

**Medical consequences of pathogenic CNVs in adults: Analysis of the UK Biobank.**

Karen Crawford, MSc, Matthew Bracher-Smith, BSc, Kimberley M Kendall, MRCPsych,  
Elliott Rees, PhD, Antonio F Pardiñas, PhD, Mark Einon, Valentina Escott-Price, PhD, James  
TR Walters, MRCPsych, Michael C O'Donovan, FRCPsych, Michael J Owen, FRCPsych,  
George Kirov\*, MRCPsych

MRC Centre for Neuropsychiatric Genetics & Genomics, Cardiff University, School of  
Medicine, Hadyn Ellis Building, Maindy Road, Cardiff CF24 4HQ, UK

\* Corresponding author, [kirov@cardiff.ac.uk](mailto:kirov@cardiff.ac.uk), tel: +44 29 20688465

## Abstract

**Background:** Genomic copy number variants (CNVs) increase risk for early-onset neurodevelopmental disorders but their impact on medical outcomes in later life is poorly understood. The UK Biobank, with half a million well-phenotyped adults, presents an opportunity to study the medical consequences of CNV in middle and old age.

**Methods:** We called 54 CNVs associated with clinical phenotypes or genomic disorders, including their reciprocal deletions or duplications, in all Biobank participants. We used logistic regression analysis to test CNVs for associations with 58 common medical phenotypes.

**Findings:** CNV carriers had an increased risk of developing 37 of the 58 phenotypes at nominal levels of statistical significance, with 19 associations surviving Bonferroni correction ( $p<8.6\times10^{-4}$ ). Tests of each of the 54 CNVs for association with each of the 58 phenotypes identified 18 associations that survived Bonferroni correction ( $p<1.6\times10^{-5}$ ) and a further 57 that were associated at a false discovery rate (FDR) threshold of 0.1. Thirteen CNVs had three or more significant associations at FDR=0.1, with the largest number of phenotypes (N=15) found for deletions at 16p11.2. The most common CNVs (frequency 0.5-0.7%) have no or minimal impact on medical outcomes in adults.

**Interpretation:** Some of the 54 tested CNVs have profound effects on physical health, even in people who have largely escaped early neurodevelopmental outcomes. Our work provides clinicians with a morbidity map of potential outcomes among carriers of these CNVs.

**Funding:** MRC UK, Wellcome Trust UK

## Background

Genomic copy number variations (CNVs) are structural alterations to chromosomes of >1,000 bases in length and can intersect multiple genes.<sup>1</sup> CNVs at specific loci have been shown to increase risk for autism spectrum disorders,<sup>2</sup> developmental delay and other neurodevelopmental disorders,<sup>3</sup> and schizophrenia.<sup>4</sup> Apart from their association with neurodevelopmental and psychiatric outcomes, these CNVs can lead to medical disorders. Several CNVs, for example deletions at 22q11.2,<sup>5</sup> have been extensively studied on hundreds of carriers and their medical consequences are well established. However, for CNVs with lower penetrance, very rare CNVs or several reciprocal deletions/duplications of known genomic disorders, the associated medical phenotypes have not been identified. Moreover, most research has been performed on children and young people referred to genetic clinics,<sup>3,6</sup> creating a referral bias towards early-onset medical conditions and more adverse outcomes. Most CNVs display incomplete penetrance for neurodevelopmental and congenital disorders,<sup>7</sup> but the question whether apparently unaffected carriers experience an increased rate of medical outcomes in later life has not been addressed in adequately powered studies to date.

The establishment of the UK Biobank presents a unique opportunity to examine the spectrum of medical outcomes of CNVs in middle- and old-aged people, as all half a million participants have been assessed with identical methods and blindly to their CNV status. The Biobank collects longitudinal data from hospital admissions, self-report, death certificates, cancer registries and primary care records. Here, we report on the medical consequences of carrier status for 54 well-recognised CNVs, reported to be associated with clinical phenotypes or genomic disorders,<sup>3,6,8</sup> including their reciprocal deletions/duplications.

## Methods

### Participants

The UK Biobank recruited just over half a million people from the general population of the UK. Participants were between 40 and 69 years of age at the time of recruitment between 2006 and 2010. They consented to provide personal and health information, urine, saliva and blood samples, including for DNA testing. We report on the 421,274 participants who passed genotyping QC filters (see Methods) and were of white British or Irish descent. 54.1% of them were female and the mean age at the end of the current follow-up interval for medical outcomes (in 2016) was 64.7 years, SD=8.0 years.

### CNV calling

We obtained permission from the UK Biobank to analyse the CNVs in project 14421: “Identifying the spectrum of biomedical traits in adults with pathogenic copy number variants (CNVs)”. The anonymised genotypic data from Affymetrix Axiom or BiLEVE arrays were downloaded as 488,415 raw (CEL) files from the UK Biobank, and analysed with the methods reported previously.<sup>9</sup> Briefly, we generated normalised signal intensity data, genotype calls and confidences, using ~750,000 biallelic markers. These were then processed with PennCNV-Affy software.<sup>10</sup> Individual samples were excluded if they had >30 CNVs, a waviness factor >0.03 or <-0.03, a call rate <96%, or Log R Ratio standard deviation >0.35. A total of 25,069 files were excluded after this QC. Individual CNVs were excluded if they were covered by <10 probes or had a density coverage of less than one probe per 20,000 base pairs.

### Choice of CNVs

We compiled a list of 92 CNVs in 47 genomic locations from two widely accepted sources that proposed largely overlapping sets of CNVs (Table S1).<sup>3,6</sup> The authors of these studies used information from databases, reviews and publications to produce lists of CNV regions that lead to genomic disorders, neurodevelopmental or other clinical phenotypes and congenital malformations. We refer to this set of 92 CNVs as “pathogenic”, consistent with the criteria proposed by the American College of Medical Genetics standards which describe as pathogenic those CNVs that have been documented as clinically significant in multiple peer-reviewed publications, even if penetrance and expressivity of the CNV are known to be variable.<sup>11</sup> Many (but not all of these CNVs) have been shown to statistically increase risk for developmental delay.<sup>3</sup> Table S1 lists the sources for selection and our criteria for inclusion in analysis. Several overlapping or adjacent CNVs listed as different loci in the original publications were grouped together (e.g. the “small” and the “common” 22q11.2, or the “small” and the “large” 16p13.3 deletions/duplications). As a rule, the reciprocal deletions/duplications of known genomic disorders were also included by the above authors and by us, in order to examine their medical consequences, even if the evidence for their pathogenicity has not been established.

The criteria for calling CNVs that do not span the full critical region are detailed in our previous work.<sup>9</sup> As a rule, a CNV had to intersect at least 50% of the critical region (marked as “location” in Table 1 and Table S1) and affect the candidate genes which are thought to be relevant for pathogenesis, if known. For single gene CNVs, we required deletions to intersect at least one exon, and duplications to span the whole coding region. We observed several loci, mostly telomeric, where a number of small CNVs were preferentially called on arrays that failed QC (marked “Unreliable” in Table S1). In order to avoid potential false-positives on this genotyping platform, we excluded these loci from analysis. We also excluded from analysis CNVs with fewer than five observations in the full sample, as being too rare for

analysis (marked “Rare” in Table S1). The above filtering left 54 CNVs for analysis (Tables 1 and S1).

Table 1 about here

### **Choice of medical phenotypes**

Data on health outcomes were collected from several sources. Self-declared illnesses were disclosed by participants at their initial assessments and coded into 445 distinct categories. Hospital discharge diagnoses (primary and secondary) and death certificates contain over 11,000 ICD10 codes assigned to at least one participant. Analysing each individual code separately against 54 CNV loci would result in small numbers of participants with each code and thus not provide sufficient statistical power to detect the true associations. To reduce the dimensionality of the data and therefore increase power, we grouped together disease entities into broader disease groups, using all available sources. We gave preference to common conditions and grouped disorders into recognised categories, based on organ, system, or aetiology, while excluding from the current analysis infectious diseases, injuries, and neuropsychiatric disorders. The disease codes used to construct each phenotype group are listed in Table S2. For myocardial infarction and stroke we used the “adjudicated” data provided by the UK Biobank (datafields 42000 to 42013). Phenotype groups found in fewer than 2000 participants were not included. The final list of disease groups includes 58 entities, including “death during follow-up” obtained from the death registries (Table 1). Data on cancer were taken only from the UK cancer registries, as collected and supplied by the UK Biobank, as this is the most reliable and complete resource. For the current work we considered all malignant cancers as a single phenotype. As risk for cancer was not significantly affected by CNVs, and because most individual cancers affected relatively small numbers of patients, we did not analyse the cancers by sub-type.

## Statistical analysis

Analyses were performed in the statistical package R (version 3.3.0). We examined the effect of the presence of a CNV on the presence of a phenotype in logistic regression analysis with age and gender as co-variates. We used Firth's bias-reduced logistic regression method,<sup>12</sup> with the library "logistf", as it better handles cells with small numbers. We report the resulting p-value, odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence intervals for the OR. We also report the Relative Risk (RR), for having the phenotype in carriers of a specific CNV and non-carriers of any of the 54 CNVs.

As the lifetime prevalence of disorders often varies by ancestry, we restricted the analysis to those participants who declared themselves as "white British or Irish", a total of 421,274 participants with good quality CNV calls. Conservative Bonferroni correction was applied for the testing of 54 CNVs  $\times$  58 phenotypes, giving a  $p < 1.6 \times 10^{-5}$  as a project-wide significance level. We also report the Benjamini-Hochberg correction for a false discovery rate (B-H FDR) of 0.1.<sup>13</sup> Table S3 shows all 3132 CNV/phenotype comparisons, grouped by CNV, including all corrected and uncorrected p-values. These data and additional figures for every CNV and every phenotype association are available at <http://kirov.psycm.cf.ac.uk/>. Table S4 displays the top Benjamini-Hochberg p-values, in ranked order down to  $p < 0.2$  (FDR=0.2).

## Findings

### CNV carriers have an increased rate of medical phenotypes

We first analysed how the chosen CNVs, as a group, affected the 58 medical phenotypes. For this group analysis we excluded the five relatively common CNVs (found in over 1500 carriers each, Tables 1 and S1). One (or more) of these five CNVs was found in 11,049 people, while the remaining 49 CNVs were found in a total of 5129 people. Inclusion of the

five common CNVs would effectively represent a test of the effect of these five CNVs, as they are present in over two thirds of carriers. (We do, however, provide all results in Table S3 and the website). Over half of the medical phenotypes (37 of 58) were nominally significantly associated with CNV carrier status ( $p<0.05$ ), all in the direction of increasing risk for developing the phenotype (Table 2 and Figure 1). After Bonferroni correction for 58 phenotypes ( $p<8.6\times10^{-4}$ ), 19 of the 37 associations remained significant. Diabetes, cardiovascular, respiratory and renal problems were prominent amongst the most significant results, as was “death during follow-up”. The most significant result was for hypertension, but this was also the most common phenotype, diagnosed in 31.6% of participants, and had a modest OR of 1.28. The three phenotypes with the highest odds ratios were obesity (OR=1.69, f=2.5% of participants), renal failure (OR=1.67%, f=2.1%) and the risk of death during the follow-up period (OR=1.67, f=2.9%).

Table 2 and Figure 1 about here

### **Effects of individual CNVs on phenotypes**

Identifying links between specific CNVs and specific disorders is of high clinical importance. The supplementary information (Table S3) presents the effect of each of the 54 CNVs on each of the 58 phenotypes. Figure 2 demonstrates, as an example, the ORs of arguably the most important phenotype, death during follow-up, for carriers of each of the 54 CNVs, ordered by the statistical strength of the association (strongest p-value on top). The horizontal black line demarcates the 17 CNVs that are nominally significantly associated with increased risk for death.

After Bonferroni correction for 3132 tests (a project-wide significant p-value threshold of  $1.6\times10^{-5}$ ), 18 CNV/phenotype comparisons remained significant (Table 3). Using Benjamini-Hochberg FDR=0.1 we report an additional 57 significant associations (Table S4). Clinicians

might decide to also consider consequences of CNVs that do not survive multiple testing correction, therefore we present both corrected and uncorrected p-values in Table S3 and the website.

Figure 2 and Table 3 about here

### **Homozygous deletions**

We examined the data for homozygous deletions. Not surprisingly for rare pathogenic CNVs and relatively healthy middle- or old-aged people, only four such instances were found. Three of these clustered in a single locus, at 2q13 (110,21-110,34Mb), affecting the gene NPHP1. Homozygous deletions at this locus are known to cause the kidney disorder juvenile nephronophthisis. All three Biobank individuals with homozygous deletions at 2q13 had renal failure (Fisher exact test  $p=9.2\times10^{-6}$ ).

### **Discussion**

With half a million participants, long duration of follow-up and unbiased gathering of phenotypic information, the UK Biobank provides a unique resource to test the effect of genetic variation on multiple phenotypes in middle and old age. We show that the microarray data is of a high quality, with only ~5% of arrays not surviving standard QC filtering, and provides reliable calls for the vast majority of known pathogenic CNVs. The frequencies of these CNVs are extremely similar to those among controls in other studies, as we reported previously.<sup>9</sup> If our methods can detect true associations, we would expect that the best-established medical consequences of CNVs would be among our top hits. Indeed, the 18 findings that survive conservative Bonferroni correction (Table 3) contain some well-known associations, thus providing the best proof of principle that these methods will detect true

associations. These include the expected associations with neuropathies and 17p12 deletions and duplications;<sup>14</sup> asthma and 16p11.2 deletions;<sup>15</sup> obesity and 16p11.2 deletions and 16p11.2 distal deletions;<sup>16,17</sup> diabetes and deletions at 17q12 (also called “renal cysts and diabetes syndrome”).<sup>18</sup> We now provide data showing that in adults some of these effects lead to a high incidence of non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus, osteoarthritis and hypertension.

The set of 49 CNVs (after excluding the five relatively common ones) increased risk for more than half of the tested medical phenotypes (Table 2 and Figure 1). It follows that many individual CNVs increase risk for specific phenotypes. Indeed, we observed 382 nominally significant CNV/phenotype associations, instead of the expected 156 (Figure S1), with 75 of those significant at a B-H FDR=0.1 (Table S4) and 18 surviving conservative Bonferroni correction for all the tests performed in this project (Table 3). The five relatively common CNVs produced only one significant result at B-H FDR=0.1, despite having much higher statistical power to detect associations with similar ORs, due to their higher frequencies. This is in line with the notion that stronger selection pressure lowers the CNV frequencies.<sup>19</sup>

The CNV impacting the highest number of phenotypes is the deletion at 16p11.2, with 28 nominally significantly associated phenotypes (15 of them significant at B-H FDR=0.1), Table S4/16p11.2del). Apart from the phenotypes that are expected comorbidities of the recognised high body mass index BMI (hypertension, diabetes, osteoarthritis, heart failure),<sup>16</sup> there were others that are not obviously linked to a high BMI, for example COPD, cataract, psoriasis, anaemia and renal problems. We should point out that this does not make the 16p11.2 deletion the most pathogenic CNV, as significance depends also on CNV frequency, which is low for the highly pathogenic CNVs. The most pathogenic CNVs are under-represented in the Biobank, as the participants are middle-aged and participation is subject to “healthy volunteer” selection bias.<sup>20</sup> For example there were only 10 carriers of 22q11.2

deletions, instead of the expected ~100 (the rate of this deletion among newborns is ~1:4,000).<sup>7</sup>

The increased risk for medical morbidities observed in CNV carriers is unlikely to be due to the presence of early neurodevelopmental disorders or schizophrenia in carriers, as the UK Biobank population has largely escaped such conditions: only 35 of the 16,167 people who had one of the tested CNVs had schizophrenia and only four declared a diagnosis of autism. We did not control for cognitive ability, education, smoking, area of living, household income and other socio-economic factors. Although these have established effects on health outcomes, they may also be influenced by the CNV carrier status. Indeed, we have previously shown that these CNVs affect people's educational and occupational attainment.<sup>9</sup>

For most phenotypes, the differences between the expected and observed CNVs in cases (Table 2) cannot be accounted for by the significant individual CNV associations alone. As an extreme example, the phenotype arrhythmia is highly statistically associated with CNV carrier status, but has no individual CNV association, even at a nominal level of significance. This suggests that more associations are true but could not reach statistical significance due to the small number of observations.

Our results can guide clinicians into monitoring carriers of specific CNVs. For example, it appears that people with 16p11.2 duplications (who tend to be tall and slim) should be monitored for osteoporosis, renal failure and venous thromboembolic disease and could benefit from treatment of irritable bowel syndrome, as it might contribute towards poor food intake. Other examples are carriers of 16p12.1 deletions, who may benefit from monitoring for anaemia, COPD, uterine and ovarian problems, while those with 1q21.1 deletions might need regular eye checks. Although these CNVs are individually rare, as a group they are

found in over 1% of adults in the general population (after excluding the five relatively common ones), making them important sources of morbidity and mortality.

CNVs are likely to have more specific disease outcomes, rather than leading to the broad disease groups that we defined. While any association can be tested, it is more appropriate for such detailed analysis to be conducted by researchers interested in specific phenotypes, and to be hypothesis-driven, rather than *en masse* against all possible outcomes.

Finally, our lists of phenotypes associated with CNVs should provide researchers with another avenue for the elucidation of pathophysiological disease mechanisms.

**Acknowledgements.** This research has been conducted using the UK Biobank Resource under Application Number 14421. The work at Cardiff University was funded by the Medical Research Council (MRC) Centre Grant (MR/L010305/1) and Program Grant (G0800509). KC and MBS received PhD studentships funded by the MRC UK. KMK is funded by a Research Training Fellowship from the Wellcome Trust, London.

## Legends to tables and figures

**Table 1. List of CNVs analysed in the current study.** “N results p<0.05” shows the number of nominally significant results from associations between the CNV and 58 medical phenotypes. The last column shows the number of Benjamini-Hochberg significant results at FDR=0.1 (“B-H FDR=0.1”).

**Table 2. Results for 58 medical phenotypes against the group of 49 rare pathogenic CNVs.** “N controls” and “N cases” show the number of people without or with the phenotype. “N CNV controls” and “N CNV cases” refer to the number of CNVs found in these controls and cases. “Expected N CNV cases” is the expected number of CNVs among cases, assuming control frequencies. “OR, 95% CI of OR” and “p-values” refer to the results from Firth logistic regression analysis. “Corrected p-value” is the Bonferroni corrected significance for 58 tests (phenotypes). “RR” stands for the uncorrected risk ratio (relative risk).

**Table 3. List of results that survive Bonferroni correction for 3132 tests.** Variable names follow the nomenclature for Table 2.

**Figure 1. ORs and 95% CI for the ORs for developing each of the 58 tested phenotypes in carriers of any one of the 49 rare pathogenic CNVs.** The phenotypes are ordered by the strength of the OR.

**Figure 2. ORs for dying during the follow-up interval, up to 2016, for carriers of each of the 54 CNVs tested in this study.** The CNVs are ordered by the strength of the significance (strongest result on top, for 16p11.2 deletions). The horizontal line demarcates the last nominally significant result (p<0.05).

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Lee C and Scherera SW. The clinical context of copy number variation in the human genome. *Exp Rev Mol Med* 2010; **12**: e8.
2. Sanders SJ, He X, Willsey AJ, et al. Insights into Autism Spectrum Disorder Genomic Architecture and Biology from 71 Risk Loci. *Neuron* 2015; **87**: 1215-33.
3. Coe BP, Witherspoon K, Rosenfeld JA, et al. Refining analyses of copy number variation identifies specific genes associated with developmental delay. *Nat Genet* 2014; **46**: 1063-71.
4. Rees E, Walters JT, Georgieva L, et al. Analysis of copy number variations at 15 schizophrenia-associated loci. *Br J Psychiatry* 2014; **204**: 108-14.
5. McDonald-McGinn DM, Sullivan KE, Marino B, et al. 22q11.2 deletion syndrome. *Nat Rev Dis Primers* 2015; **1**: 15071.
6. Dittwald P, Gambin T, Szafranski P, et al. NAHR-mediated copy-number variants in a clinical population: mechanistic insights into both genomic disorders and Mendelizing traits. *Genome Res* 2013; **23**: 1395-1409.
7. Kirov G, Rees E, Walters JT et al. The Penetrance of Copy Number Variations for Schizophrenia and Developmental Delay. *Biol Psychiatry* 2014; **75**: 378-85.
8. Cooper GM, Coe BP, Girirajan S, et al. A copy number variation morbidity map of developmental delay. *Nat Genet* 2011; **43**: 838-46.
9. Kendall K, Rees E, Escott-Price V, et al. Cognitive performance among carriers of pathogenic copy number variants: Analysis of 152,000 UK Biobank subjects. *Biol Psychiatry* 2017; **82**: 103-10.
10. Wang K, Li M, Hadley D, et al. PennCNV: an integrated hidden Markov model designed for high-resolution copy number variation detection in whole-genome SNP genotyping data. *Genome Res* 2007; **17**: 1665-74.
11. Kearney HM, Thorland EC, Brown KK, et al. American College of Medical Genetics standards and guidelines for interpretation and reporting of postnatal constitutional copy number variants. *Genet Med* 2011; **13**: 680-85.
12. Firth D. Bias reduction of maximum likelihood estimates. *Biometrika* 1993; **80**: 27-38.
13. Benjamini Y and Hochberg Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *J Royal Stat Soc B* 1995; **57**: 289-300.
14. Lupski JR, Wise CA, Kuwano A, et al. Gene dosage is a mechanism for Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease type 1A. *Nat Genet* 1992; **1**: 29-33.
15. González JR, Cáceres A, Esko T, et al. A common 16p11.2 inversion underlies the joint susceptibility to asthma and obesity. *Am J Hum Genet* 2014; **94**: 361-72.
16. Jacquemont S, Reymond A, Zufferey F, et al. Mirror extreme BMI phenotypes associated with gene dosage at the chromosome 16p11.2 locus. *Nature* 2011; **478**: 97-102.
17. Bachmann-Gagescu R, Mefford HC, Cowan C, et al. Recurrent 200-kb deletions of 16p11.2 that include the SH2B1 gene are associated with developmental delay and obesity. *Genet Med* 2010; **12**: 641-47.
18. Mefford HC, Clauin S, Sharp AJ, et al. Recurrent reciprocal genomic rearrangements of 17q12 are associated with renal disease, diabetes, and epilepsy. *Am J Hum Genet* 2007; **81**: 1057-69.
19. Rees E, Moskvina V, Owen MJ, O'Donovan MC, Kirov G. De Novo Rates and Selection of Schizophrenia-Associated Copy Number Variants. *Biol Psychiatry* 2011; **70**: 1109-14.
20. Fry A, Littlejohns TJ, Sudlow C, et al. Comparison of sociodemographic and health-related characteristics of UK Biobank participants with those of the general population. *Am J Epidemiol* 2017; **186**: 1026-34.

Table 1.

CNV locus	Location (hg19)	N carriers	N results p<0.05	B-H FDR=0.1
TAR_del	chr1:145,394,955-145,807,817	75	7	0
TAR_dup	chr1:145,394,955-145,807,817	436	10	1
1q21·1del	chr1:146,527,987-147,394,444	113	8	3
1q21·1dup	chr1:146,527,987-147,394,444	177	14	3
NRXN1del	chr2:50,145,643-51,259,674	163	3	1
2q11·2del	chr2:96,742,409-97,677,516	31	2	0
2q11·2dup	chr2:96,742,409-97,677,516	29	2	0
2q13del( <i>NPHP1</i> )	chr2:110,862,716-110,983,948	2448	6	1
2q13dup( <i>NPHP1</i> )	chr2:110,862,716-110,983,948	1976	0	0
2q13del	chr2:111,394,040-112,012,649	53	14	1
2q13dup	chr2:111,394,040-112,012,649	71	3	1
2q21·1del	chr2:131,481,308-131,930,677	41	3	0
2q21·1dup	chr2:131,481,308-131,930,677	59	3	0
3q29del	chr3:195,720,167-197,354,826	9	7	1
3q29dup	chr3:195,720,167-197,354,826	5	14	6
WBS_dup	chr7:72,744,915-74,142,892	14	0	0
7q11·23dup_distal	chr7:75,138,294-76,064,412	24	4	0
8p23·1dup	chr8:8,098,990-11,872,558	6	3	0
10q11·21q11·23del	chr10:49,390,199-51,058,796	57	4	0
10q11·21q11·23dup	chr10:49,390,199-51,058,796	43	8	0
10q23dup	chr10:82,045,472-88,931,651	7	6	0
13q12del( <i>CRYLI</i> )	chr13:20,977,806-21,100,012	379	3	0
13q12dup( <i>CRYLI</i> )	chr13:20,977,806-21,100,012	10	5	0
13q12·12del	chr13:23,555,358-24,884,622	85	6	0
13q12·12dup	chr13:23,555,358-24,884,622	236	1	0
15q11·2del	chr15:22,805,313-23,094,530	1664	3	0
15q11·2dup	chr15:22,805,313-23,094,530	2041	6	0
PWS_dup	chr15:22,805,313-28,390,339	19	8	0
15q11q13del_BP3-BP4( <i>APBA2, TJP</i> )	chr15:29,161,368-30,375,967	16	10	1
15q11q13dup_BP3-BP4( <i>APBA2, TJP</i> )	chr15:29,161,368-30,375,967	53	2	0
15q11q13dup_BP3-BP5	chr15:29,161,368-32,462,776	9	3	0
15q13·3del	chr15:31,080,645-32,462,776	42	12	3
15q13·3dup	chr15:31,080,645-32,462,776	240	10	2
15q13·3del( <i>CHRNA7</i> )	chr15:32,017,070-32,453,068	10	5	0
15q13·3dup( <i>CHRNA7</i> )	chr15:32,017,070-32,453,068	3031	2	0
15q24dup	chr15:72,900,171-78,151,253	9	7	0
16p13·11del	chr16:15,511,655-16,293,689	131	9	1
16p13·11dup	chr16:15,511,655-16,293,689	828	6	3
16p12·1del	chr16:21,950,135-22,431,889	246	19	8
16p12·1dup	chr16:21,950,135-22,431,889	202	3	0
16p11·2distal_del	chr16:28,823,196-29,046,783	58	7	4

16p11.2distal_dup	chr16:28,823,196-29,046,783	137	7	1
16p11.2del	chr16:29,650,840-30,200,773	110	28	15
16p11.2dup	chr16:29,650,840-30,200,773	138	8	2
17p12del(HNPP)	chr17:14,141,387-15,426,961	237	6	1
17p12dup(CMT1A)	chr17:14,141,387-15,426,961	124	12	4
Potocki-Lupski Syndrome	chr17:16,812,771-20,211,017	5	7	0
17q11.2del( <i>NFI</i> )	chr17:29,107,491-30,265,075	9	5	0
17q12del	chr17:34,815,904-36,217,432	9	13	3
17q12dup	chr17:34,815,904-36,217,432	101	6	1
22q11.2del	chr22:19,037,332-21,466,726	10	7	0
22q11.2dup	chr22:19,037,332-21,466,726	280	20	4
22q11.2distal_del	chr22:21,920,127-23,653,646	5	12	3
22q11.2distal_dup	chr22:21,920,127-23,653,646	13	4	0

Table 2.

Phenotype	N controls	N CNVs in controls	N cases	N CNVs in cases	Expected N CNVs in cases	p-value un-corrected	p-value corrected	RR	OR (95% CI)
hypertension	280379	3299	129822	1830	1527.5	1.11E-16	6.44E-15	1.13	1.28 (1.21-1.36)
diabetes, non-insulin dependent	387822	4725	22379	404	272.7	5.64E-14	3.27E-12	1.45	1.49 (1.35-1.64)
death during follow-up	398297	4891	11904	238	146.2	4.61E-13	2.67E-11	1.61	1.67 (1.46-1.89)
obesity	399581	4914	10620	215	130.6	6.26E-13	3.63E-11	1.63	1.69 (1.48-1.93)
neuropathies	392990	4831	17211	298	211.6	9.09E-11	5.27E-09	1.39	1.49 (1.33-1.67)
COPD	394732	4861	15469	268	190.5	2.67E-10	1.55E-08	1.39	1.52 (1.34-1.71)
renal failure	401610	4961	8591	168	106.1	4.49E-10	2.61E-08	1.58	1.67 (1.43-1.94)
respiratory disorders	387837	4777	22364	352	275.5	2.61E-07	1.51E-05	1.26	1.33 (1.20-1.48)
other heart disorders	397455	4909	12746	220	157.4	2.76E-07	1.60E-05	1.39	1.45 (1.26-1.65)
ureter/bladder disorders	357809	4379	52392	750	641.2	4.87E-07	2.82E-05	1.15	1.22 (1.13-1.32)
anaemia	389436	4805	20765	324	256.2	7.36E-06	0.00043	1.25	1.29 (1.16-1.44)
arrhythmia	384190	4746	26011	383	321.3	1.19E-05	0.00069	1.18	1.27 (1.14-1.40)
digestive system disorders	321957	3936	88244	1193	1078.8	1.66E-05	0.00096	1.08	1.15 (1.08-1.23)
stroke	400684	4968	9517	161	118.0	3.81E-05	0.0022	1.36	1.41 (1.20-1.64)
asthma	356981	4366	53220	763	650.9	7.42E-05	0.0043	1.15	1.17 (1.08-1.26)
gastric reflux	373121	4600	37080	529	457.1	7.58E-05	0.0044	1.14	1.20 (1.10-1.31)
ischaemic heart disease (not MI)	392627	4865	17574	264	217.8	0.00020	0.011	1.20	1.27 (1.12-1.44)
low WBC count	407002	5071	3199	58	39.9	0.00048	0.028	1.46	1.60 (1.24-2.03)
hernia	358677	4426	51524	703	635.8	0.00064	0.037	1.09	1.15 (1.06-1.24)
irritable bowel syndrome	396135	4925	14066	204	174.9	0.0016	0.093	1.16	1.25 (1.09-1.43)
diabetes, insulin dependent	407400	5077	2801	52	34.9	0.0035	0.20	1.49	1.51 (1.15-1.94)
diverticular disease of the intestines	380938	4747	29263	382	364.7	0.0045	0.26	1.04	1.16 (1.05-1.29)
paralytic syndromes	408078	5086	2123	43	26.5	0.0047	0.27	1.63	1.59 (1.16-2.11)
inflammatory bowel diseases	390141	4849	20060	280	249.3	0.0057	0.33	1.12	1.18 (1.05-1.33)
cataracts	386633	4812	23568	317	293.3	0.0058	0.34	1.08	1.18 (1.05-1.31)
venous thromboembolic disease	395138	4909	15063	220	187.1	0.0063	0.37	1.17	1.21 (1.06-1.38)

MI	395319	4919	14882	210	185.2	0.0096	0.56	1.13	1.20 (1.05-1.37)
high cholesterol	338487	4212	71714	917	892.4	0.012	0.72	1.02	1.10 (1.02-1.18)
hepatic system disorders	400795	4986	9406	143	117.0	0.015	0.85	1.22	1.23 (1.04-1.44)
heart failure	404727	5047	5474	82	68.3	0.015	0.89	1.20	1.31 (1.06-1.61)
osteoarthritis	336016	4199	74185	930	927.0	0.019	1	1.00	1.09 (1.01-1.17)
thyroid disorders	380876	4749	29325	380	365.6	0.020	1	1.04	1.13 (1.02-1.25)
biliary system disorders	387773	4825	22428	304	279.1	0.030	1	1.09	1.14 (1.01-1.27)
heart valve disorders	401155	5004	9046	125	112.8	0.042	1	1.11	1.20 (1.01-1.42)
atherosclerotic vascular disease	403993	5039	6208	90	77.4	0.047	1	1.16	1.24 (1.00-1.51)
other endocrine disorders	407657	5087	2544	42	31.7	0.047	1	1.33	1.37 (1.00-1.81)
ear disorders	394859	4923	15342	206	191.3	0.047	1	1.07	1.15 (1.00-1.31)
migraine	395488	4972	14713	157	185.0	0.050	1	0.85	0.86 (0.73-1.00)
renal disorders	402285	5018	7916	111	98.7	0.051	1	1.12	1.20 (1.00-1.43)
gout	402601	5013	7600	116	94.6	0.052	1	1.22	1.21 (1.00-1.44)
ocular disorders	386801	4822	23400	307	291.7	0.053	1	1.05	1.12 (1.00-1.25)
connective tissue disorders	400077	4986	10124	143	126.2	0.060	1	1.13	1.18 (0.99-1.38)
gastrointestinal ulcers	399077	4974	11124	155	138.6	0.081	1	1.12	1.15 (0.98-1.34)
osteoporosis	397655	4967	12546	162	156.7	0.14	1	1.03	1.13 (0.96-1.32)
aneurisms	408096	5098	2105	31	26.3	0.22	1	1.18	1.26 (0.87-1.75)
nasal disorders	406774	5094	3427	35	42.9	0.23	1	0.81	0.82 (0.58-1.12)
coagulation defects	407459	5090	2742	39	34.3	0.37	1	1.14	1.15 (0.84-1.55)
allergy	347094	4330	63107	799	787.3	0.46	1	1.01	1.03 (0.95-1.11)
prostate hyperplasia	394756	4938	15445	191	193.2	0.46	1	0.99	1.06 (0.91-1.22)
glaucoma	403193	5038	7008	91	87.6	0.50	1	1.04	1.07 (0.87-1.31)
uterine problems	364515	4569	45686	560	572.6	0.53	1	0.98	1.03 (0.94-1.13)
congenital defects	404782	5066	5419	63	67.8	0.54	1	0.93	0.93 (0.72-1.17)
psoriasis	403755	5045	6446	84	80.5	0.56	1	1.04	1.07 (0.86-1.31)
sciatica	363874	4550	46327	579	579.3	0.59	1	1.00	1.02 (0.94-1.11)
cancer	356750	4513	53451	616	676.2	0.59	1	0.92	0.98 (0.90-1.06)

cerebrovascular diseases (not stroke)	405535	5071	4666	58	58.3	0.66	1	0.99	1.06 (0.81-1.35)
ovarian cysts	402205	5032	7996	97	100.0	0.83	1	0.97	0.98 (0.80-1.19)
varicose veins	397429	4980	12772	149	160.0	0.86	1	0.93	1.01 (0.86-1.18)

Table 3.

Phenotype	CNV	N controls	N CNVs controls	N cases	N CNVs cases	Expected N CNVs in cases	RR	p-value	OR(95%CI)
anaemia	16p11.2del	384721	90	20461	20	4.8	3.6	6.94E-08	4.8(2.9-7.6)
asthma	16p11.2del	352692	77	52490	33	11.5	2.3	3.24E-06	2.8(1.9-4.2)
asthma	15q13.3del	352640	25	52474	17	3.7	3.1	5.75E-06	4.6(2.5-8.5)
diabetes insulin dependent	17q12del	402328	5	2753	4	0.0	65.5	2.50E-08	121.5(31.9-440.3)
diabetes non-insulin dependent	16p11.2del	383179	82	22003	28	4.7	4.7	1.49E-14	7.9(5.0-12.1)
diabetes non-insulin dependent	16p11.2distal_del	383139	42	21991	16	2.4	5.1	6.22E-09	7.9(4.2-14.0)
gastric reflux	22q11.2dup	368750	229	36602	51	22.7	2.0	1.36E-07	2.5(1.8-3.3)
hypertension	16p11.2del	277136	56	128046	54	25.9	1.6	7.04E-08	3.0(2.0-4.5)
hypertension	16p12.1del	277213	133	128105	113	61.5	1.4	8.16E-08	2.1(1.6-2.7)
hypertension	16p13.11dup	277590	510	128310	318	235.7	1.2	2.28E-06	1.4(1.2-1.7)
neuropathies	17p12dup_CMT1A	388224	65	16972	59	2.8	11.4	4.70E-47	22.1(15.5-31.5)
neuropathies	17p12del_HNPP	388370	211	16939	26	9.2	2.6	8.08E-06	2.9(1.9-4.2)
obesity	16p11.2del	394758	91	10424	19	2.4	6.7	7.58E-12	8.7(5.2-13.9)
obesity	16p12.1del	394890	223	10428	23	5.9	3.6	7.55E-08	4.1(2.6-6.1)
obesity	16p11.2distal_del	394716	49	10414	9	1.3	6.0	4.64E-06	7.7(3.6-14.8)
osteoarthritis	16p11.2del	331895	78	73287	32	17.2	1.6	1.22E-05	2.8(1.8-4.2)
renal failure	16p11.2del	396748	99	8434	11	2.1	4.8	7.56E-07	7.3(3.7-13.1)
renal failure	16p12.1del	396878	229	8440	17	4.9	3.3	6.55E-06	3.9(2.3-6.1)



