

1 **Conditionally rare taxa contribute but do not account for prokaryotic**
2 **community changes in soils**

3

4 Rachel Kaminsky ¹ and Sergio E. Morales ^{1*}

5 ¹ *Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Otago School of Medical Sciences,*

6 *University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand*

7

8 Running title: Conditionally rare taxa do not modify communities

9 *Corresponding author: sergio.morales@otago.ac.nz (S. E. Morales)

10

11 Conflict of Interest: We claim a potential Conflict of Interest through funding

12 provided by Mainland Minerals LTD (a fertilizer company based on NZ) to support

13 the submitted research.

14

15 **Abstract**

16 Conditionally rare taxa (CRT) are thought to greatly impact microbial
17 community turnover across many environments, but little is known about their role in
18 soils. Here, we investigate the contribution of CRT to whole community variation
19 over space and time in a series of geographically distinct soils dedicated to three
20 agricultural practices of differing intensities and sampled over a full seasonal cycle.
21 We demonstrate that soil CRT do not account for observed total community changes,
22 but that these rare taxa can be modified by spatiotemporal filters.

23 Rare species are pervasive in microbial consortia (Curtis et al., 2002). Known
 24 as the “rare biosphere,” these members are being increasingly recognized for their
 25 importance in microbial communities (Pedrós-Alió, 2007, Lynch and Neufeld, 2015).
 26 There are several potential causes of rarity including transience, competition, niche
 27 breadth and predation of abundant taxa (Jousset et al., 2016). It has been suggested
 28 that the rare biosphere is a dormant “seed bank” wherein members become abundant
 29 after predation events (Lennon and Jones, 2011). However, rare microbes can also be
 30 active (Campbell et al., 2011, Hugoni et al., 2013, Kurm et al., 2017), signifying
 31 several potential ecological roles for the rare biosphere. Recent work identified
 32 conditionally rare taxa (CRT)—microbes that are rare at certain points in time/space
 33 and “bloom” to abundance at other points—as major contributors to community
 34 dynamics in several environments (Shade et al., 2014). This confirms that some rare
 35 microbes are transient and are potentially responsible for changes in community
 36 structure. In soils, studies have found that rare microbes bloom after disturbances
 37 (Aanderud et al., 2015, Fuentes et al., 2016). Despite these important findings, the
 38 literature is still developing with regard to the soil rare biosphere. Given the
 39 importance of soil microbial communities to mediating ecosystem processes,
 40 understanding the contribution of rare soil microbes is of great importance.

41 We investigated the contribution of conditionally rare prokaryotes using
 42 agricultural soils as a model system (see Supplementary Methods). We sampled soils
 43 from 24 sites under three agricultural practices in New Zealand. Sampling occurred
 44 during three time points over a year to capture the most divergent seasonal stages
 45 (summer to winter and return to summer) to assess community changes over space
 46 and time. We tested two hypotheses: (H_1) CRT contribute disproportionately to whole

community structure and (H₂) recruitment from the rare biosphere is linked to spatiotemporal filtering.

Time responsive CRT constituted 4-6% of OTUs in each site while space responsive CRT represented 1% of the total community (*b* value, > 0.9, relative abundance > 0.01%, Figure S1-3). To assess the contribution of CRT to whole community structure, we constructed three Bray-Curtis distance matrices from OTU tables for each site: (1) only OTUs identified as CRT, (2) whole communities including CRT and (3) whole communities excluding CRT. Mantel tests between distance matrices for CRT and whole communities including CRT are insignificant (Figure 1A). The correlation between space sensitive CRT and the whole community including CRT is significant, but weak ($R^2 = 0.02$, $P = 0.002$). Mantel tests between communities excluding CRT and whole communities including CRT have R^2 values close to 1 (Table S1). This indicates that CRT do not contribute significantly to community variation, which does not align with previous findings, though it should be noted that these studies used moving windows analysis (Shade et al., 2014) and measurements of activity (Aanderud et al., 2015), which were not employed here. It is possible that soil CRT have a limited role in soil functions, remaining mostly dormant and only blooming to abundance in extreme cases, as it is estimated that a substantial portion of microbes are inactive (Lennon and Jones, 2011). On the other hand, CRT might be K-selected, investing in few members and therefore exhibiting a life strategy that isn't reflected in whole community dynamics. This may be favorable given the heterogeneity of soil, wherein CRT may not overtake dominant taxa, but perform key functions that are costly for those taxa. For example, *Desulfosporosinus* is estimated to perform the majority of sulfate-reduction in peatlands despite its relatively minor contribution to community variance (Pester et al., 2010).

72 Despite a minor contribution to whole community variance, CRT community
73 structure is linked to spatiotemporal factors. ANOSIM tests revealed significant
74 correlations for CRT and whole communities at individual sites with time (Figure 1B,
75 Figure S4-5). Mantel tests between space responsive CRT, the whole community and
76 pH were significant, and ANOSIM tests with land use and soil order were also
77 significant (Figure S6-7, Table S2). These results agree with previous studies which
78 have found that soil prokaryotic communities exhibit temporal patterns (Lauber et al.,
79 2013), are sensitive to pH change (Lauber et al., 2009), land use change (Steenwerth
80 et al., 2003) and soil type (Kaminsky et al., 2017). This indicates that soil CRT follow
81 the same assembly rules as abundant taxa, thus contributing to community changes,
82 but are not overwhelmingly represented in these dynamics.

83 Although CRT communities exhibit broad relationships with spatiotemporal
84 factors, results revealed that only 8% of time responsive CRT and 0.005% of space
85 responsive CRT are correlated to measured spatiotemporal factors (Figure 2). Key
86 taxa are represented; for example, *Acidobacteria* is widely known to be sensitive to
87 pH, and is reflected as such here, where it is rare in high pH soils and abundant in low
88 pH soils. *Saprospiraceae* vary seasonally, which is consistent with previous findings
89 (Schauer et al., 2006). These results may show that certain rare members have major
90 functional roles in soils, but aren't well represented in overall community variance.
91 Further, it shows that ecological filters not accounted for here, such as neutral
92 processes or unmeasured niche factors, govern most soil CRT.

93 Results indicate that while soil CRT are sensitive to spatiotemporal filters,
94 they are not accountable for observed whole community variability across space and
95 time. This is significant in that it implies an ecological role for soil CRT that is not
96 related to abundance.

97

98 **Conflict of Interest**

99

100 The authors declare a conflict of interest. This work was funded by a grant from
101 Mainland Minerals Ltd.

102

103 **Acknowledgements**

104

105 We thank Mainland Minerals and Mainland Minerals Southern for sampling aid. We
106 also thank Hill Laboratories and Soiltech for providing physicochemical analyses. RK
107 was funded through a Callaghan Innovation education fellowship (MMSOU1301).

108

109

110 **References**

111

112 Aanderud ZT, Jones SE, Fierer N, Lennon JT. (2015). Resuscitation of the rare
113 biosphere contributes to pulses of ecosystem activity. *Front Microbiol* **6**: 24.

114

115 Campbell BJ, Yu LY, Heidelberg JF, Kirchman DL. (2011). Activity of abundant and
116 rare bacteria in a coastal ocean. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **108**: 12776-12781.

117

118 Curtis TP, Sloan WT, Scannell JW. (2002). Estimating prokaryotic diversity and its
119 limits. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **99**: 10494-10499.

120

121 Fuentes S, Barra B, Caporaso JG, Seeger M. (2016). From rare to dominant: a fine-
122 tuned soil bacterial bloom during petroleum hydrocarbon bioremediation. *Appl*
123 *Environ Microbiol* **82**: 888-896.
124
125 Hugoni M, Taib N, Deboras D, Domaizon I, Isabelle JD, Bronner G, *et al* (2013).
126 Structure of the rare archaeal biosphere and seasonal dynamics of active ecotypes in
127 surface coastal waters. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **110**: 6004-6009.
128
129 Jousset A, Bienhold C, Chatzinotas A, Gallien L, Gobet A, Kurm V, *et al* (2017).
130 Where less may be more: how the rare biosphere pulls ecosystem strings. *ISME J* **11**:
131 853-862.
132
133 Kaminsky R, Trouche B, Morales SE. (2017). Soil classification predicts differences
134 in prokaryotic communities across a range of geographically distant soils once pH is
135 accounted for. *Sci Rep* **7**: 45369.
136
137 Kurm V, Putten WH, Boer W, Naus-Wiezer S, Hol WH. (2017). Low abundant soil
138 bacteria can be metabolically versatile and fast growing. *Ecology* **98**: 555-564
139
140 Lauber CL, Hamady M, Knight R, Fierer N. (2009). Pyrosequencing-based
141 assessment of soil pH as a predictor of soil bacterial community structure at the
142 continental scale. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **75**: 5111-5120.
143
144 Lauber CL, Ramirez KS, Aanderud Z, Lennon J, Fierer N. (2013). Temporal
145 variability in soil microbial communities across land-use types. *ISME J* **7**: 1641-1650.

146

147 Lennon JT, Jones SE. (2011). Microbial seed banks: the ecological and evolutionary
148 implications of dormancy. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **9**: 119-130.

149

150 Lynch MDJ, Neufeld JD. (2015). Ecology and exploration of the rare biosphere. *Nat*
151 *Rev Microbiol* **13**: 217-229.

152

153 Pedrós-Alió C. (2007). Dipping into the rare biosphere. *Science* **315**: 192-193.

154

155 Pester M, Bittner N, Deevong P, Wagner M, Loy A. (2010). A ‘rare biosphere’
156 microorganism contributes to sulfate reduction in a peatland. *ISME J* **4**: 1591-1602.

157

158 Schauer M, Jiang J, Hahn MW. (2006). Recurrent seasonal variations in abundance
159 and composition of filamentous SOL cluster bacteria (*Saprospiraceae*, *Bacteroidetes*)
160 in oligomesotrophic Lake Mondsee (Austria). *Appl Environ Microbiol* **72**: 4704-4712.

161

162 Shade A, Jones SE, Caporaso JG, Handelsman J, Knight R, Fierer N, Gilbert JA.
163 (2014). Conditionally rare taxa disproportionately contribute to changes in microbial
164 diversity. *MBio* **5**:e01371-14.

165

166 **Figure legends**

167

168 **Figure 1** Contribution of CRT to community variance. Summary of Mantel
169 correlations between Bray Curtis distances for site-level time responsive CRT
170 communities and whole communities for each site (A) and summary of ANOSIM

171 results for correlation between time and either site-level CRT or site-level whole
 172 community changes (B). pH and land use are shown to discount confounding effects
 173 by a dominant soil driver.
 174
 175
 176 **Figure 2** Relationships between individual CRT and key spatiotemporal factors.
 177 OTUs identified as CRT and significantly correlated to changes in pH (A), land use
 178 (B), soil order (C) and time (D). The OTU from each site that varied most
 179 significantly with time was plotted. A full list of OTUs correlated with time is
 180 reported in Table S3. Taxa were chosen based on a Kruskal Wallis $P < 0.05$ (land use,
 181 soil order and time), and a Spearman's $P < 0.05$ for pH. Taxa are presented at the
 182 lowest classification level available, and colored at the phylum level.
 183
 184



