

1 Potent Antiviral Activities of Type I Interferons to SARS-CoV-2 Infection  
2

3

4 Emily Mantlo<sup>1</sup>, Natalya Bukreyeva<sup>1</sup>, Junki Maruyama<sup>1</sup>, Slobodan Paessler <sup>1,2</sup> and Cheng Huang <sup>1, 2 \*</sup>

5

6 <sup>1</sup> Department of Pathology and <sup>2</sup> Institute for Human Infections and Immunity, University of Texas Medical  
7 Branch, Galveston, Texas, United States of America

8 \* Correspondent author:

9 Cheng Huang

10 The University of Texas Medical Branch at Galveston

11 301 University Boulevard

12 Galveston, TX 77555-0609

13 USA

14 Email: chuang@utmb.edu

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 Keywords: SARS-CoV-2; COVID-19; Interferon; antiviral therapy; innate immune

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32 **Abstract:**

33 The ongoing historic outbreak of COVID-19 not only constitutes a global public health crisis, but also carries a  
34 devastating social and economic impact. The disease is caused by a newly identified coronavirus, Severe  
35 Acute Respiratory Syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). There is an urgent need to identify antivirals to  
36 curtail the COVID-19 pandemic. Herein, we report the remarkable sensitivity of SARS-CoV-2 to recombinant  
37 human interferons  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  (IFN $\alpha$ / $\beta$ ). Treatment with IFN- $\alpha$  at a concentration of 50 international units (IU) per  
38 milliliter drastically reduces viral titers by 3.4 log or over 4 log, respectively, in Vero cells. The EC<sub>50</sub> of IFN- $\alpha$   
39 and IFN- $\beta$  treatment is 1.35 IU/ml and 0.76 IU/ml, respectively, in Vero cells. These results suggest that SARS-  
40 CoV-2 is more sensitive than many other human pathogenic viruses, including SARS-CoV. Overall, our results  
41 demonstrate the potent efficacy of human Type I IFN in suppressing SARS-CoV-2 infection, a finding which  
42 could inform future treatment options for COVID-19.

43

44 **Introduction**

45 The COVID-19 outbreak started in Wuhan, China in December 2019 and rapidly spread globally, causing over  
46 752,000 confirmed cases and 36,000 deaths as of April 1, 2020. The causative agent for the COVID-19  
47 disease is a newly identified Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) (1), which is  
48 transmitted through aerosol/droplet inhalation or contact. This historic outbreak has caused a public health  
49 crisis much more severe than the SARS outbreak, which “only” caused 8,098 infections and 774 deaths  
50 between November 2002 and July 2003. The COVID-19 outbreak also has had a devastating social and  
51 economic impact worldwide. In March, the World Health Organization has declared COVID-19 a pandemic. In  
52 the USA, there are over 200,000 confirmed cases and 4,300 deaths as of April 1, 2020. It is warned by the  
53 CDC that the COVID-19 pandemic may claim over 100,000 lives in USA ([https://www.msn.com/en-  
54 nz/news/world/us-could-face-200000-coronavirus-deaths-millions-of-cases-fauci-warns/ar-BB11UJOj](https://www.msn.com/en-nz/news/world/us-could-face-200000-coronavirus-deaths-millions-of-cases-fauci-warns/ar-BB11UJOj)).  
55 Therefore, there is an urgent need to find treatments for COVID-19. Drugs already approved for the treatment

56 of other diseases may offer the most expedient option for treating COVID-19, and several such drugs are  
57 already being tested in clinical trials.

58

59 Type I interferons (IFN- $\alpha/\beta$ ) have broad spectrum antiviral activities against RNA viruses, which act by inducing  
60 an antiviral response across a wide range of cell types and mediating adaptive immune response. Humans  
61 produce 13 types of IFN- $\alpha$  and a singular IFN- $\beta$  (2). Type I IFNs ultimately induces a number of interferon-  
62 stimulated genes (ISGs) which encode for a variety of antiviral effectors (3). Notably, IFN- $\beta$  production leads to  
63 a positive feedback loop that further stimulates the expression of many of the IFN- $\alpha$  genes (4). Clinically, Type  
64 I IFNs have already been approved for use in the treatment of certain cancers, autoimmune disorders, and  
65 viral infections (hepatitis B and hepatitis C). We assessed the sensitivity of SARS-CoV-2 to both IFN- $\alpha$  and  
66 IFN- $\beta$  *in vitro*. Herein, we report that type I IFNs exhibited potent anti-SARS-CoV-2 activities in cultured cells,  
67 demonstrating the therapeutic potency of type I IFNs for COVID-19.

68

## 69 MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 70 Virus and Cells.

71 The SARS-CoV-2 (USA-WA1/2020) was obtained from The World Reference Center for Emerging Viruses and  
72 Arboviruses (WRCEVA), University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, TX. Stock virus was propagated by  
73 infecting Vero cells (ATCC CCL-81) at a low multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 0.0025. Three days after infection,  
74 supernatants were harvested and centrifuged at 2000 rpm for 5 min to remove cell debris. Stock virus was  
75 titrated with a 50% tissue culture infectious dose assay (TCID<sub>50</sub>) (5). All experiments involving infectious virus  
76 were conducted at the University of Texas Medical Branch (Galveston, TX) in approved biosafety level 3  
77 laboratories in accordance with institutional health and safety guidelines and federal regulations.

### 78 Virus growth curve:

79 Vero cells were infected by SARS-CoV-2 at MOI 1 or 0.01 for 1 hr. Then inoculum was removed, replaced with  
80 media (DMEM+5%FBS) and incubated at 37 °C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. At different time points after infection,  
81 supernatants were harvested. Virus titers were determined by a TCID<sub>50</sub> assay on Vero cells.

### 82 Virus sensitivity to IFN treatment (infectious virus reduction assay):

83 Vero cells ( $2 \times 10^4$ /well) were seeded into 48-well plates for 24 h and treated with human IFN- $\beta$ 1a (mammalian,  
84 cat# 11415, PBL) and IFN- $\alpha$  (Universal Type I alpha A/D (Bg III), PBL, cat# 11200-1) at different  
85 concentrations for 16 h. Cells were then infected with SARS-CoV-2 at an MOI of 0.01 TCID<sub>50</sub>/cell. IFNs were  
86 supplemented after virus infection. Supernatants were collected at 22 hr post infection and assayed for virus  
87 titers.

88 **Virus sensitivity to IFN treatment (CPE inhibition assay)**

89 Vero cells grown on 96-well plates ( $2 \times 10^4$ /well) were treated with 2-fold serial diluted human IFN- $\beta$ 1a or IFN- $\alpha$   
90 for 16 h (250 IU/ml to 0.49 IU/ml). Cells were then infected with SARS-CoV-2 at an MOI of 0.01 TCID<sub>50</sub>/cell or  
91 Vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV, Indiana strain) at MOI 0.1 PFU/cell for 1 hr. The inoculums were removed and  
92 replaced with fresh media. As controls, cells were mock-infected, or infected without IFN treatment. All  
93 experiments were performed in quadruplicates. For VSV samples, the supernatants were aspirated at 12 hpi.  
94 The monolayers were washed with PBS for three times to remove dead cells, fixed with 10% formaldehyde,  
95 and stained with crystal violet for cytopathic effect (CPE) observation. For SARS-CoV-2 samples, CPE was  
96 observed at 72 hpi.

97

98 **Results**

99 The growth kinetics of the newly identified SARS-CoV-2 in cultured cells had not been characterized. Thus, we  
100 first examined the growth kinetics of SARS-CoV-2 in Vero cells. Vero cells were infected at either a low MOI  
101 (MOI=0.01) or high MOI (MOI=1). Supernatant was collected every 8-16 hours. At both conditions, viral titers  
102 peaked at approximately 24 hours post-infection (hpi) and remained stable until 40 hours post-infection before  
103 declining (Fig. 1). The peak virus titer was  $5.5 \times 10^6$  TCID<sub>50</sub>/ml at MOI 0.01 and  $3.75 \times 10^5$  TCID<sub>50</sub>/ml at MOI 1,  
104 indicating that viral replication was more efficient at a low MOI (MOI=0.01) than a high MOI (MOI=1).  
105 Additionally, virus infection caused strong cytopathic effect (CPE), which was evident at 48 hpi, much later than  
106 the peak of virus production (at 40 hpi).

107

108 Next, we examined the effect of recombinant human IFN- $\alpha$  and IFN- $\beta$  treatment on viral infection. Vero cells  
109 were pre-treated with different concentrations of IFN- $\alpha$  or IFN- $\beta$  ranging from 50-1000 international units (IU)  
110 per milliliter for 16 hours. After 1 hour of infection with SARS-CoV-2 (MOI 0.01), media containing IFN was

111 returned, and cells were incubated for a further 22 hours. Supernatants were then collected, and viral titers  
112 were determined via TCID<sub>50</sub> assay. The result indicated that IFN- $\alpha$  treatment potently inhibited SARS-CoV-2  
113 infection. Virus titers were not detectable except at the lowest concentration tested (50 IU/ml), at which the viral  
114 titers were drastically reduced by 4 logs of magnitude (Fig. 2). For IFN- $\beta$ , the virus titers were below the  
115 detection limit at all concentrations tested (50 u/ml-1000u/ml), indicating more potent anti-SARS-CoV-2 activity  
116 than IFN- $\alpha$ . Consistently, no CPE was observable under microscopic examination in all IFN-treated samples.

117  
118 We next tested the antiviral efficacy of IFN- $\alpha$  and IFN- $\beta$  at lower concentrations (1-50 IU/ml). Both IFN- $\alpha$  and  
119 IFN- $\beta$  dose-dependently inhibited virus infection at these lower concentrations (Fig. 3). IFN- $\alpha$  exhibited anti-  
120 SARS-CoV-2 activity at a concentration as low as 5 IU/ml, resulting in a significant reduction of viral titer by  
121 over 1 log (P<0.01). With increasing IFN- $\alpha$  concentrations, the virus titers steadily decreased. Treatment with  
122 IFN- $\alpha$  at 50 IU/ml drastically reduces viral titers by 3.4 log. Treatment with 1 IU/ml of IFN- $\beta$  resulted in a  
123 moderate (approximately 70%) but significant decrease in virus titer (P<0.05, Student t test). Infectious virus  
124 was nearly undetectable upon treatment with 10, 25, and 50 IU/ml of IFN- $\beta$ . The EC<sub>50</sub> of IFN- $\alpha$  and IFN- $\beta$   
125 treatment is 1.35 IU/ml and 0.76 IU/ml, respectively. Taken together, these results indicate that treatment with  
126 low concentrations of both IFN- $\alpha$  and IFN- $\beta$  significantly inhibited viral infection, with IFN- $\beta$  being slightly more  
127 effective than IFN- $\alpha$ .

128  
129 In addition, we compared the IFN sensitivity of SARS-CoV-2 with that of Vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV), an  
130 IFN-sensitive RNA virus. IFN- $\alpha$  or IFN- $\beta$  were 2-fold serially diluted (250 IU/ml to 0.49 IU/ml) and added to  
131 Vero cells for 16 hr. Then cells were infected by VSV (MOI 0.1) or SARS-CoV-2 (MOI 0.01). CPE were  
132 observed at 12 hpi for VSV and 72 hpi for SARS-CoV-2. In VSV-infected cells, IFN- $\alpha$  and IFN- $\beta$  both inhibited  
133 CPE development at a concentration of 31.25 IU/ml, while at 15.6 IU/ml the CPE was not discernable from that  
134 of IFN-untreated samples. For SARS-CoV2, the lowest concentration that IFN- $\beta$  or IFN- $\alpha$  inhibited CPE was  
135 31.25 IU/ml and 62.5 IU/ml, respectively. The CPE inhibition data suggests that the IFN sensitivity of SARS-  
136 CoV-2 is comparable to that of VSV.

137  
138 **Discussion**

139 Our data clearly demonstrate that SARS-CoV-2 is highly sensitive to both IFN- $\alpha$  and IFN- $\beta$  treatment in  
140 cultured cells, which is comparable to the IFN-sensitive VSV. Our discovery reveals a weakness of the new  
141 coronavirus, which may be informative to antiviral development. The experiment was performed in the IFN- $\alpha$ / $\beta$   
142 gene-defective Vero cells (6). It is plausible that in IFN-competent cells the efficacy of exogenous IFN- $\beta$   
143 treatment against SARS-CoV-2 infection is more potent, as IFN- $\beta$  upregulates other subtypes of Type I IFN  
144 expression and augments the IFN-mediated antiviral response (4). Our data may provide an explanation, at  
145 least in part, to the observation that approximately 80% of patients actually develop mild symptoms and  
146 recover (7). It is possible that many of them are able to mount IFN- $\alpha$ / $\beta$ -mediated innate immune response upon  
147 SARS-CoV-2 infection, which helps to limit virus infection/dissemination at an early stage of disease. At a later  
148 stage, the adaptive immune response (antibody etc.) may eventually help patients recover from the COVID-19  
149 disease.

150

151 Compared to SARS-CoV-2, it seems that SARS-CoV is relatively less sensitive to IFN treatment *in vitro* (8, 9).  
152 One study reported that the EC<sub>50</sub> of IFN- $\beta$  for SARS-CoV is 95 or 105 IU/ml depending on virus strains (10).  
153 Many other highly pathogenic viruses are also resistant to exogenous IFN treatment. For Ebola virus, it has  
154 been reported that treatment with exogenous IFN- $\alpha$  does not affect viral replication and infectious virus  
155 production in cultured cells (11), probably as a result of antagonism of the IFN response by viral protein. Junín  
156 virus, an arenavirus that causes Argentine Hemorrhagic Fever, is likewise insensitive to IFN treatment. When  
157 treated with a high concentration of human IFN- $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  or  $\gamma$  (1000 U/ml), the titers of JUNV were reduced by less  
158 than 1-log in Vero cells. Further work is warranted to characterize the IFN response during SARS-CoV-2  
159 infection to better understand the underlying mechanism behind its IFN sensitivity.

160

161 *In vitro*, we have demonstrated that SARS-CoV-2 replication is inhibited by IFN- $\alpha$  and IFN- $\beta$  at concentrations  
162 that are clinically achievable in patients. Recombinant IFN- $\alpha$ s, Roferon-A and Intron-A, which have been  
163 approved for hepatitis B and C treatment, can reach concentrations of up to 330 IU/ml and 204 IU/ml,  
164 respectively, in serum (12). Recombinant IFN- $\beta$  drugs, Betaferon and Rebif, which have been approved for the  
165 treatment of multiple sclerosis, can reach concentrations of 40 IU/ml and 4.1 IU/ml, respectively, in serum (12).

166 Therefore, some of these drugs may have the potential to be repurposed for the treatment of COVID-19 either  
167 alone or in combination with other antiviral therapies.

168

169 **Acknowledgments**

170 We thank Drs. Kenneth Plante (The World Reference Center for Emerging Viruses and Arboviruses, UTMB)  
171 and Natalie Thornburg from the CDC for providing the SARS-CoV-2 stock virus. Work in the Paessler  
172 laboratory was supported in parts by Public Health Service grants R01AI093445 and R01AI129198 and the  
173 John. S. Dunn Distinguished Chair in Biodefense endowment. C.H. was supported by UTMB Commitment  
174 Fund P84373 and Department of Pathology Pilot Grant and would like to acknowledge the Galveston National  
175 Laboratory (supported by the Public Health Service award 5UC7AI094660) for support of his research activity.

176

177 **Figure legend:**

178 **Figure 1:** Vero cells were infected by SARS-CoV-2 at MOI 1 or 0.01 for 1 hr. At different time points after  
179 infection, virus titers were determined by a TCID<sub>50</sub> assay on Vero cells. The average of triplicates and Standard  
180 deviation are shown. Dotted line indicates the detection limit.

181

182 **Figure 2:** Vero cells were pretreated with human IFN- $\alpha$  or IFN- $\beta$  (0, 50, 125, 250, 500, 1000 IU/ml) for 16  
183 hours, and then infected with SARS-CoV2 for 1 hour at an MOI of 0.01. Viral inoculums were removed and  
184 replaced with fresh media containing listed concentrations of IFN- $\alpha$  or IFN- $\beta$ . Media was collected at 22 hpi  
185 and titers were determined via TCID50 assay on Vero cells. The average of triplicates and Standard deviation  
186 are shown. Dotted line indicates the detection limit.

187

188 **Figure 3:** Vero cells were pretreated with human IFN- $\alpha$  or IFN- $\beta$  (0, 1, 5, 10, 25, 50 U/ml) for 16 hours and  
189 then infected with SARS-CoV-2 at an MOI of 0.01. Viral inoculums were removed and replaced with fresh  
190 media containing listed concentrations of IFN- $\alpha$  or IFN- $\beta$ . Virus titers at 22 hpi were determined via TCID50  
191 assay. The average of triplicates and Standard deviation are shown. Dotted line indicates the detection limit. (\*,  
192 P<0.05; \*\*, P<0.01; n.s. not significant, one tail Student T test)

193 **References**

194 1. Zhou P, Yang XL, Wang XG, Hu B, Zhang L, Zhang W, Si HR, Zhu Y, Li B, Huang CL, Chen HD, Chen J, Luo  
195 Y, Guo H, Jiang RD, Liu MQ, Chen Y, Shen XR, Wang X, Zheng XS, Zhao K, Chen QJ, Deng F, Liu LL, Yan B, Zhan  
196 FX, Wang YY, Xiao GF, Shi ZL. A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin.  
197 Nature. 2020;579(7798):270-3. Epub 2020/02/06. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2012-7. PubMed PMID: 32015507;  
198 PMCID: PMC7095418.

199 2. Pestka S, Krause CD, Walter MR. Interferons, interferon-like cytokines, and their receptors. Immunol  
200 Rev. 2004;202:8-32. Epub 2004/11/18. doi: 10.1111/j.0105-2896.2004.00204.x. PubMed PMID: 15546383.

201 3. Schoggins JW, Wilson SJ, Panis M, Murphy MY, Jones CT, Bieniasz P, Rice CM. A diverse range of gene  
202 products are effectors of the type I interferon antiviral response. Nature. 2011;472(7344):481-5. Epub  
203 2011/04/12. doi: 10.1038/nature09907. PubMed PMID: 21478870; PMCID: PMC3409588.

204 4. Honda K, Takaoka A, Taniguchi T. Type I interferon [corrected] gene induction by the interferon  
205 regulatory factor family of transcription factors. Immunity. 2006;25(3):349-60. Epub 2006/09/19. doi:  
206 10.1016/j.immuni.2006.08.009. PubMed PMID: 16979567.

207 5. Narayanan K, Huang C, Lokugamage K, Kamitani W, Ikegami T, Tseng CT, Makino S. Severe acute  
208 respiratory syndrome coronavirus nsp1 suppresses host gene expression, including that of type I interferon, in  
209 infected cells. J Virol. 2008;82(9):4471-9. Epub 2008/02/29. doi: 10.1128/JVI.02472-07. PubMed PMID:  
210 18305050; PMCID: PMC2293030.

211 6. Desmyter J, Melnick JL, Rawls WE. Defectiveness of interferon production and of rubella virus  
212 interference in a line of African green monkey kidney cells (Vero). J Virol. 1968;2(10):955-61. Epub  
213 1968/10/01. PubMed PMID: 4302013; PMCID: PMC375423.

214 7. Wu Z, McGoogan JM. Characteristics of and Important Lessons From the Coronavirus Disease 2019  
215 (COVID-19) Outbreak in China: Summary of a Report of 72314 Cases From the Chinese Center for Disease  
216 Control and Prevention. JAMA. 2020. Epub 2020/02/25. doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.2648. PubMed PMID:  
217 32091533.

218 8. Moriguchi H, Sato C. Treatment of SARS with human interferons. *Lancet*. 2003;362(9390):1159. Epub  
219 2003/10/11. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(03)14484-4. PubMed PMID: 14550718.

220 9. Dahl H, Linde A, Strannegard O. In vitro inhibition of SARS virus replication by human interferons.  
221 *Scand J Infect Dis*. 2004;36(11-12):829-31. Epub 2005/03/15. doi: 10.1080/00365540410021144. PubMed  
222 PMID: 15764169.

223 10. Cinatl J, Morgenstern B, Bauer G, Chandra P, Rabenau H, Doerr HW. Glycyrrhizin, an active component  
224 of liquorice roots, and replication of SARS-associated coronavirus. *Lancet*. 2003;361(9374):2045-6. Epub  
225 2003/06/20. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(03)13615-x. PubMed PMID: 12814717.

226 11. Kash JC, Muhlberger E, Carter V, Grosch M, Perwitasari O, Proll SC, Thomas MJ, Weber F, Klenk HD,  
227 Katze MG. Global suppression of the host antiviral response by Ebola- and Marburgviruses: increased  
228 antagonism of the type I interferon response is associated with enhanced virulence. *J Virol*. 2006;80(6):3009-  
229 20. Epub 2006/02/28. doi: 10.1128/JVI.80.6.3009-3020.2006. PubMed PMID: 16501110; PMCID:  
230 PMC1395418.

231 12. Strayer DR, Dickey R, Carter WA. Sensitivity of SARS/MERS CoV to interferons and other drugs based on  
232 achievable serum concentrations in humans. *Infect Disord Drug Targets*. 2014;14(1):37-43. Epub 2014/07/16.  
233 doi: 10.2174/1871526514666140713152858. PubMed PMID: 25019238.

234





