

Reciprocal Control of Motility and Biofilm Formation by the PdhS2

Two-Component Sensor Kinase of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*

Jason E. Heindl^{1,2}, Daniel Crosby², Sukhdev Brar², Tiyan Singletary², Daniel Merenich²,
Justin L. Eagan¹, Aaron M. Buechlein³, Eric L. Bruger⁴,
Christopher M. Waters⁴ and Clay Fuqua^{1*}

¹Department of Biology
Indiana University
Bloomington, IN 47405, USA

²Department of Biological Sciences
University of the Sciences
Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA

³Center for Genomics and Bioinformatics
Indiana University
Bloomington, IN 47405

⁴Department of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics
Michigan State University
East Lansing, MI 48824, USA

Running title: *Agrobacterium* PdhS2 regulates motility and biofilms

Keywords: Development, sensor kinase, phosphorelay, *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*,
biofilm, motility

*Address for correspondence: Clay Fuqua, Dept. Biol., 1001 E. 3rd St., Jordan Hall 142,
Indiana Univ., Bloomington, IN 47405-1847. Tel: 812-856-6005, FAX: 812-855-6705, E-
mail: cfuqua@indiana.edu

Current addresses: J.E.H., Dept. Biol. Sci., Univ. Sci, Philadelphia, PA; E.L.B., Dept.
Bio. Sci., Univ. Idaho, Moscow, ID 83844

Word count 9275 (without abstract, importance, acknowledgements, figure legends or
references):

6 Figures, 2 Tables, 10 Supp. Figures, 3 Supp. Tables

ABSTRACT

A core regulatory pathway that directs developmental transitions and cellular asymmetries in *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* involves two overlapping, integrated phosphorelays. One of these phosphorelays putatively includes four histidine sensor kinase homologues, DivJ, PleC, PdhS1, and PdhS2, and two response regulators, DivK and PleD. In several different alphaproteobacteria, this pathway influences a conserved downstream phosphorelay that ultimately controls the phosphorylation state of the CtrA master response regulator. The PdhS2 sensor kinase reciprocally regulates biofilm formation and swimming motility. In the current study the mechanisms by which the *A. tumefaciens* sensor kinase PdhS2 directs this regulation are delineated. PdhS2 lacking a key residue for phosphatase activity is markedly deficient in proper control of attachment and motility phenotypes, whereas a kinase-deficient PdhS2 mutant is only modestly affected. A genetic interaction between DivK and PdhS2 is revealed, unmasking one of several connections between PdhS2-dependent phenotypes and transcriptional control by CtrA. Epistasis experiments suggest that PdhS2 can function independently of the CckA sensor kinase, the cognate sensor kinase for CtrA which is inhibited by DivK. PdhS2 dynamically localizes to the daughter cell pole in dividing cells. Global expression analysis of the *pdhS2* mutant reveals a restricted regulon, functioning through CtrA to separately control motility and regulate levels of the intracellular signal cyclic diguanylate monophosphate (cdGMP), thereby affecting production of adhesive polysaccharides and attachment. We hypothesize that in *A. tumefaciens* the CtrA regulatory circuit has expanded to include additional inputs through addition of PdhS-

60 type sensor kinases, likely fine-tuning the response of this organism to the soil
61 microenvironment.

62

IMPORTANCE

Bacterial developmental processes, such as morphological transformations and behavioral transitions, are tightly regulated. In many alphaproteobacteria cell division and development are coordinated by a specific suite of conserved histidine kinases and their partnered regulatory proteins. Here we describe how the histidine kinase PdhS2 of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* regulates complex phenotypes including biofilm formation and motility. PdhS2 genetically interacts with a single-domain response regulator, DivK, and the intracellular signal cyclic diguanylate monophosphate. PdhS2 dynamically localizes to the new pole of recently divided cells, contributing to the regulatory processes that dictate whether these cells remain motile or initiate biofilm formation. These findings expand our understanding of the complex network that integrates cell division and developmental control in *A. tumefaciens* and related alphaproteobacteria.

INTRODUCTION

Bacteria are sometimes considered to be elementary life forms, with simple body plans, streamlined reproductive cycles, and monolithic behavior when compared with higher eukaryotes. To the contrary, many bacteria can exhibit a remarkable diversity of developmental complexity, both temporal and morphological (1, 2). Even bacterial species whose cells appear morphologically uniform, such as rod-shaped *Escherichia coli* or coccoid *Staphylococcus aureus*, possess distinct cellular architectures as well as intricately timed cell division programs, and a large number of bacteria can form multicellular biofilms (3, 4). Developmental processes in bacteria, as in higher eukaryotes, are driven by factors that may be considered both cell-intrinsic and cell-extrinsic. Intrinsic factors include genomic and proteomic content, while extrinsic factors comprise environmental conditions, such as pH and temperature, which cells sense and to which they respond (5).

Members of the *Alphaproteobacteria* group include host-associated pathogens (e.g. *Brucella* sp., *Bartonella* sp.), host-associated commensals (e.g. *Sinorhizobium* sp., *Bradyrhizobium* sp.), and free-living aquatic and marine bacteria (e.g. *Caulobacter* sp., *Rhodobacter* sp., *Ruegeria* sp.). It is now recognized that several alphaproteobacteria divide asymmetrically, during which cells elongate, duplicate and segregate their genomic content between two non-equivalent compartments of predivisional cells, and finally generate two cells by cytokinesis (6, 7). Notably, cellular components are unevenly distributed between the two daughter cells during cell division, including surface structures (e.g. flagella and polar polysaccharides), cell wall components (e.g. peptidoglycan), and even cytoplasmic complexes (e.g. heat shock proteins). For

example, there may be a clear segregation of existing organelles to one daughter cell while the second cell generates these structures *de novo* (6, 8-10). Although the specific details may vary among different taxa, the end result is the production of a young daughter cell and a comparatively aging mother cell. Not only does this uneven division partition senescence among the products of cell division, but it also allows for the generation of functionally distinct cell types. For example, in *Caulobacter crescentus* the non-motile stalked cell type can attach to surfaces using its polar adhesin called the holdfast (11). This stalked cell then serves as the mother cell during multiple rounds of cell division, generating and releasing motile swarmer cells upon each cytokinetic event (12). Motile swarmer cells are prohibited from entering the cell division cycle until differentiation into the non-motile stalked form (13, 14).

Underlying asymmetric cell division is subcellular differentiation that includes localization of specific regulatory proteins to programmed locations within each cell (15). Prominent among these in many alphaproteobacteria are components of two overlapping phosphorelays, the first which functions through the response regulators DivK and PleD (the DivK-PleD relay) and the second which functions primarily through the response regulator CtrA (the CtrA relay). The pathways are connected through DivK, which controls initiation of the CtrA relay by regulating its cognate sensor kinase CckA (16, 17). Collectively we refer to these two relays as the DivK-CtrA pathway. In the well-studied *C. crescentus* system the membrane-associated sensor histidine kinases PleC and DivJ control the phosphorylation state of DivK and PleD, and localize to opposing poles of the predivisional cell (18-21). Through antagonistic kinase and phosphatase activities on DivK and PleD, their target response regulators, PleC and

DivJ inversely manifest their activity on the most downstream component of the DivK-CtrA pathway, the response regulator CtrA (22-25). DivJ is retained at the stalked cell pole and serves as a DivK/PleD kinase, increasing the DivK~P concentration and diminishing CtrA~P levels in this region of the cell (Fig. 1A). Conversely, PleC localizes to the pole distal to the stalk, where the single polar flagellum is assembled, dephosphorylating DivK, leading to increased CtrA~P levels and activity. Phospho-CtrA binds to the replication origin thereby preventing DNA replication, and also acts as a transcriptional regulator for many genes, including activating those for assembly of the flagellum and motility (26-28). The CtrA relay is also influenced by the DivK-PleD relay through levels of the second messenger cyclic diguanylate monophosphate (cdGMP). DivJ-dependent phosphorylation of PleD at the stalk pole of the predivisional cell stimulates its diguanylate cyclase activity, resulting in higher levels of cdGMP at this end of the cell. The CckA kinase that initiates the CtrA relay is also biased away from its kinase and towards its phosphatase activity by direct allosteric control through high levels of cdGMP, thereby reinforcing a CtrA~P gradient, relatively low at the stalk pole and increasing towards the distal pole (29-34) (Fig. 1A).

Agrobacterium tumefaciens is a plant pathogen of the *Alphaproteobacteria* class that is not stalked, but like *C. crescentus*, divides asymmetrically generating a motile daughter cell from a mother cell (6). As a facultative pathogen, the *A. tumefaciens* lifestyle substantially differs from that of the freshwater oligotroph *C. crescentus*. Nonetheless core components of the DivK-CtrA pathway are well conserved in *A. tumefaciens*, including three non-essential PleC/DivJ homologue sensor kinase (PdhS) homologues PleC (Atu0982), PdhS1 (Atu0614), and PdhS2 (Atu1888). The *divJ* gene

(Atu0921) is essential in *A. tumefaciens* (35, 36). We have previously shown that the three non-essential PdhS homologues have distinct roles in the normal cellular development of *A. tumefaciens* (35). Mutants in PleC and PdhS1, as well as the *A. tumefaciens* DivK homologue, all manifested marked effects on cell division, with branched and elongated cells, as well as deficiencies in motility and biofilm formation. To date the essentiality of *divJ* has precluded exhaustive phenotypic analysis of its role (35). The fourth PdhS family member, PdhS2, does not appear to participate in regulation of cell division as all cells are morphologically wild-type in appearance (35). Loss of PdhS2, however, results in dramatically increased attachment and biofilm formation, and a simultaneous dramatic reduction in motility. Reciprocal regulation of these phenotypes is often a hallmark of regulation by cdGMP (37). In this work we further explore the mechanism by which PdhS2 regulates attachment and motility. Our results genetically connect DivK with PdhS2 and transcriptional profiling clearly implicates CtrA as their downstream regulatory effector. We also show a clear intersection of PdhS2 activity and the activity of several diguanylate cyclases, suggesting that PdhS2 and cdGMP coordinately regulate biofilm formation and motility in *A. tumefaciens*. Finally, we observe that PdhS2 dynamically localizes to the newly generated poles of both the new daughter cell and the mother cell following cytokinesis. Collectively, our findings suggest that PdhS2 activity is specifically required for proper development of motile daughter cells.

RESULTS

Mutational analysis of PdhS2 reveals coordinate regulation through kinase and

phosphatase activities. Members of the PdhS family of sensor histidine kinases contain a conserved HATPase_c catalytic domain at their carboxyl termini and an upstream conserved HisKA dimerization/phosphoacceptor domain. Many sensor kinases exhibit bifunctional catalytic activity, alternately acting as kinase or phosphatase, and *C. crescentus* PleC is one such example (18, 22, 38). Multiple sequence alignment of the HisKA domain from the *A. tumefaciens* and *C. crescentus* PdhS family kinase homologues reveals a high level of conservation of this domain including the phospho-accepting histidine residue (H271 of PdhS2) and a threonine residue predicted to be important for phosphatase activity (T275 of PdhS2) (Fig. 1B).

To test the requirement of the conserved phospho-accepting histidine for PdhS2 activity we mutated this residue to alanine (H271A). Ectopic expression of PdhS2^{H271A} (plasmid-borne *P_{lac}-pdhS2*, kinase-negative, K; phosphatase-positive, P⁺) effectively complemented the attachment and motility phenotypes of the $\Delta pdhS2$ mutant similar to wild type *pdhS2* (Fig. 2A). These data indicate that this histidine residue is not crucial for PdhS2 regulation of swimming motility and biofilm formation. When *pdhS2* is ectopically expressed in the wild type, it causes a slight but significant stimulation of biofilm formation, and the *pdhS2*^{H271A} (K-P⁺) mutation reverses this effect.

Efficient phosphatase activity of many sensor kinases requires a conserved threonine residue roughly one α -helical turn (4 residues) downstream of the phospho-accepting histidine residue (39, 40). We therefore mutated this conserved threonine

residue to alanine (Thr275A). In contrast to the PdhS2^{H271A} mutant protein (K⁻P⁺), equivalent ectopic expression of the PdhS2^{T275A} allele (K⁺P⁻) failed to complement the $\Delta pdhS2$ motility and attachment phenotypes, and in fact exacerbated them (Fig. 2A). When expressed in wild type, the PdhS2^{T275A} allele (K⁺P⁻) caused modest stimulation of biofilm formation and slightly decreased motility. A double mutant allele of PdhS2 with both the histidine and threonine residues mutated (K⁻P⁻) had no effect on these phenotypes (Fig. S1). Together these results suggest that it is the balance of kinase and phosphatase activity that dictates PdhS2 control over its targets, with the kinase stimulating biofilm formation and decreasing motility, and the phosphatase activity diminishing biofilm formation and promoting motility. The phosphatase activity however, appears to play the dominant role under laboratory culture conditions.

Mutations in *divK* are epistatic to *pdhS2* mutations. Members of the PdhS family of sensor kinases were originally identified based on homology with their namesakes DivJ and PleC of *C. crescentus* (41, 42) (Fig. 1B). Based on this homology all PdhS family members are predicted to interact with the single domain response regulator DivK (42) and also interact with the diguanylate cyclase response regulator PleD. Prior work from our laboratory has shown that both swimming motility and adherent biomass are diminished in the $\Delta divK$ mutant, implying that DivK activity is required for proper regulation of these phenotypes in *A. tumefaciens* (35). In contrast, PdhS2 inversely regulates these phenotypes; a $\Delta pdhS2$ mutant is non-motile but hyperadherent. To determine whether PdhS2 genetically interacts with DivK we constructed a $\Delta divK \Delta pdhS2$ mutant and compared swimming motility and biofilm formation in this

strain to wild-type and parental single deletion strains (Fig. 2B). As reported, loss of either *divK* or *pdhS2* reduced swimming motility as measured by swim ring diameter on motility agar. Biofilm formation on PVC coverslips in the $\Delta divK$ mutant was diminished relative to the wild-type C58 strain while for the $\Delta pdhS2$ mutant it was dramatically increased. The $\Delta divK \Delta pdhS2$ mutant was similar to the $\Delta divK$ mutant in both assays, with no significant difference in the efficiency of either swimming motility or biofilm formation between the two strains. These data support the proposed genetic interaction between *divK* and *pdhS2*, with the *divK* mutation epistatic to *pdhS2* for biofilm formation and swimming motility.

Swim ring diameters of the $\Delta divK$ and $\Delta divK \Delta pdhS2$ mutants were decreased by ~20% compared to wildtype whereas the decrease in $\Delta pdhS2$ swim ring diameters was ~40% compared to wildtype, suggesting that the nature of the defect in swimming motility differs between these two classes of mutants and that loss of *divK* partially restores motility in the absence of *pdhS2*. Indeed, it was earlier noted that although both the $\Delta divK$ and the $\Delta pdhS2$ single deletion mutants produce polar flagella, very few $\Delta pdhS2$ mutant bacteria were observed to be motile under wet-mount microscopy implying that the swimming defect is due to diminished flagellar activity rather than flagellar assembly (35). The $\Delta divK$ mutant, however, was readily observed to be motile under wet-mount microscopy. Similarly, the $\Delta divK \Delta pdhS2$ mutant generates polar flagella and its motility is readily observed under wet-mount microscopy. Both the $\Delta divK$ and $\Delta divK \Delta pdhS2$ mutants, and not the $\Delta pdhS2$ mutant, generate aberrant cell morphologies including elongated and branched cells (35) (Fig. S2).

Further support for a genetic interaction between PdhS2 and DivK was provided by plasmid-borne, wild-type PdhS2 expressed ectopically from a P_{lac} promoter. Induced expression of *pdhS2* rescues swimming motility and returns biofilm formation closer to wild type levels in the $\Delta pdhS2$ mutant, albeit incompletely (Fig. 2A). However, as predicted from the $\Delta divK \Delta pdhS2$ phenotypes, plasmid-borne provision of PdhS2 in the $\Delta divK$ mutant had no significant effect on either biofilm formation or swimming motility (Fig. 2C). Expression of either the kinase-null or the phosphatase-null allele of PdhS2 in the $\Delta divK$ background similarly had no effect on biofilm formation or swimming motility (Fig. 2C).

Kinase-locked allele of CckA does not suppress *pdhS2* phenotypes. One key PdhS target among multiple bacterial taxa is the hybrid histidine kinase CckA. CckA exhibits dynamic regulation dependent upon both phosphorylation status of DivK and local levels of cdGMP (31). CckA ultimately serves as either a source or a sink for CtrA phosphorylation through a phosphorelay that includes the histidine phosphotransferase ChpT (29). Previously we identified a mutation in CckA that results in a kinase-locked allele which is insensitive to regulation by DivK and cdGMP, CckA^{Y674D} (31, 35). Expression of this allele in the $\Delta pleC$ background suppressed the swimming motility defect of the $\Delta pleC$ strain but had no effect on swimming motility in the $\Delta divK$ background (35). These results were consistent with PleC activity proceeding through DivK and with DivK negatively regulating CckA kinase activity. We reasoned that if PdhS2 similarly functioned through DivK and CckA, expression of CckA^{Y674D} in the $\Delta pdhS2$ background would suppress the motility and biofilm phenotypes of this strain.

However, induced expression of wild-type CckA or the CckA^{Y674D} allele only marginally impacted these phenotypes (Fig. S3 and S4). These observations suggest that PdhS2 functions differently than PleC.

PdhS2 and DivJ localize to the pole of *A. tumefaciens*. A mechanism for establishing and maintaining developmental asymmetries in bacteria is the differential polar localization of proteins with opposing functionalities (43, 44). Several members of the PdhS family of sensor kinases localize to one or both bacterial poles (18, 22, 45). Using a full-length PdhS2-GFP fusion that retains wild type functionality, expressed from *P_{lac}* on a low copy number plasmid, we tracked localization of PdhS2 in *A. tumefaciens* following IPTG induction (Fig. 3A). In both wild-type (data not shown) and $\Delta pdhS2$ backgrounds PdhS2-GFP localized primarily to the new pole of predivisional cells. Time-lapse microscopy of the $\Delta pdhS2$ mutant expressing PdhS2-GFP revealed apparent dynamic relocation of PdhS2-GFP to the newly generated pole of the daughter cell coincident with cytokinesis. In the mother cell a new focus of PdhS2-GFP subsequently accumulates at the new pole generated following septation and daughter cell release. These time-lapse experiments clearly indicate that PdhS2-GFP localizes to the actively growing pole of the cell, and is lost at that pole as it developmentally matures and the cell proceeds to the predivisional state. Following cytokinesis PdhS2-GFP localizes to the newly generated, younger poles of both the daughter cell and the mother cell. This dynamic localization is consistent with PdhS2 activity being restricted to the motile-cell compartment of predivisional cells and to newly generated motile cells.

Since PdhS2 localizes to the new pole of dividing cells and primarily requires its phosphatase activity there likely exist one or more old pole-localized kinases opposing PdhS2 activity. The most obvious candidate for this is DivJ, which localizes to the old pole in *C. crescentus* and acts as a DivK kinase in both *C. crescentus* and *S. meliloti* (42, 46). Time-lapse microscopy of a full length DivJ-GFP fusion in wild-type *A. tumefaciens* reveals localization to the old pole in mother cells that is not redistributed over the course of multiple cell division cycles (Fig. 3B). Our findings are confirmed by a recent report of similar localization patterns for PdhS2 and DivJ, which also demonstrates PdhS1 localization to the old pole of the bacterium, similar to DivJ (47).

Expression of predicted CtrA-dependent promoters. The known architecture of the DivK-CtrA pathway predicts that PdhS2 impacts developmental phenotypes through the transcriptional regulator CtrA. In *C. crescentus*, CtrA is known to directly regulate at least 55 operons, acting as either an activator or repressor of transcription, and to control DNA replication (22, 28). *A. tumefaciens* CtrA is predicted to act similarly, binding to DNA in a phosphorylation-dependent manner and regulating DNA replication and transcription. *A. tumefaciens* CtrA is 84% identical to *C. crescentus* CtrA at the amino acid level and purified *C. crescentus* CtrA binds to a site upstream of the *A. tumefaciens ccrM* gene (48). Furthermore, computational analysis of multiple alphaproteobacterial genomes uncovered numerous cell cycle regulated genes preceded by a consensus CtrA binding site (49). We therefore evaluated CtrA activity by examining the transcription of several known and predicted CtrA-dependent promoters from both *C. crescentus* and *A. tumefaciens* in wild-type, $\Delta pdhS2$, and $\Delta divK$ *A.*

tumefaciens strain backgrounds. The *ccrM*, *ctrA*, and *pilA* promoters from *C. crescentus* were chosen to represent CtrA-activated promoters likely to be similarly regulated in *A. tumefaciens* (13, 14, 50, 51). In the $\Delta pdhS2$ background, expression levels from both the *ctrA* and *pilA* promoters from *C. crescentus* were significantly reduced while transcription from the *C. crescentus ccrM* promoter was unchanged (Table 1). In the *A. tumefaciens* $\Delta divK$ background the *C. crescentus ccrM* and *ctrA* promoters exhibited increased activity while the *pilA* promoter was unchanged (Table 1). These data are consistent with *A. tumefaciens* CtrA regulating transcription of known CtrA-dependent promoters, and with PdhS2 and DivK inversely regulating CtrA activity in *A. tumefaciens*.

From *A. tumefaciens* the *ccrM* promoter is the only promoter for which prior experimental data suggest CtrA-dependent regulation, thus this promoter was selected for analysis (48). In addition to *ccrM*, putative *A. tumefaciens* promoters for *ctrA* and *pdhS1* were selected for analysis based on the presence of at least one predicted CtrA binding site as well as hypothesized cell cycle regulation of these loci. Transcriptional activity from the *A. tumefaciens ctrA* and *pdhS1* promoter constructs showed inverse regulation in the $\Delta pdhS2$ and $\Delta divK$ backgrounds, with expression decreased from the *ctrA* promoter and increased for the *pdhS1* promoter in the $\Delta pdhS2$ mutant, and exactly reversed in the $\Delta divK$ mutant. Although absence of *pdhS2* had little effect on the *A. tumefaciens ccrM* promoter, transcription from this promoter was significantly increased in the $\Delta divK$ background (Table 1). These data are congruent with the above data for *C. crescentus* CtrA-dependent promoters and further support CtrA regulation of cell cycle-responsive genes in *A. tumefaciens*.

Global transcriptional analysis of PdhS2 activity. To determine the effect of PdhS2 activity on the *A. tumefaciens* transcriptome we used whole genome microarrays. Gene expression was compared between WT and $\Delta pdhS2$ strains grown to exponential phase in minimal media. Of 5338 unique loci represented on the arrays 39 genes were differentially regulated above our statistical cut-offs (P values, ≤ 0.05 ; $\log_2$ ratios of $\geq \pm 0.50$; Table 2). Of these, 24 genes were significantly upregulated, indicating negative regulation by PdhS2. Upregulated genes included *dgcB*, previously shown to contribute to elevated biofilm formation in hyperadherent *A. tumefaciens* mutants disrupted in the motility regulators VisN and VisR (52). Also upregulated was Atu3318, encoding a LuxR-type transcription factor, that similar to *dgcB* was previously identified by its elevated levels in *visNR* null mutants. Downregulated genes in the *pdhS2* mutant included six succinoglycan biosynthetic genes, consistent with our previous results showing positive regulation of succinoglycan production by PdhS2 (35).

To determine whether any of these 39 genes were putatively regulated by CtrA we scanned a sequence window from 500 bp upstream of the start codon to 100 bp into the coding sequence for plausible CtrA binding sites. CtrA binding sites were defined using the conserved alphaproteobacterial CtrA recognition sequence 5'-TTAANNNNNNGTTAAC-3' (48, 49). Sequences containing seven or more of the conserved nucleotides in this motif were deemed plausible candidates. Using these parameters 15 differentially transcribed loci are expressed from promoters (some from upstream genes in the operon) with putative CtrA binding sites and are thus putatively directly regulated by CtrA, including *dgcB* and Atu3318, as well as all five of the

downregulated succinoglycan biosynthetic genes (Fig. S5; Table 2). We also identified numerous CtrA half-sites containing the sequence 5'-TTAA-3'. In *C. crescentus* CtrA has been shown to bind to such half motifs resulting in transcriptional effects (53). Twenty-eight promoters contained at least one CtrA half-site (Table 2).

To extend our microarray results we measured transcription of translational fusions to β -galactosidase for *dgcB* and *Atu3318*, in wild-type, $\Delta pdhS2$, and $\Delta divK$ strain backgrounds (Table 1). In both cases β -galactosidase activity increased in the $\Delta pdhS2$ mutant, corroborating the microarray results. Furthermore, activity decreased from each promoter fusion in the $\Delta divK$ background supporting inverse regulation by PdhS2 and DivK at these promoters. Finally, to confirm a role for CtrA in transcriptional regulation by PdhS2 and DivK we mutated one CtrA half-site (-126 from start codon, TTAA to AATT) in the *dgcB* promoter region and evaluated transcription from this promoter fused to β -galactosidase as above. Surprisingly, activity from this mutated promoter was diminished in all backgrounds tested (wild-type, $\Delta pdhS2$, and $\Delta divK$) suggesting that CtrA does interact with this site to influence transcription of *dgcB*, but that this regulation is complex (Table 1). Overall these results are consistent with PdhS2 impacting the motile cell developmental program through CtrA-dependent transcriptional control.

Evaluation of CtrA protein levels. Turnover of CtrA is known to play a role in its regulatory activity in several related systems. To determine the effect of PdhS2 and DivK on CtrA activity and stability in *A. tumefaciens* we evaluated its steady-state levels and turnover in unsynchronized, stationary phase cultures using western blotting with antibodies raised against *C. crescentus* CtrA. In stationary phase cultures CtrA levels

were increased in the $\Delta pdhS2$ background and decreased in the $\Delta divK$ background, but these effects were quite modest. This observation is however consistent with PdhS2 and DivK inversely impacting CtrA accumulation or stability (Fig. 4A).

CtrA protein stability was evaluated following treatment of wild-type and $\Delta pdhS2$ cultures with chloramphenicol to inhibit translation during exponential growth. In wild-type cultures, translation inhibition leads to a decline in CtrA abundance over the course of 2-3 hours, diminishing to roughly 30% of the steady-state levels. Loss of *pdhS2* had no effect on either final steady-state levels of CtrA following inhibition of translation or the observed rate of turnover when compared with wild-type cultures under these conditions (Fig. 4B).

PdhS2 activity intersects with cyclic-di-GMP pools. In *C. crescentus* DivJ and PleC positively regulate, via phosphorylation, a second response regulator, PleD, as well as DivK (22). In *C. crescentus* and *A. tumefaciens* the *divK* and *pleD* coding sequences form one operon and transcriptional regulation of both genes is linked. Since several PdhS kinases in these systems are predicted to interact with both DivK and PleD we analyzed the effect of loss of PleD activity in the $\Delta pdhS2$ background. As reported previously, deletion of *A. tumefaciens pleD* alone has only modest effects on swimming motility and adherent biomass (35). Loss of *pleD* in the $\Delta pdhS2$ background had a minimal effect on swimming motility (Fig. S6). Biofilm formation, however, was reduced by approximately 30%, indicating that PleD contributes to the increased attachment phenotype of the $\Delta pdhS2$ mutant (Fig. 5A).

PleD is a GGDEF motif-containing diguanylate cyclase (DGC), and thus it is likely that the attachment phenotype of the $\Delta pdhS2$ mutant requires increased levels of cdGMP. Earlier work from our lab identified three additional DGCs that are relevant to attachment and biofilm formation: DgcA, DgcB, and DgcC (52). As seen in wild-type C58, deletion of *dgcA* or *dgcB* in the $\Delta pdhS2$ background significantly decreased attachment and biofilm formation, whereas loss of *dgcC* did not (Fig. 5A). These data suggest that increased biofilm formation by the $\Delta pdhS2$ mutant is dependent on cdGMP pools, generated through PleD, DgcA, or DgcB. Loss of *dgcB* largely abolishes the increased biofilm formation of the $\Delta pdhS2$ mutant. We also compared biofilm formation in $\Delta dgcB\Delta pleD$ and $\Delta pdhS2\Delta dgcB\Delta pleD$ mutant backgrounds. In the absence of both DgcB and PleD biofilm formation was enhanced by loss of PdhS2 (Fig. S7). These data suggest that the increased biofilm formation of a *pdhS2* mutant is dependent on a cdGMP pool that is predominantly due to DgcB, but that is also under the cumulative influence of multiple DGC enzymes. Swimming motility was equivalent in either wild-type C58 or $\Delta pdhS2$ backgrounds in combination with mutations in *pleD*, *dgcA*, *dgcB*, or *dgcC* (Fig. S6 and S7).

Previously we found that mutants lacking either *dgcA*, *dgcB*, or *dgcC* show insignificant differences in total cytoplasmic levels of cdGMP (52). Nonetheless, loss of either *dgcA* or *dgcB*, or mutation of the GGDEF catalytic site of either enzyme, significantly reduced biofilm formation (52), implicating these enzymes in controlling the pool of cdGMP and thereby affecting attachment. We compared cytoplasmic cdGMP levels for wild-type C58, the $\Delta pdhS2$ mutant, and the $\Delta pdhS2\Delta dgcB$ mutant strain and found these levels to be low, with no significant change between mutants (Fig. S8). To

verify that the DGC activity is responsible for the increased biofilm formation in the $\Delta pdhS2$ background we expressed an allele of *dgcB* with a mutation in its GGDEF catalytic motif (GGAAF; *dgcB*^{*}) that abrogates cdGMP formation and that fails to complement a $\Delta dgcB$ mutant for either cdGMP formation or attachment phenotypes (52). Plasmid-borne expression of wild-type *dgcB* (*P_{lac}-dgcB*) results in a massive increase in attachment and biofilm formation in either the wild-type C58 or $\Delta pdhS2$ background (Fig. 5B). Expression of *dgcB*^{*} from a *P_{lac}* promoter, however, did not increase biofilm formation in either background. In the $\Delta pdhS2\Delta dgcB$ mutant background expression of wild-type *dgcB* increased biofilm formation to the same degree seen in the wild-type and $\Delta pdhS2$ mutant. Expression of the mutant *dgcB*^{*} allele in the $\Delta pdhS2\Delta dgcB$ mutant did appear to modestly affect biofilm formation, although far less than with the wild-type *dgcB* allele. Swimming motility was modestly but significantly reduced when the wild-type *dgcB* allele was provided *in trans* and this was abolished by the *dgcB*^{*} mutation (Fig. S9). Together our data are consistent with PdhS2-dependent biofilm formation and, to a lesser extent, swimming motility, being mediated at least in part by cdGMP levels.

Increased attachment in a *pdhS2* mutant requires the UPP polysaccharide. We have previously reported that PleD-stimulated attachment was due to increased levels of the unipolar polysaccharide (UPP) and cellulose (52). In addition to UPP and cellulose, *A. tumefaciens* produces at least three other exopolysaccharides: succinoglycan, cyclic β -1, 2 glucans, β -1, 3 glucan (curdlan), as well as outer membrane associated lipopolysaccharide (LPS) (54). Of these only LPS is essential for *A.*

tumefaciens growth (36). The $\Delta pdhS2$ strain was tested for the impact of each of the non-essential exopolysaccharides for biofilm formation and swimming motility. The *upp* mutation completely abolished attachment in both the wild type and the *pdhS2* mutant (Fig. S10A). The *chvAB* mutant, known to have pleiotropic effects (55), was diminished in adherence overall, but was still elevated by the *pdhS2* mutation. None of the other exopolysaccharide pathways impacted adherence in either background. The decreased swimming phenotype of the *pdhS2* mutant was not significantly altered for any of the exopolysaccharide mutants (Fig. S10B). These results indicate that biofilm formation in the $\Delta pdhS2$ strain is dependent primarily on UPP production and that the motility phenotype of the $\Delta pdhS2$ mutant is not dependent on any of the known exopolysaccharides.

DISCUSSION

PdhS2 regulates attachment and motility predominantly by its phosphatase

activity and through CtrA. Regulation of the developmental program of many alphaproteobacteria centers on the global transcriptional regulator CtrA (43, 49, 56, 57). CtrA activity is controlled, indirectly, through a series of phosphotransfer reactions dependent on one or more PdhS-type histidine kinases. Here we show that PdhS2, one of at least four PdhS family kinases from *A. tumefaciens*, regulates motility and attachment at least in part through fine-tuning of CtrA activity, thereby impacting the CtrA regulon. We demonstrate that null mutations of the single domain response regulator *divK* are epistatic to *pdhS2* mutations in *A. tumefaciens*. Mutation of specific DGCs also reverse the phenotypes of *pdhS2* mutants, suggesting that elevated attachment and decreased motility are mediated through cdGMP pools. The phosphatase activity of PdhS2 is predominantly responsible for its function during laboratory culture growth. It is worth noting however, that strains bearing the phosphatase-null and kinase-null alleles of PdhS2 do not phenocopy one another nor the $\Delta pdhS2$ mutant strains, supporting distinct roles for both enzymatic activities. This is in contrast to mutant alleles of *pleC* in *C. crescentus* (46, 58, 59). Fine-scale determination of the timing, specificity, and regulation of both phosphatase and kinase activities await further experimentation.

Indirect regulation of CtrA by PdhS2. Our data support altered CtrA activity as responsible for many of the *pdhS2*-dependent transcriptional responses, and through these motility and attachment. A significant fraction of the differentially expressed genes had presumptive CtrA binding sites in their upstream regions. Several of the genes

examined directly were dysregulated in both *pdhS2* and *divK* mutants, but usually in the opposite directions. We predict that both DivK and PdhS2 function through affecting the phosphorylation state of CtrA. In *A. tumefaciens* CtrA activates certain promoters (e.g. succinoglycan synthetic genes and *ctrA*) while repressing others (e.g. *dgcB*, *Atu3318*, and *pdhS1*). Although the *pdhS2* and *divK* mutations do slightly change steady state levels of CtrA, our findings suggest that changes in CtrA stability do not explain the resulting phenotypes. We posit that the phosphorylation state of CtrA is the dominant mechanism by which its activity is affected in these mutants. There are several examples in *C. crescentus* where altered CtrA activity is observed without significant changes in CtrA abundance (36, 60, 61). There are also several examples in both *C. crescentus* and *S. meliloti* where altered PdhS kinase activity results in both perturbed activity and altered abundance of CtrA (42, 62). The subtle effects on CtrA abundance coupled with the modest, but significant, effects we observed for several CtrA-dependent promoters, suggest that PdhS2 regulation of CtrA activity may be restricted to a very tight window during the cell cycle. Alternatively, the complement of remaining PdhS kinases may buffer CtrA activity in the absence of *pdhS2*.

PdhS2 influences cdGMP-dependent phenotypes. The increased biofilm formation and diminished motility in a *pdhS2* mutant is most similar to the inverse regulation frequently observed for increasing internal pools of cdGMP (63). Indeed, our data demonstrate a strong dependence on specific diguanylate cyclases for mediating the Δ *pdhS2* hyperadherent phenotype, and transcription analysis demonstrates that *dgcB* expression is elevated in this mutant. Although measurements of the cytoplasmic

cdGMP levels suggest that they remain low overall in the *pdhS2* mutant, it is clear that mutations in *dgcB* strongly reverse the effects of the *pdhS2* mutation on attachment and that, to a lesser extent, mutations in *dgcA* and *pleD* can diminish them. This suggests that increased cdGMP synthesis via these enzymes may impart the effect on UPP-dependent attachment. Although DgcB seems to have the dominant effect, it is plausible that PdhS2 may affect PleD DGC activity through the phosphorylation state of its receiver domain, similar to other PleD homologues (22, 64, 65). In *A. tumefaciens* PleD has only modest effects on motility and attachment, and does not significantly contribute to cell cycle control (35). Neither DgcB nor DgcA is a response regulator, and it is more likely that at least for *dgcB*, its elevated expression is the mechanism through which CtrA functions. Recent studies have revealed that the *C. crescentus* orthologue of *A. tumefaciens* DgcB regulates holdfast synthesis in response to changes in flagellar rotation (66). Thus, changes in *dgcB* levels due to mutation of *pdhS2* may be also be impacting the motile to sessile transition in *A. tumefaciens*.

The phenotypes regulated by PdhS2 mirror those regulated by the master motility regulators VisR and VisN (52). Loss of either *visN* or *visR* results in abolishment of motility and a dramatic increase in attachment that is dependent on cdGMP production and the UPP adhesin. However, the motility defect in *visNR* mutants is predominantly transcriptional, as expression of all of the flagellar genes is dramatically decreased. In contrast, none of the flagellar genes are differentially regulated in the *pdhS2* mutant as measured in our microarray data, and flagella are assembled but decreased in activity. The increased attachment in *visNR* mutants is however due to elevated *dgcB* expression and also requires *dgcA*, through increased cdGMP and

elevation of UPP and cellulose biosynthesis (52). Interestingly, other target genes that are derepressed in *pdhS2* mutants are also among the small fraction of the genes that are increased in a *visR* mutant, such as *Atu3188*. Given the presence of CtrA boxes in their upstream sequences this may suggest a common underlying mechanism. Interestingly, mutation of *dgcB* or the other DGCs does not enhance the dramatically impeded motility of the *pdhS2* mutant. This suggests that the loss of motility in the *pdhS2* mutant is not primarily due to elevated cdGMP levels. In many systems, CtrA directly regulates motility, often through flagellar gene expression (67, 68). In fact, plasmid-borne expression of the *A. tumefaciens* CtrA in a *ctrA* null mutant of the marine alphaproteobacterium *Ruegeria* sp. KLH11 (*ctrA* is not essential in this taxon), effectively reverses its non-motile phenotype (69), indicative of its positive impact on motility on this bacterium. It seems likely that the non-motile phenotype of the *A. tumefaciens pdhS2* mutant likewise reflects a decrease in active CtrA.

Interestingly, as shown for *C. crescentus* and *A. tumefaciens*, elevated cdGMP allosterically switches the bifunctional hybrid histidine kinase CckA from kinase to phosphatase mode, thereby downregulating CtrA phosphorylation and DNA-binding activity (30, 31). Thus effects on local cdGMP levels can feed back on the CtrA pathway, reinforcing decreases in CtrA~P that would be coincident with increased cdGMP.

Segregation of antagonistic signaling activity promotes asymmetric development.

The asymmetric division of *A. tumefaciens* and other alphaproteobacteria, producing two genetically identical but phenotypically distinct daughter cells, requires well-

coordinated regulation of two developmental programs. The mother cell remains in a terminally differentiated state, proceeding through distinct synthesis (S) and growth (G1/G2) phases of the cell cycle (54, 56). During G1/G2 phase the cell elongates into a predivisional cell and establishes a functional asymmetry between its two cellular poles by differential localization of antagonistic homologues of the PdhS kinases. At least one PdhS kinase localizes to the old pole; DivJ in *C. crescentus*, DivJ and PdhS1 in *A. tumefaciens* (Fig. 1A and 3B), PdhS in *B. abortus*, and CbrA in *S. meliloti*, (18, 41, 47). From this position these kinases can act to phosphorylate targets such as DivK and PleD, indirectly inactivating CtrA (as reported for *C. crescentus*). At the opposite pole at least one PdhS kinase, PleC in *C. crescentus* and PdhS2 in *A. tumefaciens* (Fig. 1A and 3A), localizes and acts primarily through its phosphatase activity to dephosphorylate targets, ultimately promoting CtrA stability and activity. Upon cytokinesis, then, the motile daughter cell is released in a G1/G2 growth phase with high levels of CtrA activity establishing a distinct transcriptional program and limiting DNA replication.

Our data are consistent with PdhS2 acting in the motile daughter cell to prevent premature activation of cell attachment processes, as well as to promote motility. PdhS2 dynamically localizes to the new pole of *A. tumefaciens* cells following cytokinesis while DivJ, another PdhS-type kinase, localizes to the old pole of each cell. We propose that together the antagonistic activities of DivJ and PdhS2 (and perhaps additional PdhS homologues), coupled with their distinct localization patterns, generate a spatiotemporal gradient of phospho-CtrA, thus differentially regulating the developmental program of *A. tumefaciens* (Fig. 1A). Localized synthesis and degradation of cdGMP contributes to

this regulatory gradient. Prior to and after cytokinesis daughter cells would have PdhS2 at their flagellar pole, reinforcing the CtrA pathway, increasing CtrA~P, promoting motility and preventing adhesive processes. In contrast, at the mother cell old pole after PdhS2 delocalization, DivJ kinase activity would dominate, the CtrA pathway would be inhibited, and lower CtrA~P levels would promote DNA replication, maintaining a sessile, non-motile state. Computational models of asymmetric cell development in *C. crescentus* support this notion, with the important caveat that phospho-DivK may not be distributed in a gradient but rather locally restricted (23, 25, 70).

PdhS2 may regulate CtrA activity via an alternate route. The recognized architecture of the DivK-CtrA regulatory pathway in several alphaproteobacteria, coupled with our data demonstrating a genetic interaction between *divK* and *pdhS2* in *A. tumefaciens*, are consistent with PdhS2, primarily through its phosphatase activity, decreasing DivK phosphorylation, similar to what is predicted for the other PdhS-type kinase PleC (Fig. 6, Model A). The *pdhS2* mutant phenotype is however in stark contrast to the other non-essential *A. tumefaciens* PdhS-type mutants and the *divK* mutant, which all cause cell branching (35). How does PdhS2 regulate the same pathway so differently from the PdhS-type proteins? Possibly, spatial restriction of PdhS2 activity to the new poles of mother cells, that rapidly transition to become the old pole of newly formed daughter cells, imparts PdhS2 control of motility and attachment processes, without strongly influencing the budding process *per se*. Alternatively, PdhS2 may act via a different mechanism to influence CtrA activity.

An interesting possibility is that PdhS2 and DivK may work in parallel rather than in series to impact CtrA activity and its target genes (Fig. 6, Model B). The apparent epistasis of the *divK* mutation over the *pdhS2* mutation could result from the unfettered activity of CckA in the *divK* mutant, which titrates the impact of the *pdhS2* mutation. Our results in which expression of wild-type and kinase-locked CckA (CckA^{Y67D}) alleles in the Δ *pdhS2* mutant only modestly impact its mutant phenotypes, support this proposal (Fig. S3 and S4). The CckA^{Y67D} mutant was isolated as a spontaneous suppressor of the swimming deficiency of a *pleC* mutant (35). Plasmid-borne ectopic expression of the CckA^{Y67D} effectively reversed *pleC* phenotypes, in contrast to the observation that it does not suppress *pdhS2* mutant phenotypes (Fig. S3 and S4). This suggests that PdhS2 does not act similarly to PleC to inhibit DivK phosphorylation. A plausible explanation is that PdhS2 control of CtrA activity is independent of DivK and CckA (Fig. 6, Model B). Although uninhibited CckA kinase activity in a *divK* mutant can overcome the effect of the *pdhS2* mutation, perhaps the kinase-locked CckA^{Y67D} allele is insufficiently active to do so.

In this model, PdhS2 intercepts the DivK-CtrA signaling axis at a node downstream or independent of CckA (Fig. 6). Our findings reveal that the phosphatase activity of PdhS2 is dominant in its impact on CtrA-dependent targets, suggesting that it dephosphorylates a response regulator that itself is inhibitory to CtrA activity. A response regulator that inhibits CtrA directly is CpdR (Fig. 6), which in *C. crescentus* stimulates proteolytic turnover of CtrA (71). *A. tumefaciens* has two CpdR homologues, CpdR1 and CpdR2 (Atu3883 and Atu3603, respectively). *S. meliloti* likewise maintains two CpdR homologues one of which, CpdR1, impacts developmental phenotypes and

CtrA activity (62, 72). However, current models suggest that for CpdR in *C. crescentus* and CpdR1 in *S. meliloti*, phosphorylation through ChpT decreases its ability to drive CtrA degradation. Therefore, in *A. tumefaciens*, if PdhS2 acts on the pathway through its phosphatase activity, dephosphorylation of CpdR1 would increase its inhibitory capacity for CtrA. Mutation of *pdhS2* would then be predicted to lead to more CtrA~P available to inhibit *dgcB* expression and stimulate motility, generating the opposite of the non-motile, hyperadherent phenotypes we observe. It is formally possible that in *A. tumefaciens* CpdR functions differently than its orthologues in *C. crescentus* or *S. meliloti*, or that the PdhS2 target is a different response regulator.

A plausible alternative target for PdhS2 is CpdR2 (Atu3603). The CpdR2 response regulator of *S. meliloti* does not impact developmental phenotypes (77), consistent with our own observations for *cpdR2* mutants of *A. tumefaciens* (Heindl et al. unpublished results). If not CpdR2, the target could be a response regulator (RR-X) that is thus far unrecognized to function in CtrA control (Fig. 6). In either case, one would predict that the phosphorylated form of the response regulator is active for CtrA inhibition, and that dephosphorylation by PdhS2 diminishes its inhibitory activity on CtrA. Conversely, *pdhS2* mutants promote the strongly activated form of this response regulator, inhibiting CtrA, derepressing *dgcB* expression and limiting motility, and in turn driving cdGMP-responsive attachment. We have previously proposed additional direct targets for the PdhS-type kinase DivJ as a means to explain the contradiction between the essentiality of *divJ* and the non-essentiality of *divK* in *A. tumefaciens* (35). In that work we also noted the possibility of DivJ directly targeting CtrA as observed *in vitro* for *C. crescentus* DivJ. It is thus possible that both DivJ and PdhS2 in *A. tumefaciens* act

638 downstream of CckA, perhaps both through RR-X (Fig. 6), more directly influencing
 639 CtrA (73). These models are currently being tested, but are more challenging due to the
 640 essentiality of many of the regulatory components in this domain of the pathway for *A.*
 641 *tumefaciens*, including DivJ itself.
 642

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains and plasmids. Bacterial strains, plasmids, and oligonucleotides used in these studies are listed in Tables S1 through S3. *A. tumefaciens* was routinely cultivated at 28°C in AT minimal medium plus 1% (w/v) glucose as a carbon source and 15 mM (NH₄)₂SO₄ as a nitrogen source (ATGN), without exogenous FeSO₄ (74, 75). For biofilm assays 22 µM FeSO₄ was included in the media. *E. coli* was routinely cultivated at 37°C in lysogeny broth (LB). Antibiotics were used at the following concentrations (*A. tumefaciens*/*E. coli*): ampicillin (100/100 µg·mL⁻¹), kanamycin (150/25 µg·mL⁻¹), gentamicin (150/30 µg·mL⁻¹), spectinomycin (300/100 µg·mL⁻¹), and tetracycline (4/10 µg·mL⁻¹).

Non-polar, markerless deletion of *pdhS2* (Atu1888) in all genetic backgrounds used in this work was accomplished using splicing by overlap extension (SOE) polymerase chain reaction (PCR) followed by homologous recombination, as described (35). Suicide plasmid pJEH040 carries an approximately 1 kb SOE deletion fragment of *pdhS2* on a pNPTS138 vector backbone. pNPTS138 is a ColE1 plasmid and as such is unable to replicate in *A. tumefaciens*. pJEH040 was delivered to recipient strains by either transformation or conjugation followed by selection on ATGN plates supplemented with 300 µg·mL⁻¹ Km, selecting for *A. tumefaciens* cells in which pJEH040 had integrated at the chromosomal *pdhS2* locus by homologous recombination. Recombinants were then grown overnight at 28°C in ATGN in the absence of Km and plated the following day onto ATSN (ATGN with sucrose substituted for glucose) agar plates to select for sucrose resistant (Suc^R) allelic replacement

candidates. After three days' growth at 28°C colonies were patched in parallel onto ATGN Km and ATSN plates. Km^S Suc^R recombinants were then tested for the targeted deletion by diagnostic PCR using primers external to the *pdhS2* locus (JEH100 and JEH113) as well as internal primers (JEH85 and JEH87). Candidate colonies were further streak purified and verified a second time by diagnostic PCR before being used in downstream assays. Non-polar, markerless deletion of *dgcB* (Atu1691) in the $\Delta pleD$ and $\Delta pdhS2\Delta pleD$ genetic backgrounds was achieved using the above strategy with the pNPTS138 derivative pJX802.

Site-directed mutagenesis of *pdhS2* was achieved using mutagenic primer pairs JEH245/JEH246 (for generating the His271Ala allele, H271A) or JEH261/JEH262 (for generating the Thr275Ala allele, T275A). Plasmid pJEH021 carrying the wild-type *pdhS2* sequence was amplified by PCR using the above primer pairs. Following amplification, reaction mixtures were treated with *DpnI* restriction endonuclease to remove template plasmid and then transformed into TOP10 F' *E. coli* competent cells. Purified plasmids from each transformation were sequenced and those containing the desired mutations, pJEH091 for His271Ala and pJEH099 for Thr275Ala, were selected for sub-cloning. pJEH091 and pJEH099 were digested with *NdeI* and *NheI* followed by gel electrophoresis and purification of the resulting insert. Inserts were ligated into similarly digested pSRKGm and transformed into competent *E. coli* TOP10 F' cells. Purified plasmids from each transformation were sequenced to verify their identity. The resulting plasmids, pJEH092 (H271A) and pJEH102 (T275A), were used to transform *A. tumefaciens*. To generate a PdhS2 allele carrying both H271A and T275A mutations the same steps were followed as above using plasmid pJEH091 as template with

mutagenic primers JEH261/JEH262. Site-directed mutagenesis of the second CtrA half-site (5'-TTAA-3' → 5'-AATT-3') located 126 bp upstream of the start codon was performed as above using mutagenic primer pairs USP073/USP074 and plasmid pJX162 as template.

Translational fusions of full-length wild-type PdhS2 and DivJ to GFP were constructed as follows. *pdhS2* and *divJ*, each lacking a stop codon were amplified by PCR using primer pairs JEH65/JEH146 (*pdhS2*) and JEH147/JEH148 (*divJ*) with *A. tumefaciens* strain C58 genomic DNA as template. Primer design for these amplifications included 5' *NdeI* and 3' *NheI* restriction sites. The *gfpmut3* gene including a 5' *NheI* site and a 3' *KpnI* site was amplified using primer pair JEH149/JEH150 and pJZ383 as template. Amplicons were gel purified, ligated into pGEM-T Easy, transformed into competent TOP10 F' *E. coli*, and eventually sequenced. The resulting plasmids, pJEH052 (*pdhS2*), pJEH053 (*gfpmut3*), and pJEH054 (*divJ*) were digested with either *NdeI* and *NheI* (pJEH052 and pJEH054) or *NheI* and *KpnI* (pJEH053). Inserts were gel purified and used in a three-component ligation with *NdeI/KpnI*-digested pSRKGm generating pJEH060 (PdhS2-GFP) and pJEH078 (DivJ-GFP). Sequenced plasmids were used to transform *A. tumefaciens*.

Reporter gene fusion constructs included predicted promoter regions from between 200 bp and 400 bp upstream of the indicated gene through the start codon. Each upstream region was amplified by PCR using the primers listed in Table S3 using *A. tumefaciens* genomic DNA as template. Amplicons were gel purified, ligated into pGEM-T Easy, transformed into competent TOP10 F' *E. coli*, and eventually sequenced. The resulting plasmids, pJEH113 (*ccrM*, Atu0794, promoter), pJEH115

(*ctrA*, Atu2434, promoter), and pJEH119 (*pdhS1*, Atu0614, promoter) were digested with either *KpnI* and *HinDIII* (pJEH113 and pJEH119) or *KpnI* and *PstI* (pJEH115). Inserts were gel purified and ligated with similarly cleaved pRA301 containing a promoterless *E. coli lacZ* gene without its own ribosome binding site. The resulting constructs (pJEH121, pJEH122, and pJEH124) carry *lacZ* translationally fused to the start codon for each gene with transcription and translation driven by the fused upstream region. pJEH121, pJEH122, and pJEH124 were used to transform *A. tumefaciens* for subsequent beta-galactosidase assays.

Static biofilm assays. Overnight cultures in ATGN were sub-cultured in fresh ATGN to an optical density at 600 nm (OD_{600}) of 0.1 and grown with aeration at 28°C until an OD_{600} of 0.25-0.6. Cultures were diluted to OD_{600} of 0.05 and 3 mL were inoculated into each of four wells in a 12-well plate. A single coverslip was placed vertically into each well to submerge approximately half of each coverslip. Plates were incubated in a humidified chamber at 28° for 48 h. Coverslips were removed from each well, rinsed with water, and adherent biomass stained by 5 min immersion in a 0.1% (w/v) crystal violet solution. Adsorbed crystal violet was solubilized by immersion in 1 mL 33% acetic acid and the absorbance of this solution determined at 600 nm (A_{600}) on a Synergy HT multi-detection microplate reader (Bio-Tek). Culture density for each sample was also determined by measuring the OD_{600} of each culture. Data are typically presented as A_{600}/OD_{600} ratios normalized to values obtained for the wild-type strain within each experiment. ATGN was supplemented with antibiotics and 250 μ M IPTG as appropriate. Final inoculations also included supplemental $FeSO_4$ (22 μ M). Each mutant was

evaluated in three independent experiments each of which contained three technical replicates.

Motility assays. Wet mounts of exponentially growing cultures were observed under brightfield optics using a Zeiss Axioskop 40 equipped with an AxioCam MRm monochrome digital camera. Swim plates containing 0.3% agarose in ATGN, supplemented with 1 mM IPTG and antibiotics when appropriate, were inoculated with a single colony of the indicated strain at a central point and incubated for 7 days at 28°C. Swim ring diameters were measured daily for seven days. Each experimental condition was tested in three independent experiments containing three technical replicates.

Microscopy. Cell morphology and localization of PdhS2-GFP and DivJ-GFP was evaluated using a Nikon E800 fluorescence microscope equipped with a Photometrics Cascade cooled CCD camera. Overnight cultures were grown in ATGN with gentamicin and 250 μ M IPTG. The following day each strain was sub-cultured to OD₆₀₀ 0.1 and then grown at 28°C with aeration until ~OD₆₀₀ 0.5-0.8. The culture (0.5 μ l) was transferred to a 1% ATGN/agarose pad on a clean glass slide and a clean 22 x 22 mm number 1.5 glass coverslip placed on top. Images were acquired using a 100X oil immersion objective and phase contrast optics or epifluorescence with a FITC-HYQ filter set (Nikon; excitation filter = 480/40 nm, dichromatic mirror = 505 nm, absorption filter = 535/50 nm). Time-lapse microscopy utilized a Nikon Ti-E inverted fluorescence microscope with a Plan Apo 60X/1.40 oil Ph3 DM objective, a DAPI/FITC/Cy3/Cy5 filter cube, an Andor iXon3 885 EMCCD camera, and a Lumencor Spectra X solid state light

engine at 20% power. For time-lapse imaging agarose pads included 250 μ M IPTG and coverslips were attached to the glass slide using a gas-permeable 1:1:1 mixture of Vaseline, lanolin, and paraffin. Phase and fluorescence images were captured every 20 min for 8 h using a 60 ms (phase) or 2 s (fluorescence) exposure. Images were analyzed using ImageJ (76-78).

Transcriptional profiling. Whole-genome transcriptional profiling using custom 60-mer oligonucleotide microarrays was performed essentially as previously described (79). Arrays were produced by Agilent Technologies, and consist of 8455 features that represent 5338 predicted protein-encoding open reading frames, tRNA and rRNA encoding genes, and 2,983 duplicate spots. Cultures of wild-type or the $\Delta pdhS2$ mutant strain of *A. tumefaciens* strain C58 were grown overnight in ATGN to full turbidity and then sub-cultured 1:150 into fresh ATGN for a second overnight growth. The following morning a volume equivalent to 11 ml of OD₆₀₀ 0.6 was prepared for RNA extraction using RNeasy Protect Bacteria Reagent (QIAGEN, Germantown, MD) following the manufacturer's protocol. RNA was extracted from these samples using QIAGEN RNA midipreps (QIAGEN, Germantown, MD) following the manufacturer's protocol. DNA contamination was removed by DNase digestion using the TURBO DNA-free kit (Ambion, Austin, TX) with the incubation time extended to two hours. First strand cDNA synthesis was performed using Invitrogen SuperScript Indirect Labeling Kit, and cDNA was purified on Qiagen QIAQuick columns. cDNA was labeled with AlexaFluor 555 and 647 dyes using Invitrogen SuperScript cDNA Labeling Kit, and repurified on QIAQuick columns. cDNA was quantified on a NanoDrop spectrophotometer. Hybridization

reactions were performed using Agilent in situ Hybridization Kit Plus, boiled for 5 min at 95°C, applied to the printed arrays, and hybridized overnight at 65°C. Hybridized arrays were washed with Agilent Wash Solutions 1 and 2, rinsed with acetonitrile, and incubated in Agilent Stabilization and Drying Solution immediately prior to scanning the arrays. Three independent biological replicates were performed, with one dye swap. Hybridized arrays were scanned on a GenePix Scanner 4200 in the Center for Genomics and Bioinformatics (CGB) at Indiana University. GenePix software was used to define the borders of hybridized spots, subtract background, measure dye intensity at each spot, and calculate the ratio of dye intensities for each spot. Analysis of the scanned images was conducted using the LIMMA package in R/Bioconductor. Background correction of the data was performed using the minimum method (80, 81). The data was normalized within arrays with the LOESS method, and between arrays with the quantile method. Statistical analysis was performed using linear model fitting and empirical Bayesian analysis by least squares. Genes with significant *P* values (≤ 0.05) and with $\log_2$ ratios of ≥ 0.50 or ≤ -0.50 (representing a fold-change of ± 1.4) are reported here. Expression data have been deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database at the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) under accession number [GSE71267](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE71267) (82).

β -galactosidase activity was measured using a modified protocol of Miller (83). Cultures carrying transcriptional reporter plasmids were grown overnight in ATGN and sub-cultured the following morning to OD₆₀₀ 0.15. Diluted cultures were grown at 28°C with aeration until reaching mid-exponential growth. Between 100 and 300 μ L of exponential phase culture was mixed with Z buffer (60 mM Na₂HPO₄, 40 mM NaH₂PO₄,

10 mM KCl, 1 mM MgSO₄, pH 7.0) to a final volume of 1 mL (volume of culture = f) plus two drops 0.05% sodium dodecyl sulfate and 3 drops CHCl₃. The amount of culture volume used was calibrated to generate reaction times between 15 minutes and two hours for cultures with activity. 0.1 mL of a 4 mg·mL⁻¹ solution in Z buffer of the colorimetric substrate 2-nitrophenyl β-D-galactopyranoside (ONPG) was added and the time (t) required for the solution to turn yellow was recorded. The reaction was stopped by addition of 1 M Na₂CO₃ and the absorbance at 420 nm (A₄₂₀) of each solution was measured. Promoter activity is expressed in Miller units (MUs = [1000 x A_{420nm}]/[OD_{600nm} x t x f]). Each mutant was tested in three independent experiments containing five technical replicates.

Protein stability assays. Steady-state levels of CtrA were determined from stationary phase cultures of wild-type, Δ*divK*, and Δ*pdhS2* strains of *A. tumefaciens*. Overnight cultures of each strain were grown in TY broth at 28°C with aeration to an OD₆₀₀ > 1. Two 1 mL aliquots were removed from each culture, pelleted by centrifugation (13,200 x g, 2 min) and supernatants discarded. One of the resulting pellets was resuspended on ice in 50 μL 100 mM Tris·HCl, pH 6.8, followed by 50 μL 2X SDS-PAGE loading buffer (65.8 mM Tris·HCl, pH 6.8, 26.3% (v/v) glycerol, 2.1% (w/v) sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), 0.01% (w/v) bromophenol blue), then stored frozen at -20°C. The second pellet was resuspended in 100 μL 1X protein assay buffer (32.9 mM Tris·HCl, pH 6.8, 1% SDS), boiled 10 minutes, and used for protein concentration determination using the Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific), per manufacturer's instructions. Frozen resuspended pellets were thawed on ice and β-mercaptoethanol

added to a final concentration of 5% prior to electrophoresis. Samples were normalized for protein concentration and separated on a 12.5% SDS-polyacrylamide gel. Following electrophoresis proteins were transferred to Immobilon-FL polyvinyl difluoride membranes (EMD Millipore). Membranes were rinsed in 1X Tris-buffered saline (TBS; 50 mM Tris·HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl) solution and air dried. Membranes were wetted with MeOH and incubated in blocking buffer (1X TBS, 5% non-fat dairy milk [NFDM]) for 1 h at room temperature and then incubated overnight at 4°C with primary antibody (1:5000 dilution of rabbit anti-CtrA from *C. crescentus*, anti-CtrA_{CC}, in 1X TBS/5% NFDM/0.2% Tween 20). The following day membranes were rinsed thoroughly with 1X TBS/0.1% Tween 20 and incubated 1 h at room temperature with secondary antibody (1:20,000 dilution of IRDye 800CW-conjugated goat anti-rabbit antibody (LI-COR) in 1X TBS/5% NFDM/0.2% Tween 20/0.01% SDS). Membranes were rinsed thoroughly with 1X TBS/0.1% Tween 20 followed by 1X TBS alone and air dried in the dark. The resulting blot was imaged using a LI-COR Odyssey Classic infrared imaging system. Band intensities were quantified using the Odyssey Classic software.

Proteolytic turnover of CtrA was evaluated using translational shut-off assays. Overnight cultures were grown in TY broth at 28°C with aeration. The following day each strain was sub-cultured in fresh TY broth to an OD₆₀₀ 0.05 and incubated at 28°C with aeration. To inhibit protein synthesis 90 µg·mL⁻¹ chloramphenicol was added to each culture at OD₆₀₀ 0.5. Starting at the time of chloramphenicol addition 5 mL aliquots were removed every 30 min for 3 h. Each aliquot was pelleted by centrifugation (5000 x g, 10 min). Cleared supernatants were discarded and pellets resuspended to an OD₆₀₀ 10.0 in Tris-Cl, (10 mM, pH 8.0). Resuspended pellets were mixed with 2X SDS-PAGE

loading buffer and stored frozen at -20°C. Levels of CtrA were determined by SDS-PAGE and Western blotting as described above. Band intensities were quantified using the Odyssey Classic software and normalized to the band intensity of CtrA from the wild-type background at t = 0 min.

Global cdGMP measurement. Measurement of cdGMP levels was performed by liquid chromatography, tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) on a Quattro Premier XE mass spectrometer coupled with an Acquity Ultra Performance LC system (Waters Corporation), essentially as previously described (84). Concentrations of cdGMP in cell samples were compared to chemically synthesized cdGMP (Axxora) dissolved in water at concentrations of 250, 125, 62.5, 31.2, 15.6, 7.8, 3.9, and 1.9 nM to generate a calibration curve. *A. tumefaciens* derivatives were grown in ATGN overnight at 28°C to stationary phase. Culture densities were normalized after collecting cells by centrifugation and then resuspension in the appropriate volume of ATGN. Cultures were then pelleted by centrifugation and resuspended in ice-cold 250 µL extraction buffer (methanol:acetonitrile:water, 40:40:20 + 0.1 N formic acid) and incubated for 30 min at -20°C. Resuspensions were transferred to microcentrifuge tubes and pelleted (13,000 x rpm, 5 min). 200 µL of the resulting supernatant was neutralized with 8 µL 15% NH₄HCO₃. Neutralized samples were stored at -20°C. Prior to mass spectrometric analysis, samples were vacuum centrifuged to remove extraction buffer and resuspended in an equal volume of deionized water.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This project was supported by National Institutes of Health (NIH) grants GM080546 and GM120337 (C.F.) and GM109259 (C.M.W.). J.E.H. was supported by a Ruth L. Kirschstein National Research Service Award (1 F32 GM100601) from the NIH and the Milton Lev Memorial Faculty Research Fund from University of the Sciences in Philadelphia. The authors thank Peter Chien (U. Mass. Amherst) for generously providing the CtrA_{Cc} antibody, and the Brun laboratory for access to and training on the Nikon E800 microscope.

881

REFERENCES

- 882 1. **Kysela DT, Randich AM, Caccamo PD, Brun YV.** 2016. Diversity takes shape: understanding the
883 mechanistic and adaptive basis of bacterial morphology. *PLoS Biol* **14**:e1002565.
- 884 2. **Dworkin M.** 1985. Developmental biology of the bacteria. Benjamin/Cummings Pub. Co.,
885 Reading, Mass.
- 886 3. **Hajduk IV, Rodrigues CD, Harry EJ.** 2016. Connecting the dots of the bacterial cell cycle:
887 Coordinating chromosome replication and segregation with cell division. *Semin Cell Dev Biol*
888 **53**:2-9.
- 889 4. **Costerton JW, Lewandowski Z, Caldwell DE, Korber DR, Lappin-Scott HM.** 1995. Microbial
890 biofilms. *Annu Rev Microbiol* **49**:711-45.
- 891 5. **Jonas K.** 2014. To divide or not to divide: control of the bacterial cell cycle by environmental
892 cues. *Curr Opin Microbiol* **18**:54-60.
- 893 6. **Brown PJ, de Pedro MA, Kysela DT, Van der Henst C, Kim J, De Bolle X, Fuqua C, Brun YV.** 2012.
894 Polar growth in the Alphaproteobacterial order Rhizobiales. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **109**:1697-
895 701.
- 896 7. **Zupan JR, Cameron TA, Anderson-Furgeson J, Zambryski PC.** 2013. Dynamic FtsA and FtsZ
897 localization and outer membrane alterations during polar growth and cell division in
898 *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **110**:9060-5.
- 899 8. **Reuter SH, Shapiro L.** 1987. Asymmetric segregation of heat-shock proteins upon cell division in
900 *Caulobacter crescentus*. *J Mol Biol* **194**:653-62.
- 901 9. **Lindner AB, Madden R, Demarez A, Stewart EJ, Taddei F.** 2008. Asymmetric segregation of
902 protein aggregates is associated with cellular aging and rejuvenation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*
903 **105**:3076-81.
- 904 10. **Kysela DT, Brown PJ, Huang KC, Brun YV.** 2013. Biological consequences and advantages of
905 asymmetric bacterial growth. *Annu Rev Microbiol* **67**:417-35.
- 906 11. **Berne C, Ma X, Licata NA, Neves BR, Setayeshgar S, Brun YV, Dragnea B.** 2013. Physiochemical
907 properties of *Caulobacter crescentus* holdfast: a localized bacterial adhesive. *J Phys Chem B*
908 **117**:10492-503.
- 909 12. **Curtis PD, Brun YV.** 2010. Getting in the loop: regulation of development in *Caulobacter*
910 *crescentus*. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* **74**:13-41.
- 911 13. **Quon KC, Marczyński GT, Shapiro L.** 1996. Cell cycle control by an essential bacterial two-
912 component signal transduction protein. *Cell* **84**:83-93.
- 913 14. **Domian IJ, Reisenauer A, Shapiro L.** 1999. Feedback control of a master bacterial cell-cycle
914 regulator. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **96**:6648-53.
- 915 15. **Lasker K, Mann TH, Shapiro L.** 2016. An intracellular compass spatially coordinates cell cycle
916 modules in *Caulobacter crescentus*. *Curr Opin Microbiol* **33**:131-139.
- 917 16. **Jenal U, Fuchs T.** 1998. An essential protease involved in bacterial cell-cycle control. *EMBO J*
918 **17**:5658-69.
- 919 17. **Siam R, Marczyński GT.** 2000. Cell cycle regulator phosphorylation stimulates two distinct
920 modes of binding at a chromosome replication origin. *EMBO J* **19**:1138-47.
- 921 18. **Wheeler RT, Shapiro L.** 1999. Differential localization of two histidine kinases controlling
922 bacterial cell differentiation. *Mol Cell* **4**:683-94.
- 923 19. **Viollier PH, Sternheim N, Shapiro L.** 2002. Identification of a localization factor for the polar
924 positioning of bacterial structural and regulatory proteins. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **99**:13831-6.

- 925 20. **Hinz AJ, Larson DE, Smith CS, Brun YV.** 2003. The *Caulobacter crescentus* polar organelle
926 development protein PodJ is differentially localized and is required for polar targeting of the
927 PleC development regulator. *Mol Microbiol* **47**:929-41.
- 928 21. **Radhakrishnan SK, Thanbichler M, Viollier PH.** 2008. The dynamic interplay between a cell fate
929 determinant and a lysozyme homolog drives the asymmetric division cycle of *Caulobacter*
930 *crescentus*. *Genes Dev* **22**:212-25.
- 931 22. **Paul R, Jaeger T, Abel S, Wiederkehr I, Folcher M, Biondi EG, Laub MT, Jenal U.** 2008. Allosteric
932 regulation of histidine kinases by their cognate response regulator determines cell fate. *Cell*
933 **133**:452-61.
- 934 23. **Tropini C, Huang KC.** 2012. Interplay between the localization and kinetics of phosphorylation in
935 flagellar pole development of the bacterium *Caulobacter crescentus*. *PLoS Comput Biol*
936 **8**:e1002602.
- 937 24. **Subramanian K, Paul MR, Tyson JJ.** 2013. Potential role of a bistable histidine kinase switch in
938 the asymmetric division cycle of *Caulobacter crescentus*. *PLoS Comput Biol* **9**:e1003221.
- 939 25. **Subramanian K, Paul MR, Tyson JJ.** 2015. Dynamical localization of DivL and PleC in the
940 asymmetric division cycle of *Caulobacter crescentus*: a theoretical investigation of alternative
941 models. *PLoS Comput Biol* **11**:e1004348.
- 942 26. **Quon KC, Yang B, Domian IJ, Shapiro L, Marczynski GT.** 1998. Negative control of bacterial DNA
943 replication by a cell cycle regulatory protein that binds at the chromosome origin. *Proc Natl*
944 *Acad Sci U S A* **95**:120-5.
- 945 27. **Laub MT, Chen SL, Shapiro L, McAdams HH.** 2002. Genes directly controlled by CtrA, a master
946 regulator of the *Caulobacter* cell cycle. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **99**:4632-7.
- 947 28. **Laub MT, McAdams HH, Feldblyum T, Fraser CM, Shapiro L.** 2000. Global analysis of the genetic
948 network controlling a bacterial cell cycle. *Science* **290**:2144-8.
- 949 29. **Chen YE, Tsokos CG, Biondi EG, Perchuk BS, Laub MT.** 2009. Dynamics of two phosphorelays
950 controlling cell cycle progression in *Caulobacter crescentus*. *J Bacteriol* **191**:7417-29.
- 951 30. **Dubey BN, Lori C, Ozaki S, Fucile G, Plaza-Menacho I, Jenal U, Schirmer T.** 2016. Cyclic di-GMP
952 mediates a histidine kinase/phosphatase switch by noncovalent domain cross-linking. *Sci Adv*
953 **2**:e1600823.
- 954 31. **Lori C, Ozaki S, Steiner S, Bohm R, Abel S, Dubey BN, Schirmer T, Hiller S, Jenal U.** 2015. Cyclic
955 di-GMP acts as a cell cycle oscillator to drive chromosome replication. *Nature* **523**:236-9.
- 956 32. **Tsokos CG, Perchuk BS, Laub MT.** 2011. A dynamic complex of signaling proteins uses polar
957 localization to regulate cell-fate asymmetry in *Caulobacter crescentus*. *Dev Cell* **20**:329-41.
- 958 33. **Childers WS, Xu Q, Mann TH, Mathews II, Blair JA, Deacon AM, Shapiro L.** 2014. Cell fate
959 regulation governed by a repurposed bacterial histidine kinase. *PLoS Biol* **12**:e1001979.
- 960 34. **Chen YE, Tropini C, Jonas K, Tsokos CG, Huang KC, Laub MT.** 2011. Spatial gradient of protein
961 phosphorylation underlies replicative asymmetry in a bacterium. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*
962 **108**:1052-7.
- 963 35. **Kim J, Heindl JE, Fuqua C.** 2013. Coordination of division and development influences complex
964 multicellular behavior in *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. *PLoS One* **8**:e56682.
- 965 36. **Curtis PD, Brun YV.** 2014. Identification of essential alphaproteobacterial genes reveals
966 operational variability in conserved developmental and cell cycle systems. *Mol Microbiol*
967 **93**:713-35.
- 968 37. **Romling U, Galperin MY, Gomelsky M.** 2013. Cyclic di-GMP: the first 25 years of a universal
969 bacterial second messenger. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* **77**:1-52.
- 970 38. **Gao R, Stock AM.** 2009. Biological insights from structures of two-component proteins. *Annu*
971 *Rev Microbiol* **63**:133-54.

- 972 39. **Huynh TN, Stewart V.** 2011. Negative control in two-component signal transduction by
973 transmitter phosphatase activity. *Mol Microbiol* **82**:275-86.
- 974 40. **Gao R, Stock AM.** 2017. Quantitative kinetic analyses of shutting off a two-component system.
975 *MBio* **8**.
- 976 41. **Hallez R, Bellefontaine AF, Letesson JJ, De Bolle X.** 2004. Morphological and functional
977 asymmetry in alpha-proteobacteria. *Trends Microbiol* **12**:361-5.
- 978 42. **Pini F, Frage B, Ferri L, De Nisco NJ, Mohapatra SS, Taddei L, Fioravanti A, Dewitte F, Galardini
979 M, Brilli M, Villeret V, Bazzicalupo M, Mengoni A, Walker GC, Becker A, Biondi EG.** 2013. The
980 DivJ, CbrA and PleC system controls DivK phosphorylation and symbiosis in *Sinorhizobium*
981 *meliloti*. *Mol Microbiol* **90**:54-71.
- 982 43. **Tsokos CG, Laub MT.** 2012. Polarity and cell fate asymmetry in *Caulobacter crescentus*. *Curr
983 Opin Microbiol* **15**:744-50.
- 984 44. **Laloux G, Jacobs-Wagner C.** 2014. How do bacteria localize proteins to the cell pole? *J Cell Sci*
985 **127**:11-9.
- 986 45. **Hallez R, Mignolet J, Van Mullem V, Wery M, Vandenhaute J, Letesson JJ, Jacobs-Wagner C, De
987 Bolle X.** 2007. The asymmetric distribution of the essential histidine kinase PdhS indicates a
988 differentiation event in *Brucella abortus*. *EMBO J* **26**:1444-55.
- 989 46. **Lam H, Matroule JY, Jacobs-Wagner C.** 2003. The asymmetric spatial distribution of bacterial
990 signal transduction proteins coordinates cell cycle events. *Dev Cell* **5**:149-59.
- 991 47. **Ehrle HM, Guidry JT, Iacovetto R, Salisbury AK, Sandidge DJ, Bowman GR.** 2017. Polar
992 organizing protein PopZ is required for chromosome segregation in *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*.
993 *J Bacteriol* **199**.
- 994 48. **Kahng LS, Shapiro L.** 2001. The CcrM DNA methyltransferase of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* is
995 essential, and its activity is cell cycle regulated. *J Bacteriol* **183**:3065-75.
- 996 49. **Brilli M, Fondi M, Fani R, Mengoni A, Ferri L, Bazzicalupo M, Biondi EG.** 2010. The diversity and
997 evolution of cell cycle regulation in alpha-proteobacteria: a comparative genomic analysis. *BMC
998 Syst Biol* **4**:52.
- 999 50. **Skerker JM, Shapiro L.** 2000. Identification and cell cycle control of a novel pilus system in
1000 *Caulobacter crescentus*. *EMBO J* **19**:3223-34.
- 1001 51. **Stephens CM, Zweiger G, Shapiro L.** 1995. Coordinate cell cycle control of a *Caulobacter* DNA
1002 methyltransferase and the flagellar genetic hierarchy. *J Bacteriol* **177**:1662-9.
- 1003 52. **Xu J, Kim J, Koestler BJ, Choi JH, Waters CM, Fuqua C.** 2013. Genetic analysis of *Agrobacterium*
1004 *tumefaciens* unipolar polysaccharide production reveals complex integrated control of the
1005 motile-to-sessile switch. *Mol Microbiol* **89**:929-48.
- 1006 53. **Zhou B, Schrader JM, Kalogeraki VS, Abeliuk E, Dinh CB, Pham JQ, Cui ZZ, Dill DL, McAdams
1007 HH, Shapiro L.** 2015. The global regulatory architecture of transcription during the *Caulobacter*
1008 cell cycle. *PLoS Genet* **11**:e1004831.
- 1009 54. **Heindl JE, Wang Y, Heckel BC, Mohari B, Feirer N, Fuqua C.** 2014. Mechanisms and regulation of
1010 surface interactions and biofilm formation in *Agrobacterium*. *Front Plant Sci* **5**:176.
- 1011 55. **Douglas CJ, Staneloni RJ, Rubin RA, Nester EW.** 1985. Identification and genetic analysis of an
1012 *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* chromosomal virulence region. *J Bacteriol* **161**:850-60.
- 1013 56. **Panis G, Murray SR, Viollier PH.** 2015. Versatility of global transcriptional regulators in alpha-
1014 Proteobacteria: from essential cell cycle control to ancillary functions. *FEMS Microbiol Rev*
1015 **39**:120-33.
- 1016 57. **De Bolle X, Crosson S, Matroule JY, Letesson JJ.** 2015. *Brucella abortus* cell cycle and infection
1017 are coordinated. *Trends Microbiol* **23**:812-21.

58. **Matroule JY, Lam H, Burnette DT, Jacobs-Wagner C.** 2004. Cytokinesis monitoring during development; rapid pole-to-pole shuttling of a signaling protein by localized kinase and phosphatase in *Caulobacter*. *Cell* **118**:579-90.
59. **Viollier PH, Sternheim N, Shapiro L.** 2002. A dynamically localized histidine kinase controls the asymmetric distribution of polar pili proteins. *EMBO J* **21**:4420-8.
60. **Radhakrishnan SK, Pritchard S, Viollier PH.** 2010. Coupling prokaryotic cell fate and division control with a bifunctional and oscillating oxidoreductase homolog. *Dev Cell* **18**:90-101.
61. **Pierce DL, O'Donnol DS, Allen RC, Javens JW, Quardokus EM, Brun YV.** 2006. Mutations in *DivL* and *CckA* rescue a *divJ* null mutant of *Caulobacter crescentus* by reducing the activity of CtrA. *J Bacteriol* **188**:2473-82.
62. **Schallies KB, Sadowski C, Meng J, Chien P, Gibson KE.** 2015. *Sinorhizobium meliloti* CtrA stability is regulated in a CbrA-dependent manner that is influenced by CpdR1. *J Bacteriol* **197**:2139-49.
63. **Jenal U, Reinders A, Lori C.** 2017. Cyclic di-GMP: second messenger extraordinaire. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **15**:271-284.
64. **Aldridge P, Paul R, Goymer P, Rainey P, Jenal U.** 2003. Role of the GGDEF regulator PleD in polar development of *Caulobacter crescentus*. *Mol Microbiol* **47**:1695-708.
65. **Lai TH, Kumagai Y, Hyodo M, Hayakawa Y, Rikihisa Y.** 2009. The *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* PleC histidine kinase and PleD diguanylate cyclase two-component system and role of cyclic di-GMP in host cell infection. *J Bacteriol* **191**:693-700.
66. **Hug I, Deshpande S, Sprecher KS, Pfohl T, Jenal U.** 2017. Second messenger-mediated tactile response by a bacterial rotary motor. *Science* **358**:531-534.
67. **Greene SE, Brilli M, Biondi EG, Komeili A.** 2012. Analysis of the CtrA pathway in *Magnetospirillum* reveals an ancestral role in motility in alphaproteobacteria. *J Bacteriol* **194**:2973-86.
68. **Wang H, Ziesche L, Frank O, Michael V, Martin M, Petersen J, Schulz S, Wagner-Dobler I, Tomasch J.** 2014. The CtrA phosphorelay integrates differentiation and communication in the marine alphaproteobacterium *Dinoroseobacter shibae*. *BMC Genomics* **15**:130.
69. **Zan J, Heindl JE, Liu Y, Fuqua C, Hill RT.** 2013. The CckA-ChpT-CtrA phosphorelay system is regulated by quorum sensing and controls flagellar motility in the marine sponge symbiont *Ruegeria* sp. KLH11. *PLoS One* **8**:e66346.
70. **Quinones-Valles C, Sanchez-Orsorio I, Martinez-Antonio A.** 2014. Dynamical modeling of the cell cycle and cell fate emergence in *Caulobacter crescentus*. *PLoS One* **9**:e111116.
71. **Joshi KK, Berge M, Radhakrishnan SK, Viollier PH, Chien P.** 2015. An adaptor hierarchy regulates proteolysis during a bacterial cell cycle. *Cell* **163**:419-31.
72. **Kobayashi H, De Nisco NJ, Chien P, Simmons LA, Walker GC.** 2009. *Sinorhizobium meliloti* CpdR1 is critical for co-ordinating cell cycle progression and the symbiotic chronic infection. *Mol Microbiol* **73**:586-600.
73. **Wu J, Ohta N, Newton A.** 1998. An essential, multicomponent signal transduction pathway required for cell cycle regulation in *Caulobacter*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **95**:1443-8.
74. **Tempe J, Petit A, Holsters M, Montagu MV, Schell J.** 1977. Thermosensitive step associated with transfer of Ti plasmid during conjugation - possible relation to transformation in crown gall. *Proc. Natl. Acad. of Sci., USA* **74**:2848-2849.
75. **Morton ER, Fuqua C.** 2012. Laboratory maintenance of *Agrobacterium*. *Curr Protoc Microbiol* **Chapter 1**:Unit3D 1.
76. **Schneider CA, Rasband WS, Eliceiri KW.** 2012. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. *Nat Methods* **9**:671-5.

77. **Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, Preibisch S, Rueden C, Saalfeld S, Schmid B, Tinevez JY, White DJ, Hartenstein V, Eliceiri K, Tomancak P, Cardona A.** 2012. Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat Methods* **9**:676-82.
78. **Schindelin J, Rueden CT, Hiner MC, Eliceiri KW.** 2015. The ImageJ ecosystem: An open platform for biomedical image analysis. *Mol Reprod Dev* **82**:518-29.
79. **Heindl JE, Hibbing ME, Xu J, Natarajan R, Buechlein AM, Fuqua C.** 2015. Discrete responses to limitation for iron and manganese in *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*: influence on attachment and biofilm formation. *J Bacteriol* **198**:816-29.
80. **Ritchie ME, Phipson B, Wu D, Hu Y, Law CW, Shi W, Smyth GK.** 2015. limma powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies. *Nucleic Acids Res* **43**:e47.
81. R Core Team. 2016. R: A language and environment for statistical computing., *on* R Foundation for Statistical Computing. <https://www.R-project.org>. Accessed
82. **Edgar R, Domrachev M, Lash AE.** 2002. Gene Expression Omnibus: NCBI gene expression and hybridization array data repository. *Nucleic Acids Res* **30**:207-10.
83. **Miller JH.** 1972. *Experiments in Molecular Genetics*. Cold Spring Harbor, New York.
84. **Wang Y, Kim SH, Natarajan R, Heindl JE, Bruger EL, Waters CM, Michael AJ, Fuqua C.** 2016. Spermidine inversely influences surface interactions and planktonic growth in *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. *J Bacteriol* **198**:2682-91.

Tables

Table 1. Promoter activity of selected known and predicted CtrA-dependent promoters.^a

Strain	Promoter source organism	Promoter	Activity (%WT ± SE)
WT	<i>C. crescentus</i>	<i>ccrM</i>	100 ± 1
		<i>ctrA</i>	100 ± 2
		<i>pilA</i>	100 ± 1
	<i>A. tumefaciens</i>	<i>ccrM</i>	100 ± 1
		<i>ctrA</i>	101 ± 1
		<i>pdhS1</i>	100 ± 1
		<i>dgcB</i>	100 ± 12
		Atu3318	104 ± 25
Δ <i>pdhS2</i>	<i>C. crescentus</i>	<i>ccrM</i>	109 ± 12
		<i>ctrA</i>	82 ± 4 ^b
		<i>pilA</i>	85 ± 2 ^b
	<i>A. tumefaciens</i>	<i>ccrM</i>	106 ± 2 ^b
		<i>ctrA</i>	84 ± 3 ^b
		<i>pdhS1</i>	119 ± 3 ^b
		<i>dgcB</i>	201 ± 26 ^b
		<i>dgcB*</i>	156 ± 1 ^c
Δ <i>divK</i>	<i>C. crescentus</i>	<i>ccrM</i>	140 ± 6 ^b
		<i>ctrA</i>	132 ± 4 ^b
		<i>pilA</i>	99 ± 3
	<i>A. tumefaciens</i>	<i>ccrM</i>	116 ± 3 ^b
		<i>ctrA</i>	117 ± 2 ^b
		<i>pdhS1</i>	90 ± 1 ^b
		<i>dgcB</i>	68 ± 1 ^b
		<i>dgcB*</i>	56 ± 1 ^c
		Atu3318	62 ± 1 ^b

^a Promoter activity was measured as β-galactosidase activity of cell lysates from strains carrying either transcriptional (for *C. crescentus* promoters) or translational (for *A. tumefaciens* promoters) fusions of the indicated promoter regions to the *E. coli lacZ*

1092 gene. Activity for each promoter was normalized to activity in lysates from wild-type
1093 cells carrying the identical promoter. N = 9.

1094

1095 ^b $P < 0.05$ compared to WT value using Student's t test.

1096

1097 ^c *dgcB** indicates the same promoter region as for *dgcB* with mutation of the second
1098 CtrA half-site at -126 from 5'-TTAA-3' to 5'-AATT-3'. $P < 0.001$ compared to value for
1099 wild-type *dgcB* promoter in same strain background using Student's t test.

1100

1101 **Table 2. Differentially-regulated genes in the absence of *pdhS2*.^a**

Locus	Gene	Product ^b	log ₂ FC	CtrA binding site (+/-) ^c	CtrA half-site (+/-) ^c	Present in other PdhS arrays? ^d
Atu0461	-	<i>phage tail protein/type VI secretion system component</i>	2.42	(+) Atu8182 ^e	+	no
Atu0227	-	tRNA-Leu	2.15	-	+	no
Atu5167	<i>avhB6</i>	type IV secretion protein	2.01	-	+	no
Atu2490	<i>asd</i>	aspartate semialdehyde dehydrogenase	1.93	-	+	no
Atu3318	-	LuxR family transcriptional regulator	1.84	+	+	no
Atu1471	<i>rluC</i>	ribosomal large subunit pseudouridine synthase C	1.84	-	+	no
Atu3755	<i>purK</i>	phosphoribosylaminoimidazole carboxylase ATPase subunit	1.70	-	+	no
Atu3606	<i>ftsE</i>	cell division ATP-binding protein	1.63	+	+	no
Atu1791	-	ABC transporter, membrane spanning protein (sugar)	1.55	-	+	no
Atu3572	-	XRE family transcriptional regulator	1.52	+	+	yes
Atu2217	-	<i>hypothetical protein</i>	1.52	-	+	no
Atu5119	<i>phoB</i>	two component response regulator	1.48	-	-	no
Atu3031	-	<i>hypothetical protein</i>	1.46	-	-	no
Atu1886	-	<i>DNA glycosylase</i>	1.41	-	-	no
Atu1964	-	tRNA-Trp	1.40	+	+	no
Atu1301	-	<i>Nudix hydrolase</i>	1.39	-	+	no
Atu3610	-	cation transporter	1.34	-	-	no
Atu6048	-	<i>RNA helicase</i>	1.32	-	+	no
Atu1691	<i>dgcB</i>	GGDEF family protein	1.32	+	+	no
Atu1887	<i>exol</i>	succinoglycan biosynthesis protein	1.30	+	+	no
Atu1134	-	<i>lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol synthase</i>	1.30	-	-	no
Atu2665	-	MarR family transcriptional regulator	1.27	-	+	no
Atu2204	-	<i>hypothetical protein</i>	1.25	-	+	no
Atu0540	-	<i>hypothetical protein</i>	1.25	-	-	no
Atu4856	-	nucleotidyltransferase	-1.21	-	-	no
Atu4347	<i>tae</i>	type VI secreted effector	-1.23	(+) Atu4344	-	no
Atu4055	<i>exoK</i>	endo-1,3-1,4-beta-glycanase	-1.28	(+) Atu4056	+	yes
Atu4345	<i>tssD</i>	type VI secretion needle tube protein	-1.29	(+) Atu4344	+	no
Atu5091	<i>rcdB</i>	curdlan synthesis protein	-1.32	+	+	no
Atu4357	-	<i>transglutaminase-like Cys protease</i>	-1.34	-	+	yes

Atu0343	<i>barA</i>	two component sensor kinase/response regulator hybrid	-1.37	-	-	no
Atu4053	<i>exoA</i>	succinoglycan biosynthesis protein	-1.42	(+) Atu4056	+	yes
Atu4056	<i>exoH</i>	succinoglycan biosynthesis protein	-1.42	+	+	yes
Atu3564	<i>exsH</i>	endo-1,3-1,4-beta-glycanase	-1.46	-	-	no
Atu3541	-	<i>transglutaminase-like Cys protease</i>	-1.51	-	+	no
Atu4627	-	<i>hypothetical protein</i>	-1.56	-	-	no
Atu4049	<i>exoP</i>	exopolysaccharide polymerization/transport protein	-1.64	(+) Atu4056	+	no
Atu1469	-	<i>hypothetical protein</i>	-1.80	+	+	no
Atu4050	<i>exoN</i>	UTP-glucose-1-phosphate uridylyltransferase	-1.82	(+) Atu4056	+	yes

^a Gene expression was compared between WT and $\Delta pdhS2$ strains using whole-genome microarrays. Genes were defined as differentially regulated when the following conditions were met: $\log_2 FC \geq 0.50$ OR $\log_2 FC \leq -0.50$, AND $P < 0.050$, AND $Q < 0.10$.

^b Predicted functions of hypothetical proteins, if available, are italicized.

^c Intergenic regions 500 nt upstream and 100 nt downstream of start codon for each gene (or operon, if applicable) was scanned for possible CtrA binding sites, as described in the text.

^d Results were compared with previously published *S. meliloti cbrA* and *divJ* microarrays and *C. crescentus divJ* and *pleC* microarrays, as described in the text.

^e (+) with Atu number indicates that the putative CtrA box is located in the first gene of a predicted operon

Figure Legends

Figure 1. The PdhS kinases of *C. crescentus* and *A. tumefaciens* differentially

localize and affect phenotypic outputs through response regulators DivK, PleD,

and CtrA. (A) Cartoon model of known localization of the namesake PdhS kinases from

C. crescentus, PleC and DivJ, and three PdhS kinases from *A. tumefaciens*, PdhS1,

PdhS2, and DivJ. Kinases represented as colored ovals with black border

experimentally localize to the indicated poles. The PleC oval without a border has not

been experimentally demonstrated to localize in *A. tumefaciens*. As a result of this

localization phosphorylation status of direct PdhS kinase targets, DivK and PleD, and

the indirect target, CtrA, may be differentially affected. (B) Multiple sequence alignment

of the HisKA domain from the PdhS kinases of *C. crescentus* and *A. tumefaciens*.

Sequences were aligned using the Clustal Omega web service hosted by the European

Molecular Biology Laboratory (EMBL)-European Bioinformatics Institute. The four PdhS

kinases from *A. tumefaciens* plus PleC and DivJ from *C. crescentus* were included. Also

included are two additional predicted PdhS kinases, CC_0652 and CC_1062, from *C.*

crescentus. The EnvZ sensor kinase is included for comparison. Yellow highlighting

indicates residues that define the PdhS kinases. The conserved histidine and threonine

residues mutated in this work are in bold.

Figure 2. Evaluation of roles for PdhS2 kinase and phosphatase activities and

genetic interactions with *divK*. (A) The ability of plasmid-borne wild-type PdhS2 (p-

pdhS2), the kinase-null allele (p-*pdhS2*, K⁻P⁺), or the phosphatase-null allele (p-*pdhS2*,

K⁺P⁻) to complement the Δ *pdhS2* biofilm formation (black bars) and swimming motility

(white bars) phenotypes was evaluated using P_{lac} -driven expression of each allele. Static biofilm formation was measured after 48 h (black bars) and swim ring diameter after 7 days (white bars). Adherent biomass on PVC coverslips was determined by adsorption of crystal violet. Crystal violet was then solubilized and $A_{600\text{ nm}}$ values were normalized to culture density (OD_{600}). Data are the mean of three independent experiments each of which contained three technical replicates ($N = 3$). Swim ring diameters were measured after single-colony inoculation into low density swim agar and incubation at room temperature. Data are the mean of nine independent experiments ($N = 9$). (B) Biofilm formation (black bars) and swimming motility (white bars) were evaluated in the indicated strains. Experiments were performed and data analyzed as described for (A) above. (C) The effect of plasmid-borne wild-type PdhS2 ($p\text{-}pdhS2$), the kinase-null allele ($p\text{-}pdhS2$ (K^-P^+)), or the phosphatase-null allele ($p\text{-}pdhS2$ (K^+P^-)) on biofilm formation (black bars) and swimming motility (white bars) when expressed from the P_{lac} promoter in the $\Delta divK$ mutant background was evaluated as in (A) and (B) above. For presentation all data are normalized to WT and expressed as %WT $\pm$ standard error of the mean (S.E.). ^(a) = $P < 0.05$ compared to wild-type strain or wild-type strain carrying empty vector. ^(b) = $P < 0.05$ compared to $\Delta pdhS2$ strain carrying empty vector (A), or compared to the $\Delta pdhS2$ mutant strain (B), or compared to the $\Delta divK$ strain carrying empty vector (C). Statistical significance was determined using Student's t test.

Figure 3. PdhS2 and DivJ are polarly localized in *A. tumefaciens*. Time-lapse microscopy of a C-terminal green fluorescent protein fusions to PdhS2 (A) and DivJ (B).

Overlaid phase and fluorescent images, acquired sequentially on Nikon E800 fluorescence microscope with a CCD camera using the 100 X objective. Time between panels is 40 minutes. To the right of each image is a cartoon interpretation of the image.

Figure 4. PdhS2 impacts CtrA abundance but not turnover kinetics. (A) Steady-state CtrA levels in indicated strains as determined via SDS-PAGE followed by immunoblotting with rabbit anti-CtrA_{Cc} primary and IRDye 800CW-conjugated goat anti-rabbit secondary antibodies. (B) Proteolytic turnover of CtrA following translational arrest with chloramphenicol. Aliquots were removed at the indicated time points, lysed, and stored frozen at -20 °C. CtrA levels were then determined via SDS-PAGE followed by immunoblotting, as described for (A) above. Blots were imaged using an LI-COR Odyssey Classic infrared imaging system and band intensities quantified with the Odyssey Classic software. Protein concentrations for each sample were normalized to optical density prior to electrophoresis.

Figure 5. PdhS2 intersects with the activity of multiple diguanylate cyclases. (A) Biofilm formation was quantified for the wild-type (WT) and indicated mutant strains as described in Figure 2. PleD, DgcA, DgcB have demonstrated *in vivo* diguanylate cyclase enzymatic activity. Thus far conditions under which DgcC is active have yet to be identified. $P < 0.05$ compared to WT (^a), $\Delta pdhS2$ (^b), or corresponding diguanylate cyclase (^c). (B) The effect on biofilm formation of plasmid-borne expression of wild-type *dgcB* (p-*dgcB*) or a catalytic mutant allele of *dgcB* (p-*dgcB*^{*}) was evaluated. Expression

of each *dgcB* allele was driven by the P_{lac} promoter. Biofilm formation was evaluated as described in Figure 2. (*) = $P < 0.05$ compared to vector alone.

Figure 6. An alternative model for PdhS2 regulation of CtrA activity. Our data are consistent with PdhS2 intersecting the DivK-CtrA regulatory pathway at one of two points. (Pathway A) Canonical genetic model with PdhS2 interacting with DivK. The phosphorylation status of DivK then modulates CtrA activity through the CckA-ChpT-CtrA axis. (Pathway B) DivK-independent model of CtrA regulation by PdhS2 through an unidentified response regulator, RR-X. Both routes to regulation of CtrA activity ultimately affect the phosphorylation status of CtrA, affecting occupancy at CtrA-regulated promoters, and finally leading to inverse regulation of attachment (primarily through cdGMP pools) and separately motility. Regulatory proteins: Blue text; histidine kinases; orange text, histidine phosphotransferase (Hpt); green text, response regulators. RR-X indicates a putative response regulator, yet to be identified.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Reciprocal control of motility and biofilm formation by the PdhS2

two-component sensor kinase of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*

Jason E. Heindl, Daniel Crosby, Sukhdev Brar, Tiyan Singletary, Daniel Merenich, Aaron M. Buechlein, Justin L. Eagan, Eric L. Bruger, Christopher M. Waters and Clay Fuqua

Running title: *Agrobacterium PdhS2 regulates motility and biofilms*

1) Supplementary Figures – S1-S10

2) Supplementary Figure Legends

3) Supplementary Tables – S1-S3

4) Supplementary References

Figure S1. A combined kinase- and phosphatase-null PdhS2 mutant allele has little effect on biofilm formation or swimming motility. The ability of plasmid-borne expression of a kinase- and phosphatase- null allele of *pdhS2* (p-*pdhS2* (K⁻P⁻)) to complement the Δ *pdhS2* phenotypes was compared against the wild-type *pdhS2* allele (p-*pdhS2*). Biofilm formation (black bars) and swimming motility (white bars) were evaluated as in Figure 2. (a) = $P < 0.05$ compared to the wild-type background with vector only; (b) = $P < 0.05$ compared to the Δ *pdhS2* background with vector only. Statistical significance was determined using Student's *t* test.

Figure S2. Morphology of WT, Δ *divK*, Δ *pdhS2*, and Δ *divK* Δ *pdhS2* strains. Strains were grown to exponential phase in ATGN. Aliquots of cells were placed on top of an ATGN/1% agarose pad and imaged using phase contrast microscopy. (A), WT; (B) Δ *divK*; (C) Δ *pdhS2*; (D) Δ *divK* Δ *pdhS2*. Representative images are shown. Scale bar = 2 μ m.

Figure S3. A kinase-locked allele of CckA fails to suppress the PdhS2-dependent biofilm phenotype. Biofilm formation was evaluated in the indicated strains as described in Figure 2. (*) = $P < 0.05$ compared to background strain carrying vector alone. Statistical significance was determined using Student's *t* test.

Figure S4. A kinase-locked allele of CckA fails to suppress the PdhS2-dependent swimming motility phenotype. Swimming motility was evaluated in the indicated

strains as described in Figure 2. (*) = $P < 0.001$ compared to background strain carrying vector alone. Statistical significance was determined using Student's t test.

Figure S5. Predicted CtrA-dependent promoters bearing one or more CtrA

binding motifs. Upstream regions from genes whose expression is increased (red) or decreased (black) in the $\Delta pdhS2$ mutant background relative to the wild-type background (microarray or *lacZ* fusion data). Predicted CtrA binding sites, as defined in the main text, are indicated. For genes in operons, the most upstream gene that has a predicted CtrA box is shown, even if this upstream gene did not make the expression cutoff for Table 2 (e.g. *Atu4344* and *Atu 8282*). Only those genes with a predicted full CtrA binding site are shown.

Figure S6. PdhS2 regulation of swimming motility is independent of diguanylate

cyclase activity. Swimming motility of the wild-type (WT) and indicated mutant strains was evaluated as described in Figure 2. $P < 0.05$ compared to WT (^a), $\Delta pdhS2$ (^b), or corresponding diguanylate cyclase (^c).

Figure S7. Loss of *pdhS2* enhances biofilm formation in the absence of both

***dgcB* and *pleD*.** Biofilm formation and swimming motility was evaluated in the wild-type (WT) and indicated mutant strains as described in Figure 2. (*) = $P < 0.05$ compared to the wild-type background. Statistical significance was determined using Student's t test.

Figure S8. PdhS2 does not affect global levels of cyclic-di-GMP. Cyclic-di-GMP

levels were measured in whole cell extracts from equivalent ODs of the indicated strains. Data are from three independent experiments (N = 3).

Figure S9. A catalytically inactive DgcB does not affect swimming motility. The

effect on swimming motility of plasmid-borne expression of wild-type *dgcB* (p-*dgcB*) or a catalytic mutant allele of *dgcB* (p-*dgcB*^{*}) was evaluated. Expression of each *dgcB* allele was driven by the *P_{lac}* promoter. Biofilm formation was evaluated as described in Figure 2. (*) = $P < 0.05$ compared to vector alone.

Figure S10. The unipolar polysaccharide is required for PdhS2-dependent biofilm

formation. (A) Biofilm formation was evaluated in the presence (+) or absence (-) of *pdhS2* in combination with the indicated polysaccharides. WT = wild-type, Cel⁻ = cellulose mutant, ChvAB⁻ = cyclic-β-glucan mutant, CrdS⁻ = curdlan mutant, ExoA⁻ = succinoglycan mutant, UPP⁻ = unipolar polysaccharide mutant, EPS⁻ = mutant lacking all of the above polysaccharides. (B) Swimming motility was evaluated in the same strains as in (A). (*) = $P < 0.05$ compared to background strain. Statistical significance was determined using Student's *t* test.

Figure S1




Figure S2







Figure S3





Figure S4



CtrA Binding Site Consensus

TTAANNNNNNGTTAAC




Figure S6




Figure S7




Figure S8




Figure S9




Figure S10A




Figure S10B




Table S1. Strains used in this study

Species	Strain	Relevant Characteristics	Source
<i>A. tumefaciens</i>	C58	Nopaline type strain, pAtC58, pTiC58	(1)
<i>A. tumefaciens</i>	C58-JE001	$\Delta dgcB \Delta pdhS2 \Delta pleD$ (Δ Atu1691 Δ Atu1888 Δ Atu1297)	This study
<i>A. tumefaciens</i>	C58-JE002	$\Delta dgcB \Delta pleD$ (Δ Atu1691 Δ Atu1297)	This study
<i>A. tumefaciens</i>	C58-JEH076	$\Delta pdhS2$ (Δ Atu1888)	(2)
<i>A. tumefaciens</i>	C58-JEH128	$\Delta pdhS2 \Delta pleD$ (Δ Atu1888 Δ Atu1297)	This study
<i>A. tumefaciens</i>	C58-JEH130	$\Delta pdhS2 \Delta dgcA$ (Δ Atu1888 Δ Atu1257)	This study
<i>A. tumefaciens</i>	C58-JEH131	$\Delta pdhS2 \Delta dgcB$ (Δ Atu1888 Δ Atu1691)	This study
<i>A. tumefaciens</i>	C58-JEH132	$\Delta pdhS2 \Delta dgcC$ (Δ Atu1888 Δ Atu2179)	This study
<i>A. tumefaciens</i>	C58-JEH146	$\Delta pdhS2 \Delta crdS \Delta chvAB \Delta cel \Delta upp \Delta exoA$ (Δ Atu1888 Δ Atu3055-3057 Δ Atu2728-2730 Δ Atu3302-8187 Δ Atu1235-1240 Δ Atu4053)	This study
<i>A. tumefaciens</i>	C58-JEH147	$\Delta pdhS2 \Delta upp$ (Δ Atu1888 Δ Atu1235-1240)	This study

<i>A. tumefaciens</i>	C58-JEH148	$\Delta pdhS2 \Delta cel$ ($\Delta Atu1888 \Delta Atu3302-8187$)	This study
<i>A. tumefaciens</i>	C58-JEH149	$\Delta pdhS2 \Delta crdS$ ($\Delta Atu1888 \Delta Atu3055-3057$)	This study
<i>A. tumefaciens</i>	C58-JEH150	$\Delta pdhS2 \Delta chvAB$ ($\Delta Atu1888 \Delta Atu2728-Atu2730$)	This study
<i>A. tumefaciens</i>	C58-JEH151	$\Delta pdhS2 \Delta exoA$ ($\Delta Atu1888 \Delta Atu4053$)	This study
<i>A. tumefaciens</i>	C58-JEH153	$\Delta divK \Delta pdhS2$ ($\Delta Atu1296 \Delta Atu1888$)	This study
<i>A. tumefaciens</i>	C58-JW7	$\Delta divK$ ($\Delta Atu1296$)	(2)
<i>A. tumefaciens</i>	C58-JW8	$\Delta pleD$ ($\Delta Atu1297$)	(2)
<i>A. tumefaciens</i>	C58-JX100	$\Delta crdS$ ($\Delta Atu3055-3057$)	(3)
<i>A. tumefaciens</i>	C58-JX101	$\Delta chvAB$ ($\Delta Atu2728-2730$)	(3)
<i>A. tumefaciens</i>	C58-JX102	Δcel ($\Delta Atu3302-8187$)	(3)
<i>A. tumefaciens</i>	C58-JX111	$\Delta crdS \Delta chvAB \Delta cel \Delta upp \Delta exoA$ ($\Delta Atu3055-3057 \Delta Atu2728-2730 \Delta Atu3302-8187 \Delta Atu1235-1240 \Delta Atu4053$; "EPS-")	(3)
<i>A. tumefaciens</i>	C58-JX125	$\Delta dgcA$ ($\Delta Atu1257$)	(4)
<i>A. tumefaciens</i>	C58-JX187	$\Delta dgcB$ ($\Delta Atu1691$)	(4)

<i>A. tumefaciens</i>	C58-MLL2 A	Δ exoA (Δ Atu4053)	(5)
<i>A. tumefaciens</i>	C58-PMM26	Δ upp (Δ Atu1235-1240)	(3)
<i>A. tumefaciens</i>	C58-YW010	Δ dgcC (Δ Atu2179)	(4)
<i>E. coli</i>	S17-1 λ pir	RK2 tra regulon, pir, host for pir-dependent plasmids	(6)
<i>E. coli</i>	TOP10 F'	F' {lacI ^q Tn10 (Tet ^R)} mcrA Δ (mrr-hsdRMS-mcrBC) Φ 80lacZ Δ M15 Δ lacX74 recA1 araD139 Δ (ara-leu)7697 galU rpsL endA1 nupG	Thermo Fisher Scientific

Table S2. Plasmids used in this study

Plasmid name	Relevant characteristics	Source
pGEM-T Easy	PCR cloning vector; Amp ^R	Promega
p <i>lacZ</i> /290	Broad host range plasmid carrying promoterless <i>lacZ</i> for transcriptional fusions; Tet ^R	(7)
pNPTS138	ColE1 origin; <i>sacB</i> ; Km ^R	gift of M. Alley
pRA301	Broad host range plasmid carrying promoterless <i>lacZ</i> for translational fusions; Spec ^R	(8)
pSRKGm	Broad host range vector containing P_{lac} ; <i>lacI^q</i> ; <i>lacZ</i> α^+ ; Gm ^R	(9)
p <i>ctrA</i> 290	p <i>lacZ</i> /290 derivative with <i>C. crescentus ctrA</i> promoter	(10)
pDC001	pGEM-T Easy with full-length <i>pdhS2</i> ^(CA811-812GC, A823G) (PdhS2 ^{His271A,Thr275Ala} mutant)	This study

pDC002	pSRKGm with full-length <i>pdhS2</i> ^(CA811-812GC, A823G) (PdhS2 ^{His271A,Thr275Ala} mutant)	This study
pGZ22	<i>placZ</i> /290 derivative with <i>C. crescentus ccrM</i> promoter	(11)
pJEH010	pSRKGm with full-length wild-type <i>cckA</i>	(2)
pJEH021	pGEM-T Easy with full- length <i>pdhS2</i>	(2)
pJEH026	pSRKGm with full-length <i>pdhS2</i>	(2)
pJEH030	pSRKGm with full-length Y674D <i>cckA</i> allele	(2)
pJEH040	pNPTS138 derivative with <i>pdhS2</i> SOE deletion fragment	(2)
pJEH052	pGEM-T Easy with <i>pdhS2</i> lacking a stop codon	This study
pJEH053	pGEM-T Easy with <i>gfpmut3</i>	This study

pJEH054	pGEM-T Easy with <i>divJ</i> lacking a stop codon	This study
pJEH060	pSRKGm with a <i>pdhS2::gfpmut3</i> translational fusion	This study
pJEH078	pSRKGm with a <i>divJ::gfpmut3</i> translational fusion	This study
pJEH091	pGEM-T Easy with full- length <i>pdhS2</i> ^(CA811-812GC) (PdhS2 ^{His271Ala} mutant)	This study
pJEH092	pSRKGm with full-length <i>pdhS2</i> ^(CA811-812GC) (PdhS2 ^{His271Ala} mutant)	This study
pJEH099	pGEM-T Easy with full- length <i>pdhS2</i> ^(A823G) (PdhS2 ^{Thr275Ala} mutant)	This study
pJEH102	pSRKGm with full-length <i>pdhS2</i> ^(A823G) (PdhS2 ^{Thr275Ala} mutant)	This study
pJEH113	pGEM-T Easy with A. <i>tumefaciens ccrM</i> promoter	This study

pJEH115	pGEM-T Easy with A. <i>tumefaciens ctrA</i> promoter	This study
pJEH119	pGEM-T Easy with A. <i>tumefaciens pdhS1</i> promoter	This study
pJEH121	pRA301 with A. <i>tumefaciens ccrM</i> promoter	This study
pJEH122	pRA301 with A. <i>tumefaciens ctrA</i> promoter	This study
pJEH124	pRA301 with A. <i>tumefaciens pdhS1</i> promoter	This study
pJEH133	pRA301 with mutated A. <i>tumefaciens dgcB</i> promoter	This study
pJS70	<i>placZ/290</i> derivative with <i>C. crescentus pilA</i> promoter	(12)
pJX158	pRA301 with A. <i>tumefaciens Atu3318</i> promoter	(4)

pJX162	pRA301 with A. <i>tumefaciens</i> <i>dgcB</i> promoter	(4)
pJX520	pSRKGm with full-length <i>dgcB</i>	(4)
pJX521	pSRKGm with full-length <i>dgcB</i> ^{A767C, A770C} (DgcB ^{EE256-257AA} mutant)	(4)
pJX802	pNPTS138 derivative with <i>dgcB</i> SOE deletion fragment	(4)
pJZ383	pPZP201 derivative with <i>P_{tac}::gfpmut3</i> ; Spec ^R	(13)

Table S3. Primers used in this study

Primer	Sequence (5' – 3')	Use
JEH65	GAAGAA <u>CATATG</u> AGTAAAAGCGTCAGCA	cloning <i>pdhS2</i> with NdeI site
JEH85	GATTTCGCGCGATCCCTTCGA	Internal primer for <i>pdhS2</i> locus
JEH87	GAGCAGATGCTGGCCGGA	Internal primer for <i>pdhS2</i> locus
JEH100	GCTCTGTTGAAGGCGGCCAA	External primer for <i>pdhS2</i> locus
JEH113	GCCGGTTTCATGCACACGCA	External primer for <i>pdhS2</i> locus
JEH146	GAAGAAGCTAGCGGCGAAAGACCGCGG	cloning <i>pdhS2</i> w/o STOP and with NheI site
JEH147	GAAGAA <u>CATATG</u> AGAGAAAAAGCGGTCGCA	cloning <i>divJ</i> with NdeI site
JEH148	GAAGAAGCTAGCGGCGATTTTCGCTTTCGCGG	cloning <i>divJ</i> w/o STOP and with NheI site
JEH149	GAAGAAGGTACCTTATTTGTATAGTTCATCCATGCCA	cloning <i>gfpmut3</i> with KpnI site
JEH150	GAAGAAGCTAGCATGAGTAAAGGAGAAGAACTT	cloning <i>gfpmut3</i> with NheI site
JEH245	CGTGCGCAGCTCGgcCGACATGGAA GCG	<i>pdhS2</i> ^{CA811-812GC} mutagenesis
JEH246	CGCTTCCATGTCTGgcCGAGCTGCGCACG	<i>pdhS2</i> ^{CA811-812GC} mutagenesis
JEH261	CGCACGAGCTGCGCgCGCCGCTCAACGC	<i>pdhS2</i> ^{A823G} mutagenesis
JEH262	GCGTTGAGCGGCGcGCGCAGCTCGTGCG	<i>pdhS2</i> ^{A823G} mutagenesis
JEH282	<u>GGTACCT</u> GCCAGAATCGTTGCT	cloning <i>ccrM</i> promoter region, +222 bp to -9 bp from translational start, with KpnI site
JEH284	<u>AAGCTTT</u> GCTGCCATTGGTACT	cloning <i>ccrM</i> promoter region, +222 bp to -9 bp from translational start, with HindIII site
JEH285	<u>GGTACCT</u> TAACCTTTTCGTTTACGGGCA	cloning <i>ctrA</i> promoter region, +328 bp to -9 bp from translational start, with KpnI site
JEH287	<u>CTGCAGA</u> ACCCGCATAATTATCCCCTTT	cloning <i>ctrA</i> promoter region, +328 bp to -9 bp from

		translational start, with PstI site
JEH291	<u>GGTACC</u> ATTTGCAAGTGCCTCTT	cloning <i>pdhS1</i> promoter region, +264 bp to -9 bp from translational start, with KpnI site
JEH293	<u>AAGCTT</u> GGCGGGCATGTGCGAAA	cloning <i>pdhS1</i> promoter region, +264 bp to -9 bp from translational start, with HindIII site
USP073	GTTCCCTGAAACTTATTTTTCGTCTAT TTTTATCTTATA <u>AATTTT</u> GATAATCATC AGTAATTTTTCATTTTGTAGGATTTCC	site-directed mutagenesis of CtrA binding site in <i>dgcB</i> promoter
USP074	GGAAATCCTACAAAATGAAAATTACT GATGATTATCAA <u>AATT</u> TATAAGATAAAA ATAGACGAAAAATAAGTTTCAGGGAA C	site-directed mutagenesis of CtrA binding site in <i>dgcB</i> promoter

REFERENCES

1. **Heindl JE, Crosby D, Brar S, Merenich D, Buechlein AM, Brugger EL, Waters CM, Fuqua C.** 2017. Reciprocal control of motility and biofilm formation by the PdhS2 two-component sensor kinase of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. *bioRxiv*.
2. **Kim J, Heindl JE, Fuqua C.** 2013. Coordination of division and development influences complex multicellular behavior in *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. *PLoS One* **8**:e56682.
3. **Gao R, Stock AM.** 2017. Quantitative Kinetic Analyses of Shutting Off a Two-Component System. *MBio* **8**.
4. **Xu J, Kim J, Koestler BJ, Choi JH, Waters CM, Fuqua C.** 2013. Genetic analysis of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* unipolar polysaccharide production reveals complex integrated control of the motile-to-sessile switch. *Mol Microbiol* **89**:929-948.
5. **Grangeon R, Zupan J, Jeon Y, Zambryski PC.** 2017. Loss of PopZ At activity in *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* by Deletion or Depletion Leads to Multiple Growth Poles, Minicells, and Growth Defects. *MBio* **8**.
6. **Simon R, Priefer U, Puhler A.** 1983. A broad host range mobilization system for *in vivo* genetic engineering: transposon mutagenesis in gram negative bacteria. *Bio/Technology (Nature Publishing Company)* **Nov.**:784-791.
7. **Gober JW, Shapiro L.** 1992. A developmentally regulated *Caulobacter* flagellar promoter is activated by 3' enhancer and IHF binding elements. *Mol Biol Cell* **3**:913-926.
8. **Akakura R, Winans SC.** 2002. Constitutive mutations of the OccR regulatory protein affect DNA bending in response to metabolites released from plant tumors. *J Biol Chem* **277**:5866-5874.
9. **Khan SR, Gaines J, Roop RM, 2nd, Farrand SK.** 2008. Broad-host-range expression vectors with tightly regulated promoters and their use to examine the influence of TraR and TraM expression on Ti plasmid quorum sensing. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **74**:5053-5062.
10. **Domian IJ, Reisenauer A, Shapiro L.** 1999. Feedback control of a master bacterial cell-cycle regulator. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **96**:6648-6653.
11. **Stephens CM, Zweiger G, Shapiro L.** 1995. Coordinate cell cycle control of a *Caulobacter* DNA methyltransferase and the flagellar genetic hierarchy. *J Bacteriol* **177**:1662-1669.
12. **Skerker JM, Shapiro L.** 2000. Identification and cell cycle control of a novel pilus system in *Caulobacter crescentus*. *EMBO J* **19**:3223-3234.
13. **Ramey BE, Matthysse AG, Fuqua C.** 2004. The FNR-type transcriptional regulator SinR controls maturation of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* biofilms. *Molecular Microbiology* **52**:1495-1511.