

1 Area from image analyses accurately estimates dry-weight biomass of juvenile moss tissue

2

3 Wesley P. Burtscher^{1,*}, Marna A. List^{1,*}, Adam C. Payton¹, Stuart F. McDaniel^{1,+}, and Sarah B.

4 Carey¹

5

6 ¹Department of Biology, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611

7 *Contributed equally to this paper

8 ⁺Corresponding author; stuartmcdaniel@ufl.edu; 1-352-273-0123

9

10 Total word count: 1824 (Introduction: 587, Materials and Methods: 573, Results: 172,

11 Discussion: 492)

12 Number of figures: 3

13 Number of tables: 0

14

15

16 The data that supports the findings of this study are available in the supplementary material of

17 this article. The R script for statistical analyses and plotting is available at

18 https://github.com/sarahcarey/area_v_biomass_Ceratodon/

19

20

21 Summary

22 • Mosses have long served as models for studying many areas of plant biology.

23 Investigators have used two-dimensional measurements of juvenile growth from

24 photographs as a surrogate for dry-weight biomass. The relationship between area and

25 biomass, however, has not been critically evaluated.

26 • Here we grew axenic tissue cultures of ten *Ceratodon purpureus* isolates to study the

27 relationship between these parameters. We measured area and biomass on replicate

28 cultures with two distinct starting inoculum sizes each week for three weeks and

29 examined the correlation between area and biomass as well as the influence of variation

30 in inoculum size on both parameters.

31 • We found a strong correlation between area and biomass after two weeks of growth.

32 Furthermore, we found inoculum size affected biomass during the first week of growth

33 but not subsequent weeks and inoculum size had no detectable effect on area.

34 • These analyses provide experimental confirmation that area is a suitable proxy for

35 biomass and provide clear guidelines for when inoculum size variation may affect

36 downstream growth estimates.

37

38 Keywords

39 *Ceratodon purpureus*, ImageJ, moss, phenotype, *Physcomitrella patens*, protonema,

40 development, tissue culture

41

42 Introduction

43 Mosses have long served as models for studying many problems in plant biology (Cove,
44 2005; Prigge & Bezanilla, 2010). One of the reasons for their utility is the juvenile moss tissue,
45 protonema, is easy to clonally propagate in sterile conditions. Protonema grows in filaments
46 comprised of two cell types (chloronema and caulinema) that divide by serial extension (i.e.,
47 along one plane) and frequently branch, forming a dense three-dimensional network. The
48 maturation of protonema triggers the production of buds, which begin to divide along multiple
49 planes, producing mature, leafy gametophores. It is relatively simple to generate axenic
50 protonemal tissue from germinating spores, wounded mature gametophore tissue, or by
51 subsampling or blending growing protonema (Cove *et al.*, 2009a). The cell walls of protonema
52 can also be digested to generate abundant protoplasts (Cove *et al.*, 2009b). In addition,
53 protonema are responsive to hormone treatments, as well as other chemical or environmental
54 perturbations and are amenable to UV and chemical mutagenesis (Cove, *et al.*, 2009c). The fact
55 that identical haploid clonal replicates can be exposed to the same experimental manipulations in
56 a relatively small amount of space, like a growth chamber, makes moss protonema particularly
57 useful.

58 As a consequence of these attributes, many investigators have used protonemal growth
59 rate as a focal phenotype or a surrogate for fitness (McDaniel *et al.*, 2008; Nomura & Hasezawa,
60 2011; Proust *et al.*, 2011; Tani *et al.*, 2011; Cho *et al.*, 2012; Rawat *et al.*, 2017). The standard
61 practice is to photograph protonemal tissue growing on agar-containing Petri dishes under
62 different treatments and measure the area occupied. This approach, however, uses a two-
63 dimensional representation of a three-dimensional phenotype, because protonema grow
64 orthogonal to the surface of the agar (i.e., up) as well as laterally. Thus, two genotypes with very

65 different growth patterns (primarily horizontal growth versus primarily vertical growth) could be
66 scored as different using the typical image analysis procedure. Such variation in growth form is
67 not uncommon among natural isolates or mutagenized plants (McDaniel *et al.*, 2008; Perroud &
68 Quatrano, 2008). In other plant systems, dry-weight biomass provides a better estimate of overall
69 growth than does two-dimensional area. Measuring protonema using dry-weight biomass,
70 however, cannot be easily automated, making it a time-consuming procedure, and it can only be
71 measured in effectively dead cells, which negates some of the benefits of working with moss
72 protonema.

73 In this paper we describe a critical evaluation of the relationship between the two-
74 dimensional area of protonema, estimated from photographs, to the more biologically relevant
75 trait of biomass. We grew ten diverse isolates of the model moss *Ceratodon purpureus* and
76 measured both two-dimensional area and biomass at three time points. We used isolates
77 representing the global diversity of *C. purpureus* because the species is highly polymorphic in
78 growth patterns (Shaw & Beer, 1999; McDaniel, 2005). We found a strong correlation between
79 area and biomass after two weeks of growth. We also varied the starting inoculum size to
80 evaluate how sensitive the two measures of protonemal growth were to such variation. This
81 measure may be important in labs where multiple individuals initiate experimental cultures or
82 starting inoculum size varies because of other experimental variables. We found while we could
83 detect an effect of inoculum size on biomass after one week, we found no effect after two weeks.
84 Moreover, we detected no effect of inoculum size on area after only one week. Collectively these
85 data indicate that area is a suitable proxy for dry-weight biomass and that for *C. purpureus*
86 growth experiments the effect of inoculum size variation disappears after two weeks.

87

88 Materials and Methods

89 *Tissue generation:* The *C. purpureus* isolates used in this study were previously grown from
90 single-spore isolates and maintained as lab cultures. We used five male/female sibling pairs (i.e.,
91 a male and female from the same sporophyte) that were originally isolated from Ecuador, Chile,
92 New York, Connecticut, and Alaska. To generate tissue for testing area against biomass and the
93 effect of starting inoculum size, we grew axenic tissue cultures following Cove *et al.*, 2009a. We
94 divided the plates into two inoculum treatments: small and large. For the small inoculum size,
95 the moss tissue was rolled into balls that were small but visually uniform in initial size. Each
96 time point had 15 Petri dishes containing four isolates (although a few only had two isolates).
97 Each isolate was replicated six times per time point and to which plate each replicate was placed
98 was randomly selected. For the large inoculum size, the moss tissue was rolled into larger balls
99 of visually uniform initial size (approximately twice the small inoculum size). Each time point
100 had eight Petri dishes of four randomly selected isolates (again a few only had two isolates).
101 Three clonal replicates of each isolate were used per time point for the large inoculum size
102 analyses and to which plate each isolate was grown was randomly selected. The same tissue for
103 the inoculum-size analyses was used for comparisons of area and biomass. The tissue was grown
104 on cellulose cellophane disks overlaying 0.7% agar (A9799, Plant Cell Culture Agar; Sigma, St
105 Louis, MO, USA) in 100 mm Petri dishes containing BCD medium and 5 mM (di)ammonium
106 tartrate (Cove *et al.*, 2009a). Cultures were grown at a constant temperature of 25°C in 18 hour
107 full light days in Percival Scientific growth chambers (Percival Scientific, Inc., Perry, Iowa) with
108 a light intensity 60–80 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$. We haphazardly rotated plate positions inside the growth
109 chamber every 24 hours.

110

111 *Data collection:* Measurements of area and biomass and of small and large inoculum sizes were
112 taken at three time points: at one, two, and three weeks of growth. To collect area measurements,
113 each plate was photographed using a Canon EOS 50D camera (Canon, Inc., Ota City, Tokyo,
114 Japan) and assessed using ImageJ (Schneider *et al.*, 2012). ImageJ measures area by converting
115 pixels to mm² using an object of known length present in the photographs. To collect biomass
116 results, at each time point we harvested the designated replicates and placed each separately into
117 a 1.5 mL tube of known weight (which we measured beforehand). The tissue was then dried in a
118 precision gravity convection incubator for four days at 37°C. We weighed the dried tissue to the
119 0.1 mg accuracy on a Mettler Toledo scale (Mettler Toledo, Columbus, Ohio).

120 The final data set included 264 replicates—between 25 and 27 for each of ten isolates.

121 We removed two clonal replicates that died; several others showed signs of bacterial
122 contamination, but we have included these in our analyses.

123

124 *Statistical analyses:* All statistical analyses and plots were done in R (version 3.5.3; R Core
125 Team, 2019). To test whether area, a two-dimensional representation of moss growth, is an
126 acceptable proxy for biomass we first averaged biomass and area for all clonal replicates within
127 each inoculum size for each single-spore isolate and ran separate correlations for each week
128 using cor(). To test whether starting inoculum size of protonemal tissue affects the results of area
129 and biomass measurements, we ran ANOVAs on each week separately using aov().

130

131 Results

132 To test the strength of the relationship between change in area and change in biomass, we
133 ran a correlation of area by biomass for each week's data. We found a weak correlation between

134 area and biomass for week one (0.389; Fig. **1a**) but strong correlations between the two variables
135 in weeks two and three (0.858 and 0.856, respectively; Fig. **1b,c**).

136 To test the effect of inoculum size on area and biomass, we used an ANOVA to compare
137 either area or biomass with inoculum size for each of the three weeks. Inoculum size had a
138 detectable effect on biomass in week one ($F_{1,18} = 28.51$; $p = 4.48 \times 10^{-5}$; Fig. **2a**) but no effect in
139 weeks two ($F_{1,18} = 2.139$; $p = 0.161$; Fig. **2b**) or three ($F_{1,18} = 1.127$; $p = 0.302$; Fig. **2c**). In
140 contrast, inoculum size had no effect on area at any week measured (week 1 $F_{1,18} = 0.038$; $p =$
141 0.847 ; week 2 $F_{1,18} = 0.208$; $p = 0.654$; week 3 $F_{1,18} = 0.088$; $p = 0.77$; Fig. **3a,b,c**).

142

143 Discussion

144 It is common practice to use image analysis of two-dimensional area as an estimate of
145 growth or fitness in moss protonema. This procedure is non-invasive, fast, and easy to automate.
146 Nevertheless, the accuracy with which this process estimates biomass, the underlying
147 biologically relevant value for assessing overall growth, has not been critically evaluated. Here
148 we show two-dimensional area can provide a strong approximation for protonemal biomass, with
149 some caveats. We found a weak but positive correlation between area and biomass after one
150 week of growth. However, the correlation between these parameters was very strong and stable
151 after two weeks of growth. In addition, the initial size of each replicate (inoculum size) had a
152 negligible effect on that growth. We did find that inoculum size had an effect on biomass at one
153 week of growth, possibly because either we were very close to the range of detection of our scale
154 or the smaller inocula took more time to initiate growth. However, we found no significant
155 difference after two weeks. Additionally, we found inoculum size had no detectable effect on
156 protonemal area, meaning area may be a less-biased measure of growth than biomass under some

157 circumstances. Therefore, while it is still recommended to make moss inocula that are reasonably
158 close in size, concerns about starting tissue affecting downstream growth results are unnecessary
159 (at least within inoculum sizes where the largest is about twice the size of the smallest).

160 Our tissue culture work with other mosses suggests the relationship between two-
161 dimensional area and biomass is likely to hold true across this group. The growth architecture of
162 *Physcomitrella patens*, the most widely used moss model, is quite similar to *C. purpureus* at the
163 protonemal stage, in spite of the fact that these species last shared a common ancestor more than
164 200 million years ago (Laenen *et al.*, 2014). Our experience growing other mosses more closely
165 related to *C. purpureus* suggests this growth pattern is widely conserved. The trends and
166 associations are also consistent between males and females, despite the obvious sexual
167 dimorphism in protonemal growth rate in this species (McDaniel *et al.*, 2008; Fig. 2,3).

168 However, in some mosses, such as *Aulacomnium palustre*, the cultures produce limited
169 protonemal growth and develop gametophores much faster than in either *C. purpureus* or *P.*
170 *patens*. Such species may require additional care when interpreting area as a proxy for growth.
171 Similarly, some environmental conditions may promote growth forms that are more likely to
172 introduce error in the method that we describe. Elevated humidity, for example, induces vertical
173 growth in some genotypes, and media with decreased calcium concentrations can induce a very
174 compact growth. However, under most circumstances in standard growth conditions, if
175 environmental conditions are consistent across samples, two-dimensional area is likely to
176 provide an accurate estimate of biomass and therefore growth. Thus, taken together these results
177 will be of use for design and implementation of moss protonemal tissue growth analyses, as well
178 as tissue with a similar growth phenotype.

179

180 Acknowledgments

181 This work was funded by a University of Florida University Scholars Program fellowship to
182 M.A.L., a University of Florida Department of Biology Mildred Mason Griffith Botany Grant to
183 S.B.C., and an NSF grant to S.F.M. (DEB 1541005).

184

185 Author Contributions

186 This study was conceived by A.C.P., M.A.L., S.B.C., and S.F.M. The growth experiment was set
187 up by M.A.L. and photograph collection was by A.C.P., M.A.L., and S.B.C. ImageJ analyses
188 were done by M.A.L. Statistical analyses were conducted by S.B.C and W.P.B. Interpretation of
189 results and writing of the manuscript were done by M.A.L., S.B.C., S.F.M and W.P.B.

190

191 References

192 **Cho, SH, Ceyda C, Axtell MJ. 2012.** miR156 and miR390 regulate tasiRNA accumulation and
193 developmental timing in *Physcomitrella patens*. *The Plant Cell* **24.12** (2012): 4837-4849.

194

195 **Cove D. 2005.** The Moss *Physcomitrella patens*. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* **39**: 339-358.

196 10.1146/annurev.genet.39.073003.110214.

197

198 **Cove DJ, Perroud P, Charron AJ, McDaniel SF, Khandelwal A, and Quatrano
199 RS. 2009a.** Isolation and regeneration of protoplasts of the moss *Physcomitrella patens*. *Cold
200 Spring Harbor Protocols* **2009** 2: pdb.prot5140.

201

202 **Cove D, Perroud P- F, Charron A, McDaniel S, Khandelwal A, Quatrano R. 2009b.** The
203 moss *Physcomitrella patens*: a novel model system for plant development and genomic
204 studies. *Emerging Model Organisms*. New York, NY, USA: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory
205 Press.

206

207 **Cove DJ, Perroud P, Charron AJ, McDaniel SF, Khandelwal A, and Quatrano
208 RS. 2009c.** Transformation of the moss *Physcomitrella patens* using direct DNA uptake by
209 protoplasts. *Cold Spring Harbor Protocols* **2009** *2*: pdb.prot5143.

210

211 **Laenen B, Shaw B, Schneider H, Goffinet B, Paradis E, Désamoré A, Heinrichs
212 J, Villarreal JC, Gradstein SR, McDaniel SF et al. 2014.** Extant diversity of bryophytes
213 emerged from successive post- Mesozoic diversification bursts. *Nature
214 Communications* **5**: 6134.

215

216 **McDaniel SF. 2005.** genetic correlations do not constrain the evolution of sexual dimorphism in
217 the moss *Ceratodon purpureus*. *Evolution* **59**: 2353-2361. 10.1111/j.0014-3820.2005.tb00945.x.

218

219 **McDaniel SF, Willis JH, Shaw AJ. 2008.** The genetic basis of abnormal development in
220 interpopulation hybrids of the moss *Ceratodon purpureus*. *Genetics* **179**: 1425– 1435.

221

222 **Nomura, T., Hasezawa, S.** Regulation of gemma formation in the copper moss *Scopelophila
223 cataractae* by environmental copper concentrations. *J Plant Res* **124**, 631–638 (2011).

224 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10265-010-0389-3>

225

226 **Prigge MJ, Bezanilla M. 2010.** Evolutionary crossroads in developmental
227 biology: *Physcomitrella patens*. *Development* **137**: 3535– 3543.

228

229 **Proust H, Hoffmann B, Xie X, Yoneyama Kaori, Schaefer DG, Yoneyama K, Nogué**
230 **F, Rameau C. 2011.** Strigolactones regulate protonema branching and act as a quorum sensing-
231 like signal in the moss *Physcomitrella patens*. *Development* **138**: 1531–1539.

232

233 **R Core Team. 2019.** *R: a language and environment for statistical computing*. Vienna, Austria:
234 R Foundation for Statistical Computing. <http://www.R-project.org/>

235

236 **Rawat A, Brejšková L, Hála M, Cvrčková F, and Žárský V. 2017.** The *Physcomitrella patens*
237 exocyst subunit EXO70.3d has distinct roles in growth and development, and is essential for
238 completion of the moss life cycle. *New Phytol.* **216**: 438-454. 10.1111/nph.14548.

239

240 **Schneider CA, Rasband WS, Eliceiri KW. 2012.** NIH image to ImageJ: 25 years of image
241 analysis. *Nature Methods* **9**: 671– 675.

242

243 **Shaw AJ, Beer SC. 1999.** Life history variation in gametophyte populations of the
244 moss *Ceratodon purpureus* (Ditrichaceae). *American Journal of Botany* **86**: 512– 521.

245

246 **Tani A, Akita M, Murase H, and Kimbara K. 2011.** Culturable bacteria in hydroponic
247 cultures of moss *Racomitrium japonicum* and their potential as biofertilizers for moss

248 production. *Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering* **112** 1:32-

249 39. <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1389172311001162>.

250

251 Supporting Information

252 Table S1. Data for area and biomass statistical analyses and scatterplot, where replicates within
253 an isolate, inoculum size, and week were averaged.

254

255 Table S2. Data for boxplots of area and biomass, where replicates within an isolate, inoculum
256 size, and week were not averaged.

257

258 Figure Legends

259 Figure 1. Scatterplots of mean biomass (mg) and mean area (mm^2) during three weeks of growth
260 in large and small inoculum sizes of ten isolates of *Ceratodon purpureus*. Area and biomass were
261 compared at **(a)** one, **(b)** two, and **(c)** three weeks of growth. Week one shows a weak positive
262 correlation (0.393), while weeks two (0.858) and three (0.837) show a strong positive
263 correlation. Lines are linear least squares regression fits to data points.

264

265 Figure 2. Boxplots of biomass (mg) of *C. purpureus* isolates after one (a), two (b), and three (c)
266 weeks of growth. Different populations (AK = Alaska, CL = Chile, CT = Connecticut, EC =
267 Ecuador, NY = New York) of female (F) and male (M) isolates at large (L) and small (S)
268 inoculum sizes have a significant difference in biomass at one week **(a)** but show no significant
269 difference in weeks two and three **(b)** and **(c)**.

270

271 Figure 3. Boxplots of area (mm²) of isolates after one (**a**), two (**b**), and three (**c**) weeks of growth.

272 Different populations (AK = Alaska, CL = Chile, CT = Connecticut, EC = Ecuador, NY = New

273 York) of female (F) and male (M) isolates at large (L) and small (S) inoculum sizes have no

274 significant difference in area at any of the three weeks tested.

275





