

The autophagy adaptor NDP52 and the FIP200 coiled-coil allosterically activate ULK1 complex membrane recruitment

Xiaoshan Shi^{1,3}, Chunmei Chang^{1,3}, Adam L. Yokom^{1,3} and James H. Hurley^{1,2,4}

¹Department of Molecular and Cell Biology and California Institute for Quantitative Biosciences, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, CA 94720

²Molecular Biophysics and Integrated Bioimaging Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

³Equal contribution

⁴Corresponding author. jimhurley@berkeley.edu

Abstract

The selective autophagy pathways of xenophagy and mitophagy are initiated when the adaptor NDP52 recruits the ULK1 complex to autophagic cargo. Hydrogen-deuterium exchange coupled to mass spectrometry (HDX-MS) was used to map the membrane and NDP52 binding sites of the ULK1 complex to unique regions of the coiled coil of the FIP200 subunit. Electron microscopy of the full-length ULK1 complex shows that the FIP200 coiled coil projects away from the crescent-shaped FIP200 N-terminal domain dimer. NDP52 allosterically stimulates membrane-binding by FIP200 and the ULK1 complex by promoting a more dynamic conformation of the membrane-binding portion of the FIP200 coiled coil. Giant unilamellar vesicle (GUV) reconstitution confirmed that membrane recruitment by the ULK1 complex is triggered by NDP52 engagement. These data reveal how the allosteric linkage between NDP52 and the ULK1 complex could drive the first membrane recruitment event of phagophore biogenesis in xenophagy and mitophagy.

Introduction

Autophagy is a cellular process that maintains homeostasis via clearance of cellular components, degradation of damaged organelles and protection against viral and bacterial invasion (Boya, Reggiori, & Codogno, 2013). Autophagy entails the *de novo* formation of a double membrane structure (termed the isolation membrane), maturation into a sealed autophagosome and delivery of substrates to the lysosome for degradation (Melia, Lystad, & Simonsen, 2020; T. J. Mercer, Gubas, & Tooze, 2018). Macroautophagy and selective autophagy are two distinct modes of autophagy that differ in their initiation mechanisms and cargo specificity (L. Yu, Chen, & Tooze, 2018; Zaffagnini & Martens, 2016). During cellular starvation conditions, macroautophagy functions by the initial formation of an isolation membrane at ER exit sites and expands (Graef, Friedman, Graham, Babu, & Nunnari, 2013; K. Suzuki, Akioka, Kondo-Kakuta, Yamamoto, & Ohsumi, 2013), engulfing cytoplasmic debris in a nonspecific manner. Selective autophagy functions via a set of autophagy adaptor proteins, which bind cargos directly or via polyubiquitin chains (Zaffagnini & Martens, 2016). The isolation membrane forms on the labeled cargo and tightly engulfs the cargo during maturation. A complex cascade of autophagy (ATG) related proteins, including the ULK1 complex, Class III PI3K complex, WIPIs, ATG12-5-16, LC3, ATG9, cargo adaptors, and others are required for mammalian autophagy (Bento et al., 2016; Hurley & Young, 2017). Through numerous studies, selective autophagy pathways for mitochondria (mitophagy), aggregates (aggrephagy), lysosomes (lysophagy), endoplasmic reticulum (ER-phagy), bacteria (xenophagy) and other cargos have been discovered (Zaffagnini & Martens, 2016). Correspondingly, a set of adaptors have been identified which function to decorate cargos for degradation. Nuclear domain 10 protein 52 (NDP52), optineurin, CCPG1, TAX1BP1 and p62 represent a subset of such adaptors (Kirkin & Rogov, 2019).

NDP52, also known as Calcium Binding And Coiled-Coil Domain 2 (CALCOCO2), is a multifunctional autophagy adaptor that is crucial for both xenophagy and mitophagy (Lazarou et al., 2015; Von Muhlinen, Thurston, Ryzhakov, Bloor, & Rando, 2010). Knock out studies of NDP52 show a severe impairment of autophagic protection against *Salmonella* invasion (Verlhac et al., 2015) and depleted recruitment of the ULK1 complex during mitophagy (Lazarou et al., 2015). The N-terminal SKICH domain of NDP52 forms a network of interactions in xenophagy and mitophagy pathways (Ravenhill et al., 2019; Till et al., 2013). Recent work has shown the

direct interaction of NDP52 with FIP200, a protein in the autophagy initiation ULK1 complex (Ravenhill et al., 2019; Vargas et al., 2019). This interaction is crucial for recruitment of ULK1 to initial phagophore sites, ultimately leading to the engulfment and degradation of selected cargo. NDP52 contains a LIR motif which is required for its interaction with LC3, a hallmark of the autophagosome membrane. Lastly, NDP52 has two domains that recognize cargo; a GALB1 domain, which interacts with galectin-8, and a UBZ domain that recognizes polyubiquitin conjugated to the bacterial surface (Thurston, Wandel, Von Muhlinen, Foeglein, & Rando, 2012).

The ULK1 complex is comprised of the ULK1 kinase, focal adhesion kinase family interacting protein of 200 kDa (FIP200), autophagy-related protein 13 (ATG13), and ATG101 (Ganley et al., 2009; Hosokawa et al., 2009; Jung et al., 2009; Lin & Hurley, 2016; C. A. Mercer, Kaliappan, & Dennis, 2009). ULK1 and 2 are interchangeable threonine/serine kinases which function as the catalytic subunit of the ULK1 complex. The N-terminal kinase domain is followed by a ~550 residue intrinsically disordered region (IDR) and a C-terminal early autophagy tethering (EAT) domain which recruits ULK1 to the rest of the complex via ATG13 (Alers, Wesselborg, & Stork, 2014). This network of interactions is conserved in the yeast homolog Atg1 complex (Fujioka et al., 2014; Stjepanovic et al., 2014). FIP200 is a 1594 residue protein which contains a dimeric N-terminal scaffolding domain (Shi et al., 2020) an IDR, a coiled-coil (CC) region and a C-terminal ‘Claw’ domain (Turco et al., 2019). The C-shaped N-terminal domain dimer of FIP200 scaffolds assembly of the ULK1 complex while the C-terminal Claw domain interacts with cargo adaptors, including NDP52, p62 and CCPG1 (Ravenhill et al., 2019; Turco et al., 2019; Vargas et al., 2019). ATG13/101 form a HORMA dimer which interacts with PI3K and is required for ULK1 complex function and assembly (Qi, Kim, Stjepanovic, & Correspondence, 2015; H. Suzuki, Kaizuka, Mizushima, & Noda, 2015). Little is known about the structure and function of the massive CC domain of FIP200, beyond the crystal structure of its very C-terminal 100 residues (Turco et al., 2019) and the identification of an NDP52 binding site between residues 1351-1441 (Ravenhill et al., 2019).

The ULK1 complex is rapidly recruited to invading bacteria and damaged mitochondria following their ubiquitination via its interaction with NDP52 (Ravenhill et al., 2019; Turco, Fracchiolla, & Martens, 2020; Vargas et al., 2019). As the most upstream of the autophagy core complexes, ULK1 complex recruitment is pivotal to subsequent events in autophagosome

biogenesis. The nature of the structural changes in the ULK1 complex following NDP52 engagement and how those changes influence recruitment of membranes and downstream autophagy components is, however, almost entirely unknown.

Despite progress in crystallizing substructures of the ULK1 complex (Lin & Hurley, 2016) and an intermediate resolution cryo-EM structure of the FIP200 NTD (Shi et al., 2020), progress on the intact ULK1 complex containing full-length FIP200 has been very challenging. FIP200 is 1594 amino acid residues in length, and apart from the NTD and C-terminal Claw, consists of an intrinsically disordered region (IDR) and a massive 760-residue CC. These features have made full-length FIP200 and the intact ULK1 complex exceptionally difficult for biochemical and structural studies. Here we demonstrate that purified full length FIP200 forms an extended dynamic conformation, maintaining a scaffold for the ULK1 complex at a C-shaped N-terminal dimer. In vitro interaction of NDP52 with full length FIP200 and the ULK1 complex shows no distinct changes in the N-terminal domain but alters a portion of the FIP200 CC domain. This interaction increases the membrane affinity of FIP200. NDP52 induces robust recruitment of the ULK1 complex to giant unilamellar vesicles (GUVs) by exposing the membrane binding site within the CC domain of FIP200.

Results

Full length FIP200 forms an elongated scaffold for ULK1 and adaptor binding

We sought to investigate how purified full-length FIP200 is structured. To express and purify full-length FIP200, a dual tag construct was developed. Wild-type FIP200 was expressed with a glutathione S-transferase (GST) tag fused to the N-terminus and a maltose binding protein (MBP) tag on the C terminus. After affinity purification, full-length GST-FIP200-MBP was characterized using negative stain electron microscopy (NSEM) (Figure 1A, Figure S1A). Full length FIP200 single particles showed an extended density with a C-shaped density at one end, corresponding to the dimeric FIP200NTD previously resolved (Shi et al., 2020). Density for the GST tag can be seen in the center of the FIP200 NTD dimer (Figure S1B). This N-terminal density is followed by a long meandering density which we assigned as the CC domain (residues 740-1500). Due to the unstructured nature, visualization of the IDR region (640-740) was not expected. The CC domain of FIP200 has segments of predicted dimeric coiled-coils and linker

regions (Figure S1B). Finally, features visualized at the C-terminus of FIP200 correspond to density for two MBP tags (40 kDa each) and the Claw dimer (22 kDa) (Figure S1C).

The CC was observed in a variety of conformations with varying lengths and curvatures. FIP200-CC domain comprises 750 residues which, if uninterrupted, would span 110 nm. The contour lengths of the CC region in particles analyzed by NSEM ranged from 37 nm to 107 nm, with a mean length of 79 nm (Figure 1B). The presence of some particles with contour lengths far below the predicted maximum suggests that the CC is capable of folding back onto itself. The variability of the total length corresponds to a range of end-to-end distances distributed from 32 nm to 94 nm with a mean of 69 nm (Figure 1B). It is clear that the FIP200 N-terminus is separated from the C-terminus Claw domain. This spatially separates the site of ULK1 assembly at the NTD and the binding site of the cargo adaptors NDP52 near the C-terminal end of the CC (Ravenhill et al., 2019; Vargas et al., 2019) and p62 at the CLAW domain C-terminal to the CC (Turco et al., 2019).

To investigate how the ULK1 complex assembles on full-length FIP200, we employed hydrogen-deuterium exchange mass spectrometry analysis (HDX-MS) on FIP200 alone and the full-length ULK1 complex. Purified ULK1 complex was active with respect to the synthetic substrate, ULKtide (Figure S2A). Difference maps for H/D exchange showed a clear protection pattern in the FIP200NTD, similar to our previous results on the FIP200 NTD alone (Shi et al., 2020). Specifically, peptides spanning residues 75-80, 157-165, 313-324, 350-356, 435-469 and 532-541 showed increases in protection of >10% after exchanging for 60 s (Figure 1C). No significant changes (>10%) were observed in FIP200 CC or CLAW. This implies that assembly of the ULK1 complex with full length FIP200 does not affect the dynamics of the cargo-recognizing CC and CLAW. Thus, FIP200 is a modular protein whose NTD engages with the rest of the ULK1 complex and whose CC and CLAW engage independently with cargo adaptors.

ULK1 complex engages NDP52 via only FIP200

Next, we used HDX-MS to systematically analyze how the H/D exchange rate of the ULK1 complex changed in the presence of NDP52. To validate the ULK1 complex sample, the complex was expressed with fluorescent GFP tags on ATG13 and ATG101 and purified. Labeled ULK1 complex was mixed with GST-NDP52, GST-4xUb and glutathione beads. Fluorescent signal was quantified for each reaction condition (Figure S2B, C). ULK1 complex is specifically

pulled down by GST-NDP52 but not when mixed with GST-4xUb or GSH beads alone. This confirmed that the ULK1 complex recognizes cargo adaptors but not poly-ubiquitin chains directly in our *in vitro* system.

In the presence of NDP52, no significant changes (<-10% or >10%) were identified in peptides from ATG13, ATG101, ULK1 or the FIP200NTD (Figure 2A-D, Figure S3). A lack of HDX difference suggests that NDP52 does not directly or allosterically modulate these regions upon binding to the FIP200 CTD. However, our analysis showed three regions of the CC domain of FIP200 with significant protection in the presence of NDP52. Peptides of residues 1290-1320, 1339-1392 and 1462-1470 showed a decrease of deuterium exchange of >10%. Residues in the 1339-1392 region (L1371, L1378, L1385, and L1392) corresponds to the previously proposed as a binding site of NDP52 (Ravenhill et al., 2019; Vargas et al., 2019). Residues 1290-1320 and 1339-1392 display a higher level of protection than 1462-1470. Unexpectedly, an extensive region of the CC N-terminal to the NDP52 binding site, spanning FIP200 residues 800-1250, had increased H/D exchange rate after NDP52 binding (Figure 2D). These changes suggest that the N-terminal part of the CC is destabilized upon NDP52 binding.

To confirm the conclusion from HDX-MS that ULK1, ATG13 and ATG101 are not involved in NDP52 binding, fluorescent FIP200 alone and the complete ULK1 complex were compared in a GST pulldown assay with GST-NDP52 as bait (Figure 2E). FIP200 alone and the full ULK1 complex bound to the same extent to 0.1, 0.3 and 1 uM of GST-NDP52. To further investigate which regions of FIP200 bind directly to NDP52, we mutated eight regions identified by our HDX-MS analysis (Figure 2D). Regions selected for mutation were replaced by a poly Gly-Ser sequence of equal length to the wild-type region. GST pulldown assays showed that the expression of FIP200 was unaffected by the Gly-Ser mutations (Figure 2F, right). In addition, both GST and MBP pulldown assays confirmed that mutation of region 4 (1355-1362), 5 (1363-1370) and 6 (1371-1378) in FIP200 impaired the interaction with NDP52, while the rest showed small decreases in binding affinity (region 3 and 7) or minimal (region 1, 2 and 8) effects (Figure 2F).

FIP200 CC interacts with membranes

The finding that NDP52 increased the H/D exchange rate of coiled-coil (800-1250) region of FIP200 stimulated our curiosity about the physiological function of this CC region. We thus used

HDX-MS to systematically analyze if the ULK1 complex interacts with SUVs containing PI lipids. No significant changes (<-10% or >10%) were identified in peptides from ATG13 or ATG101 which suggests that PI containing membranes do not directly or allosterically modulate these proteins of the ULK1 complex (Figure 3A, B). In our assay, two regions of FIP200 showed significant protection. Residues within the N-terminal domain (539-564) showed moderate protection ~10%. However, the strongest protection profile (5-45%) was seen across an extended portion of the CC domain, covering residues 844-1073 (Figure 3C).

To refine the mapping of the membrane binding site within FIP200, we compared truncations of the FIP200 in a liposome sedimentation assay. NTD (N-640) and CTD (636-C) FIP200 proteins were mixed with SUVs before separation into pellet and supernatant fractions (Figure 3D). Unmistakably, the FIP200 CTD (636-C) containing the CC domain but not FIP200 NTD co-precipitated with membranes. This was most prominent in SUVs containing an acidic lipid mixture of PS/PI. Furthermore, a smaller amount of the FIP200 CTD was observed in the pellet of SUVs containing PC/PE. The interaction between the CC domain of FIP200 and membranes raises the possibility that NDP52 binding at the C-terminal CC domain could trigger destabilization or opening of the N-terminal CC domain, increasing exposure of hydrophobic residues and so stimulating membrane binding to the ULK1 complex.

Reconstitution of NDP52-stimulated membrane binding of the ULK1 complex

To investigate how NDP52 binding effects membrane recruitment of the ULK1 complex, we reconstituted this event on GUVs with an ER-like lipid composition. FIP200 alone was only minimally recruited to GUVs (Figure 4A, B). Additionally, minimal GUV binding was observed in the presence of His-tagged NDP52. GST-tagged NDP52 showed a small increase in membrane binding (Figure 4A, B). Given that the GST tag forms a native dimer, this suggested the oligomeric state of NDP52 was crucial for the activation of FIP200 membrane binding. To test how NDP52 oligomeric state effects FIP200 recruitment we included GST-tagged linear tetra-ubiquitin, which mimics poly-ubiquitinated protein substrates and induces the oligomerization of NDP52 *in vitro*. No recruitment of FIP200 was detected to GUVs in the presence of GST-4xUb alone, consistent with the lack of a direct interaction between FIP200 and Ub (Figure S2C). In the presence of GST-4xUb and NDP52, FIP200 rapidly coalesced into clusters and was robustly recruited to the GUV surface (Figure 4A, B). These clusters began to

form within 5 minutes of mixing (Figure 4A, B). After 35 minutes, FIP200 was clustered into large, continuous domains on the GUVs (Figure 4A, B).

We then tested the membrane recruitment of the entire ULK1 complex under equivalent conditions. Similar to FIP200 alone, the full-length ULK1 complex formed clusters with NDP52 and poly-ubiquitin but not when alone (Figure 4C, D). Recruitment of the ULK1 complex was stronger with the dimeric GST-NDP52 than the monomeric His-NDP52. Together, these data show that oligomerized NDP52 activates membrane recruitment of the ULK1. Furthermore, the higher order assembly of NDP52 via poly-ubiquitin stimulates membrane binding.

NDP52 binding is required for the membrane recruitment of ULK1

To validate that the middle region of FIP200 (residues 790-1050) was the membrane binding site of ULK1 complex as suggested by HDX-MS, we generated FIP200 constructs with the middle region deleted ($\text{FIP200}^{\Delta\text{MR}}$). The NDP52 binding region from HDX-MS, residues 1363-1370 was also converted to poly Gly-Ser ($\text{FIP200}^{\Delta\text{NDP52}}$) in a separate mutation. Neither mutation altered the stability of FIP200 on the basis that both mutants yielded protein at the same level as wild type (Figure S4). A microscopy-based bead assay was used to confirm that $\text{FIP200}^{\Delta\text{MR}}$ still bound to NDP52 (Figure 5A, B). WT and $\text{FIP200}^{\Delta\text{MR}}$ ULK1 complex were both robustly recruited to GST-NDP52 beads (Figure 5 A, B). $\text{FIP200}^{\Delta\text{NDP52}}$ ULK1 was not recruited to the GST-NDP52 beads (Figure 5 A-B), which is consistent with our previous pulldown data (Figure 2F).

We proceeded to test the membrane recruitment of ULK1 complexes containing these two mutants. WT ULK1 complex was recruited strongly in the presence of GST-NDP52 and GST-4xUb (Figure 5C, D). $\text{FIP200}^{\Delta\text{MR}}$ ULK1 complex bound more weakly to GUVs (Figure 5C, D), whereas $\text{FIP200}^{\Delta\text{NDP52}}$ ULK1 complex completely abolished membrane recruitment (Figure 5 C, D). These data show that the NDP52-FIP200 interaction is essential for membrane recruitment. The CC membrane binding site is also important for binding, but residual binding still occurs in when the CC membrane binding site is removed. We tested whether NDP52 itself could bind membranes as previously reported (H. Yu et al., 2019). NDP52 was recruited to the GUV membranes either when it was tagged with GST or upon the addition of poly-ubiquitin (Figure S5). From this finding, we concluded that the residual binding to GUVs is due to membrane binding by NDP52, not to additional membrane binding sites on the ULK1 complex.

Discussion

The year 2019 saw a paradigm shift in the initiation of selective autophagy (Melia et al., 2020). Recognition of p62 (Turco et al., 2019) and NDP52 (Vargas et al., 2019) by FIP200 was shown to be the key triggering event responsible for recruiting the ULK1 complex to cargo. The binding sites of p62 and NDP52 were mapped to the Claw (Turco et al., 2019) and CC C-terminus (Ravenhill et al., 2019) respectively, and the structure of the Claw and a small part of the CC were determined (Turco et al., 2019). All of the many downstream events remained unclear, however, as did the structure of the great majority of FIP200. Here we obtained the first structural view of full-length FIP200 and the intact ULK1 complex. In the context of the structure, we formulated a model for the allosteric activation by NDP52 of the next step following ULK1 complex recruitment to cargo, namely membrane recruitment.

In a related study, we recently showed that the N-terminal domain (NTD) of FIP200, consisting of the first 640 amino acids, comprised a C-shaped dimeric hub for assembly of the rest of the ULK1 complex. A single molecule of ATG13 was shown to bind to the NTD dimer. ATG13 in turn contacts ATG101 and ULK1 itself. The ULK1 complex is unusually loosely organized, lacking extensive direct contacts between FIP200, ULK1, and ATG101. Biochemical and structural studies of the 1594-residue FIP200 have been exceptionally challenging, and it has only now been possible to visualize the intact molecule. The C-termini of the two FIP200 NTDs in the C-shaped dimer is located near the center. We have now confirmed that the CC projects away from the center of the NTD. Thus, the symmetry of the NTD matches the symmetry of the FIP200 CC, which is parallel and a dimer (Turco et al., 2019). FIP200 CC has a modal end-to-end distance of ~75 nm and is partially flexible, making it ideally suited to its function of connecting cargo to other components of the ULK1 complex and to membranes over substantial distances, much as seen with other coiled-coil based tethers such as the endosomal tether EEA1 (Murray et al., 2016) and the Golgi tether GCC185 (Cheung & Pfeffer, 2015).

The data presented here suggest that the membrane binding region (MR) in the N-terminal portion of FIP200 CC is the major membrane binding site responsible for the first ULK1 complex membrane recruitment event in autophagy. FIP200 is thought to initially localize to phosphatidylinositol synthase (PIS)-enriched ER subdomains prior to PI(3)P generation by the autophagic PI 3-kinase complex I (Nishimura et al., 2017). In the presence of an ER-like

synthetic lipid mixture, only the MR showed significant changes in HDX. A basic patch on the ATG13 HORMA domain structure (Qi et al., 2015; H. Suzuki et al., 2015) was been reported to interact with PI(3)P (Karanasios et al., 2013) and so sustain ULK1 complex localization at the omegasome subsequent to PI 3-kinase activation. Our synthetic lipid mixture intentionally omitted PI(3)P to reflect the earliest step in activation. The conserved EAT domain of the yeast ULK1 ortholog Atg1 binds to highly curved ER-like vesicles, but only in the absence of Atg13, and its lipid-binding role is probably important only in later steps of autophagosome biogenesis (Lin, Schöneberg, Davies, Ren, & Hurley, 2018) The MR is not electropositive in character, unlike most membrane binding domains (Hurley & Misra, 2000), but this is in keeping with the neutral character of ER lipids. Our data suggest a model wherein NDP52 binding to the C-terminus of FIP200 CC promotes lipid binding at the MR, so allowing a tether to be formed between cargo and the ER subdomain destined to become the omegasome. These data are consistent with the critical role of the NDP52-binding residues of FIP200 as demonstrated in both mitophagy (Vargas et al., 2019) and xenophagy (Ravenhill et al., 2019)

In this study, we focused on NDP52 rather than p62 because NDP52 binds much more tightly to FIP200, which facilitated the HDX-MS and biochemical experiments. It remains to be seen if the principle of membrane recruitment revealed here is general to p62 and other adaptors. The stimulation of the effect seen by using dimeric GST fusions of 4xUb and NDP52 show that multimerization is important for promoting membrane binding. While the binding site of NDP52 on FIP200 CC appears to be unique, clustering of cargo adaptors on ubiquitinated cargo is a general aspect of selective autophagy (Kirkin & Rogov, 2019; Zaffagnini & Martens, 2016). The role of the membrane-recruitment mechanism described here in bulk autophagy also remains to be determined, but to the extent that cargo-like ubiquitin condensates (Zaffagnini et al., 2018) mediate bulk autophagy, similar principles also seem likely to apply.

Methods

Plasmid construction

The sequences of all DNAs encoding components of ULK1 complex were codon optimized, synthesized and then subcloned into the pCAG vector. All DNAs encoding NDP52 (except His-NDP52) were subcloned into pCAG or pGST2 vectors. The linear tetraubiquitin expressed in pGEX5 and His-NDP52 in pET were obtained from Sascha Martens (Vienna). Proteins were tagged with GST, MBP or TwinStrep-Flag (TSF) for affinity purification or pull-down assays. N-terminal GST, MBP or TSF tags were followed by a tobacco etch virus cleavage site (TEVcs). All constructs were verified by DNA sequencing. Details are shown in Table S1.

Protein expression and purification

GST-FIP200-MBP, FIP200(N-640)-MBP, FIP200(636-C)-MBP and full-length ULK1 complex protein samples used for NSEM, HDX-MS, fluorescent pulldown assays, ADP-Glo kinase assays and liposome sedimentation assays were expressed in HEK293-GnTI suspension cells by using the polyethylenimine (Polysciences) transfection system. Cells were transfected at a concentration of $2-3 \times 10^6$ /mL and harvested after 48 hours. The harvested cells were pelleted at $500 \times g$ for 10 min at $4^\circ C$, washed with PBS once, and then stored at $-80^\circ C$. The pellets were then lysed with lysis buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 200 mM NaCl, 2 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM TCEP, 1% Triton X-100, 10% Glycerol and protease inhibitors (Roche) before being cleared at $16000 \times g$ for 30 min at $4^\circ C$. The supernatant was then incubated with Glutathione Sepharose 4B (GE Healthcare) or Strep-Tactin Sepharose (IBA Lifesciences) as appropriate, with gentle shaking for 12 hours at $4^\circ C$. The mixture was then loaded onto a gravity flow column, and the resin was washed extensively with wash buffer (50 mM HEPES pH 8.0, 200 mM NaCl, 1 mM MgCl₂ and 1 mM TCEP). The proteins were eluted with wash buffer containing 50 mM glutathione or 10 mM desthiobiotin, as appropriate. To purify GST-FIP200-MBP, FIP200(N-640)-MBP, FIP200(636-C)-MBP, FIP200-MBP and EGFP-FIP200-MBP, eluted protein samples may be treated with TEV protease at $4^\circ C$ overnight before flowing through Amylose resin (New England Biolabs) for a second step of affinity purification. To purify ULK1 complex and EGFP-ULK1 complex, FIP200/ATG13/ATG101 subcomplex and ULK1 were expressed and purified separately. After the first step of affinity purification, the two samples were mixed, cleaved by TEV at $4^\circ C$ overnight, and subjected to a second step of

affinity purification using the MBP tag. The eluted sample was passed through a Strep-Tactin Sepharose (IBA Lifesciences) column to clear the MBP-TSF tag from ULK1. The final buffer after MBP affinity purification is 20 mM HEPES (pH 8.0), 200 mM NaCl, 1 mM TCEP and 50 mM Maltose.

GST-NDP52, GST-NDP52-mCherry, 6xHis-NDP52 and GST-4xUb protein samples were expressed in *E. coli* (BL21DE3) at 18 °C overnight. The harvested cells were pelleted at 4500 x g for 20 min at 4 °C, washed with PBS once, and then stocked in -80 °C if needed. The pellets were then suspended in a buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 200 mM NaCl, 2 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM TCEP and protease inhibitors (Roche), and sonicated before being cleared at 16000 x g for 30 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was incubated with Glutathione Sepharose 4B (GE Healthcare) or Ni-NTA Resins (Qiagen) as appropriate, with gentle shaking for 2 hours at 4 °C. The mixture was then loaded onto a gravity flow column, and the resin was washed extensively with wash buffer (50 mM HEPES pH 8.0, 200 mM NaCl, 1 mM MgCl₂ and 1 mM TCEP). The proteins were eluted with wash buffer containing 50 mM glutathione or 200 mM imidazole, as appropriate. The protein samples were applied to a final size exclusion chromatography step before use. For GST-4xUb, a Superdex 200 column (GE Healthcare) was used, and for NDP52, a Superose 6 column (GE Healthcare) was used. The running buffer was 20 mM HEPES (pH 8.0), 200 mM NaCl and 1 mM TCEP. For purification of TSF-NDP52-mCherry, the transfected HEK GnTI cells were harvested and treated as ULK1 transfected HEK GnTI cells mentioned above. The supernatant was incubated with Strep-Tactin Sepharose (IBA Lifesciences) with gentle shaking for 2 hours at 4 °C, and then subjected to a Strep tag affinity purification mentioned above. The protein samples were applied to a final size exclusion chromatography step using a Superose 6 column (GE Healthcare).

Negative Stain Electron Microscopy Collection and Coiled-coil Tracing

Grids were glow discharged in PELCO easiGlow for 25 s at 25 mAmps. Full length FIP200 labeled with an N terminal GST tag and a C terminal MBP tag was incubated on continuous carbon grids at 100 nM concentration. Protein was stained with 2% uranyl formate twice before drying and imaging. Samples were imaged with a T12 operating at 120 kV at a nominal magnification of 49,000x. This corresponds to 2.2 Å/pixel on a Gatan CCD 4k x 4k camera. Data

was collected at 60 e-/Å² and single particles were manually selected within Relion. Particles were binned and extracted in a box size of 120 by 120 with 8.8Å/pixel.

2D classification of the N and C terminal domains was carried out as follows. Relion LoG picker was used to pick the negative stain micrographs. 17,281 single particles were classified into 200 classes. Resolved class averages were selected based on the shape of the NTD dimer and the CTD trimeric density (the dimer Claw domain and 2 MBP tags). A second round of classification was performed to better resolve the class averages (Figure Supplement 1B-C).

Full length single particles were imported into FIJI ImageJ and traced using the plugin Simple Neurite Tracer yielding 117 individual tracks. Tracks were started at the beginning of the coiled-coil domain after the distinct NTD crescent shape and ended between the double MBP density at the C terminus. Tracking was checked for each single particle to ensure accuracy of the automatically determined path. End to end distances and total length measurements are shown as histograms.

Hydrogen-deuterium exchange mass spectrometry

FIP200, ULK1 complex or NDP52 samples for HDX were concentrated to a 20 µM stock solution while POPS/POPI SUVs (molar ratio=1:1) were prepared as a 1 mM stock solution. The buffer used was 20 mM HEPES (pH 8.0), 200 mM NaCl and 1 mM TCEP. To prepare the ULK1c/NDP52 sample or ULK1c/SUV sample, 2 µL of ULK1c stock solution was mixed with 8 µL of NDP52 or SUV stock solution and then incubated at 23 °C for 30 min. To prepare the FIP200 or ULK1c sample, 2 µL of protein stock was mixed with 8 µL of control buffer (20 mM HEPES (pH 8.0), 200 mM NaCl, 1 mM TCEP), and then incubated at 23 °C for 30 min. Exchange was initiated by adding 90 µL of D₂O buffer containing 20 mM HEPES (pH 8.0), 200 mM NaCl, 1 mM TCEP into 10 µL of protein mixture at 30 °C. Exchange was carried out for 6 s, 60 s, 600 s, or 60000 s, and quenched at 0 °C by the addition 100 µL of ice-cold quench buffer (400 mM KH₂PO₄/H₃PO₄, pH 2.2). The 60000 s sample served as the maximally labeled control. All HDX reactions were repeated three times. Quenched samples were injected into a chilled HPLC (Agilent) setup with in-line peptic digestion and desalting steps. The analytical column used was a Biobasic 8.5 µm KAPPA column (Fisher Scientific). The peptides were eluted with an acetonitrile gradient and electrosprayed into an Orbitrap Discovery mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific) for analysis. To generate the gradient, solvent A was 0.05% TFA, while

solvent B was 0.05% TFA in 90% acetonitrile. The elution method was as follows: 0-6 min: 10% B; 6-42 min: from 10% B to 55% B; 42-43 min: from 55% B to 90% B; 43-53 min: 90% B; 53-54 min: from 90% B to 10% B; 54-60 min: 10% B. The spray voltage was set at 3.4 kV, capillary temperature was set at 275 °C, capillary voltage was set at 37 V and tube-lens was set at 120 V. As a control, unexchanged samples went through the same process, except that D₂O was replaced by H₂O.

To identify peptides, unexchanged samples were analyzed by tandem MS/MS analysis with the same HPLC method. Tandem MS/MS was performed using data dependent analysis, in which a cycle of one full-scan MS spectrum (m/z 200-2000) was acquired followed by MS/MS events (CID fragmentation). MS/MS was sequentially generated on the ten most intense ions selected from the full MS spectrum at a 35% normalized collision energy. The ion trap analyzer was used for MS₂, activation time was 30 ms, and the dynamic exclusion was set at 30 s. For HDX mass analysis, only a full-scan MS spectrum was acquired, and the resolution was 30000. Database searches were performed with Proteome Discoverer 2.1 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) using the Sequest HT search engine to identify peptides. Raw data were searched against the small database containing all four components of ULK1 complex. The following search parameters were used: unspecific cleavage was used; precursor mass tolerance was set to \pm 10 ppm and fragment mass tolerance was set to \pm 0.6 Da. Target FDR was set to 1% as the filter cut-off for the identified peptides. For HDX analysis, mass analysis of the peptide centroids was performed using HDExaminer (Sierra Analytics), followed by manual verification for every peptide. HDX-MS data are provided in Data Set S1.

ADP-Glo kinase assay

100 nM purified ULK1 complex was mixed with 5 μ M ULKtide (SignalChem Biotech Inc.), and incubated at room temperature for 1 h. The reaction buffer was 20 mM HEPES pH 8.0, 200 mM NaCl, 2 mM MgCl₂, 100 μ M ATP, 20 mM Maltose and 1 mM TCEP. The reaction was terminated by adding an ATP-depletion reagent. Then a kinase detection reagent was added to convert ADP to ATP, which is used in a coupled luciferase reaction. The luminescent output was measured with a GloMax-Multi detection system (Promega) and was correlated with the kinase activity.

Pull-down assays

For the fluorescence-based pulldown assay in Fig. 1E, purified fluorescent ULK1 complex (FIP200-MBP/EGFP-ATG13/EGFP-ATG101/ULK1) was mixed with purified GST-NDP52 or GST-4xUb and 10 μ L Glutathione Sepharose 4B (GE Healthcare). The final buffer was 20 mM HEPES pH 8.0, 200 mM NaCl, 1 mM TCEP, 10 mM maltose and 1% Triton-X-100. The final protein concentration was 50 nM ULK1 complex and 1 μ M GST-NDP52 or GST-4xUb. The final volume was 150 μ L. The system was gently shaken for 1 hour at 23°C. The EGFP signal of the supernatant was measured before and after shaking to calculate the pull-down efficiency. For the pulldown assay in Fig. 2E, purified fluorescent FIP200(EGFP-FIP200-MBP) or ULK1 complex (EGFP-FIP200-MBP/ATG13/ATG101/ULK1) was mixed with purified GST-NDP52 at the indicated concentrations.

For the fluorescent pulldown assay in Fig. 2F, 10 mL of HEK293-GnT1 suspension cells were transfected at the concentration of 2-2.5 \times 10⁶/mL and harvested after 48 hours. The harvested cells were pelleted at 500 \times g for 8 min at 4°C, and then washed with 5 mL PBS once. The pellets were then lysed with 1mL lysis buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 200 mM NaCl, 2 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM TCEP, 1% Triton X-100, 10% Glycerol and protease inhibitors (Roche) before being cleared at 12000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C. The supernatant was then incubated with 20 μ L Glutathione Sepharose 4B (GE Healthcare) or Amylose resin (New England Biolabs) with gentle shaking for 8 hours at 4 °C. The protein-bound resin was washed with 1 mL lysis buffer 3 times, and then eluted with 60 μ l elution buffer containing 50 mM glutathione or 50 mM maltose, respectively. The eluted proteins were applied to SDS-PAGE for analysis.

Liposome Sedimentation Assays

POPI, POPS, POPE and POPC from Avanti Polar Lipids, Inc were dissolved in chloroform. The chloroform was removed by overnight incubation under vacuum. Lipids were rehydrated in 20 mM HEPES pH 8.0, 200 mM NaCl, 1mM TCEP and 50 mM Maltose for 30 min on ice and then resuspended by vigorous vortexing. Small unilamellar vesicles (SUVs) were prepared by sonication on ice until the solution appeared clear. Two kinds of SUV were prepared. PS/PI SUV is comprised of 50% POPS and 50% POPI, while PC/PE SUV is comprised of 50% POPC and 50% POPE. The protein and SUV were mixed and incubated at room temperature for 30 min.

The final concentration of protein is 1 μ M and the final concentration of liposomes was 100 μ M. The final buffer was 20 mM HEPES pH 8.0, 200 mM NaCl, 1mM TCEP and 10 mM Maltose. The liposomes were pelleted in an ultracentrifuge (TLA100 rotor) for 45 min at 50,000 rpm, and the presence of protein in the pellet and supernatant fractions was analyzed by SDS-PAGE.

Preparation of Giant Unilamellar Vesicles (GUVs)

GUVs were prepared by hydrogel-assisted swelling as described previously (Fracchiolla, Chang, Hurley, & Martens, 2020). Polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) with a molecular weight of 145,000 (Millipore) was used as hydrogel substrate. 300 μ L of 5% (w/w) PVA solution was spin coated onto a plasma-cleaned coverslip of 25 mm diameter. The coated coverslip was placed for 30 min in a heating incubator at 60 °C to dry the PVA film. A lipid mixture with a molar composition of 64.8% DOPC, 20% DOPE, 10% POPI, 5% DOPS and 0.2% Atto647N DOPE at 1 mg/ml was spread uniformly onto the PVA film. The lipid-coated coverslip was then put under vacuum overnight to evaporate the solvent. 300 μ L 400 mOsm sucrose solution was used for swelling for 1 h at room temperature, and the vesicles were then harvested and used immediately.

Membrane protein recruitment – GUV assay

The reactions were set up in an eight-well observation chamber (Lab Tek) at room temperature. The chamber was coated with 5 mg/ml β casein for 30 min and washed three times with reaction buffer (20 mM HEPES at pH 8.0, 190 mM NaCl and 1 mM TCEP). A final concentration of 10 μ M GST-4xUb, 1 μ M NDP52, and 100 nM GFP-FIP200 or GFP-ULK1 complex was used for all reactions unless otherwise specified. 15-20 μ L GUVs were added to initiate the reaction in a final volume of 150 μ L. After 5 min incubation, during which we picked random views for imaging, time-lapse images were acquired in multitracking mode on a Nikon A1 confocal microscope with a 63 \times Plan Apochromat 1.4 NA objective. Identical laser power and gain settings were used during the course of all conditions.

Microscopy-based bead protein-protein interaction assay

A mixture of 1 μ M GST-NDP52 and 100 nM GFP-ULK1 complex was incubated with 10 μ L Glutathione Sepharose beads (GE Healthcare) in a reaction buffer containing 20 mM HEPES at pH 8.0, 200 mM NaCl and 1 mM TCEP. After incubation at room temperature for 30 min, the

beads were washed three times, suspended in 120 μ L reaction buffer, and then transferred to the observation chamber for imaging. Images were acquired on a Nikon A1 confocal microscope with a $63 \times$ Plan Apochromat 1.4 NA objective.

Image quantification

ImageJ was used for the data analysis of GUV fluorescence intensities over time and bead image quantification. Three channel GUV movies were split into individual channels. The outline of individual vesicles was manually defined based on the membrane fluorescence channel. For each vesicle, the intensity threshold was calculated by the average intensities of pixels inside and outside of the vesicle. The intensity trajectories of individual GUV were then obtained frame by frame. Multiple intensity trajectories were calculated from multiple data sets and the average and standard deviation calculated and reported. The outline of individual beads was manually defined based on the bright field channel. The intensity threshold was calculated by the average intensities of pixels inside and outside of the bead and then intensity measurements of individual bead were obtained. Averages and standard deviations were calculated among the measured values per each condition and plotted in a bar graph.

Acknowledgements

We thank P. Grob for EM facility support. S. Martens and D. Fracchiolla for discussions and for the His-NDP52 and GST-4xUb constructs, and S. von Bülow for discussions. This study was supported by the National Institutes of Health R01 GM111730 and Human Frontier Science Program RGP0026/2017 to J.H.H., a Jane Coffin Childs Memorial Fund Fellowship to A. L. Y., and a Tang Visiting Scholar fellowship to C.C.

Competing interests

J.H.H. is a co-founder of Casma Therapeutics.

References

Alers, S., Wesselborg, S., & Stork, B. (2014). ATG13: Just a companion, or an executor of the autophagic program? *Autophagy*, 10(6), 944–956. <https://doi.org/10.4161/auto.28987>

Bento, C. F., Renna, M., Ghislat, G., Puri, C., Ashkenazi, A., Vicinanza, M., ... Rubinsztein, D. C. (2016). Mammalian Autophagy: How Does It Work? <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biochem-060815-014556>

Boya, P., Reggiori, F., & Codogno, P. (2013). Emerging regulation and functions of autophagy. *Nature Cell Biology*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb2788>

Cheung, P. P., & Pfeffer, S. R. (2015). Molecular and cellular characterization of GCC185: A tethering protein of the trans-Golgi network. *Methods in Molecular Biology*, 1270, 179–190. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-2309-0_14

Fracchiolla, D., Chang, C., Hurley, J. H., & Martens, S. (2020). A PI3K-WIPI2 positive feedback loop allosterically activates LC3 lipidation in autophagy. *BioRxiv*, 2019.12.18.880591. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2019.12.18.880591>. *J. Cell. Biol.*, in press.

Fujioka, Y., Suzuki, S. W., Yamamoto, H., Kondo-Kakuta, C., Kimura, Y., Hirano, H., ... Noda, N. N. (2014). Structural basis of starvation-induced assembly of the autophagy initiation complex. *Nat Struct Mol Biol.*, 21(6). <https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.2822>

Ganley, I. G., Lam, D. H., Wang, J., Ding, X., Chen, S., & Jiang, X. (2009). ULK1·ATG13·FIP200 complex mediates mTOR signaling and is essential for autophagy. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 284(18), 12297–12305. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M900573200>

Graef, M., Friedman, J. R., Graham, C., Babu, M., & Nunnari, J. (2013). ER exit sites are physical and functional core autophagosome biogenesis components. *Molecular Biology of the Cell*, 24(18), 2918–2931. <https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.E13-07-0381>

Hosokawa, N., Hara, T., Kaizuka, T., Kishi, C., Takamura, A., Miura, Y., ... Mizushima, N. (2009). Nutrient-dependent mTORCl association with the ULK1-Atg13-FIP200 complex required for autophagy. *Molecular Biology of the Cell*, 20(7), 1981–1991. <https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.E08-12-1248>

Hurley, J. H., & Misra, S. (2000, November 28). Signaling and subcellular targeting by membrane-binding domains. *Annual Review of Biophysics and Biomolecular Structure*. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.biophys.29.1.49>

Hurley, J. H., & Young, L. N. (2017). Mechanisms of Autophagy Initiation. *Annu. Rev. Biochem*, 86, 225–244. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biochem.86.111315.133040>

Jung, C. H., Jun, C. B., Ro, S. H., Kim, Y. M., Otto, N. M., Cao, J., ... Kim, D. H. (2009). ULK-Atg13-FIP200 complexes mediate mTOR signaling to the autophagy machinery. *Molecular Biology of the Cell*, 20(7), 1992–2003. <https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.E08-12-1249>

Karanasios, E., Stapleton, E., Manifava, M., Kaizuka, T., Mizushima, N., Walker, S. A., & Ktistakis, N. T. (2013). Dynamic association of the ULK1 complex with omegasomes during autophagy induction. *Journal of Cell Science*, 126, 5224–5238. <https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.132415>

Kirkin, V., & Rogov, V. V. (2019, October 17). A Diversity of Selective Autophagy Receptors Determines the Specificity of the Autophagy Pathway. *Molecular Cell*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2019.09.005>

Lazarou, M., Sliter, D. A., Kane, L. A., Sarraf, S. A., Wang, C., Burman, J. L., ... Youle, R. J. (2015). The ubiquitin kinase PINK1 recruits autophagy receptors to induce mitophagy. *Nature*, 524(7565), 309–314. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14893>

Lin, M. G., & Hurley, J. H. (2016, April 1). Structure and function of the ULK1 complex in autophagy. *Current Opinion in Cell Biology*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceb.2016.02.010>

Lin, M. G., Schöneberg, J., Davies, C. W., Ren, X., & Hurley, J. H. (2018). The dynamic Atg13-free conformation of the Atg1 EAT domain is required for phagophore expansion. *Molecular Biology of the Cell*, 29(10), 1228–1237. <https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.E17-04-0258>

Melia, T. J., Lystad, A. H., & Simonsen, A. (2020). Autophagosome biogenesis: From membrane growth to closure. *The Journal of Cell Biology*, 219(6). <https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.202002085>

Mercer, C. A., Kaliappan, A., & Dennis, P. B. (2009). A novel, human Atg13 binding protein, Atg101, interacts with ULK1 and is essential for macroautophagy. *Autophagy*, 5(5), 649–662. <https://doi.org/10.4161/auto.5.5.8249>

Mercer, T. J., Gubas, A., & Tooze, S. A. (2018). A molecular perspective of mammalian

autophagosome biogenesis. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 293(15), 5386–5395.
<https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.R117.810366>

Murray, D. H., Jahnel, M., Lauer, J., Avellaneda, M. J., Brouilly, N., Cezanne, A., ... Zerial, M. (2016). An endosomal tether undergoes an entropic collapse to bring vesicles together. *Nature*, 537(7618), 107–111. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature19326>

Nishimura, T., Tamura, N., Kono, N., Shimanaka, Y., Arai, H., Yamamoto, H., & Mizushima, N. (2017). Autophagosome formation is initiated at phosphatidylinositol synthase-enriched ER subdomains. *The EMBO Journal*, 36(12), 1719–1735.
<https://doi.org/10.15252/embj.201695189>

Qi, S., Kim, J., Stjepanovic, G., & Correspondence, J. H. H. (2015). Structure of the Human Atg13-Atg101 HORMA Heterodimer: an Interaction Hub within the ULK1 Complex. *Structure*, 23, 1848–1857. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.str.2015.07.011>

Ravenhill, B. J., Boyle, K. B., von Muhlinen, N., Ellison, C. J., Masson, G. R., Otten, E. G., ... Randow, F. (2019). The Cargo Receptor NDP52 Initiates Selective Autophagy by Recruiting the ULK Complex to Cytosol-Invading Bacteria. *Molecular Cell*, 74(2), 320–329.e6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2019.01.041>

Shi, X., Yokom, A. L., Wang, C., Young, L. N., Youle, R. J., & Hurley, J. H. (2020). ULK complex organization in autophagy by a C-shaped FIP200 N-terminal domain dimer running title: Structural organization of the ULK complex. *BioRxiv*.
<https://doi.org/10.1101/840009>. *J. Cell. Biol.*, in press.

Stjepanovic, G., Davies, C. W., Stanley, R. E., Ragusa, M. J., Kim, D. J., & Hurley, J. H. (2014). Assembly and dynamics of the autophagy-initiating Atg1 complex. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 111(35), 12793–12798.
<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1407214111>

Suzuki, H., Kaizuka, T., Mizushima, N., & Noda, N. N. (2015). Structure of the Atg101–Atg13 complex reveals essential roles of Atg101 in autophagy initiation. *Nature Structural & Molecular Biology*, 22(7), 572–580. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.3036>

Suzuki, K., Akioka, M., Kondo-Kakuta, C., Yamamoto, H., & Ohsumi, Y. (2013). Fine mapping of autophagy-related proteins during autophagosome formation in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Journal of Cell Science*, 126(11), 2534–2544. <https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.122960>

Thurston, T. L. M., Wandel, M. P., Von Muhlinen, N., Foeglein, Á., & Randow, F. (2012).

Galectin 8 targets damaged vesicles for autophagy to defend cells against bacterial invasion. *Nature*, 482(7385), 414–418. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10744>

Till, A., Lipinski, S., Ellinghaus, D., Mayr, G., Subramani, S., Rosenstiel, P., & Franke, A. (2013). Autophagy receptor CALCOCO2/NDP52 takes center stage in Crohn disease. *Autophagy*, 9(8), 1256–1257. <https://doi.org/10.4161/auto.25483>

Turco, E., Fracchiolla, D., & Martens, S. (2020,). Recruitment and Activation of the ULK1/Atg1 Kinase Complex in Selective Autophagy. *Journal of Molecular Biology*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2019.07.027>

Turco, E., Witt, M., Abert, C., Bock-Bierbaum, T., Su, M.-Y., Trapannone, R., ... Martens, S. (2019). FIP200 Claw Domain Binding to p62 Promotes Autophagosome Formation at Ubiquitin Condensates. *Molecular Cell*, 0(0). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2019.01.035>

Vargas, J. N. S., Wang, C., Bunker, E., Hao, L., Maric, D., Schiavo, G., ... Youle, R. J. (2019). Spatiotemporal Control of ULK1 Activation by NDP52 and TBK1 during Selective Autophagy. *Molecular Cell*, 74(2), 347-362.e6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2019.02.010>

Verlhac, P., Grégoire, I. P., Azocar, O., Petkova, D. S., Baguet, J., Viret, C., & Faure, M. (2015). Autophagy receptor NDP52 regulates pathogen-containing autophagosome maturation. *Cell Host and Microbe*, 17(4), 515–525. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2015.02.008>

Von Muhlinen, N., Thurston, T., Ryzhakov, G., Bloor, S., & Randow, F. (2010, February 16). NDP52, a novel autophagy receptor for ubiquitin-decorated cytosolic bacteria. *Autophagy*. <https://doi.org/10.4161/auto.6.2.11118>

Yu, H., Yang, F., Dong, P., Liao, S., Liu, W. R., Zhao, G., ... Yao, X. (2019). NDP52 tunes cortical actin interaction with astral microtubules for accurate spindle orientation. *Cell Research*, 29(8), 666–679. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41422-019-0189-9>

Yu, L., Chen, Y., & Tooze, S. A. (2018). Autophagy pathway: Cellular and molecular mechanisms. *Autophagy*, 14(2), 207–215. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15548627.2017.1378838>

Zaffagnini, G., & Martens, S. (2016, May 8). Mechanisms of Selective Autophagy. *Journal of Molecular Biology*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2016.02.004>

Zaffagnini, G., Savova, A., Danieli, A., Romanov, J., Tremel, S., Ebner, M., ... Martens, S. (2018). p62 filaments capture and present ubiquitinated cargos for autophagy. *The EMBO Journal*, 37(5). <https://doi.org/10.15252/embj.201798308>

Figures

Figure1




Figure 1. EM and HDX-MS of length FIP200

(A) Negative stain EM single particles of full length FIP200 alone. (B) Histogram of FIP200 path length and end-to-end distances. Scale bar 200 nm. (C) Difference of Hydrogen Deuterium Exchange percentages of the FIP200 alone vs FIP200:ATG13:ATG101:ULK1 at 60s time point. All values are Mean \pm SD.

Figure2





Figure 2. HDX-MS mapping of NDP52 interactions with the ULK1 complex.


(A-D) Difference of Hydrogen Deuterium Exchange percentages of the ATG13 (A), ATG101 (B), ULK1 (C) and FIP200 (D) in ULK1 complex vs in ULK1 complex with NDP52 at the 60s time point. All values are mean \pm SD. (E) Pull-down efficiency of GFP-tagged wild type ULK1 complex or GFP-FIP200 by glutathione sepharose beads coated with different concentrations of GST-NDP52 as baits. N=3 biological replicates. All values are Mean \pm SD. (F) Pull-down assays of mutant FIP200 constructs (M1-M8) and wild type with NDP52. Both GSH and Amylose resin were used to pull down GST-FIP200(1274-C):MBP-NDP52 complex from lysate of overexpressing HEK cells. The pull-down results were visualized by SDS-PAGE and Coomassie blue staining.

Figure3


A



B



C



D




Figure 3. HDX-MS mapping of membrane interactions with the ULK1 complex.

(A-C) Difference of Hydrogen Deuterium Exchange percentages of the ATG13 (A), ATG101 (B) and FIP200 (C) in ULK1 complex vs in ULK1 complex with POPS/POPI SUV at the 60s time point. All values are mean \pm SD. (D) Liposome sedimentation assay of FIP200 truncations with POPS/POPI and POPC/POPE SUV. Results were visualized by SDS-PAGE and Coomassie blue staining with the supernatant fractions (S) and pellet fractions (P).

Figure 4




Figure 4. Reconstitution of NDP52-stimulated membrane binding of the ULK1 complex.

The schematic drawing illustrates the reaction setting. Colors indicate fluorescent protein fused components. Components in gray are not labeled but are present in the reaction mix. (A) Representative confocal micrographs showing the membrane recruitment of GFP-FIP200. GFP-FIP200 mixed with His-NDP52 or GST-NDP52 was incubated with GUVs, either in the absence or presence of GST-4xUb at room temperature. GFP-FIP200 alone or mixed with GST-4xUb was also incubated with GUVs at room temperature as controls. Images taken at indicated time points were shown. Scale bars, 10 μ m. (B) Quantitation of the kinetics of FIP200 recruitment to the membrane from individual GUV tracing in A (means \pm SDs; N= 51 (-); 51 (His-NDP52); 48 (GST-NDP52); 53 (GST-4xUb); 72 (GST-4xUb+ His-NDP52); 57 (GST-4xUb+ GST-NDP52)). (C) Representative confocal micrographs showing the membrane recruitment of GFP-ULK1 complex. GFP-ULK1 complex alone or mixed with His-NDP52 or GST-NDP52 in the presence of GST-4xUb was incubated with GUVs at room temperature. Images taken at indicated time points were shown. Scale bars, 10 μ m. (D) Quantitation of the kinetics of ULK1 complex recruitment to the membrane from individual GUV tracing in C (means \pm SDs; N= 54 (-); 47 (GST-4xUb+ His-NDP52); 49 (GST-4xUb+ GST-NDP52)).

Figure 5





Figure 5. NDP52 allosterically activates membrane association of ULK1 complex.

(A) Microscopy-based bead protein interaction assay with glutathione sepharose beads coated with GST-NDP52 as baits and incubated with GFP-tagged wild type ULK1 complex or mutant as prey. Representative confocal micrographs are shown. Scale bars, 50 μ m. (B) Quantification of the GFP-ULK1 complex signal intensity measured on glutathione sepharose beads coated with GST-NDP52 (means \pm SDs; N = 20). (C) Representative confocal micrographs showing the membrane recruitment of GFP-ULK1 complex. GFP-tagged wild type ULK1 complex or mutant was mixed with GUVs in the presence of GST-NDP52 and GST-4xUb at room temperature.

Images taken at indicated time points were shown. Scale bars, 10 μ m. (D) Quantitation of the kinetics of ULK1 complex recruitment to the membrane from individual GUV tracing in A (means \pm SDs; N= 22 (WT); 25 (Δ MR); 22 (Δ NDP52)).

Supplementary Figures


Figure supplemental 1



Supplementary Figure 1

(A) Negative Stain EM micrograph of full-length GST-FIP200-MBP. 2D class averages of the NTD dimer (B) and CTD claw domain (C) of GST-FIP200-MBP. Green arrows indicate the GST tags and yellow arrows indicate the MBP tags.


Figure supplemental 2



Supplementary Figure 2. Purified ULK1 complex is functional.


(A) ADP-Glo Kinase assay of ULK1 complex with ULKtide as substrate. N=5 biological replicates. All values are Mean \pm SD. (B) Microscopy-based bead protein interaction assay with glutathione sepharose beads coated with GST-NDP52 as baits and incubated with GFP-tagged wild type ULK1 complex. Representative confocal micrographs are shown. Scale bars, 50 μ m. (C) Pull-down efficiency of GFP-tagged wild type ULK1 complex by glutathione sepharose beads coated with GST-NDP52 or GST-4xUb as baits. N=3 biological replicates. All values are Mean \pm SD.

Figure supplemental 3



Supplementary Figure 3. HDX-MS analysis of the interaction in ULK1 complex with NDP52. (A-D) Difference of Hydrogen Deuterium Exchange percentages of the ATG13 (A), ATG101 (B), ULK1 (C) and FIP200 (D) in ULK1 complex vs in ULK1 complex with NDP52 at the 6s and 600s time point. All values are mean \pm SD.


Figure supplemental 4



Supplementary Figure 4. Pull-down efficiency of FIP200 wild type and mutants.

GSH resin was used to pull down GST-FIP200-MBP, GST-FIP200(ΔMR)-MBP and GST-FIP200(ΔNDP52)-MBP from lysate of overexpressing HEK cells. The pull-down results were visualized by SDS-PAGE and Coomassie blue staining.

Figure supplemental 5



Supplementary Figure 5. Membrane binding of NDP52.

Representative confocal micrographs showing the membrane recruitment of NDP52. GST-NDP52-mCherry (upper) or TSF-NDP52-mCherry (lower) was mixed with GUVs in the presence or absence of GST-4xUb at room temperature. Images taken at 30 min were shown. Scale bars, 10 μm.

Table S1

Construct	Figure	Vector	expression	syster	source
GST-TEVcs-FIP200-MBP	1A-C, 2A-D, 3A-C, 4C-D, 5A-D, S1, S2, S3, S4	pCAG	HEK	GnTI	this study
ATG13	1C, 2A-E, 3A-C, S2A, S3	pCAG	HEK	GnTI	this study
GST-TEVcs-ATG101	1C, 2A-E, 3A-C, S2A, S3	pCAG	HEK	GnTI	this study
MBP-TSF-TEVcs-ULK1	1C, 2A-E, 3A-C, 4C-D, 5A-D, S2, S3	pCAG	HEK	GnTI	this study
EGFP-ATG13	4C-D, 5A-D, S2B-C	pCAG	HEK	GnTI	this study
GST-TEVcs-EGFP-ATG101	4C-D, 5A-D, S2B-C	pCAG	HEK	GnTI	this study
GST-NDP52	2A-E, 4A-D, 5A-D, S2B-C, S3	pGST2	E. Coil		this study
GST-4xUb	4A-D, 5C-D, S2B-C	pGEX5	E. Coil		Zaffagnini <i>et al.</i> , 2018
GST-TEVcs-EGFP-FIP200-MBP	2E, 4A-B	pCAG	HEK	GnTI	this study
MBP-NDP52	2F	pCAG	HEK	GnTI	this study
GST-TEVcs-FIP200(1274-C)	2F	pCAG	HEK	GnTI	this study
GST-TEVcs-FIP200(1274-C)(1294-1301Mut)M1	2F	pCAG	HEK	GnTI	this study
GST-TEVcs-FIP200(1274-C)(1339-1346Mut)M2	2F	pCAG	HEK	GnTI	this study
GST-TEVcs-FIP200(1274-C)(1347-1354Mut)M3	2F	pCAG	HEK	GnTI	this study
GST-TEVcs-FIP200(1274-C)(1355-1362Mut)M4	2F	pCAG	HEK	GnTI	this study
GST-TEVcs-FIP200(1274-C)(1363-1370Mut)M5	2F	pCAG	HEK	GnTI	this study
GST-TEVcs-FIP200(1274-C)(1371-1378Mut)M6	2F	pCAG	HEK	GnTI	this study
GST-TEVcs-FIP200(1274-C)(1379-1386Mut)M7	2F	pCAG	HEK	GnTI	this study
GST-TEVcs-FIP200(1274-C)(1462-1469Mut)M8	2F	pCAG	HEK	GnTI	this study
GST-TEVcs-FIP200(N-640)-MBP	3D	pCAG	HEK	GnTI	this study
GST-TEVcs-FIP200(636-C)-MBP	3D	pCAG	HEK	GnTI	this study
6xHis-TEVcs-NDP52	4A-D	pET	E. Coil		Sascha Martens (Vienna)
GST-TEVcs-FIP200(delta790-1050, ΔMR)-MBP	5A-D, S4	pCAG	HEK	GnTI	this study
GST-TEVcs-FIP200(1363-1370Mut, ΔNDP52)-MBP	5A-D, S4	pCAG	HEK	GnTI	this study
GST-NDP52-mCherry	S5	pGST2	E. Coil		this study
TSF-NDP52-mCherry	S5	pCAG	HEK	GnTI	this study

ULK1

Data Set	ULK1c	ULK1c+NDP52
HDX reaction details	20 mM HEPES pH 8.0, 200mM NaCl, 1mM Maltose, 1mM TCEP, 30 °C	20 mM HEPES pH 8.0, 200mM NaCl, 1mM Maltose, 1mM TCEP, 30 °C
HDX time course (s)	6, 60, 600	6, 60, 600
HDX control samples	60000s HDX sample	
Back-exchange (mean / IQR)	48.2% / 26.4%	
# of Peptides	98	98
Sequence coverage	77%	77%
Average peptide length / Redundancy	17.3 / 1.6	17.3 / 1.6
Replicates (biological or technical)	3 (technical)	3 (technical)
Repeatability	0.096 (average standard deviation)	0.086 (average standard deviation)

ATG13

Data Set	ULK1c	ULK1c+NDP52
HDX reaction details	20 mM HEPES pH 8.0, 200mM NaCl, 1mM Maltose, 1mM TCEP, 30 °C	20 mM HEPES pH 8.0, 200mM NaCl, 1mM Maltose, 1mM TCEP, 30 °C
HDX time course (s)	6, 60, 600	6, 60, 600
HDX control samples	60000s HDX sample	
Back-exchange (mean / IQR)	42.3% / 17.2%	
# of Peptides	65	65
Sequence coverage	85%	85%
Average peptide length / Redundancy	14.9 / 1.9	14.9 / 1.9
Replicates (biological or technical)	3 (technical)	3 (technical)
Repeatability	0.082 (average standard deviation)	0.074 (average standard deviation)

ATG101

Data Set	ULK1c	ULK1c+NDP52
HDX reaction details	20 mM HEPES pH 8.0, 200mM NaCl, 1mM Maltose, 1mM TCEP, 30 °C	20 mM HEPES pH 8.0, 200mM NaCl, 1mM Maltose, 1mM TCEP, 30 °C
HDX time course (s)	6, 60, 600	6, 60, 600
HDX control samples	60000s HDX sample	
Back-exchange (mean / IQR)	49.6% / 16.9%	
# of Peptides	35	35
Sequence coverage	94%	94%
Average peptide length / Redundancy	15.5 / 2.5	15.5 / 2.5
Replicates (biological or technical)	3 (technical)	3 (technical)
Repeatability	0.082 (average standard deviation)	0.081 (average standard deviation)

FIP200

Data Set	ULK1c	ULK1c+NDP52
HDX reaction details	20 mM HEPES pH 8.0, 200mM NaCl, 1mM Maltose, 1mM TCEP, 30 °C	20 mM HEPES pH 8.0, 200mM NaCl, 1mM Maltose, 1mM TCEP, 30 °C
HDX time course (s)	6, 60, 600	6, 60, 600
HDX control samples	60000s HDX sample	
Back-exchange (mean / IQR)	35.8% / 13.4%	
# of Peptides	278	278
Sequence coverage	91%	91%
Average peptide length / Redundancy	15.2 / 2.7	15.2 / 2.7
Replicates (biological or technical)	3 (technical)	3 (technical)
Repeatability	0.072 (average standard deviation)	0.065 (average standard deviation)