

The Disease-Associated Proteins *Drosophila* Nab2 and Ataxin-2 Interact with Shared RNAs and Coregulate Neuronal Morphology

J. Christopher Rounds*, Edwin B. Corgiat*, Changtian Ye*, Joseph A. Behnke*, Seth M. Kelly[‡], Anita H. Corbett^{†1}, Kenneth H. Moberg*^{1,2}

*Department of Cell Biology, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA 30322;

[†]Department of Biology, Emory University, Atlanta, GA 30322;

[‡]Department of Biology, The College of Wooster, Wooster, OH 44691

¹Co-corresponding authors

For correspondence:

² Kenneth H. Moberg

Department of Cell Biology, Emory University School of Medicine
615 Michael St.

Whitehead Biomedical Research Building, Room 442

Atlanta, GA 30322

Phone: 404-727-3733

Email: kmoberg@emory.edu

Running head: Nab2 and Atx2 share RNAs and functions

1 **ABSTRACT**

2 *Nab2* encodes a conserved polyadenosine RNA-binding protein (RBP) with broad roles in post-
3 transcriptional regulation, including in poly(A) RNA export, poly(A) tail length control, transcription
4 termination, and mRNA splicing. Mutation of the *Nab2* human ortholog *ZC3H14* gives rise to an
5 autosomal recessive intellectual disability, but understanding of *Nab2/ZC3H14* function in metazoan
6 nervous systems is limited, in part because no comprehensive identification of metazoan *Nab2/ZC3H14*-
7 associated RNA transcripts has yet been conducted. Moreover, many *Nab2/ZC3H14* functional protein
8 partnerships likely remain unidentified. Here we present evidence that *Drosophila melanogaster* *Nab2*
9 interacts with the RBP Ataxin-2 (*Atx2*), a neuronal translational regulator, and implicate these proteins
10 in coordinate regulation of neuronal morphology and adult viability. We then present the first high-
11 throughput identifications of *Nab2*- and *Atx2*-associated RNAs in *Drosophila* brain neurons using an
12 RNA immunoprecipitation-sequencing (RIP-Seq) approach. Critically, the RNA interactomes of each
13 RBP overlap, and *Nab2* exhibits high specificity in its RNA associations in neurons *in vivo*, associating
14 with a small fraction of all polyadenylated RNAs. The identities of shared associated transcripts (e.g.
15 *drk*, *me31B*, *stai*) and of transcripts specific to *Nab2* or *Atx2* (e.g. *Arpc2*, *tea*, respectively) promise
16 insight into neuronal functions of and interactions between each RBP. Significantly, *Nab2*-associated
17 RNAs are overrepresented for internal A-rich motifs, suggesting these sequences may partially mediate
18 *Nab2* target selection. Taken together, these data demonstrate that *Nab2* opposingly regulates neuronal
19 morphology and shares associated neuronal RNAs with *Atx2*, and that *Drosophila* *Nab2* associates with
20 a more specific subset of polyadenylated mRNAs than its polyadenosine affinity alone may suggest.

22 Introduction

23 Intellectual disability refers to a broad group of neurodevelopmental disorders affecting approximately
 24 1% of the world population (Maulik *et al.* 2011) and defined by significant limitations in intellectual
 25 functioning and adaptive behavior (Tassé *et al.* 2016; Vissers *et al.* 2016). Intellectual disabilities are
 26 etiologically diverse and in some cases genetically complex, yet many exhibit overlapping molecular
 27 dysfunctions in a comparatively limited set of fundamental neurodevelopmental pathways (reviewed in
 28 Chelly *et al.* 2006; van Bokhoven 2011; and Verma *et al.* 2019). Thus, monogenic intellectual
 29 disabilities represent experimentally tractable avenues for understanding both these disorders more
 30 broadly and neurodevelopment in general (Najmabadi *et al.* 2011; Agha *et al.* 2014). One set of such
 31 informative monogenic intellectual disabilities is caused by mutations affecting genes encoding RNA-
 32 binding proteins (RBPs) (reviewed in Bardoni *et al.* 2012) such as *ZC3H14* (zinc finger CCCH-type
 33 containing 14). Specifically, loss-of-function mutations in *ZC3H14*, which encodes a ubiquitously
 34 expressed polyadenosine RBP, cause a non-syndromic form of autosomal recessive intellectual
 35 disability (Pak *et al.* 2011; Al-Nabhani *et al.* 2018). However, the molecular functions and
 36 developmental roles of human *ZC3H14* are largely unknown; defining these functions and roles
 37 provides an opportunity to better understand intellectual disability and human neurodevelopment.

38 *Drosophila melanogaster* has proven a powerful model system to understand the molecular
 39 functions of proteins encoded by many intellectual disability genes (Inlow and Restifo 2004; Oortveld *et al.*
 40 2013), and *ZC3H14* is no exception—its functions have begun to be dissected in part through study
 41 of its *Drosophila* ortholog Nab2 (Pak *et al.* 2011; Kelly *et al.* 2014). *Drosophila* Nab2, like *ZC3H14*, is
 42 a polyadenosine RNA-binding protein that induces neurological defects when its expression is altered;
 43 deletion or overexpression of *Nab2* causes neuronal morphological defects in the eye, axon projection
 44 defects in the developing brain, and memory impairments (Pak *et al.* 2011; Kelly *et al.* 2016;

45 Bienkowski *et al.* 2017; Corgiat *et al.* 2020). The function of Nab2 is particularly important in
46 *Drosophila* neurons, as pan-neuronal expression of Nab2 or an isoform of human ZC3H14 is sufficient
47 to rescue the severe limitation in adult viability and locomotor defects caused by zygotic Nab2
48 deficiency (Pak *et al.* 2011; Kelly *et al.* 2014). Crucially, Nab2 physically and functionally interacts
49 with Fmr1, the *Drosophila* homolog of the Fragile X Syndrome RBP FMRP (Verkerk *et al.* 1991;
50 Ashley *et al.* 1993; Wan *et al.* 2000), to support axonal morphology and olfactory memory (Bienkowski
51 *et al.* 2017). Previous data suggest functions of *Drosophila* Nab2 in poly(A) tail length control,
52 translational regulation, and mRNA splicing, but mechanistic demonstrations of its molecular function
53 on individual, endogenous transcripts have yet to emerge (Pak *et al.* 2011; Kelly *et al.* 2014; Bienkowski
54 *et al.* 2017; Jalloh *et al.* 2020). Such demonstrations have been prevented in large part because very few
55 *Drosophila* Nab2-associated RNAs have been identified (Bienkowski *et al.* 2017; Jalloh *et al.* 2020),
56 and a comprehensive accounting of Nab2-associated RNAs has yet to be conducted.

57 While the precise molecular function of *Drosophila* Nab2 on its associated transcripts is
58 unknown, informed hypotheses may be drawn by synthesizing research on *Drosophila* Nab2 and
59 orthologs murine ZC3H14, human ZC3H14, and *S. cerevisiae* Nab2, the most well-studied
60 Nab2/ZC3H14 ortholog (reviewed in Fasken *et al.* 2019). In *S. cerevisiae*, Nab2 functions pervasively
61 across many RNAs in transcript stability and transcription termination, and it likely acts similarly
62 broadly in poly(A) tail length control and poly(A) RNA export (Schmid *et al.* 2015; Fasken *et al.* 2019;
63 Alpert *et al.* 2020). Mutation of *S. cerevisiae* Nab2 induces dramatic increases in bulk poly(A) tail
64 length and disrupts bulk poly(A) export from the nucleus (Green *et al.* 2002; Kelly *et al.* 2010).
65 Consistent with its pervasive effects on many transcripts, *S. cerevisiae* Nab2 exhibits a broad binding
66 target profile and is essential for cellular viability (Anderson *et al.* 1993; Tuck and Tollervey 2013). By
67 contrast, mutant analyses of metazoan Nab2/ZC3H14 imply increased RNA target specificity for these

68 proteins. Unlike Nab2 in *S. cerevisiae*, full-length ZC3H14 in mice and humans is not essential for
69 viability—instead, loss of ZC3H14 decreases viability in mice and causes neurological or
70 neurodevelopmental defects in both organisms (Pak *et al.* 2011; Rha *et al.* 2017b; Al-Nabhani *et al.*
71 2018). Bulk poly(A) tail lengths increase upon Nab2 loss in *Drosophila* or full-length ZC3H14 loss in
72 mice *in vivo*, but this increase is not observed across all mouse tissues or all individual *Drosophila*
73 mRNAs tested, and it is less pronounced than the effects observed in *S. cerevisiae* (Kelly *et al.* 2010;
74 Bienkowski *et al.* 2017; Rha *et al.* 2017b). Moreover, in *Drosophila* and mouse cells, respectively, a
75 pervasive nuclear poly(A) export defect is not observed upon Nab2 loss or ZC3H14 knockdown (Farny
76 *et al.* 2008; Pak *et al.* 2011; Kelly *et al.* 2014). *Drosophila* Nab2 is required for proper splicing of
77 individual introns and exons, but in a small, specific set of transcripts, including *Sex lethal* (Jalloh *et al.*
78 2020). Taken together, these data are consistent with a focused role for *Drosophila* Nab2 in regulating
79 poly(A) tail length, splicing, stability, and nuclear export crucial for certain transcripts, cell types, and
80 developmental contexts (Bienkowski *et al.* 2017; Rha *et al.* 2017b; Jalloh *et al.* 2020). Crucially
81 however, the theme of *Drosophila* Nab2 RNA target specificity implied by these data has not been
82 tested and remains an important open question, especially as the polyadenosine affinity of *Drosophila*
83 Nab2 (Pak *et al.* 2011) makes it theoretically capable of associating with all polyadenylated transcripts
84 through their poly(A) tails. Thus, a comprehensive identification of *Drosophila* Nab2-associated RNAs
85 is necessary to determine the potential scope of Nab2 function and provide sets of transcripts on which
86 the molecular consequences of Nab2-RNA association may be systematically evaluated. In the present
87 study, in response we define the first neuronal RNA interactome for Nab2.

88 Contextualizing Nab2-RNA associations requires further definition of the molecular pathways
89 and proteins, particularly other RBPs, that Nab2 interacts with or regulates. Notably, the *Nab2* modifier
90 eye screen that initially linked Nab2 and Fmr1 (Bienkowski *et al.* 2017) also recovered an allele of

91 *Atxin-2* (*Atx2*), which encodes a conserved RBP and regulatory partner of *Fmr1* in *Drosophila* neurons
 92 (Sudhakaran *et al.* 2014; Jiménez-López and Guzmán 2014). The shared connection of *Nab2* and *Atx2*
 93 with *Fmr1* raised the possibility of cooperation or competition between these two proteins. Underscoring
 94 the value of this approach, *Atx2* is a protein of particular importance for human health and neuronal
 95 function. Expansion of a polyglutamine tract within *ATXN2*, the human *Atx2* ortholog, gives rise to the
 96 autosomal dominant neurodegenerative disease spinocerebellar ataxia type 2 (SCA2) (Imbert *et al.*
 97 1996; Pulst *et al.* 1996; Sanpei *et al.* 1996). Expansions of the same tract are also associated with
 98 parkinsonism and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) (Gwinn-Hardy *et al.* 2000; Elden *et al.* 2010; Park
 99 *et al.* 2015). Functionally, *Atx2* encodes a conserved RNA-binding protein that regulates protein
 100 translation, mRNA stability, and mRNP granule formation and plays roles in memory, cellular
 101 metabolism, and circadian rhythms (reviewed in Ostrowski *et al.* 2017; Lee *et al.* 2018). Among the
 102 most well-studied molecular roles of *Atx2* are its contributions to regulation of mRNA translation in the
 103 cytoplasm. Specifically, *Atx2* suppresses the translation of some target RNAs through RNP granule
 104 formation and interactions with the RNAi machinery (McCann *et al.* 2011; Sudhakaran *et al.* 2014;
 105 Bakthavachalu *et al.* 2018) and supports the translation of other targets by promoting RNA
 106 circularization (Lim and Allada 2013; Zhang *et al.* 2013; Lee *et al.* 2017). Intriguingly *Atx2*, like *Nab2*,
 107 contributes to poly(A) tail length control in *S. cerevisiae*—the yeast *Atx2* ortholog *Pbp1* promotes
 108 poly(A) tail length, likely by inhibiting the activity of poly(A) nuclease (PAN) (Mangus *et al.* 1998,
 109 2004). The shared connections of *Nab2* and *Atx2* to *Fmr1*, neuronal translation, and poly(A) tail length
 110 control emphasize the potential for and need to test whether these RBPs functionally interact beyond the
 111 initial eye screen link.

112 Here, after expanding the genetic link previously identified between *Nab2* and *Atx2* in our
 113 modifier screen, we used genetic and molecular approaches to probe the functional connections between

these two RBPs. We show that Nab2 and Atx2 functionally interact to control neuronal morphology of the mushroom bodies (MBs), a learning and memory center of the *Drosophila* brain (Heisenberg 2003; Kahsai and Zars 2011; Yagi *et al.* 2016; Takemura *et al.* 2017). We then present the first high-throughput identification of Nab2- and Atx2-associated RNAs in *Drosophila*; in fact, such accounting has been performed for Nab2 only in *S. cerevisiae*, not in any metazoan (Guisbert *et al.* 2005; Batisse *et al.* 2009; Tuck and Tollervey 2013; Baejen *et al.* 2014). This approach demonstrates Nab2 and Atx2 associate with an overlapping set of RNA transcripts in fly brains and provides insight into the functions of each protein individually and in concert with one another. Considering these data as a whole, we propose a model in which the genetic interactions between Nab2 and Atx2 are explained by their counterbalanced regulation of shared associated RNAs. Our data represent a valuable resource for understanding the neuronal roles of Nab2 and Atx2 in *Drosophila* and, potentially, for understanding links between each RBP and human disease.

Materials and Methods

Drosophila genetics and husbandry

Genetic crosses of *Drosophila melanogaster* were raised on standard media and maintained at 25°C in humidified incubators (SRI20PF, Shel Lab) with 12-hour light-dark cycles unless otherwise specified. Cultures were often supplemented with granular yeast (Red Star Yeast) to encourage egg laying. Parental stocks were maintained at either at room temperature (RT) or 18°C to control virgin eclosion timing. Stocks used include *Nab2^{ex3}* (a *Nab2* null), *Nab2^{pex41}* (a P-element excision control serving as a *Nab2* wild type), and *UAS>Nab2-FLAG*, all first described in (Pak *et al.* 2011). Additional stocks used include *GMR-Gal4* (on chromosome 2), *Atx2^{X1}* (an *Atx2* null, gift of N. Bonini) (Satterfield *et al.* 2002), and *UAS>Atx2-3xFLAG* (gift of R. Allada) (Lim and Allada 2013). Finally, stocks sourced from the

137 Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center (BDSC) include: *elav>Gal4* (*elav*^{c155}, BL458) (Lin and
138 Goodman 1994), *OK107-Gal4* (BL854) (Connolly *et al.* 1996), *Df(3R)Exel6174* (BL7653) (Parks *et al.*
139 2004), *UAS>Nab2* (*Nab2*^{EP3716}, BL17159) (Rørth *et al.* 1998; Bellen *et al.* 2004), and *Atx2*^{DG08112}. The
140 *Atx2*^{DG08112} stock (Huet *et al.* 2002) was mapped as part of the Gene Disruption Project (GDP) (Bellen *et*
141 *al.* 2004) and is no longer available from the BDSC; copies provided upon request.

142 ***Drosophila* eye imaging**

143 *Drosophila* eyes were imaged using a Leica MC170 HD digital camera mounted on a Nikon SMZ800N
144 stereo microscope at 8X magnification. To prepare subjects for imaging, flies were flash frozen (−80°C,
145 1 minute), fixed in place on a clear Slygard pad using minuten pins (26002-10, Fine Science Tools), and
146 submerged in 70% ethanol to diffuse light and reduce glare. Subjects were illuminated with a fiber optic
147 ring light (Dolan-Jenner) and LED illuminator (Nikon Instruments Inc.) and image acquisition was
148 performed using the Leica Application Suite (v4.12) for Windows under the following parameters: 140
149 ms exposure; automatic white balance; highest available resolution; and default values for gain,
150 saturation, gamma, and hue. Each subject was imaged at multiple focal planes (often ≥ 10), and these
151 were subsequently combined using the *Auto-Align* and *Auto-Blend* functions in Photoshop CS5.1
152 Extended (Adobe) to generate final, merged images in which the entire subject is in-focus. These “focus
153 stacking” processing steps (Patterson) combine only in-focus regions of an image series into a single,
154 merged image.

155 **Immunofluorescence**

156 For mushroom body morphology experiments, *Drosophila* brains were dissected using methods similar
157 to those in (Williamson and Hiesinger 2010; Kelly *et al.* 2016, 2017). Briefly, using #5 Dumont fine
158 forceps (Ted Pella, Inc.), for each dissection a *Drosophila* head was isolated in PBS (often supplemented
159 with 0.1% Triton X-100), the proboscis was removed to provide a forceps grip point, and the remaining

160 cuticle and trachea were peeled away from the brain within. On wet ice, dissected brains were fixed in
161 4% paraformaldehyde for 30 minutes and then permeabilized in 0.3% PBS-Triton (PBS-T) for 20
162 minutes. For both primary and secondary antibody incubations, brains were left rocking at 4°C for 1-3
163 nights in 0.1% PBS-T supplemented with blocking agent normal goat serum (Jackson ImmunoResearch)
164 at a 1:20 dilution. Immunostained brains were mounted on SuperFrost Plus slides (12-550-15, Fisher
165 Scientific) in Vectashield (H-1000, Vector Laboratories) using a cover slip “bridge” method (Kelly *et al.*
166 2017). Brains were imaged on a Zeiss LSM 510 confocal microscope. Exclusively female flies were
167 dissected for practicality, given that *Nab2^{ex3}* nulls were analyzed in this experiment and *Nab2^{ex3}* adult
168 viability skews towards females (Jalloh *et al.* 2020).

169 For Nab2-Atx2 localization experiments, whole animals were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde,
170 0.008% PBS-T, shaking, for 3 hours at RT and then washed in PBS and stored at 4°C overnight. Brains
171 were dissected in 0.008% PBS-T using similar methods as described above, permeabilized by shaking in
172 0.5% PBS-T overnight at 4°C, and blocked by shaking in 0.5% PBS-T, 5% NGS for 2 hours at RT. For
173 both primary and secondary antibody/Hoechst incubations, brains were left shaking at 4°C for 2-3 nights
174 in 0.5% PBS-T, 5% NGS. After washing with 0.5% PBS-T followed by PBS, brains were mounted in
175 SlowFade Gold Antifade Mountant (S36936, Invitrogen), surrounded by an adhesive imaging spacer
176 (GBL654002, Sigma-Aldrich) to prevent sample compression, and finally cover-slipped and sealed with
177 clear nail polish. Brains were imaged on an A1R HD25 confocal microscope (Nikon) and a multi-photon
178 FV1000 laser-scanning microscope (Olympus).

179 Primary antibodies and dilutions used are as follows: mouse α -Fasciclin 2 (1:50) (1D4,
180 Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank), rabbit α -GFP (1:400) (A11122, Invitrogen), and mouse α -
181 FLAG (1:500) (F1804, Sigma-Aldrich). Secondary antibodies and dilutions used are as follows: goat α -
182 mouse Cy3 (1:100) (Jackson ImmunoResearch), goat α -mouse Alexa 594 (1:400) (A11032, Invitrogen)

183 and goat α -rabbit Alexa 488 (1:400) (A11008, Invitrogen). To fluoresce DNA and mark nuclei in
184 localization experiments, brains were also incubated with a Hoechst 33342 stain (1:1,000) (H21492,
185 Invitrogen) during secondary antibody incubation.

186 Further brain image analysis and processing, including generating maximum intensity
187 projections and focus stacks and adjusting brightness and contrast, was performed with Photoshop
188 CS5.1 Extended (Adobe) and Fiji (Schindelin *et al.* 2012), a distribution of ImageJ (Schneider *et al.*
189 2012; Rueden *et al.* 2017).

190 **Immunoprecipitation**

191 This immunoprecipitation protocol was developed through optimization guided by the protocols
192 presented in (Yang *et al.* 2005; Banerjee *et al.* 2017; Bienkowski *et al.* 2017; Morris and Corbett 2018).
193 Nuclear Isolation Buffer (NIB; 10 mM Tris HCl pH 7.4, 10 mM NaCl, 3 mM MgCl₂, 0.5% NP-40) and
194 Immunoprecipitation Buffer (IP Buffer; 50 mM HEPES, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 0.1% NP-40)
195 were prepared ahead of the experiment and stored indefinitely at 4°C. Both buffers, and the glycine and
196 PBS solutions below, were prepared primarily in 0.1% diethyl pyrocarbonate (DEPC)-treated and
197 autoclaved ultrapure Milli-Q water to limit RNase contamination. Both NIB and IP Buffer were
198 supplemented with an EDTA-free cOmplete protease inhibitor cocktail tablet (1 tablet/28 ml;
199 11873580001, Roche) and RNasin Plus RNase inhibitor (0.2%; N2615, Promega) freshly before each
200 experiment. Additionally, before each experiment Protein G-coupled magnetic Dynabeads (10003D,
201 Thermo Fisher) were conjugated to glycerol-free (Domanski *et al.* 2012) monoclonal α -FLAG (F3165,
202 Sigma-Aldrich) in aliquots of 1.5 mg beads/9 μ g antibody by incubation for 45 minutes at room
203 temperature. Throughout the experiment, beads were magnetized using DynaMag-Spin magnets (e.g.
204 12320D, Thermo Fisher) as necessary. Exclusively female flies were used for consistency with MB
205 experiments and for practicality, as both *elav>Nab2-FLAG* and *elav>Atx2-3xFLAG* prohibitively

206 decreased relative male viability (data not shown), presumably due to deleterious effects in males likely
207 driven by dosage compensation of the X-chromosome-linked *elav>Gal4* construct leading to enhanced
208 epitope-tagged protein overexpression.

209 300 female *Drosophila* heads each of the genotypes *elav>Gal4* alone, *elav>Nab2-FLAG*, and
210 *elav>Atx2-3xFLAG*, previously isolated in bulk (see *Supplemental Materials and Methods*), were fixed
211 in 1% formaldehyde, 0.1% NP-40 in PBS for 30 minutes at 4°C. Fixation was quenched by adding
212 glycine to a final concentration of 250 mM and rocking for 10 minutes at 4°C. Heads were washed in
213 0.1% NP-40 in PBS and then manually homogenized with a smooth Teflon pestle for 5 minutes in 250
214 µL of NIB in a size AA glass tissue grinder at 4°C (3431D70, Thomas Scientific). Homogenates were
215 spun through 35 µm cell strainer caps into round-bottom tubes (352235, Falcon) to remove exoskeletal
216 debris, transferred, and then centrifuged for 5 minutes at 500×g at 4°C to separate an insoluble fraction.
217 Twenty percent of the soluble supernatant volume was isolated and defined as Input; the remaining
218 eighty percent was used for immunoprecipitation. Both Input and IP samples were diluted to final
219 concentrations of 0.8x IP Buffer to ensure comparable and efficient sample lysis. IP samples were
220 transferred onto the α-FLAG-conjugated magnetic Dynabeads, and both sample types were incubated,
221 rotating, for 10 minutes at room temperature. Next, IP sample supernatant was collected as the Unbound
222 fraction, and IP sample beads were washed three times in IP Buffer. Finally, IP sample beads were
223 resuspended in IP Buffer, transferred to clean tubes, and stored along with Input samples overnight at
224 4°C to allow passive hydrolysis to partially reverse formaldehyde crosslinks. This protocol was applied
225 for both protein co-immunoprecipitation and RNA immunoprecipitation.

226 For protein co-immunoprecipitation, harsh elution of protein from IP sample beads was
227 accomplished the next day—IP samples were diluted in modified Laemmli Sample Buffer (Laemmli
228 1970), incubated at 98°C for 5 minutes, centrifuged at 16,100×g for 5 minutes at room temperature, and

magnetized to collect beads. Sample supernatants were then collected as IP samples. In parallel, Input samples were concentrated using an acetone-based method; this step was required for subsequent immunoblot analysis. Input samples were diluted to generate 80% chilled acetone solutions, vortexed for 15 seconds, and incubated at -20°C for 60 minutes. Samples were centrifuged at $14,000\times g$ for 10 minutes at room temperature, resulting supernatants were discarded, and most remaining acetone was evaporated by air drying protein pellets in open tubes for 30 seconds at room temperature. To solubilize these dried protein pellets, samples were suspended in a solution equal parts modified Laemmli Sample Buffer (Laemmli 1970) and IP Buffer, vortexed, sonicated for 3x5 minutes in a 4°C Bioruptor ultrasonicator (UCD-200, Diagenode), vortexed, and heated at 98°C for 10 minutes. Finally, remaining insoluble material was collected by centrifugation at $16,100\times g$ for 5 minutes at room temperature. Associated supernatants were isolated as concentrated Input protein samples. For RNA immunoprecipitation, harsh elution of RNA from IP sample beads was accomplished the next day with Trizol—both IP and Input samples were subjected to the RNA extraction protocol detailed below.

RNA Extraction

Following immunoprecipitation, RNA was isolated from IP and Input samples using a TRIzol-column hybrid approach adapted from (Rodriguez-Lanetty). To account for volume differences, samples were vigorously homogenized in TRIzol reagent (15596018, Thermo Fisher) at a ratio of either 1:10 (IP sample:TRIzol) or 1:3 (Input sample:TRIzol) and then incubated for 5 minutes at room temperature. All homogenized samples were clarified by centrifugation at $12,000\times g$ at 4°C for 5 minutes, IP samples were magnetized to collect beads, and supernatant was isolated from all samples. After adding chloroform at a ratio of 0.2:1 (chloroform:TRIzol), samples were manually shaken and incubated at room temperature for 3 minutes. Samples were phase separated by centrifugation at $12,000\times g$ at 4°C for 15 minutes, after which the aqueous layer was carefully isolated and mixed with an equal volume of

100% ethanol. RNA was further purified using an RNeasy Mini Kit (74106, QIAGEN) according to the manufacturer's instructions (RNeasy Mini Handbook, 4th Ed., June 2012) with the following deviations: for each sample, a final 30 μ L elution was performed twice, isolating 60 μ L of RNA in total into each collection tube. An on-column DNase digestion step was also performed under the same instructions using an RNase-Free DNase Set (79254, QIAGEN). Final RNA concentration and sample purity were determined via a NanoDrop 1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher).

RNA Sequencing

RNA from twelve samples of 300 adult female *Drosophila* heads each was isolated via the immunoprecipitation and extraction protocols described above, generating twelve pairs of IP and Input samples, or twenty-four samples in total. These samples were composed of four biological replicates each of *elav>Gal4* alone, *elav>Nab2-FLAG*, and *elav>Atx2-3xFLAG*. Once obtained, RNA samples were transferred on dry ice to the Georgia Genomics and Bioinformatics Core at UGA for library preparation and sequencing. There, IP samples were first concentrated using solid phase reversible immobilization (SPRI) beads. Then, the TruSeq Stranded Total RNA Library Prep Gold kit (20020598, Illumina) was used to deplete rRNA and prepare stranded cDNA libraries from all twenty-four samples. These uniquely barcoded cDNA libraries were then pooled by sample type, forming one IP library pool and one Input library pool. Each pool was sequenced on a separate NextSeq High Output Flow Cell (Illumina) for 150 cycles to generate paired-end, 75 base-pair (bp) reads. Total non-index sequencing yield across all IP samples was 88.49 Gbp, equivalent to about 1.2 billion reads in total and 98 million reads per sample. Total non-index sequencing yield across all Input samples was 83.25 Gbp, equivalent to about 1.1 billion reads in total and 93 million reads per sample. Sequencing accuracy was high; 87.83% and 91.38% of non-index reads for IP and Input samples, respectively, have a sequencing quality (Q) score greater than or equal to 30.

275 RNA Sequencing Analysis—Read Mapping, Differential Expression, Visualization

276 Following sequencing, raw read FASTA files were transferred to Emory for bioinformatic analysis. To
 277 start, analyses were conducted on the Galaxy web platform, specifically using the public server at
 278 usegalaxy.org (Afgan *et al.* 2018). This analysis was supported by the BDGP6.22 release of the
 279 *Drosophila melanogaster* genome (Hoskins *et al.* 2015)—both the raw sequence FASTA and the gene
 280 annotation GTF were downloaded from release 97 of the Ensembl database (Yates *et al.* 2020) and used
 281 as inputs in subsequent read mapping, annotation, and visualization steps. For each Galaxy tool
 282 described below, exact parameters and version numbers used are detailed in Supplemental Table 1. For
 283 each sample, reads from across all four NextSeq flow cell lanes were concatenated using the Galaxy
 284 *Concatenate datasets tail-to-head* tool and mapped using RNA STAR (Dobin *et al.* 2013). Mapped
 285 reads were then assigned to exons/genes and tallied using *featureCounts* (Liao *et al.* 2014). To enable
 286 inter-sample read count comparisons, count normalization and differential expression analysis was
 287 conducted using *DESeq2* (Love *et al.* 2014). Importantly, *DESeq2* analysis was performed twice, once
 288 on the 12 IP samples and once on the 12 Input samples; see *Supplemental Materials and Methods* for
 289 discussion of this sample separation method.

290 Outputs from all of the above tools were downloaded from Galaxy for local analysis,
 291 computation, and visualization. Custom R scripts were written to generate the scatterplots and
 292 hypergeometric test reported here and are available in File S3. Scripts in the R programming language
 293 (R Core Team 2019) were written and compiled in RStudio (R Studio Team 2018). Additional R
 294 packages used in these scripts include *ggplot2* (Wickham 2016), *ggrepel* (Slowikowski 2019),
 295 *BiocManager* (Morgan 2018), and *DESeq2* (Love *et al.* 2014). Analyses were supported by bulk data
 296 downloads along with extensive gene-level annotation, sequence information, and references provided
 297 by Flybase (Thurmond *et al.* 2018). Principal component analysis was conducted by and reported from

the above *DESeq2* assessment on Galaxy. Mapped reads were visualized in the Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV) (Robinson *et al.* 2011) on the same version of the *D. melanogaster* genome used above.

Gene-by-gene one-way ANOVAs to identify significantly enriched (i.e. RBP-associated) transcripts

Gene-by-gene ANOVAs and post-hoc tests for the 5,760 genes identified in the “testable” set, along with bar graphs of IP/Input values, were generated in Prism 8 for Windows 64-bit (GraphPad Software). Custom R and PRISM scripts were written to generate and label the 5,760 PRISM data tables, one per testable gene, required for this analysis, and custom R scripts were written to extract and combine the outputs from each test; these scripts are all available in File S3. See *Results* for a summary and below for a further detailed discussion of the statistical testing used to define the testable transcript set and identify significantly enriched (i.e. RBP-associated) transcripts in our RIP-Seq results.

To identify RNA targets of Nab2 and Atx2—that is, RNAs enriched in either Nab2 RIP or Atx2 RIP samples relative to control RIP—directly comparing normalized read counts between RIP samples is insufficient. Differences in RNA expression between samples must be accounted for, as these differences can partially or wholly explain differences in the amount of RNA isolated by IP. We employed a common solution to this problem used in RIP- and ChIP-qPCR (Zhao *et al.* 2010; Aguilo *et al.* 2015; Li *et al.* 2019), scaling normalized RIP reads for each gene in each sample by the corresponding number of normalized Input reads. For clarity, we describe these values as “IP/Input”—they are commonly referred to as “Percent Input” or “% Input.” These IP/Input values could then be compared between samples, further normalizing them to *elav-Gal4* alone controls. In this way, RIP fold enrichment, appropriately normalized to library size/composition *and* gene expression, were calculated for each gene in each sample. To promote the reliability of our analyses and increase our statistical power to detect differences in fold enrichment, we limited further analyses to a testable set of 5,760

genes out of the 17,753 total genes annotated in the BDGP6.22 genome. The testable gene set was defined as having detectable expression in all twelve Input samples and an average normalized read count in either Nab2 or Atx2 RIP samples greater than 10. These criteria were based on those used in (Lu *et al.* 2014; Malmeyvik *et al.* 2015). In this defined gene set, differences in fold enrichment were statistically tested using gene-by-gene one-way ANOVAs (Li *et al.* 2019) in Prism 8 (GraphPad software), applying Dunnett's post-hoc test to calculate significance *p*-values only for the comparison of each experimental sample to the control sample (Dunnett 1955). In each case, *p*-values were adjusted to correct for multiple hypothesis testing only within each gene-by-gene ANOVA. We identified a small, focused set of statistically significantly enriched RNAs using this approach and concluded that additional corrections across all genes to control type I error (i.e. false positives) are not necessary (Rothman 1990). In fact, in the analyses above we determined that rRNA depletion during our RIP-Seq library preparation was incomplete, resulting in comparatively low read depth. Thus, rather than failing to adequately control type I error, we strongly suspect the RBP-associated transcripts we identified through this approach represent an undercount, to be expanded in future studies by methods with higher sensitivity (e.g. CLIP-Seq).

RNA Sequencing Analysis—Sequence Motif Analyses

Sequence motif analyses were conducted using the MEME Suite of software tools, accessed through the web interface at meme-suite.org (Bailey *et al.* 2009). For each MEME Suite tool described below, exact parameters and version numbers used are detailed in Supplemental Table 1. Within the MEME Suite, we used MEME itself (Bailey and Elkan 1994) to scan all Nab2-associated transcripts, regardless of their association with Atx2, to 1) identify sequence motifs shared across multiple transcripts and 2) evaluate the frequency and statistical significance of the discovered sequence motifs. Next, FIMO (Grant *et al.* 2011) was used to quantify the frequency among 1) Nab2-associated transcripts and 2) non-Nab2

344 associated transcripts of user-provided sequences, specifically i) a 41-bp A-rich motif identified in
 345 Nab2-associated transcripts by MEME, ii) A₁₂, and iii) A₁₁G. Non-Nab2-associated transcripts are
 346 defined as all 5,619 transcripts in the testable set found to not be statistically significantly associated
 347 with Nab2 by RIP-Seq. Sequence logos (i.e. visual representations of weighted sequence motifs) were
 348 generated by MEME and by WebLogo 3.7.4, available at weblogo.threeplusone.com (Crooks *et al.*
 349 2004).

350 Importantly, for any Nab2-associated or non-Nab2 associated transcripts annotated with multiple
 351 splice variants, all variant sequences were included as inputs in our motif analyses. This inclusion
 352 reflects an inherent limitation of standard shotgun—that is, short-read—sequencing, as most reads
 353 cannot be unambiguously assigned to one splice variant of a given gene, only to given exon(s) encoded
 354 by that gene. We therefore chose this inclusion strategy to avoid introducing any bias associated with
 355 attempting to call single splice variants for RBP association, and for analytical simplicity. Full
 356 sequences of Nab2-associated and non-Nab2 associated transcripts were obtained using the FlyBase
 357 Sequence Downloader at flybase.org/download/sequence/batch/ (database release FB2020_04).

358 **Data Availability**

359 The authors affirm that all data necessary for confirming the conclusions of the article are present within
 360 the article and associated figures, tables, supplemental materials, and database accessions. File S1
 361 contains *Supplemental Materials and Methods*, including those focused on bulk *Drosophila* head
 362 isolation, immunoblotting, DESeq2-based count normalization, and Gene Ontology analyses. File S2
 363 contains detailed legends for all supplemental tables. File S3 contains all custom code—both R and
 364 PRISM scripts—written to generate, analyze, or visualize data in this article and associated figures,
 365 tables, and supplemental materials. Sequencing data, including raw reads, processed counts, and
 366 statistical analyses for each individual RIP-Seq sample, are available at the Gene Expression Omnibus

(GEO) under accession: GSE165677. *Drosophila* stocks are available upon request. Supplemental materials, including files, figures, and tables, are available at figshare: <https://figshare.com/s/6f28676d7119624b3105>.

370

371 Results

372 *Atx2* loss-of-function alleles suppress Nab2 overexpression phenotypes in the adult eye

373 Previous work has established a Gal4-driven Nab2 overexpression system in the *Drosophila* eye as an
374 effective screening platform to identify potential regulatory partners and targets of Nab2 (Pak *et al.*
375 2011; Bienkowski *et al.* 2017; Lee *et al.* 2020). This approach uses the *Glass Multimer Reporter (GMR)*
376 construct (Ellis *et al.* 1993; Hay *et al.* 1994) to drive expression of the *S. cerevisiae* Gal4 transcription
377 factor in fated eye cells (Freeman 1996). In turn, Gal4 binds to *Upstream Activating Sequence (UAS)*
378 sites within an EP-type P-element (Rørth 1996) inserted upstream of the endogenous *Nab2* gene
379 (*EP3716*) and induces eye-specific overexpression of endogenous Nab2 protein (a genotype hereafter
380 referred to as *GMR>Nab2*). *GMR>Nab2* produces a consistent array of eye morphological defects
381 compared to the *GMR-Gal4* transgene control (Pak *et al.* 2011; Bienkowski *et al.* 2017; Lee *et al.* 2020)
382 and (Figure 1A,B). Specifically, this misexpression causes loss of posterior eye pigment, sporadic
383 blackened patches, and disruptions to ommatidial organization lending the surface of the eye a “rough”
384 appearance. Notably, *GMR>Nab2*-induced pigment loss increases in severity along the anterior-to-
385 posterior axis of the eye, likely because *GMR* activation occurs behind the morphogenetic furrow, the
386 posterior-to-anterior wave of eye morphogenesis observed in the larval eye disc (Wolff and Ready 1991;
387 Hay *et al.* 1994). As a result, posterior *GMR>Nab2* eye cells experience the longest period of Nab2
388 overexpression.

389 Using the *GMR>Nab2* modifier screen as a foundation, we previously identified the *Drosophila*
390 Fragile X Syndrome RBP and neuronal translational regulator Fmr1 as a physical and functional

391 interactor of Nab2 (Bienkowski *et al.* 2017). An allele of the *Ataxin-2* (*Atx2*) gene, which encodes an
 392 RNA binding protein that is a regulatory partner of Fmr1 in *Drosophila* (Sudhakaran *et al.* 2014), was
 393 also detected in eye this screen as a candidate *GMR>Nab2* modifier (Bienkowski *et al.* 2017). To pursue
 394 this potential Nab2-*Atx2* link, we tested two *Atx2* alleles for genetic interactions with *GMR>Nab2*. The
 395 first allele, *Atx2*^{DG08112}, is caused by the insertion of a 15.6 kb {wHy} P-element near the 5' end of *Atx2*
 396 (Huet *et al.* 2002; Bellen *et al.* 2004) and is lethal *in trans* to *Df(3R)Exel6174*, a deletion that completely
 397 removes the *Atx2* locus and nearby genes (Parks *et al.* 2004). That is, crossing balanced *Atx2*^{DG08112} and
 398 *Df(3R)Exel6174* alleles produces no *trans* heterozygotes among other F1 progeny (n=54). Based on
 399 these data, we interpret *Atx2*^{DG08112} to be a strong hypomorph. The second *Atx2* allele, *Atx2*^{X1}, is a 1.4 kb
 400 imprecise-excision-based deletion that removes the first 22 codons of the *Atx2* coding sequence and that
 401 has been characterized as a null (Satterfield *et al.* 2002). In part because Nab2 loss induces some sex-
 402 specific defects (Jalloh *et al.* 2020), we analyzed each sex individually. In adult females, heterozygosity
 403 for either of these two loss-of-function alleles, *Atx2*^{DG08112} (Figure 1C) or *Atx2*^{X1} (Figure 1D),
 404 dominantly suppresses the pigment loss and blackened patches caused by *GMR>Nab2*. In contrast, both
 405 *Atx2* alleles have limited impact on ommatidial organization or “roughness”. In males, *GMR>Nab2*
 406 induces morphological eye defects (Figure 1 E,F) comparable to those in females, and similarly
 407 heterozygosity for either *Atx2*^{DG08112} (Figure 1G) or *Atx2*^{X1} (Figure 1H) dominantly suppresses the
 408 pigment loss and blackened patch defects.

409 ***Atx2* loss-of-function alleles suppress *Nab2* null effects on adult viability and brain morphology**

410 Misexpression of Nab2 induces dramatic phenotypes in domains beyond the eye; homozygosity for the
 411 null allele *Nab2*^{ex3} causes a dramatic reduction in adult viability (Pak *et al.* 2011). Thus, to explore
 412 whether modifying effects of *Atx2* loss-of-function alleles extend to the endogenous *Nab2* locus, we
 413 analyzed the effect of *Atx2* heterozygosity on low adult viability in *Nab2*^{ex3} homozygotes (Supplemental

Figure 1). As in the eye, both the $Atx2^{DG08112}$ and $Atx2^{X1}$ alleles dominantly suppress the viability defects observed in $Nab2^{ex3}$ females, elevating adult viability from 17% to 39% and 82%, respectively (Figure 1I). The corresponding effect in males is not as penetrant; only the null $Atx2^{X1}$ allele dominantly suppresses the viability defect in $Nab2^{ex3}$ males (Figure 1J). Taken together, these data establish gross similarities in $Nab2$ - $Atx2$ genetic interactions in females and males. Thus, for practicality we focused further experiments exclusively on female flies, given the more prohibitive impact on male viability of changes in $Nab2$ expression (Jalloh *et al.* 2020 and see *Materials and Methods*).

That $Atx2$ loss-of-function alleles improve adult viability of $Nab2^{ex3}$ homozygotes suggests $Atx2$ and $Nab2$ coregulate processes or transcripts important for adult development or survival. However, these genetic interactions do not reveal in what cell types or tissues this coregulation may occur. We therefore focused further investigations of $Nab2$ - $Atx2$ interaction in the brain, given the established and important roles of each protein in brain neurons (Lim and Allada 2013; Sudhakaran *et al.* 2014; Kelly *et al.* 2016; Bienkowski *et al.* 2017). $Nab2^{ex3}$ homozygous flies develop morphological defects in the axon tracts—lobes—of the mushroom body (MB) brain structure, a principal olfactory learning and memory center of the insect brain (Heisenberg 2003; Kahsai and Zars 2011; Yagi *et al.* 2016; Takemura *et al.* 2017). Specifically, the MBs of surviving $Nab2^{ex3}$ homozygous null adults exhibit two highly penetrant structural defects: thinning or absence of the dorsally-projecting α lobes and over-projection or “fusion” of the medially-projecting β lobes (Kelly *et al.* 2016). We found that heterozygosity for either $Atx2^{DG08112}$ or $Atx2^{X1}$ also causes defects in MB morphology—specifically β lobe fusion—with no apparent effects on α lobe morphology as compared to controls (Figure 2A-C). Importantly, in the background of $Nab2^{ex3}$ nulls (Figure 2D), heterozygosity for either $Atx2^{DG08112}$ (Figure 2E) or $Atx2^{X1}$ (Figure 2F) suppresses the thinning or absence of α lobes, decreasing the penetrance of this phenotype from 62% of α lobes to 30% or 36%, respectively (Figure 2G). In contrast, neither $Atx2$ allele

significantly affects the penetrance of β lobe fusion in *Nab2^{ex3}* nulls, demonstrating the effect of each mutation is not additive to the effect of *Nab2^{ex3}* homozygosity in this context (Figure 2H). A similar α -lobe-specific interaction occurs between alleles of *Nab2* and *Fmr1* (Bienkowski *et al.* 2017). Notably, as α and β lobes are composed of tracts of bifurcated axons from single cells (Takemura *et al.* 2017), this α -lobe-specific suppression by *Atx2* alleles demonstrates a *Nab2-Atx2* genetic interaction at subcellular resolution. Moreover, that *Atx2* loss-of-function alleles suppress defects of a *Nab2* null allele implies that *Atx2* and *Nab2* proteins may coregulate, but in opposing ways, pathways guiding α lobe morphology during development.

***Nab2* and *Atx2* primarily localize to independent compartments in mushroom body neurons**

The genetic links between *Nab2* and *Atx2* could reflect a physical interaction between their encoded proteins (e.g. as shared components of mRNP complexes), as has been observed for both *Nab2* and *Atx2* with *Fmr1* (Sudhakaran *et al.* 2014; Bienkowski *et al.* 2017). Alternatively, these genetic links could reflect functional but not physical interactions between *Nab2* and *Atx2* on common RNAs or neurodevelopmental processes. The latter hypothesis aligns with the localization patterns of each protein—*Nab2* localizes primarily to neuronal nuclei with a small fraction in the cytoplasm in some contexts (Kelly *et al.* 2016; Bienkowski *et al.* 2017), while *Atx2* is exclusive to the neuronal cytoplasm except under certain pathogenic conditions (Lessing and Bonini 2008; Elden *et al.* 2010). To begin to differentiate between these hypotheses, we evaluated the localization profiles of each protein in MBs *in vivo*. We expressed both *UAS-Nab2-YFP* and *UAS-Atx2-3xFLAG* transgenes in adult MB Kenyon cells using the pan-MB driver *OK107-Gal4* (Figure 3A). Similar to observations in human cerebral cortex tissues (Huynh *et al.* 2003), *Atx2* is nearly excluded from nuclei and localizes strongly to the soma cytoplasm of MB Kenyon cells in adults *in vivo*. In contrast, *Nab2* localizes predominantly to the nuclei of these neurons *in vivo*. This distinction extends beyond the soma and into the α and β lobe axon tracts;

460 Atx2 localizes robustly to these cytoplasmic compartments while Nab2 does not (Supplemental Figure
461 2).

462 To more rigorously assess Nab2-Atx2 protein interactions across all cell compartments, we
463 expressed a FLAG-tagged Nab2 transgene (*UAS-Nab2-FLAG*) (Pak *et al.* 2011) using the pan-neuronal
464 driver *elav-Gal4* (Lin and Goodman 1994) and subjected brain-neuron-enriched head lysates to
465 immunoprecipitation with α -FLAG-conjugated beads to recover Nab2-associated proteins. Probing with
466 specific antibodies confirms that Fmr1 is enriched in Nab2 immunoprecipitates as previously reported
467 (Bienkowski *et al.* 2017), but reveals weak enrichment of Atx2 (Figure 3B). These results indicate
468 complexes containing Nab2 and Atx2 may form in neurons but are rare relative to Nab2-Fmr1
469 complexes. Taken together, these subcellular localization and biochemical data suggest Nab2 and Atx2
470 do not generally co-occupy the same RNA or mRNP complexes throughout the post-transcriptional life
471 of an RNA in adult mushroom body neurons. Therefore, we considered the possibility that *Nab2-Atx2*
472 genetic interactions instead reflect roles in post-transcriptional control of shared RNA targets at different
473 points in time or different locations in the cell.

474 **The Nab2 and Atx2 RNA interactomes in brain neurons overlap**

475 Neither Nab2- nor Atx2-associated RNAs have been identified by a high-throughput method in
476 *Drosophila*—such accounting has been conducted for Atx2 in human cells (Yokoshi *et al.* 2014) and for
477 Nab2 only in *S. cerevisiae*, not in any metazoan (Guisbert *et al.* 2005; Batisse *et al.* 2009; Tuck and
478 Tollervey 2013; Baejen *et al.* 2014). To test the hypothesis that Nab2 and Atx2 share RNA targets, we
479 identified transcripts stably associated with epitope-tagged versions of each protein in adult brain
480 neurons using an RNA immunoprecipitation-sequencing (RIP-Seq) approach. In this approach, protein
481 products of *UAS-Nab2-FLAG* or *UAS-Atx2-3xFLAG* transgenes are robustly expressed under *elav-Gal4*
482 control and are efficiently immunoprecipitated from adult head lysates (Figure 4A). Briefly, four

biological replicates each of *elav-Gal4*, *elav>Nab2-FLAG*, and *elav>Atx2-3xFLAG* adult female *Drosophila* heads were lysed and immunoprecipitated with α -FLAG-conjugated beads. Then, RNA from both IP and Input samples was rRNA depleted, reverse transcribed into stranded cDNA libraries, and sequenced. Using the Galaxy web platform through the public server at usegalaxy.org (Afgan *et al.* 2018), reads were mapped using STAR (Dobin *et al.* 2013) to the BDGP6.22 release of the *Drosophila melanogaster* genome (sourced through Ensembl, Yates *et al.* 2020), assigned to exons/genes and tallied using *featureCounts* (Liao *et al.* 2014), and normalized for inter-library count comparisons using *DESeq2* (Love *et al.* 2014). A principal component analysis (PCA) generated as part of *DESeq2* demonstrates the high inter-genotype reproducibility among RNA IP (RIP) samples and shows that samples expressing Nab2-FLAG or Atx2-3xFLAG differ more from *elav-Gal4* controls than from one another (Figure 4B).

To identify Nab2-associated and Atx2-associated RNAs, we calculated percent input (IP/Input) enrichment values (Zhao *et al.* 2010; Aguilo *et al.* 2015; Li *et al.* 2019) for each of the 5,760 genes in the testable set defined by 1) detectable expression in all twelve Inputs and 2) an average normalized Nab2- or Atx2-IP read count greater than 10 (Lu *et al.* 2014; Malmeyik *et al.* 2015). Fold enrichment differences were statistically tested by performing gene-by-gene one-way ANOVAs (Li *et al.* 2019), applying Dunnett's post-hoc test (Dunnett 1955), and calculating adjusted *p*-values corrected for multiple hypothesis testing within each gene-by-gene ANOVA (values hereafter referred to as *Dun. Adj. p*; see *Materials and Methods* for more detail). Using this approach, we identify 141 and 103 RNAs significantly enriched in α -FLAG IPs of *elav>Nab2-FLAG* and *elav>Atx2-3xFLAG* female heads, respectively (Supplemental Table 2, Supplemental Figure 3). The size and focus of these sets of statistically significantly enriched RNAs suggests type I (i.e. false positive) error is sufficiently controlled and additional corrections between genes are not necessary (Rothman 1990). Comparing the

Nab2- and Atx2-IP groups strongly supports our hypothesis, revealing 28 transcripts shared between Nab2- and Atx2-associated *Drosophila* neuronal RNAs (Figure 4C). This overlap is highly significant according to the hypergeometric test—it is extremely unlikely to occur by random selection from the total tested gene set. The full list of transcripts associated with both Nab2 and Atx2 (Table 1) includes multiple mRNAs that encode proteins with functions in neuronal domains in which Nab2 and Atx2 genetically interact, raising the possibility that coregulation of these RNAs by Nab2 and Atx2 partially explains these Nab2-Atx2 genetic links. These shared transcripts include *drk* (*downstream of receptor kinase*), *me31B* (*maternal expression at 31B*), *sm* (*smooth*), and *stai* (*stathmin*). The protein encoded by *drk* is a receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) adaptor that regulates growth and development by binding activated RTKs, such as sevenless in R7 retinal cells (Almudi *et al.* 2010), and contributes to, among other processes, cell survival in the eye (Schoenherr *et al.* 2012) and olfactory learning and memory in the MB (Moressis *et al.* 2009). The protein encoded by *me31B* is a DEAD-box RNA helicase expressed in many cellular contexts, including the MB Kenyon cells (Hillebrand *et al.* 2010) and the oocyte (Nakamura *et al.* 2001), that physically associates with Atx2 (Lee *et al.* 2017) and serves as a central player in miRNA-mediated translational repression (Barbee *et al.* 2006) and assembly of some RNP granules (Eulalio *et al.* 2007). Finally, the proteins encoded by *sm* and *stai* are respectively an hnRNP linked to defects in axon termination (Layalle *et al.* 2005) and a tubulin binding protein linked to natural variation in the size of MB α and β lobes (Lachkar *et al.* 2010; Zwarts *et al.* 2015).

The 28 shared transcripts represent approximately 20% and 24% of the total transcripts identified as Nab2- and Atx2-associated, respectively, underscoring that these proteins also associate with RNA sets independent from one another. From these independent sets, we defined the top Nab2-specific and Atx2-specific associated transcripts as the top 20 most significantly associated transcripts (by *Dun. Adj. p*) and top 20 most strongly enriched transcripts (by IP/Input) in each set. As with shared RNAs,

multiple RBP-specific RNAs with links to *Nab2* or *Atx2* functions or mutant phenotypes are identified among these top transcripts, raising the possibility that regulation of these RNAs by *Nab2* or *Atx2* partially explains the mechanism of action of these RBPs (Figure 4D,E). For example, the top *Nab2*-specific associated RNAs include *Arpc2* (*Actin-related protein 2/3 complex, subunit 2*), *side-II* (*sidestep II*), and *Cpsf160* (*Cleavage and polyadenylation specificity factor 160*). These transcripts respectively encode proteins with proposed functions in neuronal growth cone advance (Yang *et al.* 2012), synapse formation between certain neuronal subtypes (Tan *et al.* 2015), and mRNA poly(A)-tail formation based on orthology to mammalian *Cpsf1* (Mandel *et al.* 2008). The top *Atx2*-specific associated RNAs include *dj-1β*, *mtm* (*myotubularin*), and *Snx16* (*Sorting nexin 16*). These transcripts respectively encode proteins with proposed functions in ATP synthesis and motor neuron synaptic transmission (Hao *et al.* 2010; Oswald *et al.* 2018), endosomal trafficking regulation via phosphatase activity (Velichkova *et al.* 2010; Jean *et al.* 2012), and neuromuscular junction synaptic growth (Rodal *et al.* 2011).

Gene Ontology terms enriched in *Nab2* and *Atx2* RNA interactomes emphasize additional RBP-associated transcripts

Evaluating *Nab2*- and *Atx2*-associated RNAs individually provides valuable but incomplete insight, allowing larger trends to be missed. To complement these analyses, we holistically evaluated the shared and specific *Nab2*- and *Atx2*-associated transcripts by subjecting each gene list to PANTHER Gene Ontology (GO) analysis, revealing the identities and members of enriched GO terms in each transcript set (Ashburner *et al.* 2000; Mi *et al.* 2019; The Gene Ontology Consortium 2019). Critically, GO term enrichment was calculated by comparing term abundance between these lists and the testable set of 5,760 head-enriched genes rather than the entire genome. In this way, these analyses did not identify GO terms as enriched simply because of their overrepresentation in *Drosophila* heads. Among shared *Nab2*- and *Atx2*-associated RNAs, we identify overrepresented GO terms and RBP-associated transcripts

within them that highlight crucial functions and processes Nab2 and Atx2 may coregulate (Figure 4F). Among these GO terms are ‘microtubule binding’, which includes *apolpp* (*apolipophorin*) and *shi* (*shibire*); ‘sensory perception of taste’, which includes *Gao* and *Gγ30A*; ‘gene silencing by miRNA’, which includes *AGO2* (*Argonaute 2*) and *me31B*; and ‘short-term memory’, which includes *shi* and *drk*. Survey of the associated RNAs specific to either RBP reveals overrepresented GO terms and transcripts within them which may mediate processes Nab2 and Atx2 regulate independently of one another, including respectively the GO terms ‘exosomal secretion’, which includes *Rab35* and *Rab7*; and ‘regulation of ATP metabolic process’, which includes *Dg* (*Dystroglycan*) and *dj-1β* (Supplemental Figure 4).

To combine and summarize the individual transcript and GO analyses, we highlight groups of seven transcripts found within the shared (Figure 5A) and RBP-specific (Figure 5B,C) associated transcript sets. These highlights were selected from the combined set of transcripts 1) demonstrating a fold enrichment (IP/Input) greater than 1.5 and/or 2) included in the most overrepresented GO terms (fully defined in Supplemental Table 3). Beyond transcripts already described, this summary includes the shared transcript *HmgZ* (*HMG protein Z*), Nab2-specific transcripts *fwe* (*flower*) and *SLC22A* (*SLC22A family member*), and Atx2-specific transcripts *tea* (*telomere ends associated*) and *Xpc* (*Xeroderma pigmentosum, complementation group C*). A group of functionally diverse transcripts in the testable set that did not associate with either RBP is shown for comparison and as evidence of the specificity of the RIP-Seq assay (Figure 5D).

Polyadenosine sequence motifs are enriched in Nab2-associated RNAs

The diversity of RNAs that do not associate with Nab2 and Atx2 in the RIP assay (Figure 5D) underscores a key finding—both of these RBPs exhibit specific RNA-association patterns within brain neurons. This observation is not surprising for Atx2 given, for example, the sequence specificity of its

human homolog in HEK293T cells (Yokoshi *et al.* 2014), but it represents a valuable insight for Nab2. The extent of the metazoan Nab2/ZC3H14 RNA target pool has been an enduring question (Rha *et al.* 2017a), given the breadth of the *S. cerevisiae* Nab2 target pool (Batisse *et al.* 2009; Tuck and Tollervey 2013) and the ability of Nab2/ZC3H14 across eukaryotes to bind polyadenosine RNA *in vitro* (Kelly *et al.* 2007; Pak *et al.* 2011), raising the possibility for very broad binding of mRNAs via their poly(A) tails *in vivo*. We found a relatively focused set of RNAs co-precipitate with Nab2-FLAG from fly brain neurons, indicating Nab2 may indeed exhibit greater specificity in *Drosophila* than would be observed if the protein bound all or most polyadenylated transcripts via their poly(A) tails.

Thus, we sought to determine what additional RNA sequence features may drive the association of Nab2 with its target transcripts if not only the presence of a poly(A) tail. We used MEME (Bailey and Elkan 1994) to scan all Nab2-associated transcripts to identify shared sequence motifs that may represent Nab2 binding sites and partially explain Nab2 specificity. Strikingly, this analysis identifies a 41-bp long, internal-A-rich stretch among the first ten 6-50-bp motifs shared among Nab2-associated transcripts. Importantly, each of these 10 sequence motifs are shared across overlapping sets of many but not all Nab2-associated RNAs. Using FIMO (Grant *et al.* 2011), another part of the MEME Suite (Bailey *et al.* 2009), we quantified the frequency of close and exact matches to the consensus version of this motif among Nab2-associated RNAs. Occurrences of this A-rich motif are significantly more common in Nab2-associated transcripts compared to non-Nab2 associated transcripts, respectively appearing once every 135 bases and once every 845 bases on average, a 6.3-fold enrichment (Figure 6A). The high frequency of this motif in Nab2-associated transcripts is consistent with data from *S. cerevisiae* that Nab2 does not associate with RNAs exclusively through the poly(A) tail and also binds to upstream UTRs and coding sequences, likely through other A-rich sequences (Guisbert *et al.* 2005; González-Aguilera *et al.* 2011; Tuck and Tollervey 2013; Baejen *et al.* 2014; Aibara *et al.* 2017).

598 Importantly, that this A-rich motif is enriched in but not exclusive to Nab2-associated RNAs is
599 consistent with results for other RBPs—linear sequence motifs alone are generally insufficient to
600 explain RBP specificity (Dominguez *et al.* 2018) and RBPs do not generally occupy all of their available
601 binding motifs throughout the transcriptome (Li *et al.* 2010; Taliaferro *et al.* 2016).

602 As a complement to these analyses, we used FIMO to scan Nab2-associated RNAs for the
603 presence of the smallest canonical binding motifs sufficient for Nab2 association in *S. cerevisiae*—A₁₂
604 and A₁₁G (Guisbert *et al.* 2005; Aibara *et al.* 2017). This approach reveals that in *Drosophila* brain
605 neurons A₁₂ and A₁₁G sites are significantly but moderately more common in Nab2-associated
606 transcripts compared to non-Nab2 associated transcripts. These A₁₂ and A₁₁G sites appear respectively
607 once every 1,553 and 687 bases on average among Nab2-associated transcripts and once every 1,901
608 and 935 bases on average among non-Nab2-associated transcripts, a 1.2- and 1.4-fold enrichment
609 (Figure 6B,C). Taken together, the findings that Nab2 associates with a specific subset of all RNAs with
610 poly(A) tails, and that these three A-rich motifs are not exclusive to Nab2-associated RNAs, strongly
611 argues that the polyadenosine sequence affinity of Nab2 alone is insufficient to explain Nab2-RNA
612 association specificity in *Drosophila* brain neurons. Other mechanisms must also contribute to Nab2
613 target choice, such as RNA secondary structure, protein-protein interactions, subnuclear localization,
614 and binding site competition. That said, the significant enrichment of a 41-bp A-rich motif, A₁₂, and
615 A₁₁G observed in Nab2-associated RNAs suggests Nab2-RNA association is partially mediated through
616 these genetically encoded RNA sequence motifs as well as or instead of through the poly(A) tail.

617

618 Discussion

619 Mutation of either *ZC3H14* or *ATXN2* gives rise to human disease, and the Nab2 and Atx2 RNA-
620 binding proteins encoded by their *Drosophila* orthologs are linked by a shared association with Fmr1

(Sudhakaran *et al.* 2014; Bienkowski *et al.* 2017). Here we show that *Nab2* and *Atx2* interact in multiple contexts in *Drosophila*, specifically in fated eye cells, adult viability, and mushroom body neuronal morphology. Notably, these interactions are dose-sensitive, as heterozygosity for *Atx2* loss-of-function alleles is sufficient to suppress *Nab2* null phenotypes in adult viability and MB morphology. That is, loss of *Nab2* may sensitize these domains to reduced *Atx2* activity, suggesting these RBPs regulate some common processes. We find that these *Nab2-Atx2* interactions are likely not explained by extended, simultaneous co-occupancy of *Nab2* and *Atx2* in common RNP complexes on shared RNA transcripts. Each protein is concentrated in distinct subcellular compartments in adult mushroom body neurons *in vivo*, and *Nab2* and *Atx2* weakly associate by co-IP from brain neurons. Thus, to explore an alternative possibility—sequential regulation of shared RNA transcripts—we have carried out the first high-throughput identification of *Nab2*- and *Atx2*-associated RNAs in *Drosophila*. We find these proteins associate with overlapping sets of transcripts in *Drosophila* neurons, consistent with their shared and distinct functions and supporting the model of sequential regulation. Identification of these protein-transcript associations promises further insight into the functions shared between and unique to each RBP. In addition, the identification of *Drosophila* *Nab2*-associated RNAs begins to address longstanding questions about *Nab2* function and the particular sensitivity of neurons to *Nab2* loss, revealing that *Nab2* associates with a specific subset of polyadenylated RNAs *in vivo* despite the theoretical potential to bind across all polyadenylated transcripts suggested by its high polyadenosine affinity *in vitro* (Pak *et al.* 2011).

A model of opposing regulatory roles for *Nab2* and *Atx2*

We show that *Nab2* and *Atx2* share associated RNAs in *Drosophila* neurons (Figures 4,5) and that *Atx2* loss-of-function alleles suppress phenotypes of *Nab2* loss (Figures 1,2). Taken together, these findings imply that, at least for transcripts crucial for adult survival and MB α lobe morphology, *Nab2*

644 and Atx2 exert opposing regulatory roles on their shared associated RNAs. This opposing role
645 possibility aligns with some of the known functions of each protein. Namely, in *S. cerevisiae* Nab2
646 contributes to proper nuclear processing events including protection from enzymatic degradation,
647 poly(A) tail length control, splicing, and transcriptional termination while also facilitating poly(A) RNA
648 export from the nucleus (Green *et al.* 2002; Hector *et al.* 2002; Kelly *et al.* 2010; Schmid *et al.* 2015;
649 Soucek *et al.* 2016; Alpert *et al.* 2020). If *Drosophila* Nab2 also performs some or all of these nuclear
650 processing roles on its associated RNAs, then Nab2 binding should contribute to transcript stability,
651 nuclear export, and ultimately protein expression. Atx2, in contrast, is a key regulator of translational
652 efficiency in the cytoplasm, suppressing the translation of some target RNAs and activating the
653 translation of others (reviewed in Lee *et al.* 2018). As our data suggest Nab2 and Atx2 act in functional
654 opposition on a shared transcript set, we propose Atx2 primarily functions as a translational inhibitor
655 rather than activator on shared Nab2- and Atx2-associated RNAs. In this model (Figure 7), Nab2 and
656 Atx2 would act in temporal and spatial sequence to balance protein expression from their shared
657 associated RNAs in neurons, with Nab2 promoting proper nuclear RNA processing, stability, and export
658 and Atx2 inhibiting RNA translation, respectively.

659 This model of sequential temporal and spatial regulation aligns with evidence that Nab2 and
660 Atx2 primarily localize to different subcellular compartments in adult MBs at steady state and exhibit a
661 low level of co-precipitation from brain neurons (Figure 3). Potential explanations for the combination
662 of distinct localization profiles and limited physical association between Nab2 and Atx2 are found in
663 proposals that *S. cerevisiae* Nab2 shuttles out of the nucleus with bound RNAs during export before
664 releasing them and returning to the nucleus (Aitchison *et al.* 1996; Lee and Aitchison 1999; Duncan *et al.*
665 2000). Thus, Nab2 and Atx2 may physically share associated RNAs briefly if neuronal *Drosophila*
666 Nab2 similarly shuttles and both RBPs are present during the nuclear-cytoplasmic handoff of mRNP

remodeling that follows mRNA export from the nucleus (reviewed in Müller-McNicoll and Neugebauer 2013; Chen and Shyu 2014). Functional and physical links between Nab2 and an RBP with a prominent cytoplasmic localization pattern like Atx2 have been observed previously, specifically with Fmr1 (Bienkowski *et al.* 2017). However, the physical associations observed between Fmr1 and Nab2 are more robust than that observed between Atx2 and Nab2 in the present study (Figure 3B)—this distinction may be partially explained by the different localization patterns of Atx2 and Fmr1. Atx2 is exclusively cytoplasmic in neurons except under certain pathogenic conditions (Huynh *et al.* 2003; Lessing and Bonini 2008; Elden *et al.* 2010), while Fmr1 shuttles between the two compartments, associating with at least some of its target RNAs in the nucleus (Tamanini *et al.* 1999; Kim *et al.* 2009). Thus, Nab2 and Fmr1 may theoretically co-occupy and coregulate shared transcripts in both cellular compartments while Nab2 and Atx2 sequentially regulate shared transcripts exchanged during a nuclear-cytoplasmic handoff, representing two distinct modes of functional interaction between Nab2 and a cytoplasmic RBP.

This model provides a firm foundation and raises many readily testable hypotheses to be explored in future research. The model predicts that for shared Nab2- and Atx2-associated RNAs, loss of Nab2 decreases transcript stability, impedes proper nuclear processing events including poly(A) tail length control, and impairs poly(A) RNA export from the nucleus, ultimately leading to decreases in protein product. Conversely, we predict partial loss of Atx2 releases translational inhibition on these shared transcripts and induces increases in protein product. Finally, loss of both proteins would balance these effects, resulting in steady-state levels of protein product more similar to the wild-type condition. With the identity of Nab2- and Atx2-associated RNAs in hand, future research is enabled to test these predictions.

689 **Prominent Nab2- and Atx2-associated transcripts provide links to brain development and** 690 **function**

691 Of all the RBP-associated transcripts identified here, we defined the prominent shared and RBP-specific
692 associated transcripts as those annotated within overrepresented GO terms (Figure 4F, Supplemental
693 Figure 4) and/or passing a 1.5-fold enrichment threshold. The identities and functional roles of these
694 prominent RBP-associated transcripts (examples in Figure 5) provide potential mechanistic explanations
695 for the biological roles of each RBP. For example, the effects of Nab2 and Atx2 on MB morphology
696 may be mediated in part through regulation of shared mRNAs *sm* and *stai*, which respectively encode an
697 hnRNP and a tubulin binding protein both linked to axonal morphology and development (Layalle *et al.*
698 2005; Lachkar *et al.* 2010; Zwarts *et al.* 2015). The effects of Nab2 and Atx2 on memory (Sudhakaran *et al.*
699 *al.* 2014; Kelly *et al.* 2016) may be due in part to regulation of shared transcripts *drk*, *shi*, *Gao*, and
700 *me31B*, all of which encode proteins with roles in memory formation or retrieval (Dubnau *et al.* 2001;
701 Ferris *et al.* 2006; Moressis *et al.* 2009; Sudhakaran *et al.* 2014). Both Nab2 and Atx2 may be involved
702 in RNAi at multiple levels, regulating *me31B* RNA in neurons in addition to associating, in the case of
703 Atx2, with *me31B* protein (Lee *et al.* 2017; Bakthavachalu *et al.* 2018). Finally, the suppression of
704 *GMR>Nab2* by *Atx2* alleles in the eye may be explained in part by the shared association of Nab2 and
705 Atx2 with *HmgZ* (*HMG protein Z*) RNA, which encodes a chromatin remodeler linked to survival of R7
706 retinal photoreceptor neurons (Kanuka *et al.* 2005; Ragab *et al.* 2006).

707 Among the associated RNAs specific to each RBP, we found only Nab2 associated with *fwe*
708 (*flower*), *Arpc2*, *side-II*, and *SLC22A* RNA, connections which may further explain the role of Nab2 in
709 guiding MB morphology and regulating learning and memory. These transcripts respectively encode a
710 transmembrane mediator of neuronal culling in development (Merino *et al.* 2013), a component of the
711 neuronal growth cone advance-regulating Arp2/3 complex (Hudson and Cooley 2002; Yang *et al.* 2012),

an immunoglobulin superfamily member potentially contributing to axon guidance and synapse formation in the optic lobe (Tan *et al.* 2015), and a transmembrane acetylcholine transporter localized to MB dendrites and involved in suppressing memory formation (Gai *et al.* 2016). On the other hand, the association of Atx2 with Atx2-specific RNAs *Xpc* and *tea*, which respectively encode players in the fundamental cellular processes of DNA repair and telomere protection (Henning *et al.* 1994; Goosen 2010; Zhang *et al.* 2016), may partially explain why Atx2 genomic loss, unlike Nab2 genomic loss, is larval lethal (Satterfield *et al.* 2002). In summary, defining the potential functional impact of Nab2- and Atx2-RNA associations like these will provide critical insight into the roles of Nab2 and Atx2 in neurodevelopment and *Drosophila* disease models.

Nab2 associates with a more specific set of RNAs in metazoans than in *S. cerevisiae*

The degree of RNA association specificity metazoan Nab2/ZC3H14 exhibits has been a longstanding question, in part because competing answers are suggested by the functional similarities and differences between metazoan Nab2/ZC3H14 and the *S. cerevisiae* Nab2 ortholog. In *S. cerevisiae*, Nab2 is essential for viability (Anderson *et al.* 1993) and is a central player in post-transcriptional regulation of many transcripts, serving as a nuclear poly(A)-binding-protein regulating transcript stability (Schmid *et al.* 2015), poly(A) tail length, and poly(A) RNA export from the nucleus among other processes (reviewed in Moore 2005; Chen and Shyu 2014; and Stewart 2019). However, in metazoans Nab2 or the full-length form of ZC3H14 is dispensable for cellular viability, and the effects of either protein on poly(A) tail length and poly(A) RNA export from the nucleus are either less pronounced and likely exerted on fewer transcripts than in *S. cerevisiae* or are not detected (Farny *et al.* 2008; Kelly *et al.* 2010; Wigington *et al.* 2016; Bienkowski *et al.* 2017; Rha *et al.* 2017b; Morris and Corbett 2018). Consistently, Nab2/ZC3H14 have not been found to associate with all polyadenylated RNAs tested in metazoans so far (Wigington *et al.* 2016; Bienkowski *et al.* 2017; Morris and Corbett 2018), but the

possibility has remained that these few identified non-Nab2/ZC3H14-associated transcripts are outliers and metazoan Nab2/ZC3H14 associates with a large majority of polyadenylated RNAs similarly to *S. cerevisiae* Nab2 (Tuck and Tollervy 2013), likely in part by binding poly(A) tails. Indeed, the identities of Nab2- or ZC3H14-associated RNAs in metazoans had never previously been addressed with a comprehensive, high-throughput method.

Our results identify a specific set of transcripts that neuronal Nab2 associates with in *Drosophila*. Of the 5,760 transcripts tested in the RIP-Seq, only about 2.5% were found to associate with Nab2 in *Drosophila* neurons (Figure 4), a much smaller percentage of the transcriptome than associates with Nab2 in *S. cerevisiae* (Guisbert *et al.* 2005; Batisse *et al.* 2009; Tuck and Tollervy 2013). Importantly, this likely represents an undercount of all Nab2-associated transcripts in neurons *in vivo*—some RNAs associated with Nab2 in prior studies are absent from our Nab2-associated transcript set (Bienkowski *et al.* 2017; Jalloh *et al.* 2020), and technical limitations impacted our sequencing read depth (see *Methods*). Higher sensitivity approaches (e.g. CLIP-Seq) could reveal a broader set of Nab2-associated transcripts in *Drosophila* than we define here. Nonetheless, in the present study the majority of both RNAs (Figure 4) and tested polyadenosine-rich sequence motifs (Figure 6) were not found to be associated with Nab2, strongly supporting a model in which Nab2 associates with a specific subset of RNAs in *Drosophila* neurons. Perhaps for this more select group of transcripts Nab2 still plays a key role in transcript stability, poly(A) tail length control, transcription termination, and poly(A) RNA export from the nucleus, such that defects will only be observed in targeted examinations of single transcripts and not in bulk assays—one does not always reflect the other (Kelly *et al.* 2014; Bienkowski *et al.* 2017). This model of Nab2 specificity in *Drosophila* aligns well with the knowledge that Nab2/full-length ZC3H14 is essential for cellular viability in *S. cerevisiae* (Anderson *et al.* 1993) but not in *Drosophila* (Bienkowski *et al.* 2017), mice (Rha *et al.* 2017b), or, seemingly, humans (Pak *et al.*

2011; Al-Nabhani *et al.* 2018). This diminished global requirement for Nab2/ZC3H14 in metazoans may be due, at least in part, to functional overlap with PABPN1, an evolutionarily distinct nuclear polyadenosine RNA-binding protein that is absent in *S. cerevisiae* (Mangus *et al.* 2003) but controls poly(A) tail length and is essential in *Drosophila* (Benoit *et al.* 2005), mice (Vest *et al.* 2017), and humans (Hart *et al.* 2015).

The model of Nab2 specificity in *Drosophila* does not conflict with its affinity for polyadenosine, which could theoretically allow Nab2 to bind all transcripts with a poly(A) tail. Even in *S. cerevisiae*, the broad binding profile of Nab2 (Batisse *et al.* 2009) and central role in poly(A) tail length control (Kelly *et al.* 2010), poly(A) RNA export from the nucleus (Green *et al.* 2002), and protection of poly(A) RNA from degradation (Schmid *et al.* 2015) does not translate to binding the poly(A) tails of all transcripts (Guisbert *et al.* 2005; Tuck and Tollervey 2013). More broadly, linear sequence motifs alone are insufficient to explain RBP specificity—RBPs do not generally occupy all of their available binding motifs throughout the transcriptome (Li *et al.* 2010; Taliaferro *et al.* 2016). Moreover, non-paralog RBPs with substantially overlapping or identical linear target motifs still bind distinct RNA target sets, demonstrating that linear motifs are only one of a set of RNA features that direct RBP-RNA associations (Dominguez *et al.* 2018). Based on the present study, these general features of RBPs hold for Nab2 as well. MEME and FIMO motif analyses reveal a long A-rich motif and the canonical Nab2 binding motifs A₁₂ and A₁₁G are enriched in but not exclusive to Nab2-associated RNAs (Figure 6). Given the behavior of other RBPs, it is consistent that *Drosophila* Nab2 exhibits this binding specificity and, given our RIP-Seq data and previous studies, likely binds some but almost certainly does not bind not all poly(A) tails in *Drosophila* despite its high affinity for polyadenosine RNA *in vitro* (Pak *et al.* 2011).

Taken together, these data align with the model that in metazoans Nab2/ZC3H14 is more specific in its transcript associations than in *S. cerevisiae*. With this model in mind and the Nab2-associated transcripts identified in this study in hand, future research will be enabled to focus on how Nab2 functions on these particular transcripts in *Drosophila*, and why this function is so crucial for adult viability, neuronal morphology, locomotion, and learning and memory. Given that a polyadenosine-rich motif along with A₁₂ and A₁₁G motifs are correlated with but are not sufficient for Nab2-RNA association, future research must also focus on what additional features of transcripts or their associated proteins promote or prevent Nab2 association.

Conclusion

In sum, the data we present here identify functional interactions between Nab2 and Atx2 in *Drosophila* brain morphology and adult viability and define a set of RNA transcripts associated with each protein in brain neurons. Crucially, these RNA sets overlap—some associated transcripts are shared between Nab2 and Atx2 and some are specific to each RBP. Identifying these RBP-associated transcripts provides potential mechanistic links between the roles in neuronal development and function their encoded proteins perform, Nab2, and Atx2. This foundation will be especially important for Nab2, as the exact molecular function of metazoan Nab2/ZC3H14 on the vast majority of its associated RNAs in any cell type remains largely unknown. The identity of many *Drosophila* Nab2-associated transcripts, now revealed, will be required to define Nab2/ZC3H14 function in metazoans and enable our understanding of why loss of this largely nuclear polyadenosine RNA-binding protein results in neurological or neurodevelopmental deficits in flies and mice and in intellectual disability in humans.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank current and past members of the Moberg and Corbett lab groups, especially Drs. Ayan Banerjee, Rick Bienkowski, Daniel Barron, Binta Jalloh, Stephanie Jones, Annie McPherson-Davie, Milo Fasken, and Sara Leung for their support of, instruction in, and enlightening discussions of this work. We would also like to thank Drs. Bing Yao, Jingjing Yang, Michael Christopher, and Carlos Moreno for initial bioinformatics advice and the Georgia Genomics and Bioinformatics Core (GGBC) at the University of Georgia, especially Tyler James Simmonds and Dr. Magdy S. Alabady, for essential library preparation, sequencing, and assistance in sequencing experiment design and preparation.

We would like to thank Drs. Nancy Bonini and Michael Parisi for the gift of a *Atx2^{X1}* stock; Dr. Ravi Allada, Khadijah Hamid, and Dr. Satya Surabhi for the gift of a *UAS>Atx2-3xFLAG* stock; Dr. Chunghun Lim and for the gift of rabbit α -Atx2; Dr. Corey S. Goodman for the contribution of 1D4 Anti-Fas2 to the DSHB; Drs. Gary Bassell, Roger Deal, Steven Warren, James Q. Zheng, and their respective labs for assistance and use of their equipment; Laura Fox-Goharoon for confocal microscope training; Dr. Michael I. Love for extensive public online instruction in the methodology and use of *DESeq2*; Dr. Mauricio Rodriguez-Lanetty for a public TRIzol-column hybrid RNA extraction protocol; and Eileen Chow for public video instruction in bulk *Drosophila* head isolation.

The authors would also like to thank with particular enthusiasm the authors, contributors, and ongoing maintainers of the incredible public resources supporting this work and without which it would not have been possible, including Flybase (NIH U41HG000739, UK MRC MR/N030117/1), the Galaxy Project (NIH 2U41HG006620), the R Project, the Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank (University of Iowa, NIH), and the Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center (NIH P40OD018537). This research was funded by grants from the National Institutes of Health, specifically from the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (F31 HD088043) to J.C.R. and from the National Institute of Mental Health (R01 MH107305) to A.H.C. and K.H.M. The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Shared Nab2- and Atx2-associated transcripts			
AGO2	<i>drk</i>	<i>me31B</i>	<i>shi</i>
<i>apolpp</i>	<i>Gao</i>	<i>Msp300</i>	<i>sm</i>
CG31221	<i>Gat</i>	<i>mtd</i>	<i>snoRNA:Or-aca5</i>
CG42540	<i>Gγ30A</i>	<i>Rbp6</i>	<i>snoRNA:Or-CD2</i>
CG4360	<i>Gp150</i>	<i>RpL37A</i>	<i>snoRNA:Ψ18S-1854b</i>
CG6675	<i>HmgZ</i>	<i>RpS27A</i>	<i>Stai</i>
CG9813	<i>I(3)80Fg^a</i>	<i>RpS29</i>	<i>Ulp1</i>

TABLE 1. Identities of the 28 transcripts overlapping between the Nab2 and Atx2 RNA interactomes. For all 5,760 genes in the RIP-Seq testable set, control-normalized IP/Input enrichment values were calculated followed by gene-by-gene one-way ANOVAs, Dunnett's post-hoc tests, and within-gene multiple hypothesis testing adjustment (*Dun. Adj. p*). All transcripts statistically significantly (*Dun. Adj. p* < 0.05) enriched in both Nab2- and Atx2-associated transcripts sets are listed here. Functional interactions between Nab2 and Atx2 in brain neurons may be explained by their coordinate regulation of these shared associated transcripts.

^aSymbol updated from *CG40178* to current nomenclature in BDGP6.37.

Literature Cited

- Afgan E., D. Baker, B. Batut, M. van den Beek, D. Bouvier, *et al.*, 2018 The Galaxy platform for accessible, reproducible and collaborative biomedical analyses: 2018 update. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 46: 537–544. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky379>
- Agha Z., Z. Iqbal, M. Azam, H. Ayub, L. E. L. M. Vissers, *et al.*, 2014 Exome Sequencing Identifies Three Novel Candidate Genes Implicated in Intellectual Disability, (O. R. Bandapalli, Ed.). *PLoS One* 9: e112687. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0112687>
- Aguilo F., F. Zhang, A. Sancho, M. Fidalgo, S. Di Cecilia, *et al.*, 2015 Coordination of m6A mRNA Methylation and Gene Transcription by ZFP217 Regulates Pluripotency and Reprogramming. *Cell Stem Cell* 17: 689–704. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2015.09.005>
- Aibara S., J. M. B. Gordon, A. S. Riesterer, S. H. McLaughlin, and M. Stewart, 2017 Structural basis for the dimerization of Nab2 generated by RNA binding provides insight into its contribution to both poly(A) tail length determination and transcript compaction in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 45: 1529–1538. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw1224>
- Aitchison J. D., G. Blobel, and M. P. Rout, 1996 Kap104p: A karyopherin involved in the nuclear transport of messenger RNA binding proteins. *Science* (80-.). 274: 624–627. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.274.5287.624>
- Al-Nabhani M., S. Al-Rashdi, F. Al-Murshedi, A. Al-Kindi, K. Al-Thihli, *et al.*, 2018 Reanalysis of exome sequencing data of intellectual disability samples: Yields and benefits. *Clin. Genet.* <https://doi.org/10.1111/cge.13438>
- Almudi I., M. Corominas, and F. Serras, 2010 Competition between SOCS36E and Drk modulates sevenless receptor tyrosine kinase activity. *J. Cell Sci.* 123: 3857–3862. <https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.071134>
- Alpert T., K. Straube, F. Carrillo Oesterreich, and K. M. Neugebauer, 2020 Widespread Transcriptional Readthrough Caused by Nab2 Depletion Leads to Chimeric Transcripts with Retained Introns. *Cell Rep.* 33: 108324. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2020.108324>
- Anderson J. T., S. M. Wilson, K. V Datar, and M. S. Swanson, 1993 NAB2: a yeast nuclear polyadenylated RNA-binding protein essential for cell viability. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 13: 2730–2741. <https://doi.org/10.1128/mcb.13.5.2730>
- Ashburner M., C. A. Ball, J. A. Blake, D. Botstein, H. Butler, *et al.*, 2000 Gene ontology: Tool for the unification of biology. *Nat. Genet.* 25: 25–29.
- Ashley C. T., K. D. Wilkinson, D. Reines, and S. T. Warren, 1993 FMR1 protein: Conserved RNP family domains and selective RNA binding. *Science* (80-.). 262: 563–566. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.7692601>
- Baejen C., P. Torkler, S. Gressel, K. Essig, J. Söding, *et al.*, 2014 Transcriptome Maps of mRNP Biogenesis Factors Define Pre-mRNA Recognition. *Mol. Cell* 55: 745–757. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2014.08.005>
- Bailey T. L., and C. Elkan, 1994 Fitting a mixture model by expectation maximization to discover motifs in biopolymers. *Proceedings. Int. Conf. Intell. Syst. Mol. Biol.* 2: 28–36.

- Bailey T. L., M. Boden, F. A. Buske, M. Frith, C. E. Grant, *et al.*, 2009 MEME Suite: Tools for motif discovery and searching. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 37: W202–W208. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkp335>
- Bakthavachalu B., J. Huelsmeier, I. P. Sudhakaran, J. Hillebrand, A. Singh, *et al.*, 2018 RNP-Granule Assembly via Ataxin-2 Disordered Domains Is Required for Long-Term Memory and Neurodegeneration Article RNP-Granule Assembly via Ataxin-2 Disordered Domains Is Required for Long-Term Memory and Neurodegeneration. *Neuron* 98: 754-766.e4. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2018.04.032>
- Banerjee A., K. E. Vest, G. K. Pavlath, and A. H. Corbett, 2017 Nuclear poly(A) binding protein 1 (PABPN1) and Matrin3 interact in muscle cells and regulate RNA processing. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 45: 10706–10725. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx786>
- Barbee S. A., P. S. Estes, A. M. Cziko, J. Hillebrand, R. A. Luedeman, *et al.*, 2006 Staufen- and FMRP-Containing Neuronal RNPs Are Structurally and Functionally Related to Somatic P Bodies. *Neuron* 52: 997–1009. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2006.10.028>
- Bardoni B., S. Abekhoukh, S. Zongaro, and M. Melko, 2012 Intellectual disabilities, neuronal posttranscriptional RNA metabolism, and RNA-binding proteins: Three actors for a complex scenario, pp. 29–51 in *Progress in Brain Research*, Elsevier B.V.
- Batisse J., C. Batisse, A. Budd, B. Böttcher, and E. Hurt, 2009 Purification of Nuclear Poly(A)-binding Protein Nab2 Reveals Association with the Yeast Transcriptome and a Messenger Ribonucleoprotein Core Structure. *J. Biol. Chem.* 284: 34911–34917. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M109.062034>
- Bellen H. J., R. W. Levis, G. Liao, Y. He, J. W. Carlson, *et al.*, 2004 The BDGP gene disruption project: Single transposon insertions associated with 40% of Drosophila genes. *Genetics* 167: 761–781. <https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.104.026427>
- Benoit B., G. Mitou, A. Chartier, C. Temme, S. Zaessinger, *et al.*, 2005 An essential cytoplasmic function for the nuclear poly(A) binding protein, PABP2, in poly(A) tail length control and early development in Drosophila. *Dev. Cell* 9: 511–522. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2005.09.002>
- Bienkowski R. S., A. Banerjee, J. C. Rounds, J. Rha, O. F. Omotade, *et al.*, 2017 The Conserved, Disease-Associated RNA Binding Protein dNab2 Interacts with the Fragile X Protein Ortholog in Drosophila Neurons. *Cell Rep.* 20: 1372–1384. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2017.07.038>
- Bokhoven H. van, 2011 Genetic and epigenetic networks in intellectual disabilities. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* 45: 81–104. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-genet-110410-132512>
- Chelly J., M. Khelifaoui, F. Francis, B. Chérif, and T. Bienvenu, 2006 Genetics and pathophysiology of mental retardation. *Eur. J. Hum. Genet.* 14: 701–713.
- Chen C. Y. A., and A. Bin Shyu, 2014 Emerging mechanisms of mRNP remodeling regulation. *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. RNA* 5: 713–722. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wrna.1241>
- Connolly J. B., I. J. H. Roberts, J. D. Armstrong, K. Kaiser, M. Forte, *et al.*, 1996 Associative learning disrupted by impaired Gs signaling in Drosophila mushroom bodies. *Science* (80-.). 274: 2104–2107. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.274.5295.2104>
- Corgiat E. B., S. M. List, J. C. Rounds, A. H. Corbett, and K. H. Moberg, 2020 The RNA binding

- protein Nab2 regulates the proteome of the developing *Drosophila* brain. *bioRxiv* 2020.12.10.419846. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.10.419846>
- Crooks G. E., G. Hon, J. M. Chandonia, and S. E. Brenner, 2004 WebLogo: A sequence logo generator. *Genome Res.* 14: 1188–1190. <https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.849004>
- Dobin A., C. A. Davis, F. Schlesinger, J. Drenkow, C. Zaleski, *et al.*, 2013 STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* 29: 15–21. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts635>
- Domanski M., K. Molloy, H. Jiang, B. T. Chait, M. P. Rout, *et al.*, 2012 Improved methodology for the affinity isolation of human protein complexes expressed at near endogenous levels. *Biotechniques* 0: 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.2144/000113864>
- Dominguez D., P. Freese, M. S. Alexis, A. Su, M. Hochman, *et al.*, 2018 Sequence, Structure, and Context Preferences of Human RNA Binding Proteins. *Mol. Cell* 70: 854–867.e9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2018.05.001>
- Dubnau J., L. Grady, T. Kitamoto, and T. Tully, 2001 Disruption of neurotransmission in *Drosophila* mushroom body blocks retrieval but not acquisition of memory. *Nature* 411: 476–480. <https://doi.org/10.1038/35078077>
- Duncan K., J. G. Umen, and C. Guthrie, 2000 A putative ubiquitin ligase required for efficient mRNA export differentially affects hnRNP transport. *Curr. Biol.* 10: 687–696. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-9822\(00\)00527-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-9822(00)00527-3)
- Dunnett C. W., 1955 A Multiple Comparison Procedure for Comparing Several Treatments with a Control. *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.* 50: 1096–1121. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01621459.1955.10501294>
- Elden A. C., H.-J. Kim, M. P. Hart, A. S. Chen-Plotkin, B. S. Johnson, *et al.*, 2010 Ataxin-2 intermediate-length polyglutamine expansions are associated with increased risk for ALS. *Nature* 466: 1069–1075. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09320>
- Ellis M. C., E. M. O’Neill, and G. M. Rubin, 1993 Expression of *Drosophila* glass protein and evidence for negative regulation of its activity in non-neuronal cells by another DNA-binding protein. *Development* 119: 855–865.
- Eulalio A., I. Behm-Ansmant, D. Schweizer, and E. Izaurralde, 2007 P-Body Formation Is a Consequence, Not the Cause, of RNA-Mediated Gene Silencing. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 27: 3970–3981. <https://doi.org/10.1128/mcb.00128-07>
- Farny N. G., J. A. Hurt, and P. A. Silver, 2008 Definition of global and transcript-specific mRNA export pathways in metazoans. *Genes Dev.* 22: 66–78. <https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.1616008>
- Fasken M. B., A. H. Corbett, and M. Stewart, 2019 Structure-function relationships in the Nab2 polyadenosine-RNA binding Zn finger protein family. *Protein Sci.* 28: 513–523. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pro.3565>
- Ferris J., H. Ge, L. Liu, and G. Roman, 2006 G(o) signaling is required for *Drosophila* associative learning. *Nat. Neurosci.* 9: 1036–1040. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nn1738>
- Freeman M., 1996 Reiterative use of the EGF receptor triggers differentiation of all cell types in the *Drosophila* eye. *Cell* 87: 651–660. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674\(00\)81385-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)81385-9)

- Gai Y., Z. Liu, I. Cervantes-Sandoval, and R. L. Davis, 2016 *Drosophila* SLC22A Transporter Is a Memory Suppressor Gene that Influences Cholinergic Neurotransmission to the Mushroom Bodies. *Neuron* 90: 581–595. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2016.03.017>
- González-Aguilera C., C. Tous, R. Babiano, J. de la Cruz, R. Luna, *et al.*, 2011 Nab2 functions in the metabolism of RNA driven by polymerases II and III. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 22: 2729–40. <https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.E11-01-0055>
- Goosen N., 2010 Scanning the DNA for damage by the nucleotide excision repair machinery. *DNA Repair (Amst)*. 9: 593–596. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dnarep.2010.02.015>
- Grant C. E., T. L. Bailey, and W. S. Noble, 2011 FIMO: Scanning for occurrences of a given motif. *Bioinformatics* 27: 1017–1018. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr064>
- Green D. M., K. A. Marfatia, E. B. Crafton, X. Zhang, X. Cheng, *et al.*, 2002 Nab2p is required for poly(A) RNA export in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and is regulated by arginine methylation via Hmt1p. *J. Biol. Chem.* 277: 7752–7760. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M110053200>
- Guisbert K. K., K. Duncan, H. Li, and C. Guthrie, 2005 Functional specificity of shuttling hnRNPs revealed by genome-wide analysis of their RNA binding profiles. *RNA* 11: 383–393. <https://doi.org/10.1261/rna.7234205>
- Gwinn-Hardy K., J. Y. Chen, H. C. Liu, T. Y. Liu, M. Boss, *et al.*, 2000 Spinocerebellar ataxia type 2 with parkinsonism in ethnic Chinese. *Neurology* 55: 800–805. <https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.55.6.800>
- Hao L. Y., B. I. Giasson, and N. M. Bonini, 2010 DJ-1 is critical for mitochondrial function and rescues PINK1 loss of function. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 107: 9747–9752. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0911175107>
- Hart T., M. Chandrashekhar, M. Aregger, Z. Steinhart, K. R. Brown, *et al.*, 2015 High-Resolution CRISPR Screens Reveal Fitness Genes and Genotype-Specific Cancer Liabilities. *Cell* 163: 1515–1526. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2015.11.015>
- Hay B. A., T. Wolff, and G. M. Rubin, 1994 Expression of baculovirus P35 prevents cell death in *Drosophila*. *Development* 120: 2121–2129.
- Hector R. E., K. R. Nykamp, S. Dheur, J. T. Anderson, P. J. Non, *et al.*, 2002 Dual requirement for yeast hnRNP Nab2p in mRNA poly(A) tail length control and nuclear export. *EMBO J.* 21: 1800–10. <https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/21.7.1800>
- Heisenberg M., 2003 Mushroom body memoir: From maps to models. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 4: 266–275. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn1074>
- Henning K. A., C. Peterson, R. Legerski, and E. C. Friedberg, 1994 Cloning the *Drosophila* homolog of the xeroderma pigmentosum complementation group C gene reveals homology between the predicted human and *drosophila* polypeptides and that encoded by the yeast RAD4 gene. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 22: 257–261. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/22.3.257>
- Hillebrand J., K. Pan, A. Kokaram, S. Barbee, R. Parker, *et al.*, 2010 The Me31B DEAD-Box Helicase Localizes to Postsynaptic Foci and Regulates Expression of a CaMKII Reporter mRNA in Dendrites of *Drosophila* Olfactory Projection Neurons. *Front. Neural Circuits* 4: 121.

<https://doi.org/10.3389/fncir.2010.00121>

- Hoskins R. A., J. W. Carlson, K. H. Wan, S. Park, I. Mendez, *et al.*, 2015 The Release 6 reference sequence of the *Drosophila melanogaster* genome. *Genome Res.* 25: 445–458.
<https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.185579.114>
- Hudson A. M., and L. Cooley, 2002 A subset of dynamic actin rearrangements in *Drosophila* requires the Arp2/3 complex. *J. Cell Biol.* 156: 677–687. <https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200109065>
- Huet F., J. T. Lu, K. V. Myrick, L. R. Baugh, M. A. Crosby, *et al.*, 2002 A deletion-generator compound element allows deletion saturation analysis for genomewide phenotypic annotation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 99: 9948–9953. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.142310099>
- Huynh D. P., H.-T. Yang, H. Vakharia, D. Nguyen, and S. M. Pulst, 2003 Expansion of the polyQ repeat in ataxin-2 alters its Golgi localization, disrupts the Golgi complex and causes cell death. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 12: 1485–1496. <https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddg175>
- Imbert G., F. Saudou, G. Yvert, D. Devys, Y. Trottier, *et al.*, 1996 Cloning of the gene for spinocerebellar ataxia 2 reveals a locus with high sensitivity to expanded CAG/glutamine repeats. *Nat. Genet.* 14: 285–291. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ng1196-285>
- Inlow J. K., and L. L. Restifo, 2004 Molecular and Comparative Genetics of Mental Retardation. *Genetics* 166: 835–881. <https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.166.2.835>
- Jalloh B., J. C. Rounds, B. E. Brown, I. J. Kremsky, A. Banerjee, *et al.*, 2020 The Nab2 RNA binding protein promotes sex-specific splicing of Sex lethal in *Drosophila* neuronal tissue. *bioRxiv* 2020.11.13.382168. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.13.382168>
- Jean S., S. Cox, E. J. Schmidt, F. L. Robinson, and A. Kiger, 2012 Sbf/MTMR13 coordinates PI(3)P and Rab21 regulation in endocytic control of cellular remodeling. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 23: 2723–2740. <https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.E12-05-0375>
- Jiménez-López D., and P. Guzmán, 2014 Insights into the evolution and domain structure of ataxin-2 proteins across eukaryotes. *BMC Res. Notes* 7: 453. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-0500-7-453>
- Kahsai L., and T. Zars, 2011 Learning and memory in *Drosophila*: Behavior, genetics, and neural systems, pp. 139–167 in *International Review of Neurobiology*, Academic Press Inc.
- Kanuka H., T. Hiratou, T. Igaki, H. Kanda, E. Kuranaga, *et al.*, 2005 Gain-of-function screen identifies a role of the Sec61 α translocon in *Drosophila* postmitotic neurotoxicity. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta - Gen. Subj.* 1726: 225–237. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagen.2005.06.020>
- Kelly S. M., S. A. Pabit, C. M. Kitchen, P. Guo, K. A. Marfatia, *et al.*, 2007 Recognition of polyadenosine RNA by zinc finger proteins. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 104: 12306–12311. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0701244104>
- Kelly S. M., S. W. Leung, L. H. Apponi, A. M. Bramley, E. J. Tran, *et al.*, 2010 Recognition of polyadenosine RNA by the zinc finger domain of nuclear poly(A) RNA-binding protein 2 (Nab2) is required for correct mRNA 3'-end formation. *J. Biol. Chem.* 285: 26022–32. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M110.141127>
- Kelly S. M., S. W. Leung, C. Pak, A. Banerjee, K. H. Moberg, *et al.*, 2014 A conserved role for the zinc

finger polyadenosine RNA binding protein, ZC3H14, in control of poly(A) tail length. *RNA* 20: 681–8. <https://doi.org/10.1261/rna.043984.113>

- Kelly S. M., R. Bienkowski, A. Banerjee, D. J. Melicharek, Z. A. Brewer, *et al.*, 2016 The *Drosophila* ortholog of the Zc3h14 RNA binding protein acts within neurons to pattern axon projection in the developing brain. *Dev. Neurobiol.* 76: 93–106. <https://doi.org/10.1002/dneu.22301>
- Kelly S. M., A. Elchert, and M. Kahl, 2017 Dissection and immunofluorescent staining of mushroom body and photoreceptor neurons in adult *Drosophila melanogaster* brains. *J. Vis. Exp.* 2017: e56174. <https://doi.org/10.3791/56174>
- Kim M., M. Bellini, and S. Ceman, 2009 Fragile X Mental Retardation Protein FMRP Binds mRNAs in the Nucleus. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 29: 214–228. <https://doi.org/10.1128/mcb.01377-08>
- Lachkar S., M. Lebois, M. O. Steinmetz, A. Guichet, N. Lal, *et al.*, 2010 *Drosophila* stathmins bind tubulin heterodimers with high and variable stoichiometries. *J. Biol. Chem.* 285: 11667–11680. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M109.096727>
- Laemmli U. K., 1970 Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of bacteriophage T4. *Nature* 227: 680–685. <https://doi.org/10.1038/227680a0>
- Layalle S., E. Coessens, A. Ghysen, and C. Dambly-Chaudière, 2005 Smooth, a hnRNP encoding gene, controls axonal navigation in *Drosophila*. *Genes to Cells* 10: 119–125. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2443.2005.00822.x>
- Lee D. C. Y., and J. D. Aitchison, 1999 Kap104p-mediated nuclear import. Nuclear localization signals in mRNA- binding proteins and the role of Ran and RNA. *J. Biol. Chem.* 274: 29031–29037. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.274.41.29031>
- Lee J., E. Yoo, H. Lee, K. Park, J.-H. Hur, *et al.*, 2017 LSM12 and ME31B/DDX6 Define Distinct Modes of Posttranscriptional Regulation by ATAXIN-2 Protein Complex in *Drosophila* Circadian Pacemaker Neurons. *Mol. Cell* 66: 129-140.e7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2017.03.004>
- Lee J., M. Kim, T. Q. Itoh, and C. Lim, 2018 Ataxin-2: A versatile posttranscriptional regulator and its implication in neural function. *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. RNA* 9: e1488. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wrna.1488>
- Lee W.-H., E. B. Corgiat, J. C. Rounds, Z. Shepherd, A. H. Corbett, *et al.*, 2020 A Genetic Screen Links the Disease-Associated Nab2 RNA-Binding Protein to the Planar Cell Polarity Pathway in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *G3 GENES, GENOMES, Genet.* <https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.120.401637>
- Lessing D., and N. M. Bonini, 2008 Polyglutamine Genes Interact to Modulate the Severity and Progression of Neurodegeneration in *Drosophila*, (H. Y. Zoghbi, Ed.). *PLoS Biol.* 6: e29. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0060029>
- Li X., G. Quon, H. D. Lipshitz, and Q. Morris, 2010 Predicting in vivo binding sites of RNA-binding proteins using mRNA secondary structure. *RNA* 16: 1096–1107. <https://doi.org/10.1261/rna.2017210>
- Li J., Y. Chen, X. Xu, J. Jones, M. Tiwari, *et al.*, 2019 HNRNPK maintains epidermal progenitor function through transcription of proliferation genes and degrading differentiation promoting mRNAs. *Nat. Commun.* 10: 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-12238-x>

- Liao Y., G. K. Smyth, and W. Shi, 2014 featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics* 30: 923–930. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt656>
- Lim C., and R. Allada, 2013 ATAXIN-2 Activates PERIOD Translation to Sustain Circadian Rhythms in *Drosophila*. *Science* (80-.). 340. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1234785>
- Lin D. M., and C. S. Goodman, 1994 Ectopic and increased expression of fasciclin II alters motoneuron growth cone guidance. *Neuron* 13: 507–523. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0896-6273\(94\)90022-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0896-6273(94)90022-1)
- Love M. I., W. Huber, and S. Anders, 2014 Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.* 15: 550. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8>
- Lu Z., X. Guan, C. A. Schmidt, and A. G. Matera, 2014 RIP-seq analysis of eukaryotic Sm proteins identifies three major categories of Sm-containing ribonucleoproteins. *Genome Biol.* 15: 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2014-15-1-r7>
- Malmeyvik J., R. Petri, T. Klussendorf, P. Knauff, M. Åkerblom, *et al.*, 2015 Identification of the miRNA targetome in hippocampal neurons using RIP-seq. *Sci. Rep.* 5: 12609. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep12609>
- Mandel C. R., Y. Bai, and L. Tong, 2008 Protein factors in pre-mRNA 3'-end processing. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 65: 1099–1122.
- Mangus D. A., N. Amrani, and A. Jacobson, 1998 Pbp1p, a Factor Interacting with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Poly(A)-Binding Protein, Regulates Polyadenylation. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 18: 7383–7396. <https://doi.org/10.1128/mcb.18.12.7383>
- Mangus D. A., M. C. Evans, and A. Jacobson, 2003 Poly(A)-binding proteins: Multifunctional scaffolds for the post-transcriptional control of gene expression. *Genome Biol.* 4: 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2003-4-7-223>
- Mangus D. A., M. M. Smith, J. M. McSweeney, and A. Jacobson, 2004 Identification of Factors Regulating Poly(A) Tail Synthesis and Maturation. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 24: 4196–4206. <https://doi.org/10.1128/mcb.24.10.4196-4206.2004>
- Maulik P. K., M. N. Mascarenhas, C. D. Mathers, T. Dua, and S. Saxena, 2011 Prevalence of intellectual disability: A meta-analysis of population-based studies. *Res. Dev. Disabil.* 32: 419–436.
- McCann C., E. E. Holohan, S. Das, A. Dervan, A. Larkin, *et al.*, 2011 The Ataxin-2 protein is required for microRNA function and synapse-specific long-term olfactory habituation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 108: E655-62. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1107198108>
- Merino M. M., C. Rhiner, M. Portela, and E. Moreno, 2013 “Fitness fingerprints” mediate physiological culling of unwanted neurons in *drosophila*. *Curr. Biol.* 23: 1300–1309. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2013.05.053>
- Mi H., A. Muruganujan, D. Ebert, X. Huang, and P. D. Thomas, 2019 PANTHER version 14: more genomes, a new PANTHER GO-slim and improvements in enrichment analysis tools. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 47: 419–426. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1038>
- Moore M. J., 2005 From birth to death: The complex lives of eukaryotic mRNAs. *Science* (80-.). 309:

1514–1518. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1111443>

- Moressis A., A. R. Friedrich, E. Pavlopoulos, R. L. Davis, and E. M. C. Skoulakis, 2009 A dual role for the adaptor protein DRK in *Drosophila* olfactory learning and memory. *J. Neurosci.* 29: 2611–2625. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3670-08.2009>
- Morgan M., 2018 BiocManager: Access the Bioconductor Project Package Repository
- Morris K. J., and A. H. Corbett, 2018 The polyadenosine RNA-binding protein ZC3H14 interacts with the THO complex and coordinately regulates the processing of neuronal transcripts. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 46: 6561–6575. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky446>
- Müller-McNicoll M., and K. M. Neugebauer, 2013 How cells get the message: Dynamic assembly and function of mRNA-protein complexes. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 14: 275–287. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg3434>
- Najmabadi H., H. Hu, M. Garshasbi, T. Zemojtel, S. S. Abedini, *et al.*, 2011 Deep sequencing reveals 50 novel genes for recessive cognitive disorders. *Nature* 478: 57–63. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10423>
- Nakamura A., R. Amikura, K. Hanyu, and S. Kobayashi, 2001 Me31B silences translation of oocyte-localizing RNAs through the formation of cytoplasmic RNP complex during *Drosophila* oogenesis. *Development* 128: 3233–3242.
- Oortveld M. A. W., S. Keerthikumar, M. Oti, B. Nijhof, A. C. Fernandes, *et al.*, 2013 Human Intellectual Disability Genes Form Conserved Functional Modules in *Drosophila*, (J. Flint, Ed.). *PLoS Genet.* 9: e1003911. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1003911>
- Ostrowski L. A., A. C. Hall, and K. Mekhail, 2017 Ataxin-2: From RNA control to human health and disease. *Genes (Basel).* 8: 2–21.
- Oswald M. C. W., P. S. Brooks, M. F. Zwart, A. Mukherjee, R. J. H. West, *et al.*, 2018 Reactive oxygen species regulate activity- dependent neuronal plasticity in *Drosophila*. *Elife* 7. <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.39393>
- Pak C., M. Garshasbi, K. Kahrizi, C. Gross, L. H. Apponi, *et al.*, 2011 Mutation of the conserved polyadenosine RNA binding protein, ZC3H14/dNab2, impairs neural function in *Drosophila* and humans. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 108: 12390–5. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1107103108>
- Park H., H. J. Kim, and B. S. Jeon, 2015 Parkinsonism in spinocerebellar ataxia. *Biomed Res. Int.* 2015.
- Parks A. L., K. R. Cook, M. Belvin, N. A. Dompe, R. Fawcett, *et al.*, 2004 Systematic generation of high-resolution deletion coverage of the *Drosophila melanogaster* genome. *Nat. Genet.* 36: 288–292. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ng1312>
- Patterson S., How To Focus Stack Images In Photoshop. photoshopessentials.com.
- Pulst S. M., A. Nechiporuk, T. Nechiporuk, S. Gispert, X. N. Chen, *et al.*, 1996 Moderate expansion of a normally biallelic trinucleotide repeat in spinocerebellar ataxia type. *Nat. Genet.* 14: 269–276. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ng1196-269>
- R Core Team, 2019 R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing

- R Studio Team, 2018 RStudio: Integrated Development Environment for R
- Ragab A., E. C. Thompson, and A. A. Travers, 2006 High mobility group proteins HMGD and HMGZ interact genetically with the Brahma chromatin remodeling complex in *Drosophila*. *Genetics* 172: 1069–1078. <https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.105.049957>
- Rha J., S. K. Jones, and A. H. Corbett, 2017a ZC3H14, pp. 1–7 in *Encyclopedia of Signaling Molecules*, edited by Choi S. Springer New York, New York, NY.
- Rha J., S. K. Jones, J. Fidler, A. Banerjee, S. W. Leung, *et al.*, 2017b The RNA-binding protein, ZC3H14, is required for proper poly(A) tail length control, expression of synaptic proteins, and brain function in mice. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 26: 3663–3681. <https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddx248>
- Robinson J. T., H. Thorvaldsdóttir, W. Winckler, M. Guttman, E. S. Lander, *et al.*, 2011 Integrative genomics viewer. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 29: 24–26.
- Rodal A. A., A. D. Blunk, Y. Akbergenova, R. A. Jorquera, L. K. Buhl, *et al.*, 2011 A presynaptic endosomal trafficking pathway controls synaptic growth signaling. *J. Cell Biol.* 193: 201–217. <https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201009052>
- Rodriguez-Lanetty M., *Trizol/RNeasy hybrid RNA extraction protocol*.
- Rørth P., 1996 A modular misexpression screen in *Drosophila* detecting tissue-specific phenotypes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 93: 12418–12422. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.93.22.12418>
- Rørth P., K. Szabo, A. Bailey, T. Lavery, J. Rehm, *et al.*, 1998 Systematic gain-of-function genetics in *Drosophila*. *Development* 125: 1049–1057.
- Rothman K. J., 1990 No adjustments are needed for multiple comparisons. *Epidemiology* 1: 43–6.
- Rueden C. T., J. Schindelin, M. C. Hiner, B. E. DeZonia, A. E. Walter, *et al.*, 2017 ImageJ2: ImageJ for the next generation of scientific image data. *BMC Bioinformatics* 18: 529. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-017-1934-z>
- Sanpei K., H. Takano, S. Igarashi, T. Sato, M. Oyake, *et al.*, 1996 Identification of the spinocerebellar ataxia type 2 gene using a direct identification of repeat expansion and cloning technique, DIRECT. *Nat. Genet.* 14: 277–284. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ng1196-277>
- Satterfield T. F., S. M. Jackson, and L. J. Pallanck, 2002 A *Drosophila* Homolog of the Polyglutamine Disease Gene SCA2 Is a Dosage-Sensitive Regulator of Actin Filament Formation. *Genetics* 162: 1687–1702.
- Schindelin J., I. Arganda-Carreras, E. Frise, V. Kaynig, M. Longair, *et al.*, 2012 Fiji: An open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat. Methods* 9: 676–682.
- Schmid M., P. Olszewski, V. Pelechano, I. Gupta, L. M. Steinmetz, *et al.*, 2015 The Nuclear PolyA-Binding Protein Nab2p Is Essential for mRNA Production. *Cell Rep.* 12: 128–139. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2015.06.008>
- Schneider C. A., W. S. Rasband, and K. W. Eliceiri, 2012 NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. *Nat. Methods* 9: 671–675.
- Schoenherr J. A., J. M. Drennan, J. S. Martinez, M. R. Chikka, M. C. Hall, *et al.*, 2012 *Drosophila*

Activated Cdc42 Kinase Has an Anti-Apoptotic Function, (H. Steller, Ed.). PLoS Genet. 8: e1002725. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1002725>

Slowikowski K., 2019 ggrepel: Automatically Position Non-Overlapping Text Labels with “ggplot2”

Soucek S., Y. Zeng, D. L. Bellur, M. Bergkessel, K. J. Morris, *et al.*, 2016 The Evolutionarily-conserved Polyadenosine RNA Binding Protein, Nab2, Cooperates with Splicing Machinery to Regulate the Fate of pre-mRNA. Mol. Cell. Biol. 36: 2697–2714. <https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.00402-16>

Stewart M., 2019 Polyadenylation and nuclear export of mRNAs. J. Biol. Chem. 294: 2977–2987. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.REV118.005594>

Sudhakaran I. P., J. Hillebrand, A. Dervan, S. Das, E. E. Holohan, *et al.*, 2014 FMRP and Ataxin-2 function together in long-term olfactory habituation and neuronal translational control. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 111: E99–E108. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1309543111>

Takemura S. ya, Y. Aso, T. Hige, A. Wong, Z. Lu, *et al.*, 2017 A connectome of a learning and memory center in the adult Drosophila brain. Elife 6. <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.26975>

Taliaferro J. M., N. J. Lambert, P. H. Sudmant, D. Dominguez, J. J. Merkin, *et al.*, 2016 RNA Sequence Context Effects Measured In Vitro Predict In Vivo Protein Binding and Regulation. Mol. Cell 64: 294–306. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2016.08.035>

Tamanini F., C. Bontekoe, C. E. Bakker, L. Van Unen, B. Anar, *et al.*, 1999 Different targets for the fragile X-related proteins revealed by their distinct nuclear localizations. Hum. Mol. Genet. 8: 863–869. <https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/8.5.863>

Tan L., K. X. Zhang, M. Y. Pecot, S. Nagarkar-Jaiswal, P. T. Lee, *et al.*, 2015 Ig Superfamily Ligand and Receptor Pairs Expressed in Synaptic Partners in Drosophila. Cell 163: 1756–1769. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2015.11.021>

Tassé M. J., R. Luckasson, and R. L. Schalock, 2016 The relation between intellectual functioning and adaptive behavior in the diagnosis of intellectual disability. Intellect. Dev. Disabil. 54: 381–390. <https://doi.org/10.1352/1934-9556-54.6.381>

The Gene Ontology Consortium, 2019 The Gene Ontology Resource: 20 years and still GOing strong. Nucleic Acids Res. 47: D330–D338. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1055>

Thurmond J., J. L. Goodman, V. B. Strelets, H. Attrill, L. S. Gramates, *et al.*, 2018 FlyBase 2.0: the next generation. Nucleic Acids Res. 47: 759–765. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1003>

Tuck A. C., and D. Tollervey, 2013 A transcriptome-wide atlas of RNP composition reveals diverse classes of mRNAs and lncRNAs. Cell 154: 996–1009. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2013.07.047>

Velichkova M., J. Juan, P. Kadandale, S. Jean, I. Ribeiro, *et al.*, 2010 Drosophila Mtm and class II PI3K coregulate a PI(3)P pool with cortical and endolysosomal functions. J. Cell Biol. 190: 407–425. <https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200911020>

Verkerk A. J. M. H., M. Pieretti, J. S. Sutcliffe, Y. H. Fu, D. P. A. Kuhl, *et al.*, 1991 Identification of a gene (FMR-1) containing a CGG repeat coincident with a breakpoint cluster region exhibiting length variation in fragile X syndrome. Cell 65: 905–914. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674\(91\)90397-H](https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(91)90397-H)

- Verma V., A. Paul, A. A. Vishwanath, B. Vaidya, and J. P. Clement, 2019 Understanding intellectual disability and autism spectrum disorders from common mouse models: Synapses to behaviour. *Open Biol.* 9. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsob.180265>
- Vest K. E., B. L. Phillips, A. Banerjee, L. H. Apponi, E. B. Dammer, *et al.*, 2017 Novel mouse models of oculopharyngeal muscular dystrophy (OPMD) reveal early onset mitochondrial defects and suggest loss of PABPN1 may contribute to pathology. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 26: 3235–3252. <https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddx206>
- Vissers L. E. L. M., C. Gilissen, and J. A. Veltman, 2016 Genetic studies in intellectual disability and related disorders. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 17: 9–18.
- Wan L., T. C. Dockendorff, T. A. Jongens, and G. Dreyfuss, 2000 Characterization of dFMR1, a *Drosophila melanogaster* Homolog of the Fragile X Mental Retardation Protein. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 20: 8536–8547. <https://doi.org/10.1128/mcb.20.22.8536-8547.2000>
- Wickham H., 2016 *ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*. Springer-Verlag New York.
- Wigington C. P., K. J. Morris, L. E. Newman, and A. H. Corbett, 2016 The Polyadenosine RNA-binding Protein, Zinc Finger Cys 3 His Protein 14 (ZC3H14), Regulates the Pre-mRNA Processing of a Key ATP Synthase Subunit mRNA * □ S. *Publ. JBC Pap. Press.* <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M116.754069>
- Williamson W. R., and P. R. Hiesinger, 2010 Preparation of developing and adult *Drosophila* brains and retinas for live imaging. *J. Vis. Exp.* e1936. <https://doi.org/10.3791/1936>
- Wolff T., and D. F. Ready, 1991 *The beginning of pattern formation in the Drosophila compound eye: the morphogenetic furrow and the second mitotic wave.*
- Yagi R., Y. Mabuchi, M. Mizunami, and N. K. Tanaka, 2016 Convergence of multimodal sensory pathways to the mushroom body calyx in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Sci. Rep.* 6. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep29481>
- Yang Z., H. J. Edenberg, and R. L. Davis, 2005 Isolation of mRNA from specific tissues of *Drosophila* by mRNA tagging. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 33: e148–e148. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gni149>
- Yang Q., X. F. Zhang, T. D. Pollard, and P. Forscher, 2012 Arp2/3 complex-dependent actin networks constrain myosin II function in driving retrograde actin flow. *J. Cell Biol.* 197: 939–956. <https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201111052>
- Yates A. D., P. Achuthan, W. Akanni, J. Allen, J. Allen, *et al.*, 2020 Ensembl 2020. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 48. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz966>
- Yokoshi M., Q. Li, M. Yamamoto, H. Okada, Y. Suzuki, *et al.*, 2014 Direct Binding of Ataxin-2 to Distinct Elements in 3' UTRs Promotes mRNA Stability and Protein Expression. *Mol. Cell* 55: 186–198. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MOLCEL.2014.05.022>
- Zhang Y., J. Ling, C. Yuan, R. Dubruille, and P. Emery, 2013 A Role for *Drosophila* ATX2 in Activation of PER Translation and Circadian Behavior. *Science* (80-.). 340.
- Zhang Y., L. Zhang, X. Tang, S. R. Bhardwaj, J. Ji, *et al.*, 2016 MTV, an ssDNA Protecting Complex Essential for Transposon-Based Telomere Maintenance in *Drosophila*, (G. Bosco, Ed.). PLOS

Genet. 12: e1006435. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1006435>

Zhao J., T. K. Ohsumi, J. T. Kung, Y. Ogawa, D. J. Grau, *et al.*, 2010 Genome-wide Identification of Polycomb-Associated RNAs by RIP-seq. Mol. Cell 40: 939–953.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2010.12.011>

Zwarts L., L. Vanden Broeck, E. Cappuyns, J. F. Ayroles, M. M. Magwire, *et al.*, 2015 The genetic basis of natural variation in mushroom body size in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Nat. Commun. 6: 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms10115>




Figure 1. Loss-of-function alleles of *Atx2* suppress effects of *Nab2* misexpression in female and male *Drosophila*. Compared to (A) the uniform color and regimented ommatidial structure of the *Drosophila* eye in control females expressing the fated-eye-cell-specific *GMR-Gal4* driver alone, (B) overexpression of endogenous *Nab2* with *GMR-Gal4* (*Nab2^{o/e}*) induces posterior pigment loss, sporadic blackened patches, and ommatidial disorder or “roughness”. Heterozygosity for either of two *Atx2* loss-of-function alleles, (C) *Atx2^{DG08112/+}* or (D) *Atx2^{X1/+}*, dominantly suppresses the pigment loss and blackened patch phenotype, with limited impact on roughness. (E-H) These genetic relationships are also observed in eyes in males. (I, J) Flies lacking functional endogenous *Nab2*, *Nab2^{ex3}* homozygotes, demonstrate dramatically decreased adult viability, as quantified by the percentage of flies reaching pupal eclosion and adulthood out of the amount expected by Mendelian inheritance. (I) In females, both loss-of-function alleles of *Atx2* significantly suppress this effect, partially rescuing viability; (J) in males, only *Atx2^{X1/+}* suppresses. Sample sizes (n) are reported in each bar and include all F1 progeny scored, including genetically distinct siblings of the genotype of interest used to calculate % eclosed (relative to expected). Fisher’s Exact Test (two-sided) was used to assess statistical significance. ns=not significant, ***= $p < 0.001$.




Figure 2. Loss-of-function alleles of *Atx2* specifically suppress axon morphology defects in *Nab2*^{ex3} mushroom body α, but not β, lobes. (A) In a representative *Nab2*^{ex41} control brain, Fasciclin 2 (Fas2)-marked axons from some Kenyon cells of the mushroom body bifurcate and project dorsally into α lobes and medially into β lobes. Fas2 also marks mushroom body γ lobes and the ellipsoid body (eb) (white arrows). Representative images show heterozygosity for (B) *Atx2*^{DG08112/+} or (C) *Atx2*^{X1/+} induces over-projection or “fusion” of β lobes, while (D) homozygosity for the *Nab2* null allele *Nab2*^{ex3} induces both β lobe fusion and the thinning or complete absence of α lobes. Heterozygosity for either (E) *Atx2*^{DG08112/+} or (F) *Atx2*^{X1/+} in combination with *Nab2*^{ex3} partially restores proper α lobe morphology and, as quantified in (G), significantly suppresses the penetrance of α lobe defects compared to *Nab2*^{ex3} alone. (H) By comparison, as quantified in (H), these *Atx2* alleles neither suppress nor enhance the penetrance of β lobe defects compared to *Nab2*^{ex3} alone. Sample sizes (n) are reported in each bar and quantify, for each genotype, the total number of α lobes scored for defects and the total number of brains scored for β lobe fusion. Fisher’s Exact Test (two-tailed) was used to assess statistical significance. ns=not significant, **=*p*≤0.01.




Figure 3. Nab2 and Atx2 primarily localize to different cellular compartments and show limited physical association in brain neurons. (A) To specifically assess protein localization in mushroom body neurons, tagged transgenic copies of Atx2 and Nab2 (Atx2-3xFLAG and Nab2-YFP) were expressed in female brains under the MB-specific *OK107-Gal4*. Kenyon cell soma, the cell bodies of the MBs, are shown for a representative brain. False-colored panels show fluorescence corresponding to α -FLAG (red, Atx2-3xFLAG), α -GFP (green, Nab2-YFP), Hoechst 33342 (blue, nuclei), and a merge of all three channels. Nab2 is localized primarily to the nuclei at steady state based on overlap with Hoechst 33342 signal, and Atx2 localizes primarily in the surrounding cytoplasm. (B) To test for physical association between Nab2 and Atx2 in brain neurons, lysates of female *Drosophila* heads, either *elav-Gal4* alone controls or *elav>Nab2-FLAG*, were subjected to co-immunoprecipitation using α -FLAG. For both genotypes, Input samples (TOT) represent 6.25% of assayed lysate and immunoprecipitation (IP) samples represent 25% of total samples eluted from α -FLAG beads. Samples were resolved via gel electrophoresis and analyzed by immunoblotting, probing with antibodies against FLAG, Atx2, Fmr1 (a positive control), or alpha tubulin (a negative control). Atx2 associates weakly with Nab2 based on its enrichment in IP samples; this association is less robust than that between Nab2 and positive control Fmr1.




Figure 4. RIP-Seq reveals overlapping sets of transcripts associate with Nab2-FLAG and Atx2-3xFLAG in brain neurons. (A) Lysates from heads of female adult flies expressing either pan-neuronal *elav-Gal4* alone as a control, *elav>Nab2-FLAG*, or *elav>Atx2-3xFLAG* were subjected to α -FLAG immunoprecipitation and immunoblotting to test IP efficacy. Input samples (TOT) represent ~6.25% of total assayed lysates and immunoprecipitation samples (IP) represent 25% of total samples eluted from α -FLAG beads. Both epitope-tag samples show robust immunoreactivity to α -FLAG in TOT and IP (arrowheads), indicating effective transgene expression and successful tagged-protein enrichment by IP. (B) Principal component analysis of 12 sequenced RNA IP samples reveals high intra-genotype reproducibility. Comparison of principal component 1 (PC1) and principal component 2 (PC2) demonstrates Nab-FLAG (teal) and Atx2-3xFLAG (maroon) samples differ more from Gal4 controls (gray) than from one another, as predicted. (C) Venn diagram of Nab2-enriched and Atx2-enriched RNAs identified by RIP-Seq, revealing that 28 shared transcripts associate with both RBPs, a significant overlap according to the hypergeometric test ($***=p<0.001$). (D-E) Scatter plot of all transcripts within the 5,760 of the testable set with positive (D) $\log_2(\text{Nab2 Fold Enrichment})$ or (E) $\log_2(\text{Atx2 Fold Enrichment})$ values. *Fold Enrichment* values quantify how effectively a transcript was enriched by IP and are derived by calculating IP/Input (i.e. percent input) values for control and epitope-tag samples and setting the average of control values to 1 (i.e. 0 on the logarithmic scale used here). Y-axes display results of significance testing, conducted by gene-by-gene one-way ANOVA, Dunnett's post-hoc test, and within-gene multiple hypothesis testing adjustment (*Dun. Adj. p*). Statistically significant transcripts (*Dun. Adj. p* < 0.05) are colored. On each plot, labels identify three transcripts among the "top" (see *Results* for details) RBP-specific RBP-associated transcripts and two transcripts (*drk*, *me31B*) among the shared RBP-associated transcripts. (F) The independent *Molecular Function* (red), *Biological Process* (green), and *Cellular Component* (blue) Gene Ontology (GO) terms most overrepresented among the shared Nab2- and Atx2-associated transcripts as compared to the entire testable transcript set. GO term independence was determined by "Hierarchical Selection" (see *Methods*). The number of GO term members within the shared RBP-associated transcripts and within the entire testable transcript set (*Genes enriched / in total*) are reported to the right of each bar.




Figure 5. Potential functionally important RNA targets of Nab2 and Atx2 identified by combining individual transcript and holistic GO analyses of RIP-Seq results. For transcripts that associate with *Both* Nab2 and Atx2, *Nab2 Only*, *Atx2 Only*, or *Neither* RBP by RIP-Seq, seven transcripts of particular functional interest are presented as a summary of each category. (A-C) These transcripts met one or both of two criteria: 1) inclusion in an associated overrepresented GO term 2) an *IP/Input* (i.e. *Fold Enrichment*) value > 1.5. Given the functions of proteins encoded by these transcripts, these selections represent potential phenotypically important targets of post-transcriptional regulation by Nab2 and Atx2. (D) These transcripts, as a negative control, encode a functionally diverse set of proteins and do not associate with Nab2 or Atx2 (*Neither*), affirming the specificity of the RNA interactome of each RBP. Error bars represent standard errors of the mean (SEM). Gene-by-gene one-way ANOVA, Dunnett's post-hoc test, and within-gene multiple hypothesis testing adjustment (*Dun. Adj. p*) was used to assess statistical significance. * = *Dun. Adj. p* < 0.05.




Figure 6. A broad A-rich motif and two specific, canonical Nab2 binding motifs are enriched in Nab2-associated RNAs. Output from transcript set scans by FIMO, which quantifies the occurrences in supplied sequence sets of motifs identical or highly similar to an input motif. Two transcript sets were scanned in each analysis: 1) all transcripts encoded by *Nab2-associated* gene models and 2) all transcripts encoded by *All others*, shorthand for all non-Nab2-associated gene models in the RIP-Seq testable set. (A) A 41-bp A-rich motif, identified by MEME as one of the first ten 6-50 bp motifs within *Nab2-associated* transcripts, was used as input for FIMO. (B) A canonical Nab2 binding motif from *S. cerevisiae*, A₁₂, was used as FIMO input. (C) A simple homopolymer stretch of A's for which Nab2 would have a very high affinity, A₁₂, was used as FIMO input. In all three cases, particularly in (A), the scanned motif is significantly enriched in the *Nab2-associated* transcript set compared to the *All others* transcript set. However, none of the three input motifs are exclusive or nearly exclusive to Nab2-associated transcripts—each is still notably abundant within *All others*. Statistical significance was assessed using the chi-square test (two-sided). ***= $p < 0.001$.







Figure 7. A model of opposing regulatory roles for Nab2 and Atx2 on shared associated RNA transcripts. Nab2 and Atx2 associate with a shared set of RNA transcripts in *Drosophila* brain neurons but primarily localize to separate subcellular compartments and weakly physically associate. *S. cerevisiae* Nab2 regulates nuclear processing, including transcript stability, poly(A) tail length, and export, across a broad RNA transcript set—*Drosophila* Nab2 may perform similar functions on its comparatively limited associated RNA set. Atx2 serves numerous roles in post-transcriptional regulation, including as a miRNA-machinery linked translational repressor. Taken together, these data imply the following model. (*Top*) In the wild-type condition, Nab2 protects transcripts from degradation, limits poly(A) tail length, and contributes to *Target* RNA export from the nucleus, shuttling with its associated transcripts into the cytoplasm. Nab2 and Atx2 may co-occupy the same transcripts briefly or occasionally during nuclear-cytoplasmic mRNP remodeling and prior to Nab2 recycling into the nucleus. Atx2 accompanies *Target* transcripts through transport to their destinations (e.g. synaptic terminals) and contributes to miRNA-mediated translational repression, which is released under certain conditions (e.g. synaptic activity), ultimately contributing to regulated production of wild-type levels of Target protein (black —). (*Second panel*) In *Nab2^{ex3}* nulls, *Target* mRNAs are less stable, exhibit longer poly(A) tails, and are exported less efficiently from the nucleus. As a result, less *Target* mRNA reaches its appropriate destination, resulting in a decrease in steady-state levels of Target protein (red ↓). (*Third panel*) In Atx2 loss-of-function heterozygotes (i.e. *Atx2^{DG08112/+}* or *Atx2^{X1/+}*), less Atx2 protein is expressed and available to repress *Target* translation, resulting in less responsive, higher steady-state levels of Target protein (green ↑). (*Bottom*) Effects of the complete loss of Nab2 in *Nab2^{ex3}* and the decrease of functional Atx2 in *Atx2* loss-of-function heterozygotes balance one another. While nuclear *Target* mRNA is less stable and less is exported from the nucleus successfully, these RNAs are also under less strict translational control in partial absence of Atx2, ultimately resulting in Target protein levels and corresponding phenotypes more similar to the wild-type condition (black —). This model represents a prediction from our data and the published knowledge of the functions of each protein—it must be tested in future research, a task enabled by the identification of Nab2- and Atx2-associated transcripts in the current study.