree>), we found that these phages are phylogenetically close to ϕ 187 (Genome accession number: [NC_007047](#)). ϕ 187 is a *Siphoviridae* phage isolated from the *S. aureus* ST395 lineage by mitomycin C induction (Asheshov and Jevons 1963). Other phages evolutionarily close to our SP phages were Stb27 (Genome accession number: [NC_019914](#)) and StB12 (Genome accession number: [NC_020490](#)), both of which were isolated from CoNS *Staphylococcus hominis* through mitomycin C induction (Deghorain et al. 2012). The WTA structure of the *S. aureus* ST395 lineage and CoNS are similar to that of *S. pseudintermedius* (Winstel et al. 2014).

WTA of *S. pseudintermedius* is different to that of *S. aureus*

Because SP phages cannot infect *S. aureus*, we speculated that the WTA of *S. pseudintermedius* is distinct from that of *S. aureus*. To date, the known WTAs of *Staphylococcus* bacteria are of at least two types, GroP and RboP (Brown et al. 2013; Winstel et al. 2014). Using

primers targeting the *tagF* gene, which encodes the GroP polymerase of *S. pseudintermedius* ED99, we determined that all *S. pseudintermedius* in our study likely possess GroP type WTA. Most of the isolates had WTA clusters similar to that of ED99 (genome accession number: NC_017568). Genes encoding glycosyl transferases TarM and TarS were absent from *S. pseudintermedius* isolates. As with *S. pseudintermedius*, CoNS also have GroP WTA (Endl et al. 1983; Winstel et al. 2014). Therefore, our SP phages may specifically recognize GroP WTA, whereas ϕ SA012 and ϕ SA039 recognize both GroP and RboP WTA.

ϕ 187 can utilize WTA of *S. aureus* PS187, which belongs to the ST395 lineage, but not that of the common *S. aureus* lineage (Winstel et al. 2014). Unlike other *S. aureus*-type strains, *S. aureus* ST395 has GroP WTA with α -GalNAc glycosylation mediated by TagN (Winstel et al. 2013, Winstel et al. 2014). In this study, we detected the presence of *tagN* in most *S. pseudintermedius* isolates. However, *S. pseudintermedius* SP070 and SP190 lacked *tagN* but had a gene encodes glycosyltransferase that absent from other isolates (unpublished data).

SP phages can recognize non-glycosylated RboP WTA in *S. aureus*

WTA sugar modifications are highly variable in *Staphylococcus* species, and have been implicated in bacteriophage susceptibility and immunogenicity (Brown et al. 2013). The WTA of *S. aureus* contains α -GlcNAc and/or β -GlcNAc. In this study, we found that although no SP phages could infect *S. aureus* (Table 2), the absence of α -GlcNAc and β -GlcNAc in the WTA enabled the SP phages to infect this bacterium. SP phages could infect *S. aureus* RN4220 Δ TarM Δ TarS and SA003 Δ TarS, both of which lack the GlcNAc modification in their WTA (Fig 2). Because SA003 naturally lacks TarM, SA003 Δ TarS has a WTA similar to that of RN4220 Δ TarM Δ TarS. Although the observed plaques were slightly turbid, SP phages could

adsorb onto RN4220 Δ TarM Δ TarS (Fig 2). Adsorption efficiencies of ϕ SP120, ϕ SP197, and ϕ SP276 onto RN4220 Δ TarM Δ TarS were 40.34%, 32.69%, and 43.75%, respectively. Complementation of either TarM (α -GlcNAc) or TarS (β -GlcNAc) blocked infection by SP phages; however, the complete absence of WTA in RN4220 Δ tagO also prevented infection. Thus, SP phages can utilize non-glycosylated WTA as their recognition site on *S. aureus* cells.

6-O acetylation of muramic acid residues in peptidoglycan of *S. aureus* decreases the adsorption ability of staphylococcal *Siphoviridae* phages ϕ 11 and ϕ 52A (Li et al. 2016). To determine whether peptidoglycan acetylation is involved in SP phage adsorption onto RN4220 Δ TarM Δ TarS, we generated a deletion mutant of *oatA* and used this strain as a host for the adsorption assay. We did not observe a change in the adsorption of SP phages relative to RN4220 Δ TarM Δ TarS (data not shown), suggesting that peptidoglycan acetylation is not essential for SP phage adsorption onto *S. aureus*.

Glycoepitope of WTA in SP015 is essential for SP phages

Staphylococcal *Siphoviridae* phages require glycosylated WTA as their receptor (Xia et al. 2011). In RboP WTA, *Siphoviridae* phages recognize the GlcNAc residue, regardless of its stereochemistry. However, the host recognition mechanism of *Siphoviridae*, which recognizes GroP WTA, remains poorly understood. To analyze the host recognition mechanism of our SP phages, we generated phage-resistant *S. pseudintermedius* SP015.

Reduced adsorption of three SP phages was observed in phage-resistant SP015 R1 (Fig 3). Using primers targeting the WTA gene cluster in SP015, we identified a point mutation in *tagN* of R1 that caused a premature stop codon at amino acid 629 (Fig 3a). Complementation of *tagN* using a wild-type allele restored adsorption of SP phages around 50%. Notable, mutation in

R1 improved adsorption of ϕ SA039 (56.20%), whereas complementation of *tagN* in R1 decreased adsorption of ϕ SA039 (31.70%). In SP015 and its mutant derivatives, adsorption did not differ significantly between mutant ϕ SA039 (ϕ M1, ϕ M2, ϕ rM1/r-100, and ϕ rM1/r-100&102) and wild-type ϕ SA039 (Supplemental Figure S2).

Discussion

Alteration of RBP enables ϕ SA039 to switch its receptor

Although ϕ SA039 requires the β -GlcNAc moiety in WTA of *S. aureus*, it can switch its receptor by acquiring spontaneous mutation in its RBP. Alteration of phage receptors is a bacterial strategy used to prevent the initial step of phage infection (Capparelli et al. 2010; Hyman and Abedon 2010; Azam and Tanji 2019a). A previous study showed that spontaneous mutant *S. aureus* lacking the β -GlcNAc moiety in WTA can be easily obtained by co-culturing the bacteria with phage (Azam et al. 2018), suggesting that the emergence of phage-resistant *S. aureus* lacking β -GlcNAc moiety in WTA is possible in a real-world setting. However, in contrast to the situation with antibiotic resistance, ϕ SA039 can counter-adapt phage-resistant host by acquiring mutations in ORF100. We confirmed that mutation in ORF100 is key to ϕ SA039's ability to infect TarS-null *S. aureus*. Spontaneous mutants with three point mutations in ORF100 could infect a TarS-null host. Chimeric phages harboring mutant ORF100, produced by homologous recombination, also exhibited similar infectivity. However, a phage with mutated ORF100 could not infect *S. aureus* RN4220, which has TarM (an enzyme responsible for glycosylation of α -GlcNAc of WTA). This residue is likely important for the infection of RN4220 by ϕ SA039. Mutation in ORF100 caused the loss of binding ability of ϕ SA039 onto

RN4220. Our hypothesis was consistent with these observations: ϕ M2 and ϕ rM1/r-100 could infect TarM-null RN4220 (RN4220 Δ TarM), and complementation of TarM in RN4220 Δ TarM blocked the infection of the phages.

Subsequent analysis revealed that a point mutation in ORF102 enabled the mutant phage to adsorb onto RN4220. Phage ϕ M1, which has mutations in ORF100 and ORF102, was able to bind WTA of RN4220. Our hypothesis is consistent with the ability of a chimeric phage harboring point mutations in ORF100 and ORF102 (ϕ rM1/r-100&102) to infect RN4220.

A similar phenomenon has been documented in phages infecting *Escherichia coli*: mutation in *gp38*, which encodes the tail protein of coliphage PP01, enables PP01 to infect *E. coli* O157:H7 lacking the receptor OmpC (Morita et al. 2002). Via such mutations, ϕ SA039 and PP01 may acquire the ability to target new receptors other than their original cognate receptors. These indicate that even if the phage-resistant bacteria may appear, new infectious phage will nevertheless be available.

Staphylococcal kayvirus from family *Myoviridae* is a suitable candidate for phage therapy

Staphylococcal *Myoviridae* phages have a broad host range (Lobocka et al. 2012; Cui et al. 2017). In particular, kayvirus can infect multiple species of *Staphylococcus* (Lobocka et al. 2012). *Staphylococcus* species harbor various glycoepitopes (GlcNAc, GalNAc, or Glc) and two types of WTA backbone (RboP and GroP) (Endl et al. 1983; Brown et al. 2013). Commonly, the infectivity of staphylococcal phages that use glycoepitopes in the WTA as their receptors, e.g. phages from families *Siphoviridae* and *Podoviridae*, depend on the WTA type of the host. For example, *Siphoviridae* phage ϕ 187 (a *S. aureus* ST395 specific phage) strictly requires the GalNAc residue in GroP WTA. The phage is not infective toward *S. aureus*, which has RboP

WTA (Winstel et al. 2014; Li et al. 2015). The phage can infect coagulase-negative staphylococci (CoNS) including animal-related CoPS, *S. pseudintermedius* (Winstel et al. 2014). The same study also showed that *Siphoviridae* phage ϕ 11 and ϕ 80 α (*S. aureus*-specific phages) can infect *S. aureus* but not *Staphylococcus* species with GroP WTA. Therefore, the host range of phages belong to this group likely depends on the type of WTA.

In this study, we found that ϕ SA039 could infect different *Staphylococcus* species with GroP or RboP WTA. The phage exhibited at least moderate infectivity toward *S. pseudintermedius* isolates and strong infection toward CoNS, all of which lack of the β -GlcNAc residue in their WTA and have a GroP backbone. We confirmed that ϕ SA039 can utilize GroP- or RboP WTA in *Staphylococcus* bacteria as its receptor. TagN-mediated glycosylation of WTA in *S. pseudintermedius* SP015 likely inhibits the infection of ϕ SA039.

Staphylococcal *Siphoviridae* phage often exhibit broad host range, but are specific for bacteria within the same species (Xia et al. 2011; Li et al. 2015). In this study, we demonstrated that SP phages can engage in inter-species infectivity in *Staphylococcus* that possess GroP WTA. Three SP phages (ϕ SP120, ϕ SP197, and ϕ SP276) exhibited strong infectivity toward 19 isolates of *S. pseudintermedius* and 11 CoNS isolates. Unlike other CoNS, *S. saprophyticus* i.e. CCM883 strain has RboP-type WTA (Endl et al. 1983); hence, SP phages cannot infect this species. The absence of non-beneficial genes (toxin, virulence, and antibiotic resistance) in the genomes of ϕ SP120, ϕ SP197, and ϕ SP276 lead us to consider them as potential antimicrobial agents for control of *S. pseudintermedius* infection.

Phage host range is an important criterion when considering a candidate phage for therapeutic application. According to our results, staphylococcal *Myoviridae*, especially those of

kayvirus group, are the best candidates in terms of host range; however, to establish efficient treatment, it will be necessary to precisely determine the bacteria responsible for each infection. For example, if one desires to treat *S. pseudintermedius* infection without affecting *S. aureus*, the use of a SP *Siphoviridae* phage represents an alternative. For practical phage therapy, the use of strictly lytic phages that lack non-desirable genes would be preferable. Although our newly isolated SP phages are lysogenic, non-desirable genes are absent from their genome; thus, selection of spontaneous mutant phages that are exclusively lytic would be beneficial for practical applications. A recent report described a practical method for screening for spontaneous mutant lytic phages from a lysogenic strain (Gutiérrez et al. 2018), suggesting promising future applications of such phages in therapy.

Glycosylation of RboP WTA protects *S. aureus* cell from infection by SP phages

Surface carbohydrate moieties are essential for bacterial communication and phage–bacteria and host–pathogen interactions (Weidenmaier et al. 2005; Brown et al. 2013). Most *S. aureus* strains produce RboP WTA substituted with α - and/or β -GlcNAc residues. Many *S. aureus* strains have lost a major genetic barrier against phage infection, the CRISPR-Cas system (Brussow et al. 2004). Consequently, *S. aureus* frequently exchanges genetic material via phage-mediated horizontal gene transfer (HGT) events (Xia and Wolz 2014; Li et al. 2015). The difference in WTA structure determines efficient HGT among *Staphylococcus* bacteria. *Staphylococcal Siphoviridae* mediates HGT among *Staphylococcus* (Xia and Wolz 2014). Host recognition of phages from this family depends on WTA structure (Azam and Tanji 2019b). For example, *Siphoviridae* phages capable of infecting *S. aureus* (SA *Siphoviridae*), such as ϕ 11 and ϕ 80A, can recognize GlcNAc of RboP WTA, but cannot recognize GroP WTA of CoNS (see

illustration in Fig 4). On the other hand, *Siphoviridae* that infect other *Staphylococcus* species have rarely been reported.

Within *S. aureus* species, the ST395 lineage harbors a unique WTA containing 1,3-glycerol-phosphate (GroP) modified with α -GalNAc and D-alanine (Winstel et al. 2013; Winstel et al. 2014). The WTA structure of the ST395 lineage resembles that of CoNS and *S. pseudintermedius*. *Siphoviridae* phages capable of infecting PS187 (a representative strain of the ST395 lineage) can also infect CoNS and other species with similar WTA structure (Winstel et al. 2014). In this study, we characterized *Siphoviridae* phages capable of infecting *S. pseudintermedius* (termed SP *Siphoviridae*). We demonstrated that the *S. pseudintermedius* strains used in our study have GroP WTA. In a representative strain (SP015), we observed that our SP *Siphoviridae* phages required glycosylated GroP WTA. A nonsense mutation resulting in deletion of the C-terminus of *tagN*, encoding glycosyl transferase for GroP WTA, caused a significant decrease in adsorption of SP phages onto SP015 but improved adsorption of ϕ SA039. Adsorption of phages was not observed in the presence of tunicamycin (an antibiotic which inhibits WTA synthesis), suggesting that SP phages and ϕ SA039 required WTA for infection but glycosylation by TagN was dispensable for ϕ SA039.

Interestingly, we showed that SP phages could recognize *S. aureus* harboring non-glycosylated WTA (due to lack of TarM and TarS). Although *S. aureus* lacking both TarM and TarS is not likely to be present in nature, our finding indicates that glycosylation of RboP WTA of *S. aureus* is a strategy used by the bacteria to protect themselves against infection by SP *Siphoviridae*, thereby limiting the HGT across different species with different WTA backbones. Similar strategies have been documented in other reports (Li et al. 2015) showing that TarM protects *S. aureus* against the lytic activity of *Podoviridae*. Staphylococcal *Podoviridae* require

precise WTA glycosylation pattern for infection. TarM-mediated α -GlcNAc glycosylation in RboP WTA prevents infection of *Podoviridae* while TarS-mediated β -GlcNAc glycosylation is important for *S. aureus* susceptibility to *Podoviridae*. Our findings reveal a novel strategy by which *S. aureus* protects itself against infection by phages capable of specifically infecting phylogenetically distant species. These finding provide novel insight into biology of staphylococcal phage. We suggested that host recognition mechanism of staphylococcal phage is likely more complex than our current understanding.

Acknowledgements: We thank Professor Kenji Kurokawa at the Faculty of Pharmaceutical Science of Nagasaki International University for providing us with the deletion mutant of RN4220.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Ethical approval: This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animal performed by any of the authors.

References

- Alves DR, Gaudion A, Bean JE, Perez Esteban P, Arnot TC, Harper DR, Kot W, Hansen LH, Enright MC, Jenkins ATA (2014) Combined use of bacteriophage K and a novel bacteriophage to reduce *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilm formation. Appl Environ Microbiol 80:6694–6703 . doi: 10.1128/AEM.01789-14
- Asheshov EA, Jevons MP (1963) The effect of heat on the ability of a host strain to support the growth of a *Staphylococcus* phage. J Gen Microbiol 31:97–107 . doi: 10.1099/00221287-31-1-97
- Azam AH, Hoshiga F, Takeuchi I, Miyanaga K, Tanji Y (2018) Analysis of phage resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus* SA003 reveals different binding mechanisms for the closely related Twort-like phages ϕ SA012 and ϕ SA039. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. In press
- Azam AH, Tanji Y (2019a) Bacteriophage-host arm race : An update on the mechanism of phage resistance in bacteria and revenge of the phage with the perspective for phage therapy. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 103:2121–2131 . doi: 10.1007/s00253-019-09629-x
- Azam AH, Tanji Y (2019b) Peculiarities of *Staphylococcus aureus* phages and their possible application in phage therapy. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol In press. doi: 10.1007/s00253-019-09810-2
- Bannoehr J, Ben Zakour NL, Waller AS, Guardabassi L, Thoday KL, Van Den Broek AHM, Fitzgerald JR (2007) Population genetic structure of the *Staphylococcus intermedius* group: Insights into agr diversification and the emergence of methicillin-resistant strains. J Bacteriol 189:8685–8692 . doi: 10.1128/JB.01150-07

514 Bannoehr J, Franco A, Iurescia M, Battisti A, Fitzgerald JR (2009) Molecular diagnostic
515 identification of *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius*. J Clin Microbiol 47:469–471 . doi:
516 10.1128/JCM.01915-08

517 Blaiotta G, Fusco V, Ercolini D, Pepe O, Coppola S (2010) Diversity of *Staphylococcus* species
518 strains based on partial kat (catalase) gene sequences and design of a PCR-restriction
519 fragment length polymorphism assay for identification and differentiation of coagulase-
520 positive species (*S. aureus*, *S. delphini*, *S. hyicus*, *S. intermedius*, *S. pseudintermedius*, and *S.*
521 *schleiferi* subsp. *coagulans*). J Clin Microbiol 48:192–201 . doi: 10.1128/JCM.00542-09

522 Brown S, Santa Maria JP, Walker S (2013) Wall teichoic acids of Gram-positive bacteria. Annu
523 Rev Microbiol 67:313–336 . doi: 10.1146/annurev-micro-092412-155620

524 Brown S, Xia G, Luhachack LG, Campbell J, Meredith TC, Chen C, Winstel V, Gekeler C,
525 Irazoqui JE, Peschel A, Walker S (2012) Methicillin resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus*
526 requires glycosylated wall teichoic acids. Proc Natl Acad Sci 109:18909–18914 . doi:
527 10.1073/pnas.1209126109

528 Brussow H, Canchaya C, Hardt W-D (2004) Phages and the evolution of bacterial pathogens:
529 from genomic rearrangements to lysogenic conversion. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 68:560–
530 602 . doi: 10.1128/MMBR.68.3.560-602.2004

531 Capparelli R, Nocerino N, Lanzetta R, Silipo A, Amoresano A, Giangrande C, Becker K,
532 Blaiotta G, Evidente A, Cimmino A, Iannaccone M, Parlato M, Medaglia C, Roberto S,
533 Roberto F, Ramunno L, Iannelli D (2010) Bacteriophage-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*
534 mutant confers broad immunity against staphylococcal infection in mice. PLoS One 5. doi:
535 10.1371/journal.pone.0011720

- 536 Chen W, Zhang Y, Yeo WS, Bae T, Ji Q (2017) Rapid and efficient genome editing in
537 *Staphylococcus aureus* by using an engineered CRISPR/Cas9 system. J Am Chem Soc
538 139:3790–3795 . doi: 10.1021/jacs.6b13317
- 539 Cui Z, Guo X, Dong K, Zhang Y, Li Q, Zhu Y, Zeng L, Tang R, Li L (2017) Safety assessment
540 of *Staphylococcus* phages of the family *Myoviridae* based on complete genome sequences.
541 Sci Rep 7. doi: 10.1038/srep41259
- 542 Cui Z, Song Z, Wang Y, Zeng L, Shen W, Wang Z, Li Q, He P, Qin J, Guo X (2012) Complete
543 genome sequence of wide-host-range *Staphylococcus aureus* phage JD007. J Virol
544 86:13880–1 . doi: 10.1128/JVI.02728-12
- 545 Deghorain M, Bobay LM, Smeesters PR, Bousbata S, Vermeersch M, Perez-Morga D, Drèze
546 PA, Rocha EPC, Touchon M, Van Melderén L (2012) Characterization of novel phages
547 isolated in coagulase-negative staphylococci reveals evolutionary relationships with
548 *Staphylococcus aureus* phages. J Bacteriol 194:5829–5839 . doi: 10.1128/JB.01085-12
- 549 Endl J, Seidl HP, Fiedler F, Schleifer KH (1983) Chemical composition and structure of cell wall
550 teichoic acids of staphylococci. Arch Microbiol 135:215–223 . doi: 10.1007/BF00414483
- 551 Enright MC, Robinson DA, Randle G, Feil EJ, Grundmann H, Spratt BG, Walsh CT (2002) The
552 evolutionary history of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA). Proc Natl
553 Acad Sci 99:7687–7692
- 554 Gutiérrez D, Fernández L, Rodríguez A, García P (2018) Practical method for isolation of phage
555 deletion mutants. Methods Protoc 1:6. doi: 10.3390/mps1010006
- 556 Hyman P, Abedon ST (2010) Bacteriophage host range and bacterial resistance. Adv Appl

557 Microbiol 70:217–248

558 Iwano H, Inoue Y, Takasago T, Kobayashi H, Furusawa T, Taniguchi K, Fujiki J, Yokota H,
559 Usui M, Tanji Y, Hagiwara K, Higuchi H, Tamura Y (2018) Bacteriophage ΦSA012 has a
560 broad host range against *Staphylococcus aureus* and effective lytic capacity in a mouse
561 mastitis model. *Biology (Basel)* 7:8 doi: 10.3390/biology7010008

562 Jeong DW, Cho H, Lee H, Li C, Garza J, Fried M, Bae T (2011) Identification of the P3
563 promoter and distinct roles of the two promoters of the SaeRS two-component system in
564 *Staphylococcus aureus*. *J Bacteriol* 193:4672–4684 . doi: 10.1128/JB.00353-11

565 Kloos WE, Bannerman TL (1994) Update on clinical significance of coagulase-negative
566 staphylococci. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 7:117–140

567 Li X, Gerlach D, Du X, Larsen J, Stegger M, Kuhner P, Peschel A, Xia G, Winstel V (2015) An
568 accessory wall teichoic acid glycosyltransferase protects *Staphylococcus aureus* from the
569 lytic activity of *Podoviridae*. *Sci Rep* 5:17219 . doi: 10.1038/srep17219

570 Li X, Koç C, Kühner P, Stierhof Y-D, Krismer B, Enright MC, Penadés JR, Wolz C, Stehle T,
571 Cambillau C, Peschel A, Xia G (2016) An essential role for the baseplate protein Gp45 in
572 phage adsorption to *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Nat Publ Gr* 6:26455. doi: 10.1038/srep26455

573 Łobocka M, Hejnowicz MS, Dabrowski K, Gozdek A, Kosakowski J, Witkowska M, Ulatowska
574 MI, Weber-Dabrowska B, Kwiatek M, Parasion S, Gawor J, Kosowska H, Głowacka A
575 (2012) Genomics of Staphylococcal Twort-like Phages - Potential Therapeutics of the Post-
576 Antibiotic Era. *Adv Virus Res* 83:143–216 . doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-394438-2.00005-0

577 Moodley A, Stegger M, Ben Zakour NL, Fitzgerald JR, Guardabassi L (2009) Tandem repeat

sequence analysis of staphylococcal protein A (spa) gene in methicillin-resistant
Staphylococcus pseudintermedius. Vet Microbiol 135:320–326 . doi:
10.1016/j.vetmic.2008.09.070

Morita M, Fischer CR, Mizoguchi K, Yoichi M, Oda M, Tanji Y, Unno H (2002) Amino acid
alterations in Gp38 of host range mutants of PP01 and evidence for their infection of an
ompC null mutant of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7. FEMS Microbiol Lett 216:243–248 . doi:
10.1016/S0378-1097(02)01025-X

O’Flaherty S, Coffey A, Edwards R, Meaney W, Fitzgerald GF, Ross RP (2004) Genome of
staphylococcal phage K: A new lineage of myoviridae infecting Gram-positive bacteria
with a low G+C content. J Bacteriol 186:2862–2871 . doi: 10.1128/JB.186.9.2862-
2871.2004

Osada K, Takeuchi I, Miyanaga K, Tanji Y (2017) Coevolution between *Staphylococcus aureus*
isolated from mastitic milk and its lytic bacteriophage φSA012 in batch co-culture with
serial transfer. Biochem Eng J 126:16–23 . doi: 10.1016/j.bej.2017.06.022

Pompilio A, De Nicola S, Crocetta V, Guarnieri S, Savini V, Carretto E, Di Bonaventura G
(2015) New insights in *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* pathogenicity: Antibiotic-resistant
biofilm formation by a human wound-associated strain. BMC Microbiol 15. doi:
10.1186/s12866-015-0449-x

Sakoulas G, Eliopoulos GM, Fowler VG, Moellering RC, Novick RP, Lucindo N, Yeaman MR,
Bayer AS (2005) Reduced susceptibility of *Staphylococcus aureus* to Vancomycin and
Platelet Microbicidal protein correlates with defective autolysis and loss of accessory gene
regulator (agr) function. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 49:2687–2692 . doi:

600 10.1128/AAC.49.7.2687–2692.2005

601 Synnott AJ, Kuang Y, Kurimoto M, Yamamichi K, Iwano H, Tanji Y (2009) Isolation from
602 sewage influent and characterization of novel *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteriophages with
603 wide host ranges and potent lytic capabilities. Appl Environ Microbiol 75:4483–4490 . doi:
604 10.1128/AEM.02641-08

605 Takeuchi I, Osada K, Azam AH, Asakawa H, Miyanaga K, Tanji Y (2016) The presence of two
606 receptor-binding proteins contributes to the wide host range of staphylococcal twort-like
607 phages. Appl Environ Microbiol 82:5763–5774 . doi: 10.1128/AEM.01385-16

608 Weidenmaier C, Peschel A, Xiong Y, Kristian SA, Dietz K, Yeaman MR, Bayer AS (2005) Lack
609 of wall teichoic acids in *Staphylococcus aureus* leads to reduced interactions with
610 endothelial cells and to attenuated virulence in a rabbit model of endocarditis. J Infect Dis
611 191:1771–1777 . doi: 10.1086/429692

612 Winstel V, Liang C, Sanchez-Carballo P, Steglich M, Munar M, Broker BM, Penadés JR, Nübel
613 U, Holst O, Dandekar T, Peschel A, Xia G (2013) Wall teichoic acid structure governs
614 horizontal gene transfer between major bacterial pathogens. Nat Commun 4 doi:
615 10.1038/ncomms3345

616 Winstel V, Sanchez-Carballo P, Holst O, Xia G, Peschel A (2014) Biosynthesis of the unique
617 wall teichoic acid of *Staphylococcus aureus* lineage ST395. MBio 5 doi:
618 10.1128/mBio.00869-14

619 Xia G, Corrigan RM, Winstel V, Goerke C, Gründling A, Peschel A (2011) Wall teichoic acid-
620 dependent adsorption of staphylococcal siphovirus and myovirus. J Bacteriol 193:4006–

621 4009 . doi: 10.1128/JB.01412-10

622 Xia G, Maier L, Sanchez-Carballo P, Li M, Otto M, Holst O, Peschel A (2010) Glycosylation of
623 wall teichoic acid in *Staphylococcus aureus* by TarM. J Biol Chem 285:13405–13415 . doi:
624 10.1074/jbc.M109.096172

625 Xia G, Wolz C (2014) Phages of *Staphylococcus aureus* and their impact on host evolution.
626 Infect Genet Evol 21:593–601 . doi: 10.1016/j.meegid.2013.04.022

627 Zhu X, Liu D, Singh AK, Drolia R, Bai X, Tenguria S, Bhunia AK (2018) Tunicamycin
628 mediated inhibition of wall teichoic acid affects *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Listeria*
629 *monocytogenes* cell morphology, biofilm formation and virulence. Front Microbiol 9. doi:
630 10.3389/fmicb.2018.01352

631

632

Figure legends

Fig 1. Adsorption of ϕ SA039 and its derivative mutants (spontaneous mutant ϕ M1 and ϕ M2, recombinant phage harboring point mutations in ORF100 [ϕ rM1/r-100], and recombinant phage harboring point mutations in ORF100 and ORF102 [ϕ rM1/r-100&102]) onto (a) SA003 and *tarS* deletion mutant (SA003 Δ TarS), (b) wild-type RN4220, *tarM* deletion mutant (RN Δ TarM), *tarS* deletion mutant (RN Δ TarS), and *tarM/tarS* double deletion mutant (RN Δ TarM Δ TarS). Statistical significance ($P < 0.05$) is indicated with *.

Fig 2. Infectivity of SP phages (ϕ SP120, ϕ SP197, and ϕ SP276) toward RN4220 and its deletion mutants; *tarM* deletion mutant (RN Δ TarM), *tarS* deletion mutant (RN Δ TarS), and *tarM/tarS* double deletion mutant (RN Δ TarM Δ TarS), *tagO* deletion mutant (RN Δ TagO), *tarM* complemented mutant RN Δ TarM Δ TarS::pLIP3.TarM, and *tarS* complemented mutant RN Δ TarM Δ TarS::pLIP3.TarS, as determined by adsorption assay.

Fig 3. (a) Mutation that causes an early stop codon in *tagN* of SP015-R1. Susceptibility of SP015 wild-type, tunicamycin-induced Sp015 (final concentration 5 μ g/ml), *tagN* spontaneous mutant (SP015-R1), and TagN-complemented SP015-R1 to all phages, as determined by spot test (b); to phage ϕ SP120, as determined by adsorption assay (c); and against phage ϕ SA039, as determined by adsorption assay.

Fig 4. Mechanism of recognition of WTA by staphylococcal phages: (a) SA *Siphoviridae* and *Myoviridae* recognize glycosylated RboP WTA; (b) SP *Siphoviridae* and *Myoviridae* recognize glycosylated GroP WTA; and (c) SP *Siphoviridae* and *Myoviridae* recognize non-glycosylated RboP WTA. *Glycosylation by TagN is based on a report in the *S. aureus* ST395 lineage (Winstel et al. 2014).





Fig 1.






Fig. 2

671

a.




b.




^sStatistical significance ($P<0.05$) is indicated.

c.



d.




672

673 Fig. 3

674

675



676

677 Fig. 4

Table 1. Bacterial strains, phages and plasmids used in this study

Bacterial strain, phage or plasmid	Description	Reference
Bacteria		
<i>E. coli</i> JM109	Competent cells. Genotype <i>recA1, endA1, gyrA96, thi-1, hsdR17(r_K⁻ m_K⁺), e14⁻ (mcrA⁻), supE44, relA1, Δ (lac-proAB)/F'[traD36, proAB⁺, lac I^f, lacZΔM15]</i> .	(TAKARA, Shiga, Japan)
<i>S. aureus</i> RN4220	Transformable strain: restriction-deficient (<i>hsdR</i> ⁻), <i>rsbU</i> ⁻ , <i>agr</i> ⁻ .	(DSMZ culture collection, Braunschweig, Germany)
<i>S. aureus</i> RNΔTarM	<i>S. aureus</i> RN4220 lacking <i>tarM</i> gene	(Takeuchi et al. 2016)
<i>S. aureus</i> RNΔTO	<i>S. aureus</i> RN4220 disruptant of <i>tagO</i> gene	(Azam et al. 2018)
<i>S. aureus</i> RNΔOatA	<i>S. aureus</i> RN4220 with deleted <i>oatA</i> gene	(Azam et al. 2018)
<i>S. aureus</i> RNΔTarS	<i>S. aureus</i> RN4220 lacking <i>tarS</i> gene	(Kurokawa et al. 2013)
<i>S. aureus</i> RNΔTarMΔTarS	<i>S. aureus</i> RN4220 lacking <i>tarM</i> and <i>tarS</i> genes	(Kurokawa et al. 2013)
RNΔTarMΔTarS.pLIP3.TarM	<i>S. aureus</i> RNΔTarMΔTarS harboring pLIP3.TarM	This study
RNΔTarMΔTarS.pLIP3.TarS	<i>S. aureus</i> RNΔTarMΔTarS harboring pLIP3.TarS	This study
<i>S. aureus</i> RNΔTarMΔTarSΔOatA	<i>S. aureus</i> RN4220 lacking <i>tarM</i> , <i>tarS</i> and <i>oatA</i> genes	This study
<i>S. aureus</i> SA003	<i>S. aureus</i> isolated from milk of mastitic cow	(Synnott et al. 2009)
SA003ΔtarS	<i>S. aureus</i> SA003 deficient in <i>tarS</i>	(Azam et al. 2018)
SA003ΔtarS::pLIP3.TarS	Complemented SA003ΔtarS with pLIP3.TarS	(Azam et al. 2018)
<i>S. aureus</i> SA001, 002, 003, 009, 019, 020, 021, 026, 028, 029, 031, 033	<i>S. aureus</i> isolated from milk of mastitic cow	(Synnott et al. 2009)
<i>S. pseudintermedius</i> SP015, 017, 023, 040, 055, 042, 070, 079, 092, 120, 145, 188, 190, 195, 197, 251, 253, 276, 294, 296	<i>S. pseudintermedius</i> isolated from skin of Canine pyoderma	This study
SP015.R1	<i>S. pseudintermedius</i> SP015 with premature stop codon in <i>tagN</i>	This study
SP015.R1.pLIP3.TagN	Complemented SP015.R1 with pLIP3.TagN	This study
<i>S. epidermidis</i> NBRC 100911	<i>S. epidermidis</i> isolated from nose	(NBRC culture collection, Shizuoka, Japan)
<i>S. warneri</i> IAM-1296	<i>S. warneri</i> isolated from skin lesion	(JCM culture collection, Tsukuba, Japan)
<i>S. epidermidis</i> NBRC12993	Standard strain for Japanese pharmacopeia (microbial assay for antibiotics)	(NBRC culture collection, Shizuoka, Japan)
<i>S. caprae</i> JMUB-50	Strain isolated from patient at Jichi Medical University hospital	Jichi Medical University culture collection
<i>S. epidermidis</i> JMUB-51	Strain isolated from patient at Jichi Medical University hospital	Jichi Medical University culture collection
<i>S. haemolyticus</i> JMUB-57	Strain isolated from patient at Jichi Medical University hospital	Jichi Medical University culture collection

Table 2. Spot test of phages toward *Staphylococcus aureus*, *S. pseudintermedius* and 12 CoNS.

<i>S. caprae</i> JMUB-145	Strain isolated from patient at Jichi Medical University hospital	Jichi Medical University culture collection
<i>S. hominis</i> JMUB-323	Strain isolated from patient at Jichi Medical University hospital	Jichi Medical University culture collection
<i>S. warneri</i> JMUB-339	Strain isolated from patient at Jichi Medical University hospital	Jichi Medical University culture collection
<i>S. saprophyticus</i> JMUB-344	Strain isolated from patient at Jichi Medical University hospital	Jichi Medical University culture collection
<i>S. lugdunensis</i> JMUB-1729	Strain isolated from patient at Jichi Medical University hospital	Jichi Medical University culture collection
<i>S. capitis</i> JMUB-1992	Strain isolated from patient at Jichi Medical University hospital	Jichi Medical University culture collection
Phages		
φSA012, φSA039	<i>S. aureus</i> phage isolated from sewage influent in Japan.	(Synnott et al. 2009)
φM1	Spontaneous mutant of φSA039	This study
φM2	Spontaneous mutant of φSA039	This study
φrM1/r-100	Chimeric phage of φSA039 harboring mutated ORF100 of φM1	This study
φM1/r-100&102	Chimeric phage of φSA039 harboring mutated ORF100 and ORF102 of φM1	This study
φSP120	<i>S. pseudintermedius</i> specific phage isolated by Mitomycin induction	This study
φSP197	<i>S. pseudintermedius</i> specific phage isolated by Mitomycin induction	This study
φSP276	<i>S. pseudintermedius</i> specific phage isolated by Mitomycin induction	This study
Plasmid		
pNL9164	<i>E. coli</i> / <i>S. aureus</i> shuttle vector, AmpR (<i>E. coli</i>), ErmR (<i>S. aureus</i>).	(Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA)
pNL.m1.100	Plasmid pNL9164 with inserted mutated-ORF100 from φM1	This study
pNL.m1.102	Plasmid pNL9164 with inserted mutated-ORF102 from φM1	This study
pLI50	<i>E. coli</i> / <i>S. aureus</i> shuttle vector, AmpR (<i>E. coli</i>), CmR (<i>S. aureus</i>).	(Addgene, MA, USA)
pLIP3	Plasmid pLI50 with promoter P3 from <i>S. aureus</i> , CmR (<i>S. aureus</i>), AmpR (<i>E. coli</i>).	(Takeuchi et al. 2016)
pLIP3.TO	pLIP3 with inserted <i>tagO</i> gene from SA003.	(Azam et al. 2018)
pLIP3.TagN	pLIP3 with inserted <i>tagN</i> gene from SP015.	This study
pCasSA	Plasmid for genome editing in <i>S. aureus</i> by CRISPR/Cas9 system	(Chen et al. 2017)
pCasSA-oatA	pCasSA plasmid with spacer and editing template of the <i>oatA</i> gene from RN4220.	(Azam et al. 2018)
pLIP3.oatA	pLIP3 with inserted <i>oatA</i> gene from RN4220	(Azam et al. 2018)

678

679

680

		<i>S. pseudintermedius</i>																			
		MSSP							MRSP												
		SP017	SP023	SP042	SP070	SP079	SP092	SP120	SP015	SP040	SP055	SP145	SP188	SP190	SP195	SP197	SP251	SP253	SP276	SP294	SP296
MLST		NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	71	110	194	71	36	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	5	NT	NT
WTA type		GroP																			
Phage	φSA039	C	T	T	C	N	T	T	C	T	T	T	T	N	T	C	C	C	C	T	T
	φSA012	C	T	T	C	N	T	T	C	T	T	C	C	T	C	C	C	C	C	T	T
	φSP0120	C	C	C	C	N	C	T	C	C	C	C	T	C	T	C	T	T	C	C	C
	φSP0197	C	C	C	C	N	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	T	C	C	C	C	C
	φSP276	C	C	C	C	N	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	T	C	C
	φDP001	C	T	T	T	N	N	N	C	T	T	N	N	N	N	C	C	C	N	N	N
		<i>S. aureus</i>													<i>S. epidermidis</i>			<i>S. warneri</i>		<i>S. capitis</i>	
		SA003	RN420	ATCC6538	<i>S. warneri</i>	SA002	SA009	SA019	SA020	SA021	SA026	SA028	SA029	SA031	SA033	NBRC100911	NBRC12993	JMUB-51	IAM-1296	JMUB-339	JMUB-1992
WTA type		RboP													GroP			GroP		GroP	
Phage	φSA039	C	C	N	T	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C
	φSA012	C	C	T	C	N	C	C	C	C	T	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C
	φSP120	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	C	C	C	C	C	C
	φSP197	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	C	C	C	C	C	C
	φSP276	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	C	C	C	C	C	C
	φDP001	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	C	T	T	C	C	C
		<i>S. caprae</i>		<i>S. hominis</i>		<i>S. haemolyticus</i>		<i>S. lugdunensis</i>		<i>S. saprophyticus</i>											
		JMUB-50	JMUB-145	JMUB-323		JMUB-57		JMUB-1729		JMUB-344											

		GroP					RboP [#]
Phage	φSA039	C	C	C	C	C	C
	φSA012	C	C	C	C	C	C
	φSP120	C	C	C	C	C	N
	φSP197	C	C	C	C	C	N
	φSP276	C	C	C	C	C	N
MRSA= Methicillin-resistant <i>S. pseudintermedius</i> MSSP= Methicillin-susceptible <i>S. pseudintermedius</i> NT means Non type *C = Clear, T = Turbid, and N = No plaque #WTA was likely similar to the strain reported by Endl et al (1983)							

681

682

683

684

Supplemental Table S1. Primers used in this study.


Primer name	Sequence	Description	Reference
F_orf94	ACAGTCTATTGTACTTGAAGC	Primer to amplified <i>orf94</i> of ϕ SA039	This study
R_orf94	CTAATGTTTCAAATTGCTGAGG	Primer to amplified <i>orf94</i> of ϕ SA039	This study
F_orf95	CCTCAGCAATTTGAAACATTAG	Primer to amplified <i>orf54</i> of ϕ SA039	This study
R_orf95	GTGCATCTATGAGAGCAAAG	Primer to amplified <i>orf95</i> of ϕ SA039	This study
F_orf96	CAGACCTTATTCAAGCTATAATG	Primer to amplified <i>orf96</i> of ϕ SA039	This study
R_orf96	GGTGGGTTTGTTCATTAGAC	Primer to amplified <i>orf96</i> of ϕ SA039	This study
F_orf97-98	CCATACGATAGTGAAGTAGTAAC	Primer to amplified <i>orf97</i> of ϕ SA039	This study
R_orf98-97	CTTGTTGATACGGGTTTATCT	Primer to amplified <i>orf98</i> of ϕ SA039	This study
R1_orf98	CTGTTGTATCTCCTGGAATAATC	Primer to amplified <i>orf98</i> of ϕ SA039	This study
R2_orf98	GTTTCAGCACTAGCATTATTAG	Primer to amplified <i>orf98</i> of ϕ SA039	This study
R3_orf98	CATAAGTCTACGAACACGAG	Primer to amplified <i>orf98</i> of ϕ SA039	This study
F_orf99	CTCGTGTTCTGTAAGCTTATG	Primer to amplified <i>orf99</i> of ϕ SA039	This study
R_orf99	CTTGTAAGTGAAGCTTTCTTATC	Primer to amplified <i>orf99</i> of ϕ SA039	This study
R_orf101	GAGCTGTTATGTTTCCATACG	Primer to amplified <i>orf101</i> of ϕ SA039	This study
F_orf100	GCAA <u>AAGCTT</u> GGGTTGATTGACCCCTCTTT	Primer to amplified <i>orf100</i> of ϕ SA039 with <i>Hind</i> III site for insertion into pNL9164 and used for homologous recombination	This study
R_orf100	ATAT <u>GTAC</u> ACTCCTAAAGTGATTAATTCCTGC	Primer to amplified <i>orf100</i> of ϕ SA039 with <i>Bsr</i> GI site for insertion into pNL9164 and used for homologous recombination	This study
R_orf100	CCATCGTCTCTGATACTACTTAG	Primer to amplified <i>orf100</i> of ϕ SA039	This study
M_orf100	CAGCAAGTGAGATAACAACCTG	Primer to amplified <i>orf100</i> of ϕ SA039	This study

F_orf102	GCAA <u>AAGCTT</u> CAAGAAGCTATGATGGAAA TGC	Primer to amplified <i>orf102</i> of ϕ SA039 with <i>HindIII</i> site for insertion into pNL9164 and used for homologous recombination	This study
R_orf102	ATAT <u>GTACAGT</u> CTACATACCCATCCCAAC	Primer to amplified <i>orf102</i> of ϕ SA039 with <i>BsrGI</i> site for insertion into pNL9164 and used for homologous recombination	This study
F_tagN	GCAGGATCCGGGATGTACTAAGAAAGAG TC	Primer to amplified <i>tagN</i> of <i>S. pseudintermedius</i> with <i>BamHI</i> site for insertion into pLIP3	This study
R_tagN	ATAA <u>AAGCTT</u> GTTCACCCTCTTTTCCATACA T	Primer to amplified <i>tagN</i> of <i>S. pseudintermedius</i> with <i>HindIII</i> site for insertion into pLIP3	This study
F_tagF1	CAGGGTTTGGAGGGATGATTG	Primer to amplified <i>tagF1</i> of <i>S. pseudintermedius</i>	This study
R_tagF1	AGTTAGTTGAAGGGCAGCCC	Primer to amplified <i>tagF1</i> of <i>S. pseudintermedius</i>	This study
F_tagF2	GATTTCAAACACGAAACATATAGC	Primer to amplified <i>tagF2</i> of <i>S. pseudintermedius</i>	This study
R_tagF2	CAATCATCCCTCCAAACCTG	Primer to amplified <i>tagF2</i> of <i>S. pseudintermedius</i>	This study
M_tagF2	CTGTAGAATAACGGTTCGGTG	Primer to amplified <i>tagF2</i> of <i>S. pseudintermedius</i>	This study
F_tagVN	GATATGATTAAGGAAGTGGTTAC	Primer to amplified <i>tagVN</i> of <i>S. pseudintermedius</i>	This study
R_tagN	CGATGATTGGTCTGTTGTTG	Primer to amplified <i>tagN</i> of <i>S. pseudintermedius</i>	This study
F_tagO	GATTGCGAATGAATGAGACAG	Primer to amplified <i>tagO</i> of <i>S. pseudintermedius</i>	This study
R_tagO	GTACTTTCCATGTGGCATTG	Primer to amplified <i>tagO</i> of <i>S. pseudintermedius</i>	This study
SIspa-F	AACCTGCGCCAAGTTTCGATGAAG	Primer for spa typing of <i>S. pseudintermedius</i>	Moodley et al. 2009
SIspa-R	CGTGGTTTGCTTTAGCTTCTGGC	Primer for spa typing of <i>S. pseudintermedius</i>	Moodley et al. 2009
spaA-F	AAGTAGTGATATTCTTGCT	Primer for spa typing of <i>S. pseudintermedius</i>	Ruscher et al. 2010
spA-R	CCAGGTTGAACGACATGCAT	Primer for spa typing of <i>S. pseudintermedius</i>	Ruscher et al. 2010
SPspa1F	CCGCTCTATTTTATAGGTTAATC	Primer for spa typing of <i>S. pseudintermedius</i>	Perreten et al. 2010


SIspaFlkR 1	CGTAACAACCTCAATGCTACATA	Primer for spa typing of <i>S. pseudintermedius</i>	Perreten et al. 2010
tuf-F	CAATGCCACAAACTCG	Primer for typing of <i>S. pseudintermedius</i>	Bannoehr et al. 2007
tuf-R	GCGACTGTACTTGCACAAGCA	Primer for typing of <i>S. pseudintermedius</i>	
cpn60-F	GCGACTGTACTTGCACAAGCA	Primer for typing of <i>S. pseudintermedius</i>	
cpn60-R	AACTGCAACCGCTGTAAATG	Primer for typing of <i>S. pseudintermedius</i>	
pta-F	GTGGGTATCGTATTACCAGAAGG	Primer for typing of <i>S. pseudintermedius</i>	
pta-R	GCAGAACCTTTTGTGAGAAGC	Primer for typing of <i>S. pseudintermedius</i>	
agrD-F	GGGGTATTATTACAATCATTC	Primer for typing of <i>S. pseudintermedius</i>	
agrD-R	GTGATGGGAAAATAAAGGATTG	Primer for typing of <i>S. pseudintermedius</i>	
F_seqA.oat A	GCATCTAGAGAAAATTATATGAACCTGCTT GG	Primer to amplify A region of <i>oatA</i> gene with <i>Xba</i> I site for pCasSA	Azam et al. 2018
R_seqA.oa tA	CAAATCCCATAGTAACGGTG	Primer to amplified A region of <i>oatA</i> gene	Azam et al. 2018
F_seqB.oat A	CACCGTTACTATGGGATTTGGTTGATTTC ATTACTGCAAAC	Primer to amplified B region of <i>oatA</i> gene	Azam et al. 2018
R_seqB.oa tA	ATACTCGAGCTCTTCCCATAGAAATTGGG	Primer to amplify B region of <i>oatA</i> gene with <i>Xho</i> I site for pCasSA	Azam et al. 2018

685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702

a.



b.



Supplemental Figure S2

716

Supplemental Table S3. Spacers present in CRISPR of *S. pseudintermedius* SP079

Spacer	Sequence	Closest phage sequence in database*	Phage genome accession number
1	TTTAACTCACTATACGATTATGAAAGCCT	NA	
2	GAACAGTTTGATTTACCTTATCGCTATATT	NA	
3	TGTTTCTGTTTGTACTTTTATTCTGTAC	NA	
4	TGAACATTACCGAACCTCTTGTGATTAA	NA	
5	GCGAACAACGGTATGCTTACGATGTTAGGT	φSP120	AP019560
6	TCGCATTAATCAAGAATCAAAAGGGTTGC	NA	
7	AAAAAGCCAGTACAAGGTTCTATGGTTTCA	NA	
8	ATTAAGAACAATATAAATGTATCGATTTCA	Phage SN8	MF428481
9	GCAATGGATCAATTGAAGGCTCGTCAACAA	NA	
10	GTGTGTCATCAGACTTTACACCTTCAAATAC	NA	
11	GAACCTTAAAGTATCTTTTAGGACACTT	NA	
12	AAAGATTATGCCATCTTCAGTTACTTTAAC	NA	
13	GATGAGAGGTGTAAAAGCACAATTGCATGA	NA	
14	TGTACGTTCAAACGGTTCTCTTTTAATTT	NA	
15	CGGCACAGATTGTGTGATTGTTGACCTGC	NA	
16	TCAAAAGTATTTTCGTCGAGATGGAGCGACT	φSP197	AP019561
17	TGAAGATTTTCCACGCCAATGTATTTTTAT	Phage 2638A	AY954954
18	TAAAATCAGAAACAAAAGGGCAAGCGGTT	NA	
19	CATTACCTTTGTTTGCCTTGTGATICT	NA	
20	GTAACTGAACTCGAGTGTGGCGCTTGA	NA	
21	ACAGGCTTAAAGAATCATTACAGTTTGCTA	NA	
22	TTGACCGTGCAAAAATTAATCACAAGGCGC	NA	
23	GTTATGATGTTTGGCGATATGGGTCGTCGT	NA	

*National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) database was used in this study.

NA means the data was not available in the database.

717

718

719

720