

1 **Full title**

2 **Intrauterine exposure to hyperglycaemia in pregnancy and risk of**
3 **adiposity in the offspring at 10 years of age – A community based**
4 **retrospective cohort study in Sri Lanka**

5

6 **Short title**

7 **Hyperglycaemia in pregnancy and risk of adiposity in the**
8 **offspring at 10 years of age**

9

10 Himali Herath*¹¶, Rasika Herath²¶, Rajitha Wickremasinghe³¶

11

12 ¹ Family Health Bureau, Ministry of Health, Sri Lanka

13 ²Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Kelaniya,
14 Ragama, Sri Lanka

15 ³ Department of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine, University of Kelaniya, Ragama, Sri Lanka

16 *Corresponding author

17 [Email-himalides@yahoo.com \(HH\)](mailto:Email-himalides@yahoo.com)

18 ¶These authors contributed equally to this work

1 **Abstract**

2 **Background**

3 Intrauterine exposure to a hyperglycemic environment can cause long term changes in body
4 composition resulting in increased adiposity and cardio metabolic risk in the offspring. The aim of
5 this study was to determine the association between hyperglycaemia in pregnancy (HIP) and risk
6 of adiposity in the offspring at 10-11 years of age.

7 **Methods**

8 A retrospective cohort study was conducted in the Colombo district, Sri Lanka. 7205 children who
9 were born in 2005 were identified through schools and Public Health Midwives in the community.
10 Mothers of these children still possessing antenatal records were interviewed and relevant data
11 were extracted from medical records to identify eligible participants. Exposure status
12 (hyperglycaemia in pregnancy) was ascertained based on client held antenatal records. 159
13 children of mothers with HIP (exposed) and 253 children of mothers with no HIP (non-exposed)
14 were recruited. Height, weight, waist circumference and triceps skin fold thickness (TSFT) of
15 participants were measured to ascertain outcome status.

16 **Results**

17 The mean ages (SD) of exposed and non-exposed groups were 10.9 (0.3) and 10.8 (0.3) years
18 respectively. The median BMI (17.6 vs 16.1, $p<0.001$), waist circumference (63cm vs 59.3 cm,
19 $p<0.001$) and triceps skinfold thickness (13.7mm vs 11.2mm, $p<0.001$) were significantly higher
20 in the exposed group than in the non-exposed group.

21 Children who were exposed to intrauterine hyperglycaemia were more likely to be overweight
22 ($aOR=2.5$, 95% CI 1.3-4.7), have abdominal obesity ($aOR=2.9$, 95% CI 1.2-6.8) and high TSFT

23 > 70th centile (aOR=2.1, 95% CI 1.2-3.9) at 10-11 years of age than children who were not
24 exposed after adjusting for maternal BMI, birth weight and birth order.

25 **Conclusions**

26 Intrauterine exposure to HIP is associated with significantly higher risk of adiposity in the
27 offspring at 10 years of age.

28

29 **Introduction**

30 Hyperglycaemia in pregnancy (HIP) is one of the commonest medical conditions encountered in
31 pregnancy. The International Diabetes Federation (IDF) estimates that one in six live births
32 (16.2%) in the world and one in four live births (24%) in South East Asia are complicated with
33 some form of hyperglycemia in pregnancy [1]. The majority (84% - 86%) of cases of
34 hyperglycaemia in pregnancy is due to gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) while the remaining
35 cases are due to diabetes in pregnancy (DIP) which is either pre-existing type 1 or type 2 diabetes
36 or diabetes first detected at any time during the index pregnancy [1,2]. The number of women
37 having hyperglycaemia in pregnancy is increasing as a result of the increasing prevalence of
38 obesity and diabetes in women and higher age at childbirth [3].

39 Pederson's hyperglycemia-hyperinsulinism hypothesis, as proven by several studies, is still the
40 basis of research on feto-maternal metabolism [4,5]. This hypothesis postulates that deficiency of
41 maternal insulin causes a rise in maternal glucose, which in turn increases fetal glucose levels.
42 This results in fetal hyperinsulinaemia which stimulates fetal growth and adiposity. Frienkel and
43 Metzger stated that deficiency of maternal insulin causes an increased influx of mixed nutrients or
44 fuels (glucose, amino acids, lipids, ketones) into fetal circulation resulting in hyperinsulinaemia

45 [4]. Frienkel presented the concept “fuel-mediated teratogenesis” to describe alterations that goes
46 beyond organogenesis causing long-range effects on anthropometric, metabolic and behavioral
47 functions in the offspring due to abnormal fuel mixtures in maternal metabolism due to
48 hyperglycaemia [4]. Studies of developmental origins of health and disease have highlighted the
49 possible role of hyperglycaemic intrauterine environment mediating and accelerating the current
50 epidemic of obesity and diabetes through fetal programming and epigenetic changes [6–9].

51 While the peripartum and immediate postnatal complications of GDM have been well described,
52 the long-term risks for the offspring have been less studied. Several epidemiologic studies have
53 investigated the association between HIP and offspring anthropometric outcomes during
54 childhood; the majority of them focused on Pima Indians and European and American birth
55 cohorts. Many studies examining the association of offspring BMI with maternal hyperglycaemia
56 in pregnancy have had a small number of exposed offspring thus limiting the power of such
57 studies [10,11]. A large number of studies have reported a positive association between HIP and
58 overweight and obesity [12–27], while few studies have not shown such an association [11,28–
59 30]. Given the limited evidence from South Asian populations for risk estimates for childhood
60 obesity that are attributable to maternal diabetes in utero, further studies in these populations were
61 identified as an important research need [31]. South Asians present with greater metabolic risk at
62 lower levels of BMI compared with other ethnic groups, with type 2 diabetes developing at a
63 younger age and rapidly progressing to other complications [32–34]. Many studies have shown
64 that being obese in childhood and adolescence is associated with obesity in the adult life, and
65 overweight in adolescence is considered an important predictor of long-term morbidity and
66 mortality [28,35–38]. Given the high risk of diabetes and cardiovascular diseases and rising trend

67 of obesity among South Asians, it is imperative that we identify risk groups and target interventions
68 from early life to mitigate the escalating epidemic of non-communicable diseases.

69 The aim of this study was to determine the association between the intrauterine exposure to
70 hyperglycaemia and anthropometric measurements in offspring at 10 - 11 years of age in Sri Lanka
71 and to determine whether the association was independent of child's birth weight, parity and
72 mother's pre-pregnancy BMI.

73

74 **Materials and Methods**

75 **Study design and population**

76 A retrospective cohort study was conducted in eight Medical Officer of Health (MOH) areas in
77 Colombo district, Sri Lanka from March 2015 to October 2016 to assess the long term outcomes
78 of HIP on the mother and the offspring. We have previously published the risk of type 2 diabetes
79 in the mothers 10 years after gestational diabetes [39].

80 Colombo is the most populous district in Sri Lanka with a total estimated population of 2,324,349
81 amounting to nearly 11% of the total population of the country [40]. For the delivery of public
82 health services, the district is divided into fifteen MOH areas and the metropolitan Colombo
83 Municipal Council area. The total population in the eight MOH areas included in the study was
84 approximately 940,000. Each MOH area is sub divided into Public Health Midwife (PHM) areas,
85 which constitute the smallest field health care delivery unit in the public health system of Sri
86 Lanka. The PHM delivers maternal and child care services as the grass roots level healthcare
87 worker. The PHM maintains a paper-based record keeping system for maternal and child care
88 services and all live births in a given PHM area are recorded in the "Birth and Immunization

89 Register" (BI Register) by the PHM. In the current study, we identified children born in 2005
90 through the BI registers and through schools in the selected MOH areas.

91 There was no universal screening programme to screen for HIP in Sri Lanka in 2005. During this
92 period, GDM screening in the antenatal clinics, as per national guidelines at that time, was based
93 on assessment of risk factors (41). These women underwent 75g oral glucose tolerance testing
94 mainly at gestation weeks 24–28. WHO (1999) criteria for 2-hour post 75g oral glucose load
95 ($\geq 140\text{mg/dl}$) was taken as the criterion for diagnosis of GDM (42).

96 Since Sri Lanka does not have an electronic database system for keeping patient records and paper-
97 based records are stored only for 5 years in the health institutions, tracing patient held antenatal
98 records to verify exposure status (hyperglycaemia in pregnancy) was the best possible option
99 available. A feasibility study conducted beforehand to verify the availability of patient held
100 antenatal records revealed that approximately 70% of women had antenatal records 10 years after
101 the delivery.

102 The study was conducted in three stages. In the first stage of the study, a self-administered
103 questionnaire to obtain information on history of hyperglycaemia in the index pregnancy,
104 availability of antenatal records and blood sugar assessment reports of the index pregnancy was
105 sent to all mothers of 2005 born children identified through the BI registers in the community and
106 through schools in the selected MOH areas.

107 We defined occurrence of hyperglycaemia in the index pregnancy as a positive answer (yes) to the
108 question 'Did you have high blood sugar / diabetes during the index pregnancy'. Given the high
109 literacy level among women in Sri Lanka, most women were aware of whether they had diabetes
110 during pregnancy.

111 A total of 7205 children who were born in 2005 were identified in stage 1. The prevalence of self-
112 reported hyperglyceamia in the index pregnancy was 3.5% (N=257). Eighty eight percent (n=226)
113 of mothers of children exposed to HIP still had antenatal records of index pregnancy compared to
114 69% (n=4811) of mothers of children not exposed to HIP. Potential participants for the main study
115 were identified at the end of the first stage. All children whose mothers had antenatal records and
116 gave a history of HIP during the index pregnancy were considered as “potential participants” to be
117 included in the “exposed group”. For each potential participant in the exposed group, two children
118 of mothers with antenatal records and no history of HIP during the index pregnancy were selected
119 from the same PHM area as “potential participants” to be included in the “non-exposed group”.

120 During the second stage, the mothers of all potential participants of “exposed” and “non-exposed”
121 groups were invited to participate in the “eligibility assessment sessions”. These eligibility
122 assessment sessions were conducted at PHM area level as it was easily accessible to all mothers
123 thus maximizing participation.

124 The research team interviewed the mothers of potential participants and scrutinized their antenatal
125 and medical records to identify participants meeting the inclusion criteria (born in 2005,
126 availability of antenatal records, singleton pregnancy) which were previously decided by a group
127 of experts comprising of specialists in obstetrics, obstetric medicine and public health. Having
128 received antenatal care in a unit lead by a Consultant Obstetrician was one of the eligibility criteria
129 for both “exposed” and “non exposed” groups to counter the possibility of misclassification due
130 to limiting the GDM screening to high risk pregnancies in 2005.

131 170 children exposed to HIP and 291 children not exposed to HIP were identified as eligible and
132 were invited for the study. A sample size of 161 in each group was required to detect a 15%
133 difference in the risk of being overweight with 90% power, an alpha error of 0.05 and a 1:1 ratio

134 between children exposed and not exposed to hyperglycaemia in utero (23). In the third stage, 159
135 offspring of women with HIP (OHIP) and 253 offspring of women with no HIP (ONHIP) in the
136 index pregnancy participated in the study. Among the OHIP, 86.8% (n=138) were exposed to
137 gestational diabetes in utero. The detailed flow chart of participant selection is given in Fig 1.

138

139

140 **Fig 1. Selection of the study population.**

141

142 **Data collection**

143 Data collection was carried out by a team of doctors. “Data collection sessions” were arranged in
144 a location easily accessible to participants in a given locality such as a field clinic centres or the
145 MOH office. Socio-demographic characteristics and participants’ physical activity engagement
146 were obtained by interviewing mothers. A 24-hour dietary recall was used to assess the
147 participant’s dietary energy intake. Energy intakes were calculated using the computerized food
148 composition database, FoodBase 2000 software, (Institute of Brain Chemistry, UK) containing Sri
149 Lankan food items and mixed dishes [43] at the Department of Applied Nutrition, Wayamba
150 University of Sri Lanka. Pregnancy related information and glycaemic status during the index
151 pregnancy were extracted from antenatal records to ascertain exposure status using WHO 1999
152 criteria for diagnosis of diabetes in pregnant women [42].

153 Anthropometric measurements of the participants were obtained early in the morning following
154 standard operating procedures to ascertain outcome status. Weight and height were measured in
155 light clothing and without shoes. Weight was measured to the nearest 0.1 kg using a calibrated

156 digital scale (SECA 876). Height was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm using a SECA stadiometer.
157 Waist circumference was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm at the mid-point between the lowest rib
158 and the top of the iliac crest with a non-elastic tape. Triceps skinfold thickness was measured to
159 the nearest 0.2mm using a Harpenden skinfold caliper. Two measurements were taken and the
160 mean was used for analysis. The same instruments were calibrated regularly and used throughout
161 the study.

162

163 **Ascertainment of exposure**

164 Children with documentary evidence of exposure to HIP in antenatal records or glucose tolerance
165 tests during the index pregnancy were classified as the OHIP (exposed) group. Diagnosis of GDM
166 and diabetes mellitus in the mother was based on WHO 1999 criteria (42) which was used in Sri
167 Lanka in 2005. Children with no documented evidence of exposure to HIP in antenatal records
168 during the index pregnancy were classified as the ONHIP (non-exposed) group.

169

170 **Ascertainment of outcome**

171 Anthropometric outcome measures were ascertained as follows.

172 **Overweight**

173 Overweight was defined as a BMI for age $> +1$ SD (equivalent to BMI 25kg/m² at 19 years)(44).

174 **Obesity**

175 Obesity was defined as a BMI for age $> +2$ SD (equivalent to BMI 30kg/m² at 19 years) (44).

176 WHO AnthroPlus for personal computers software for assessing growth of the world's children
177 and adolescents was used to calculate BMI and BMI z-scores (45,46)

178 **Abdominal obesity**

179 Abdominal (central) obesity was defined as waist circumference above the 90th percentile for age
180 and sex (47). Since body fat distribution is different among children of Asian, African and
181 Caucasian races (48), WC percentiles developed for Indian children by Kurian et al (49) were used
182 to identify cutoff values to define abdominal obesity.

183

184 **High Triceps skinfold thickness**

185 High triceps skinfold thickness was defined as TSFT above the 70th percentile for age and sex.
186 Since there are racial differences in skinfold thickness (50), triceps skinfold thickness reference
187 charts developed for Indian children using the same instrument (Harpenden caliper) were used in
188 this study (51).

189

190 **Statistical analysis**

191 Baseline characteristics of participants in the OHIP and ONHIP groups were described using
192 descriptive statistics. Variables were tested for normality using the Kolmogorov Smirnov test.
193 Normally distributed continuous data are presented as means (SD) and non-normally distributed
194 data are presented as medians (IQR). Frequencies and percentages were used to summarize
195 categorical variables. Comparisons of baseline and follow up assessment characteristics of OHIP
196 and ONHIP groups were done using t-test (for normally distributed data) or Mann Whitney *U* test
197 (for non-normally distributed data) for continuous variables and the chi square test for categorical
198 variables. Unadjusted Odds ratios and their 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated to
199 assess the association between HIP and overweight, obesity, abdominal obesity and high TSFT.

200 Binary logistic regression analysis was carried out to adjust for possible confounding effects of
201 maternal pre-pregnancy BMI, parity and birth weight. All tests of significance were two-tailed. A
202 probability level of $P<0.05$ was used to indicate statistical significance in all analyses.

203

204 **Ethical considerations**

205 The protocol was approved by the Ethics Review Committee of the Faculty of Medicine,
206 University of Kelaniya, Sri Lanka (*Ref. No.P/24/03/2015*). All mothers of study participants gave
207 informed written consent and verbal assent was obtained from the participants. A “feedback
208 session” was arranged after each data collection session and participants were issued a personal
209 record with anthropometric measurements. All participants and their mothers were counseled on
210 the importance of diet and lifestyle modification for prevention of overweight and cardiovascular
211 diseases. Participants needing specialized care were referred to the Lady Ridgeway Children’s
212 Hospital, a tertiary care facility for children, in Colombo.

213

214 **Results**

215 **Characteristics of the study population**

216 A total of 412 children born in 2005 participated in the study. Baseline characteristics of the 159
217 offspring of women with HIP (OHIP) and 253 offspring of women with no HIP (ONHIP) are
218 compared in table1.

219

220

221

222 Table 1. Characteristics of the exposed (OHIP) and non-exposed (ONHIP) groups

Characteristic	OHIP group (N=159)	ONHIP group (N=253)	p value
Sociodemographic characteristics			
Age years - mean (SD)	10.89 (0.32)	10.82 (0.31)	0.009 ^a
Sex - Male	N=67 (42.1%)	N=118 (46.6%)	0.37 ^b
Ethnicity - Sinhala	N=153 (96.2%)	N=231 (91.3%)	0.24 ^b
Education level of mother			
Primary education	N=5 (3.1%)	N=2 (0.8%)	0.10 ^b
Secondary education	N=144 (90.6%)	N=241 (95.2%)	
Tertiary education and higher	N=10 (6.3%)	N=10 (4.0%)	
Family Income per month			
<Rs, 50000 (< USD 280)	N=113 (71.1%)	N=190 (75.1%)	0.37 ^b
Index pregnancy related characteristics			
Mother's age at delivery in years – Mean (SD)	31.9 (5.3)	27.8 (5.3)	< 0.001 ^a
Primi Parity	N=53 (33.3%)	N=128 (50.6%)	0.002 ^b
Mother's BMI at first trimester of index pregnancy ¹			
< 18.5	N=5 (5%)	N= 43 (22.6%)	< 0.001 ^b
18.5 – 24.9	N=56 (55.4%)	N= 131 (69.0%)	
≥ 25	N=40 (39.6%)	N= 16 (8.4%)	
Gestational age in weeks – Median (IQR)	38 (37-39)	40 (38-40)	<0.001 ^c
Gestational age at delivery ≥ 37 weeks	N=145 (91.2%)	N=246 (97.2%)	0.007 ^b

Birth weight of index child in kg – Mean (SD)	3.1 (0.5)	2.9 (0.4)	<0.001 ^a
Birth weight of index child \geq 3.5kg	N=39 (24.5%)	N=20 (7.9%)	< 0.001 ^b
Exclusive breast-feeding duration \geq 4 months	N= 141 (88.7%)	N= 236 (93.2%)	0.10 ^b
Lifestyle related characteristics			
Physical activity (> 1 hour/d for \geq 5 days/week) ²	N=71 (44.7%)	N=130 (51.6%)	0.17 ^b
Dietary energy intake in kCal – Median (IQR) ³	1449 (1238-1864)	1514 (1257-1850)	0.78 ^c

¹ Data were available in 63.5% (N=101) of OHIP and 75.1% (N=190) of ONHIP.

² Data available for 159 OHIP and 252 ONHIP.

³ Data available for 70.4% (N=112) OHIP and 74.3% (N=188) ONHIP.

^a Independent samples T test

^b Chi square test

^c Mann-Whitney U test

223
224
225
226
227
228
229

230 At the time of the outcome assessment, the age of all participants ranged between 10.3 years to
231 11.6 years with a mean of 10.85years (SD=0.39). Mothers of children exposed to HIP were older
232 and had significantly higher BMI at the booking visit in the first trimester compared to mothers of
233 non-exposed children (p<0.001). Exposed children were heavier at birth and had a shorter
234 gestational age compared to non-exposed children (p<0.001). About half of the children in ONHIP
235 group were firstborns compared to only one third of children in the OHIP group (p=0.002).
236 Sociodemographic characteristics, breast feeding practices, dietary energy intake and physical
237 activity level were not significantly different between the two groups.

238

239 **Outcome assessment**

240 Table 2 compares participants' anthropometric measurements and prevalence of outcome
241 measures between OHIP and ONHIP groups.

242 Anthropometric measurements were assessed for normality using 1-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov
243 test. Height and BMI for age z-score were normally distributed while weight, BMI, WC and TSFT
244 were not normally distributed.

245

246 Table 2. Anthropometric assessment at follow up

Characteristic	OHIP group (N=159)	ONHIP group (N=253)	p value
Height (cm) – Mean (SD)	141.5 (6.9)	140.4 (6.9)	0.09 ^a
Weight (kg) - Median (IQR)	34.1 (28.3–41.6)	28.8 (25.6-36.5)	P<0.001 ^b
BMI - Median (IQR)	16.9 (14.7-20.2)	15.1 (13.8-17.8)	P< 0.001 ^b
BMI z score – Mean (SD)	-0.1 (1.6)	-0.9 (1.7)	P< 0.001 ^a
WC (cm) – Median (IQR)	61.4 (55.7-69.2)	56.4 (52.7-64.4)	P <0.001 ^b
TSFT(mm) ¹ - Median (IQR)	13.3 (9.6-17.2)	9.9 (7.4-14.1)	P < 0.001 ^b

247 BMI=Body mass index. WC=Waist circumference. TSFT=Triceps Skinfold Thickness.
248 ¹Data were available for 152 exposed and 236 non-exposed children.

249 ^aIndependent samples T test ^b Mann-Whitney U test

250

251

252 The mean BMI-for-age z-score of exposed children was significantly higher than that of non-
253 exposed children (P<0.001). Exposed children were significantly heavier and had significantly
254 higher median BMI, WC and TSFT than the non-exposed children (p< 0.001). Fig 2 depicts the
255 distribution of anthropometric parameters.

256

257 **Fig 2. Distribution of anthropometric parameters**

258 Table 3 shows the anthropometric outcome status of children at follow up.

259

260 Table 3: Outcome status of participants at follow up

Outcome status	OHIP group (N=159)	ONHIP group (N=253)	Odds Ratio	p value
	Prevalence (95% CI)	Prevalence (95% CI)	(95% CI of OR)	
Overweight (BMI ^a z score > +1SD)	30.8% (23.6 - 37.9)	16.2% (11.6 - 20.7)	2.3(1.4 -3.7)	p<0.001
Obesity (BMI ^a z score > +2SD)	5.7% (3.0-10.4)	5.1% (3.0-8.6)	1.1 (0.4 -2.6)	P=0.82
Abdominal obesity (WC ^b ≥ 90th percentile)	15.1% (9.5 – 20.6)	7.1% (3.9 – 10.2)	2.3 (1.2 -4.4)	p=0.009
TSFT ^c >70 th percentile	36.2% (28.5 – 43.8)	20.8% (15.6 – 25.9)	2.2 (1.4– 3.4)	p=0.001

^aBMI= Body mass index ^bWC= Waist circumference ^cTSFT=Triceps skinfold thickness.

262

263

264 The prevalence of overweight, abdominal obesity and high TSFT were significantly higher among
265 the offspring of mothers who had HIP. The high prevalence of abdominal obesity (7.1%) and high
266 TSFT (20.8%) even among the children not exposed to HIP is a concern. Children exposed to HIP
267 were 2 times more likely to be overweight and have abdominal obesity and have a TSFT > 70th
268 percentile than non-exposed children ($p < 0.01$). Prevalence of obesity was similar in both groups.

269

270 Association between HIP and anthropometric outcome measures after adjusting for 271 confounders

272 Logistic regression analysis was carried out to describe the association between the HIP and
273 anthropometric outcome status (overweight, abdominal obesity, TSFT >70th percentile) in 10-
274 11year old children after adjusting for maternal BMI in the first trimester, parity of index
275 pregnancy and birth weight. Predictors of overweight, abdominal obesity and high TSFT in the
276 offspring are given in Table 4.

277

278 Table 4: Predictors of anthropometric outcome status.

Risk factor	Overweight		Abdominal obesity		TSFT > 70 th percentile	
	(BMI z score > +1SD)		(Waist circumference ≥ 90th percentile)			
	Unadjusted	Adjusted	Unadjusted	Adjusted	Unadjusted	Adjusted
Exposure to HIP	2.3 ** (1.4-3.7)	2.5* (1.3-4.7)	2.3* (1.2-4.4)	2.9* (1.2-6.8)	2.2** (1.4-3.4)	2.1* (1.2-3.9)
Maternal BMI ≥ 25kgm ² in the first trimester	3.3** (1.8– 6.2)	2.8* (1.4-5.8)	2.4* (1.1– 5.6)	1.9 (0.7-4.7)	2.9** (1.6– 5.4)	2.4* (1.2-4.8)
Firstborn child	1.6* (1.01-2.6)	2.6* (1.4-4.9)	1.4 (0.7-2.7)	1.8 (0.8-4.1)	1.3 (0.8-2.1)	2.1* (1.2-3.8)
Birth weight ≥ 3.5kg	1.9* (1.03-3.4)	1.6 (0.7-3.5)	0.7 (0.2-2.1)	0.6 (0.2-2.1)	2.2* (1.2-3.9)	2.6* (1.3-5.4)

279 HIP=Hyperglycaemia in pregnancy TSFT=Triceps skinfold thickness BMI=Body mass index

280 † Adjusted for maternal BMI, parity, birth weight and exposure to HIP.

281 *P < 0.05, **P < 0.001

282

283 Even after adjustment for maternal BMI, birth weight and birth order, exposure to HIP was a
284 significant predictor of overweight, abdominal obesity and high TSFT in the offspring at 10 years
285 of age. Maternal overweight in the first trimester, a proxy for pre-pregnancy overweight, is an
286 independent risk factor for offspring overweight and high TSFT at 10-11 years. Similarly, being
287 the first-born child carries a more than two-fold increased risk of overweight and high TSFT
288 independent of maternal BMI, birth weight and exposure to HIP.

289

290 **Discussion**

291 To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study on long term implications of HIP on
292 anthropometric parameters in the offspring in Sri Lanka and one among the handful of studies
293 from South Asia. Even the previous studies conducted in India (26,52) were limited by the small
294 number of offspring of GDM mothers (n=41 and n=35). The significant associations between
295 maternal HIP and overweight, abdominal obesity and high TSFT in the offspring in this study
296 support the hypothesis that intrauterine exposure to HIP may have a long term risk of increased
297 adiposity in the offspring. The higher BMI and BMI-z-score in the offspring of women with HIP
298 reported in this study is consistent with earlier studies (14,16,21,22,53–56). A comprehensive
299 meta-analysis by Philipps et al. identified a strong association between intra uterine exposure to
300 maternal diabetes and increased offspring BMI in childhood (10). The prevalence of overweight
301 (BMI-z-score $> +1SD$) was significantly higher among OHIP compared to ONHIP (30.8% vs
302 16.2%). Our results are similar to findings of other studies that have reported a higher risk of
303 overweight and obesity among offspring of mothers who had HIP (11,14,17,18,21–25,57–60).
304 However, in contrast to other studies, the prevalence of obesity (BMI-z-score $> +2SD$) was similar
305 in the exposed and non-exposed groups in our study.

306 In our study, children exposed to intrauterine hyperglycaemia had a significantly higher waist
307 circumference at 10 years compared to non-exposed children. Previous studies have reported
308 similar findings of significantly higher waist circumference among offspring exposed to
309 hyperglycaemia in utero including a multinational study involving 206 offspring of GDM mothers
310 and 4534 offspring of non-GDM mothers from 12 countries (24,61,62).

311 In our study, children exposed to HIP had significantly higher TSFT than children not exposed to
312 HIP (13.3mm vs 9.9 mm; $p < 0.001$). Wright et al, observed that children exposed to GDM had
313 significantly higher sum of skinfold thicknesses (Subscapular and Triceps) than non-exposed
314 children (63). Cumme et al, reported increased subscapular to triceps skinfold thickness ratio in
315 children exposed to HIP (62). Krishnaveni et al. from India, observed significantly higher TSFT
316 among the offspring of diabetic mothers compared to offspring of non-diabetic mothers at 5 years
317 of age (26). When the same cohort was assessed at 9.5 years of age, they observed a significantly
318 higher BMI and TSFT among girls exposed to intrauterine hyperglycaemia but not among boys
319 (14). No significant difference between the growth of the boys and girls was observed in our study
320 (results not shown).

321 In contrast to the many studies where the association between maternal HIP and child overweight
322 attenuated towards the null after adjusting for maternal BMI (11,56,57)(62), our results were
323 statistically significant even after adjusting for maternal BMI, child's birth weight and birth order.

324 We included offspring of women with any type of HIP (gestational diabetes, pre-existing diabetes
325 or overt diabetes first detected in pregnancy) in the “exposed” group without stratification by type
326 of diabetes based on previous research which showed that long-term consequences of HIP on
327 offspring overweight are independent of mother's diabetes type (25,64,65). A sub-group analysis

328 of a meta-analysis by Philips et al, revealed that there is no difference in offspring BMI-z-score in
329 relation to diabetes type such as GDM or Type 1 diabetes(10).

330 Using three methods (BMI, waist circumference and triceps skinfold thickness) to assess adiposity
331 of participants is a unique strength of this study. BMI is widely used to measure body composition
332 and is used to define overweight and obesity (66). Though BMI is widely used to measure
333 generalized obesity or adiposity, its value in discriminating lean body mass from fat mass has been
334 challenged (67). Skinfold thickness is a valid measurement of subcutaneous fat (68) and there is
335 evidence to suggest that later adulthood adiposity is better predicted by adolescent skinfold
336 thickness than by adolescent BMI (69). In predicting cardiovascular disease risk, abdominal
337 adiposity appears to be superior to BMI (66). Abdominal obesity, defined as waist circumference
338 >90th percentile is a mandatory criterion for diagnosing metabolic syndrome in children and
339 adolescents (70). Since body fat distribution is different among children of Asian, African and
340 Caucasian races (48), waist circumference percentiles developed for Indian children based on
341 measurements made on 9060 children 3-16 years of age (49) were used to identify cutoff values
342 to define abdominal obesity in the present study. Since there are racial differences in skinfold
343 thickness (50), triceps skinfold thickness reference charts developed for Indian children using the
344 same instrument (Harpenden caliper) were used in this study (51).We decided to use the TSFT
345 >70th percentile as the cut off for “high triceps skinfold thickness” based on the findings of the
346 same study where they identified the 70th percentile as the cutoff for predicting risk of hypertension
347 in children (51).

348 Having a large number of offspring exposed to HIP is a major strength of our study. Selecting both
349 “exposed” and “non-exposed” children from the same source population in the community based
350 on antenatal records reduced recall bias and misclassification. Since exposure was assigned on an

351 earlier date than the outcome was measured in the child, it is unlikely that the outcomes of interest
352 would have influenced the classification of exposure status. Children whose mothers received
353 antenatal care from a consultant obstetrician were selected in both exposed and non-exposed
354 groups. Since universal screening for HIP was not available in 2005, having being under the care
355 of a Consultant obstetrician implies that they had a fair chance of being screened and diagnosed
356 for HIP, if required, thus minimizing misclassification bias. Even if misclassification did occur,
357 the associations between HIP and anthropometric outcome measures we observed is likely to be
358 an underestimation.

359 Not having detailed information on maternal blood sugar levels at diagnosis and glycaemic control
360 during pregnancy is a limitation of this study. In general, all women diagnosed to have HIP are
361 advised on dietary management and physical exercise. Those women who cannot obtain
362 satisfactory glycaemic control with lifestyle management alone are started on pharmacological
363 management with metformin or insulin. For the purpose of this study, we have collected data on
364 whether the mothers were on diet control alone, started on metformin or on insulin from antenatal
365 records.

366 Missing maternal pre-pregnancy BMI data on nearly 30% of mothers is another limitation.
367 Maternal BMI in the first trimester was used as a proxy for pre-pregnancy BMI. According to
368 national maternal care guidelines in Sri Lanka (41), BMI is measured and recorded as three
369 categories (<18.5 , $18.5 - 24.9$, ≥ 25) in the first clinic visit only if the woman presents before the
370 completion of the 12th week of gestation. It is likely that some of these women whose BMI data
371 were not available would have presented for the booking visit after 12 weeks of gestation. As the
372 data were extracted from the antenatal records, we had to limit to the above 3 categories of BMI
373 when adjusting for maternal BMI. It would have been ideal if we adjusted for the weight gain in

374 pregnancy. But this data was not available for the majority of the participants. We adjusted for the

375 birth weight of the child which can be taken as a proxy measure for weight gain in pregnancy.

376 Based on the national guidelines on antenatal care in Sri Lanka, birth weight $\geq 3.5\text{kg}$ was taken as

377 macrosomia (41).

378 The results of this study have several important public health implications. Locally generated

379 evidence in this study would be an eye opener for clinicians, field health care workers and health

380 policy makers to take necessary actions to follow up exposed children closely during the critical

381 period of development to prevent and to detect the appearance of anthropometric risk parameters

382 early. Creating awareness on possible long term effects of maternal hyperglycaemia would

383 motivate women to achieve better glycaemic control during pregnancy and lifestyle modification

384 of the child with adherence to a healthy diet and increased physical activity to reduce the risk of

385 overweight. Given the high prevalence of HIP in Sri Lanka and other South Asian countries,

386 preventive strategies targeted at women of childbearing age and offspring of women with HIP are

387 likely to have a significant population health impact on the current epidemic of obesity and non-

388 communicable diseases.

389

390 **Conclusions**

391 Children exposed to intrauterine hyperglycaemia have higher BMI, waist circumference and TSFT

392 at 10-11 years compared to children who were not exposed independent of maternal pre-pregnancy

393 overweight, birth weight and birth order. It is imperative to implement long term follow up for

394 children exposed to hyperglycaemia in pregnancy with anthropometric assessment and life style

395 modification advice to reduce the risk of developing overweight and associated metabolic and

396 cardiovascular disturbances.

397 **Acknowledgements**

398 Authors would like to acknowledge the National Research Council of Sri Lanka for providing the
399 research grant and the team of doctors (Dr Chathura Edirisinghe, Dr Kojika Withana, Dr Chamini
400 Sumanasena, Dr Sachithra Dilrukshi and Dr Chamidu Wickramathunga) for their support as
401 research assistants during data collection.

402

403 **References**

- 404 1. International Diabetes Federation. Eighth edition 2017. 2017. 46 p.
- 405 2. Hod M, Kapur A, Sacks DA, Hadar E, Agarwal M, Di Renzo GC, et al. The International
406 Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) Initiative on gestational diabetes mellitus: A
407 pragmatic guide for diagnosis, management, and care [#]. *Int J Gynecol Obstet* [Internet].
408 2015;131(October):S173–211. Available from: <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1016/S0020-7292%2815%2930033-3>
- 410 3. Ferrara A. Increasing prevalence of gestational diabetes mellitus: a public health perspective.
411 *Diabetes Care* [Internet]. 2007 Jul 1 [cited 2019 Feb 11];30 Suppl 2(Supplement 2):S141-6.
412 Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17596462>
- 413 4. Freinkel N. Banting Lecture 1980 Of pregnancy and progeny. *Diabetes* [Internet]. 1980 Dec [cited
414 2014 Feb 23];29(12):1023–35. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7002669>
- 415 5. Catalano PM, Hauguel-De Mouzon S. Is it time to revisit the Pedersen hypothesis in the face of
416 the obesity epidemic? *Am J Obstet Gynecol* [Internet]. 2011 Jun [cited 2019 Feb 8];204(6):479–
417 87. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21288502>
- 418 6. Monteiro LJ, Norman JE, Rice GE, Illanes SE. Fetal programming and gestational diabetes

419 mellitus. *Placenta*. 2016;48:S54–60.

420 7. Barker DJ. Offspring of diabetic pregnancy: Long-term outcomes. *Semin Fetal Neonatal Med*
421 [Internet]. 2009 Apr 1 [cited 2019 Feb 6];14(2):119–24. Available from:
422 <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1744165X09000031?via%3Dihub>

423 8. Ma RCW, Tutino GE, Lillycrop KA, Hanson MA, Tam WH. Maternal diabetes, gestational
424 diabetes and the role of epigenetics in their long term effects on offspring. *Prog Biophys Mol Biol*
425 [Internet]. 2015 Jul [cited 2017 Jan 13];118(1–2):55–68. Available from:
426 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25792090>

427 9. Godfrey K, Barker DJ. Fetal nutrition and adult disease. *Am J Clin Nutr* [Internet]. 2000 [cited
428 2019 Feb 11];71(suppl):1344S–52S. Available from:
429 <https://static1.squarespace.com/static/54694fa6e4b0eaec4530f99d/t/551dfbf0e4b09619c3abf652/1428028400518/Fetal+nutirion+and+adult+disease+Am+J+Clin+Nutr-2000.pdf>

431 10. Philipp L, Santhakumaran S, Gale C, Prior E, Logan KM, Hyde MJ, et al. The diabetic
432 pregnancy and offspring BMI in childhood: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Diabetologia*
433 [Internet]. 2011 Aug [cited 2014 Jan 19];54(8):1957–66. Available from:
434 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21626451>

435 11. Whitaker RC, Pepe MS, Seidel KD, Wright J a., Knopp RH. Gestational Diabetes and the Risk of
436 Offspring Obesity. *Pediatrics* [Internet]. 1998 Feb 1 [cited 2013 Dec 5];101(2):e9–e9. Available
437 from: <http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/cgi/doi/10.1542/peds.101.2.e9>

438 12. Burguet A. Long term outcome in children of mothers with gestational diabetes. *Diabetes Metab*
439 [Internet]. 2010;36:682–94. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21185483>

440 13. Kostalova L, Ādia L, Īkova L, Kapellerova A, Ār V, Trba Āk Sī. Body mass, plasma leptin,
441 glucose, insulin and C-peptide in offspring of diabetic and non-diabetic mothers [Internet]. Vol.

442 145, European Journal of Endocrinology. [cited 2019 Feb 11]. Available from: www.eje.org

443 14. Dabelea D, Knowler WC, Pettitt DJ. Effect of diabetes in pregnancy on offspring: Follow-up
444 research in the pima indians. J Matern Neonatal Med [Internet]. 2000 Jul 7 [cited 2013 Dec
445 22];9(1):83–8. Available from:
446 <http://informahealthcare.com/doi/abs/10.3109/14767050009020519>

447 15. Nilsson C, Carlsson A, Landin-Olsson M. Increased risk for overweight among Swedish children
448 born to mothers with gestational diabetes mellitus. Pediatr Diabetes [Internet]. 2013 Jul 24 [cited
449 2013 Dec 18];1–10. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23890345>

450 16. Wroblewska-Seniuk K, Wender-Ozegowska E, Szczapa J. Long-term effects of diabetes during
451 pregnancy on the offspring. [Internet]. Vol. 10, Pediatric Diabetes. 2009. p. 432–40. Available
452 from: <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=rzh&AN=105236405&site=ehost->
453 live&scope=site

454 17. Pettitt DJ, Bennett PH, Knowler WC, Baird HR, Aleck KA. Gestational Diabetes Mellitus and
455 Impaired Glucose Tolerance During Pregnancy Long-Term Effects on Obesity and Glucose
456 Tolerance in the Offspring. Diabetes. 1985;34(Supplement 2,June):119–22.

457 18. Clausen TD, Mathiesen ER, Hansen T, Pedersen O, Jensen DM, Lauenborg J, et al. Overweight
458 and the metabolic syndrome in adult offspring of women with diet-treated gestational diabetes
459 mellitus or type 1 diabetes. J Clin Endocrinol Metab [Internet]. 2009 Jul [cited 2014 Mar
460 21];94(7):2464–70. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19417040>

461 19. Tam WH, Ma RCW, Yang X, Ko GTC, Kong APS, Lao T, et al. Glucose Intolerance and
462 Cardiometabolic Risk in Adolescents Exposed to Maternal Gestational Diabetes - A fifteen year
463 follow up stuf. Diabetes Care. 2010;33(6):1382–4.

464 20. Catalano PM, Farrell K, Thomas A, Huston-Presley L, Mencin P, de Mouzon SH, et al. Perinatal

465 risk factors for childhood obesity and metabolic dysregulation. *Am J Clin Nutr* [Internet]. 2009
466 Nov [cited 2019 Feb 11];90(5):1303–13. Available from:
467 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19759171>

468 21. Lindsay RS, Nelson SM, Walker JD, Greene S a, Milne G, Sattar N, et al. Programming of
469 adiposity in offspring of mothers with type 1 diabetes at age 7 years. *Diabetes Care* [Internet].
470 2010 May [cited 2014 Jan 4];33(5):1080–5. Available from:
471 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2858180/>&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype
472 =abstract

473 22. Baptiste-Roberts K, Nicholson WK, Wang N-Y, Brancati FL. Gestational diabetes and subsequent
474 growth patterns of offspring: the National Collaborative Perinatal Project. *Matern Child Health J*
475 [Internet]. 2012 Jan [cited 2013 Nov 22];16(1):125–32. Available from:
476 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3707279/>&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype
477 =abstract

478 23. Boerschmann H, Pflüger M, Henneberger L, Ziegler A-G, Hummel S. Prevalence and predictors
479 of overweight and insulin resistance in offspring of mothers with gestational diabetes mellitus.
480 *Diabetes Care* [Internet]. 2010 Aug 1 [cited 2013 Dec 2];33(8):1845–9. Available from:
481 <http://care.diabetesjournals.org/content/33/8/1845.short>

482 24. Nehring I, Chmitorz A, Reulen H, Kries R Von, Ensenauer R. Gestational diabetes predicts the
483 risk of childhood overweight and abdominal circumference independent of maternal obesity.
484 *Diabet Med*. 2013;30:1449–56.

485 25. Silverman BL, Rizzo T, Green OC, Cho NH, Winter RJ, Ogata ES, et al. Long-Term Prospective
486 Evaluation of Offspring of Diabetic Mothers. *Diabetes* [Internet]. 1991 Dec 1 [cited 2013 Dec
487 9];40(Supplement_2):121–5. Available from:
488 http://diabetes.diabetesjournals.org/content/40/Supplement_2/121.short

489 26. Krishnaveni G V., Hill JC, Leary SD, Veena SR, Saperia J, Saroja A, et al. Anthropometry, glucose tolerance, and insulin concentrations in Indian children: relationships to maternal glucose and insulin concentrations during pregnancy. *Diabetes Care* [Internet]. 2005 Dec [cited 2013 Dec 12];28(12):2919–25. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16306555>

493 27. Wroblewska-Seniuk K, Wender-Ozegowska E, Szczapa J. Long-term effects of diabetes during pregnancy on the offspring. *Pediatr Diabetes*. 2009;10(7):432–40.

495 28. Must A, Jacques PF, Dallal GE, Bajema CJ, Dietz WH. Long-Term Morbidity and Mortality of Overweight Adolescents. *N Engl J Med* [Internet]. 1992 Nov 5 [cited 2019 Feb 12];327(19):1350–5. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1406836>

498 29. Boney CM. Metabolic Syndrome in Childhood: Association With Birth Weight, Maternal Obesity, and Gestational Diabetes Mellitus. *Pediatrics* [Internet]. 2005;115(3):e290–6. Available from: <http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/cgi/doi/10.1542/peds.2004-1808>

501 30. Pirkola J, Pouta A, Bloigu A, Hartikainen AL, Laitinen J, J??rvelin MR, et al. Risks of overweight and abdominal obesity at age 16 years associated with prenatal exposures to maternal prepregnancy overweight and gestational diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Care*. 2010;33(5):1115–21.

504 31. Dabelea D. The predisposition to obesity and diabetes in offspring of diabetic mothers. *Diabetes Care* [Internet]. 2007 Jul [cited 2013 Dec 15];30 Suppl 2:S169-74. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17596467>

507 32. Hills AP, Street SJ, Lanka S, Hills AP, Arena R, Khunti K, et al. Type 2 diabetes in south Asia Epidemiology and determinants of type 2 diabetes in south Asia. www.thelancet.com/diabetes-endocrinology [Internet]. 2018 [cited 2019 Feb 12];6:966–78. Available from: www.thelancet.com/diabetes-endocrinology

511 33. Shah A, Kanaya AM. Diabetes and associated complications in the South Asian population. *Curr*

512 Cardiol Rep [Internet]. 2014 May [cited 2019 Feb 12];16(5):476. Available from:
513 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24643902>

514 34. Singh PN, Arthur KN, Orlich MJ, James W, Purty A, Job JS, et al. Global epidemiology of
515 obesity, vegetarian dietary patterns, and noncommunicable disease in Asian Indians. Am J Clin
516 Nutr [Internet]. 2014 Jul [cited 2019 Feb 12];100 Suppl 1(1):359S–64S. Available from:
517 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24847857>

518 35. Biro FM, Wien M. Childhood obesity and adult morbidities. Am J Clin Nutr [Internet]. 2010 May
519 [cited 2019 Feb 12];91(5):1499S–1505S. Available from:
520 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20335542>

521 36. Srinivasan SR, Bao W, Wattigney WA, Berenson GS. Adolescent overweight is associated with
522 adult overweight and related multiple cardiovascular risk factors: The Bogalusa Heart Study.
523 Metabolism [Internet]. 1996 Feb 1 [cited 2019 Feb 12];45(2):235–40. Available from:
524 <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0026049596900608?via%3Dihub>

525 37. Engeland A, Bjørge T, Tverdal A, Søgaard AJ. Obesity in Adolescence and Adulthood and the
526 Risk of Adult Mortality. Epidemiology [Internet]. 2004 Jan [cited 2019 Feb 12];15(1):79–85.
527 Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14712150>

528 38. Deshmukh-Taskar P, Nicklas TA, Morales M, Yang S-J, Zakeri I, Berenson GS. Tracking of
529 overweight status from childhood to young adulthood: the Bogalusa Heart Study. Eur J Clin Nutr
530 [Internet]. 2006 Jan 31 [cited 2019 Feb 12];60(1):48–57. Available from:
531 <http://www.nature.com/articles/1602266>

532 39. Herath H, Herath R, Wickremasinghe R. Gestational diabetes mellitus and risk of type 2 diabetes
533 10 years after the index pregnancy in Sri Lankan women—A community based retrospective
534 cohort study. Mellor D, editor. PLoS One [Internet]. 2017 Jun 23 [cited 2017 Aug
535 23];12(6):e0179647. Available from: <http://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0179647>

536 40. Department of Census & Statistics. Population Tables: Census of Population and Housig of Sri
537 Lanka 2012. Department of Census and Statistics. 2013.

538 41. Family Health Bureau, Ministry of Health and Nutrition. Maternal care package - A guide to field
539 healthcare workers. Ministry of Health; 2011. 1-216 p.

540 42. World Health Organization. Definition, Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus and its
541 complications: Report of a WHO consultation (Who_Ncd_Ncs_99.2.Pdf). 1999.

542 43. Joshepkumar T, Silva R, Krishnapriya K. DEVELOPMENT OF FOOD COMPOSITION
543 DATABASE FOR NUTRIENT COMPOSITION OF MIXED DISHES FOR SRI LANKA ... In:
544 Proceedings of the Wayamba university International Conference, Sri Lanka. 2014. p. 231.

545 44. Onis M De, Onyango AW, Borghi E, Siyam A, Siekmann J. Development of a WHO growth
546 reference for school-aged children and adolescents. Bull World Health Organ. 2007;85(9):660–7.

547 45. World Health Organization. WHO | Application tools. WHO [Internet]. 2018 [cited 2019 Apr 10];
548 Available from: <https://www.who.int/growthref/tools/en/>

549 46. World Health Organization. WHO AnthroPlus for Personal Computers Manual Software for
550 assessing growth of the world ' s children. 2009.

551 47. Zimmet P, Mm AKG, Kaufman F, Tajima N, Silink M, Arslanian S, et al. The metabolic
552 syndrome in children and adolescents – an IDF consensus report. Paediatr Diabetes.
553 2007;8(April):299–306.

554 48. He Q, Horlick M, Thornton J, Wang J, Pierson RN, Heshka S, et al. Sex and Race Differences in
555 Fat Distribution among Asian, African-American, and Caucasian Prepubertal Children. J Clin
556 Endocrinol Metab [Internet]. 2002 May [cited 2017 Jun 7];87(5):2164–70. Available from:
557 <https://academic.oup.com/jcem/article-lookup/doi/10.1210/jcem.87.5.8452>

558 49. Kuriyan R, Thomas T, Lokesh DP, Sheth NR, Mahendra A, Joy R, et al. Waist circumference and

582 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3241309/>&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype

583 =abstract

584 57. Gillman MW, Rifas-Shiman S, Berkey CS, Field a. E, Colditz G a. Maternal Gestational
585 Diabetes, Birth Weight, and Adolescent Obesity. *Pediatrics* [Internet]. 2003 Mar 1 [cited 2014 Mar
586 29];111(3):e221–6. Available from:
587 <http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/cgi/doi/10.1542/peds.111.3.e221>

588 58. Vääräsmäki M, Pouta A, Elliot P, Tapanainen P, Sovio U, Ruokonen A, et al. Adolescent
589 Manifestations of Metabolic Syndrome Among Children Born to Women With Gestational
590 Diabetes in a General-Population Birth Cohort. *Am J Epidemiol* [Internet]. 2009 May 15 [cited
591 2017 Aug 28];169(10):1209–15. Available from: <https://academic.oup.com/aje/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/aje/kwp020>

593 59. Zhao P, Liu E, Qiao Y, Katzmarzyk PT, Chaput J-P, Fogelholm M, et al. Maternal gestational
594 diabetes and childhood obesity at age 9-11: results of a multinational study. *Diabetologia*
595 [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2019 Mar 10];59(11):2339–48. Available from:
596 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27510911>

597 60. Hillier TA, Pedula KL, Schmidt MM, Mullen JA, Charles M-A, Pettitt DJ. Childhood obesity and
598 metabolic imprinting: the ongoing effects of maternal hyperglycemia. *Diabetes Care* [Internet].
599 2007 Sep [cited 2013 Nov 12];30(9):2287–92. Available from:
600 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17519427>

601 61. Lawlor DA, Benfield L, Logue J, Tilling K, Howe LD, Fraser A, et al. Association between
602 general and central adiposity in childhood, and change in these, with cardiovascular risk factors in
603 adolescence: prospective cohort study. *Bmj* [Internet]. 2010;341:c6224. Available from:
604 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21109577> <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2992109/pdf/bmj.c6224.pdf>

606 62. Crume TL, Ogden L, West NA, Vehik KS, Scherzinger A, Daniels S, et al. Association of
607 exposure to diabetes in utero with adiposity and fat distribution in a multiethnic population of
608 youth: the Exploring Perinatal Outcomes among Children (EPOCH) Study. *Diabetologia*
609 [Internet]. 2011 Jan 17 [cited 2019 Mar 10];54(1):87–92. Available from:
610 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20953862>

611 63. Wright CS, Rifas-Shiman SL, Rich-Edwards JW, Taveras EM, Gillman MW, Oken E. Intrauterine
612 exposure to gestational diabetes, child adiposity, and blood pressure. *Am J Hypertens* [Internet].
613 2009 Feb 1 [cited 2014 Mar 21];22(2):215–20. Available from:
614 <http://ajh.oxfordjournals.org/content/22/2/215.abstract>

615 64. Weiss PA, Scholz HS, Haas J, Tamussino KF, Seissler J, Borkenstein MH. Long-term follow-up
616 of infants of mothers with type 1 diabetes: evidence for hereditary and nonhereditary transmission
617 of diabetes and precursors. *Diabetes Care* [Internet]. 2000 Jul [cited 2013 Dec 15];23(7):905–11.
618 Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10895839>

619 65. Plagemann A, Harder T, Kohlhoff R, Rohde W, Dorner G. Overweight and obesity in infants of
620 mothers with long-term insulin-dependent diabetes or gestational diabetes. *Int J Obes*.
621 1997;21(6):451–6.

622 66. World Health Organization. Waist Circumference and Waist-Hip Ratio: Report of a WHO Expert
623 Consultation [Internet]. 2008. Available from:
624 http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/44583/1/9789241501491_eng.pdf

625 67. Wells JCK. Commentary: The paradox of body mass index in obesity assessment: not a good
626 index of adiposity, but not a bad index of cardio-metabolic risk. *Int J Epidemiol* [Internet]. 2014
627 Jun [cited 2014 Jul 28];43(3):672–4. Available from:
628 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4052141/>&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype
629 =abstract

630 68. Addo OY, Himes JH. Reference curves for triceps and subscapular skinfold thicknesses in US
631 children and adolescents 1 , 2. Am J Clin Nutr. 2010;91:635–42.

632 69. Nooyens ACJ, Koppes LLJ, Visscher TLS, Twisk JWR, Kemper HCG, Schuit AJ. Adolescent
633 skinfold thickness is a better predictor of high body fatness in adults than is body mass index : the
634 Amsterdam Growth and Health Longitudinal Study 1 – 3. Am J Clin Nutr. 2007;85(13):1533–9.

635 70. Zimmet P, Alberti G, Kaufman F, Tajima N, Silink M, Arslanian S, et al. The metabolic syndrome
636 in children and adolescents—an IDF consensus report. Diabetes Voice [Internet]. 2007 [cited 2014
637 Mar 31];52(4):29–32. Available from: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1399-5448.2007.00271.x/full>

639

640 **Supporting information**

641 S1 Dataset. HIP and risk of adiposity in the offspring at 10 years - Sri Lanka
642



