

1

1 **Mating-compatibility genes employed as diagnostic markers to identify novel**  
2 **incursions of the myrtle rust pathogen *Austropuccinia psidii***

3

4 Jinghang Feng<sup>1</sup>, Austin Bird<sup>1</sup>, Zhenyan Luo<sup>1</sup>, Rita Tam<sup>1</sup>, Luc Shepherd<sup>1,2</sup>, Lydia  
5 Murphy<sup>1</sup>, Lavi Singh<sup>1</sup>, Abigail Graetz<sup>1</sup>, Mareike Moeller<sup>1</sup>, Lilian Amorim<sup>3</sup>, Nelson Sidnei  
6 Massola Júnior<sup>3</sup>, M. Asaduzzaman Prodhan<sup>2</sup>, Louise Shuey<sup>4</sup>, Douglas Beattie<sup>5</sup>,  
7 Alejandro Trujillo Gonzalez<sup>6</sup>, Peri A. Tobias<sup>7</sup>, Amanda Padovan<sup>1</sup>, Rohan Kimber<sup>8</sup>,  
8 Alistair McTaggart<sup>9</sup>, Monica Kehoe<sup>2</sup>, Benjamin Schwessinger<sup>1,†,\*</sup>, Thaís R. Boufleur<sup>1,3,\*</sup>

9

10 <sup>1</sup> Research School of Biology, The Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 2601,  
11 Australia.

12 <sup>2</sup> DPIRD Diagnostics and Laboratory Services, Department of Primary Industries and Regional  
13 Development; 3 Baron-Hay Court, South Perth, WA 6151, Australia.

14 <sup>3</sup> Department of Plant Pathology and Nematology, Luiz de Queiroz College of Agriculture,  
15 University of São Paulo, Piracicaba, SP 13418-900, Brazil.

16 <sup>4</sup> Queensland Department of Agriculture and Fisheries, Ecosciences Precinct, 41 Boggo Rd,  
17 Dutton Park QLD 4102, Australia.

18 <sup>5</sup> Department of Health, Australian Government.

19 <sup>6</sup> Centre for Conservation Ecology and Genomics, Institute for Applied Ecology, University of  
20 Canberra, Bruce, Australian Capital Territory, Australia.

21 <sup>7</sup> School of Life and Environmental Sciences, Faculty of Science, The University of Sydney,  
22 Camperdown, New South Wales 2006, Australia.

23 <sup>8</sup> Crop Sciences, South Australian Research and Development Institute (SARDI), Waite  
24 Research Precinct, Urrbrae, SA 5064, Australia

2

25 <sup>9</sup> Centre for Horticultural Science, Queensland Alliance for Agriculture and Food Innovation, The  
26 University of Queensland, Ecosciences Precinct, Dutton Park, Queensland, Australia

27

28 J. Feng, A. Bird, and Z. Luo contributed equally to this manuscript.

29 \*B. Schwessinger and T. R. Boufleur share the last authorship.

30 <sup>†</sup>Corresponding author: B. Schwessinger; E-mail: [benjamin.schwessinger@anu.edu.au](mailto:benjamin.schwessinger@anu.edu.au)

31

32 **ABSTRACT**

33

34 *Austropuccinia psidii* is the causal agent of myrtle rust in over 480 species within the  
35 family Myrtaceae. Lineages of *A. psidii* are structured by host in its native range, and  
36 some have success on new-encounter hosts. For example, the pandemic biotype has  
37 spread beyond South America, and proliferation of other lineages is an additional risk to  
38 biodiversity and industries. Efforts to manage *A. psidii* incursions, including lineage  
39 differentiation, relies on variable microsatellite markers. Testing these markers is time-  
40 consuming and complex, particularly on a large scale. We designed a novel diagnostic  
41 approach targeting the fungal mating-type *HD* (homeodomain) transcription factor locus  
42 to address these limitations. The *HD* locus (*bW1/2-HD1* and *bE1/2-HD2*) is highly  
43 polymorphic, facilitating clear biological predictions about its inheritance from founding  
44 populations. To be considered the same lineage, all four *HD* alleles must be identical.  
45 Our lineage diagnostics relies on PCR amplification of the *HD* locus in different  
46 genotypes of *A. psidii* followed by amplicon sequencing using Oxford Nanopore  
47 Technologies (ONT) and comparative analysis. The lineage-specific assay was  
48 validated on four isolates with existing genomes, uncharacterized isolates, and directly

3

49 from infected leaf material. We reconstructed *HD* alleles from amplicons and confirmed  
50 their sequence identity relative to their reference. Genealogies using *HD* alleles  
51 confirmed the variations at the *HD* loci among lineages/isolates. Our study establishes a  
52 robust diagnostic tool, for differentiating known lineages of *A. psidii* based biological  
53 predictions. This tool holds promise for detecting new pathogen incursions and can be  
54 refined for broader applications, including air-sample detection and mixed-isolate  
55 infections.

56

57 **Keywords:** Myrtaceae, Diagnostics, Oxford Nanopore Technologies, mating-type,  
58 Homeodomain genes.

59

## 60 **Background**

61

62 *Austropuccinia psidii*, the causal agent of myrtle rust in over 480 host species  
63 within the Myrtaceae family (Soewarto et al. 2019; Carnegie and Giblin 2021), is among  
64 the world's top ten priority fungal species for biosecurity (Hyde et al. 2018). Its high  
65 virulence and rapid adaptability to new environments is a threat to biodiversity and  
66 industries (Chock 2020), especially in regions like Australia and New Zealand, where  
67 species of the Myrtaceae family prevail (Hyde et al. 2018). In eucalypts, for example,  
68 losses in volume due to rust severity can vary from 23% to 35% (Santos et al. 2020).

69 Initially described in Brazil (Winter 1884), *A. psidii* remained limited to the  
70 Americas for many decades before spreading to all continents, except Europe and  
71 Antarctica (Simpson et al. 2006). In Australia, where the pathogen was first detected in

72 2010 (Carnegie et al. 2010), only the pandemic biotype (group of organisms with  
73 identical genetic constitution) has been reported, and isolates belonging to exotic  
74 lineages of *A. psidii* are considered a threat to Australian natural environments and  
75 commercial native forests (DAFF 2023; Makinson et al. 2020). Disease symptoms and  
76 spore morphology are highly similar across isolates of *A. psidii* belonging to different  
77 lineages, even in cases with strong host associations (Morales et al. 2023; Boufleur et  
78 al. 2023; Ferrarezi et al. 2022). The disease is predominantly caused by the clonal  
79 stage of the pathogen and started by urediniospores. It is characterized by the initial  
80 appearance of small chlorotic spots that develop into bright orange pustules that  
81 sporulate to generate re-infective urediniospores under most conditions (Glen et al.,  
82 2007).

83 Early detection and diagnosis are crucial for tracking, and potentially limiting rust  
84 fungi incursions (Hussain et al. 2020). Microsatellite markers have been used to  
85 differentiate lineages of *A. psidii* (Stewart et al. 2017; Graça et al. 2013), but their  
86 application can be time-consuming and complex, particularly on a large scale. The  
87 current internationally approved assay to diagnose *A. psidii* is a species-specific qPCR  
88 (Quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction) (IPPC 2018; Baskarathevan et al. 2016),  
89 however, the choice of gene lacks the variability needed to differentiate among  
90 pathogen lineages (Beenken 2017; Boufleur et al. 2023; Bini 2016). Therefore, there is  
91 a need to identify novel target regions that precisely diagnose different lineages of *A.*  
92 *psidii* for faster and precise action in a biosecurity response.

93 Mating in fungi is controlled through genes expressed at mating-type (*MAT*) loci  
94 (Wilson et al. 2015). In rust fungi, these are two unlinked loci, one contains pheromone

95 precursors and receptors (*P/R*) and the other contains homeodomain (*HD*) transcription  
96 factors that are closely linked via a short DNA sequence. The *HD* locus encodes *bW-*  
97 *HD1* and *bE-HD2* genes, which are highly multiallelic in rust fungi (Luo et al. 2024) and  
98 many Basidiomycota (Coelho et al. 2017). These transcription factors form  
99 heterodimeric complexes between alleles and regulate cellular development during  
100 mating and the fungal life cycle (Coelho et al. 2017; Cuomo et al. 2017; Holden et al.  
101 2023; Wilson et al. 2015). The analysis of *A. psidii* genomes confirmed physically  
102 unlinked, heterozygous *P/R* and *HD* loci, supporting that mate compatibility in this  
103 pathogen is governed by two multiallelic *HD* genes (*bW-HD1* and *bE-HD2*) and a  
104 biallelic *P/R* gene (Ferrarezi et al. 2022).

105 The aim of this study was to develop a highly sensitive assay for the detection  
106 and identification of *A. psidii* lineages distinct from the pandemic biotype. This assay  
107 can be used for monitoring existing incursions/outbreaks, and to help prevent and limit  
108 further incursions of exotic lineages. Here we introduce novel primers designed to target  
109 the mating-type *HD* locus of *A. psidii*. These primers are designed to be used in  
110 combination with long-read sequencing such as those facilitated by Oxford Nanopore  
111 Technologies (ONT).□□□

112

## 113 **Material and Methods**

114

### 115 ***HD* locus identification and primer design**

116

117        The *HD* locus of three *A. psidii* lineages was identified on complete dikaryotic  
118    genome assemblies, including Brazilian isolates belonging to two different lineages MF-  
119    1 (from *Eucalyptus grandis*) (PRJNA215767, GCA\_000469055.2) and LFNJM1  
120    (unpublished data) from *Syzygium jambos* (Boufleur et al. 2023), and APG1 from  
121    *Psidium guajava* 2/28/2024 8:02:00 AM (unpublished data), along with the Au3 isolate  
122    that belongs to the pandemic biotype (Au3\_v2) (PRJNA810573, GCA\_023105745.1,  
123    GCA\_023105775.1) (Edwards et al. 2022), and the South African isolate Apsidii\_AM,  
124    that belongs to the South African Biotype (PRJNA480390, GCA\_003724095.1)  
125    (McTaggart et al. 2018). The *HD* loci containing regions were identified with BLASTx  
126    (v.2.15.0) in combination with annotated *bW-HD1* and *bE-HD2* *A. psidii* genes, as  
127    described by Ferrarezi et al., (2022). As expected for the *HD* locus in dikaryotic genome  
128    assemblies, two alleles of each *bW-HD1* and *bE-HD2* gene were retrieved. The alleles  
129    of each gene (*bW-HD1* and *bE-HD2*) were aligned separately with MACSE (v.2.07)  
130    (Ranwez et al. 2018) and two Bayesian inference genealogy trees were generated with  
131    Mr. Bayes v.3.2.6 (Huelsenbeck and Ronquist 2001).

132        Primers were designed manually based on a multiple sequence alignment of  
133    contigs containing the *HD* alleles of the pandemic Au3\_v2 isolate, the South African  
134    isolate Apsidii\_AM, and the Brazilian isolate MF-1. Two pairs of degenerate primers  
135    were designed to amplify *bW-HD1* and *bE-HD2* individually, and the combination of the  
136    most forward and the most reverse primers was used to amplify the full-length *HD* locus  
137    (Table 1). Regions that were fully or nearly fully conserved having a maximum of two  
138    single nucleotide differences between all *HD* loci alleles were selected to allow

139 amplification of all alleles at the same time. The expected amplicon size was ~1600 bp  
140 and ~1400 bp for each *bW-HD* and *bE-HD*, and ~3000 bp for the complete *HD* locus.

141

142 **DNA extraction and HD locus amplification**

143

144 The designed primers were tested with diverse samples, including four positive  
145 controls of *A. psidii*, ten field samples and three non-target rust species (Table 2).  
146 Genomic DNA (gDNA) was extracted directly from *A. psidii* urediniospores, from  
147 infected leaf material or from urediniospores of non-target rust species (Table 2) with  
148 the DNeasy Plant mini kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The  
149 integrity and quality of the DNA was measured with a Nanodrop spectrophotometer  
150 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and checked by agarose gel (0.8%) electrophoresis stained  
151 with SYBR Safe (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The DNA concentration was determinate  
152 using the Qubit 4 (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and all samples were adjusted to 25 ng/µL  
153 for further studies.

154 In the first round of PCR tests, the aim was to evaluate the amplification of (non-)  
155 target sequences by the designed primers followed by sequence analysis using *A. psidii*  
156 and three non-target rust isolates (Table 2, Figure 1A-B). PCR was performed on a  
157 Mastecycler nexus X2 thermal cycler (Eppendorf). The reaction mixture, with a final  
158 volume of 25 µL, included 5 µL of 5X reaction buffer, 0.5 µL of dNTPs [10 mM], 1.25 µL  
159 of each primer [10 µM], 0.25 µL of Q5 High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (NEB), 14.75 µL  
160 of Nuclease Free Water (NFW) and 2 µL (up to 50 ng) of template DNA. The PCR  
161 amplification had an initial denaturation step at 98 °C for 30 s, followed by 35 cycles of

162 denaturation at 98 °C for 30 s, annealing at 58 °C for 30 s and extension at 72 °C for 30  
163 s, with a final extension step at a 72 °C for 2 min. Specificity tests were run in duplicate,  
164 and PCR products were visualised on 2% agarose gel stained with SYBR safe (Thermo  
165 Fisher Scientific).

166 In the second round of PCR tests, the performance of the primers was assessed  
167 against single-spore isolates and field samples (urediniospores and infected leaves)  
168 collected in Brazil and Australia (Table 2). Identical reaction conditions, as described  
169 above, were used to amplify the *bW-HD* and *bE-HD* loci individually or the full-length  
170 *HD locus* (Table 2).

171

## 172 **ONT sequencing**

173

174 For Oxford Nanopore sequencing, libraries were generated following  
175 manufacturer instructions for V14 Ligation Sequencing of amplicons (Native Barcoding  
176 Kit V14 96 - SQK-NBD114.96) with modifications as follows. An initial bead clean was  
177 performed using 1.2 x of 2 % Sera-Mag beads to purify the PCR product and 200 fmol  
178 of clean DNA carried through to End-prep reaction. The End-prep reaction was  
179 incubated at 20 °C and then 65 °C for 15 min to maximize yield. One µL of the end-  
180 prepped DNA was amplicons were barcoded with 1 µL of Nanopore Native Barcode  
181 using 5 µL Blunt/TA ligase master mix (NEB) in total reaction volume of 10 µL for 20  
182 min at 20 °C. The reaction was stopped by adding 1 µL of EDTA to each ligation  
183 reaction. The individually barcoded PCR amplicons were pooled, bead cleaned with 0.6  
184 x volume 2% Sera-Mag beads and two washes of 70% ethanol. The pool of barcoded

9

185 PCR amplicons was eluted in 21  $\mu$ L of nuclease-free water. Library preparation was  
186 completed according to the manufacturers protocol. Twenty fmol of the barcoded library  
187 was loaded on a MinION R10.4.1 Flowcell (FLO-MIN114) and sequencing was ran  
188 using a MinION. Basecalling was performed with Guppy v. 6.4.2 Super High Accuracy  
189 mode. All long-read amplicon datasets were deposited to Zenodo  
190 (<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10656657>).

191

## 192 ***De novo reconstruction of HD loci and genealogies***

193

194 A two-step filtering process was implemented on the base-called sequences. In  
195 the initial step, sequencing reads were filtered based on their Phred quality scores, with  
196 reads having a mean quality score below 15 being removed. This ensured that the  
197 remaining reads had an average per base accuracy of  $\geq 97\%$ . The second filtering step  
198 involved selecting sequencing reads with lengths around the expected amplicon length  
199 for each *HD* gene region: 1500-1800 bp for *bW-HD1* and 1300-1500 bp for *bE-HD2*.  
200 The VSEARCH clustering algorithm (Rognes et al. 2016) was applied for quality control  
201 of sequencing reads of each sample, using global similarity during clustering. It is  
202 important to note that the VSEARCH clustering algorithm could not recognize  
203 sequences of the same gene in the opposite direction. Hence, we obtained two clusters  
204 for each *bW-HD1* and *bE-HD2* alleles in the sample along with two consensus  
205 sequences - one forward and the other reverse. The analysis code is available on  
206 Github (<https://github.com/TheRainInSpain/Lineage-Specific-Marker.git>). And the

10

207 dataset is available on (<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10656657>). Geneious software  
208 (v.2023.2.1) was used to visualize the forward read consensus sequences.

209 The reconstructed amplicon and reference sequences were aligned (Table 2)  
210 with MUSCLE (v.5.1) (Edgar 2004), and simple genealogical trees were reconstructed  
211 using the Geneious tree builder (v.2023.2.1).

212

## 213 **Results**

214

### 215 ***Specificity of the diagnostic assay achieved through HD loci amplification and*** 216 ***ONT sequencing***

217

218 During the initial phase of primer testing, HD PCRs were performed on positive  
219 controls of *A. psidii* (Au3, MF-1, APG1 and LFNJM1) and negative controls with non-  
220 target rust species (*Miyagia psudosphaeria*, *Puccinia striiformis* f. sp. *tritici*, *P. graminis*,  
221 *P. triticina*, *Thekopsora minima*). In addition, we focused on the individual PCR  
222 amplicons (*bW-HD* and *bE-HD*) because the amplification of the full-length locus was  
223 not robust enough across samples and technical replicates. The sizes of the amplicons  
224 were as expected in the positive control samples, being of ~1600 bp for *bW-HD*, ~1400  
225 bp for *bE-HD*, and ~3000 kb for the full HD locus (Table 2). In addition, we observed  
226 bands of variable sizes in some of our technical repeats of non-target species. All  
227 samples were sequenced with our ONT amplicon sequencing workflow because our  
228 assay does not rely exclusively on the PCR amplification product but requires that the  
229 amplified sequences match the *A. psidii* HD sequences in a genealogical framework.

230        None of the non-target species amplicon sequences matched the full-length *A.*  
231 *psidii* *HD* sequences. For each *A. psidii* isolate, four *HD* alleles (2x *bW-HD1* and 2x *bE-*  
232 *HD2*) were reconstructed based on ONT sequencing results, as expected for dikaryotic  
233 organisms. Isolates were considered identical if their four *HD* alleles were identical or  
234 carried minor non-functional variation (for example synonymous variation, variation  
235 outside the variable domain or within introns). The applicability of the lineage diagnostic  
236 test was confirmed by comparing the *de novo* reconstructed consensus sequences  
237 derived from ONT amplicon sequencing with the *HD* amplicon sequences derived from  
238 the reference genomes (APG1, MF-1, LFNJM1, Au3 and Apsidii\_AM). All *de novo*  
239 reconstructed ONT amplicon sequences clearly grouped with their respective *HD* alleles  
240 obtained from reference genomes (Table 2, Figure 1A-B). Moreover, the reference  
241 trees for *bW-HD1* and *bE-HD2* revealed that the Brazilian isolates and the South African  
242 isolate carry clearly distinct alleles when compared against the pandemic isolate (Figure  
243 1A-B), corroborating variations previously described using microsatellite markers  
244 (Stewart et al. 2017; Roux et al. 2016; Graça et al. 2013).

245

#### 246 **Primers targeting the *HD* region distinguished different lineages of *A. psidii***

247

248        The designed primers successfully amplified individual *HD* loci of DNA extracted  
249 from different sources of field samples, including urediniospores and infected leaf  
250 material. As observed previously, the full *HD* locus amplification was not possible for the  
251 LFNJRM1 isolate and the field samples (Table 2). The reconstructed amplicon and  
252 reference sequences were aligned and revealed a pairwise identity of 67.9% to 100%

12

253 for *bW-HD1* and 76.9% and to 100% for *bE-HD2* alleles across the analyzed sample  
254 isolates. Our results revealed that an isolate collected from field samples in Australia in  
255 2022 (SYD) belong to the same lineage as the pandemic lineage because all four *HD*  
256 alleles clearly grouped with those derived from the pandemic reference isolate, while  
257 isolates collected from field samples from Brazil, belong to different lineages having at  
258 least two different *HD* alleles (Figure 1C-D).

259

## 260 **Discussion**

261

262 Traditionally, *A. psidii* lineages have been identified using microsatellite markers  
263 (Stewart et al. 2017; Graça et al. 2013; Roux et al. 2016; Sandhu et al. 2016). In this  
264 study, a diagnostic assay targeting the *HD* locus of *A. psidii*, coupled with ONT  
265 sequencing was developed. This method successfully amplified diverse copies of the  
266 *HD* locus from *A. psidii* isolates collected in Australia and Brazil and is predicted to work  
267 for the South African isolate.

268 The genealogical tree of *de novo* reconstructed amplicons confirmed the  
269 biological expectation of lineage specific variation among isolates originating from single  
270 spores, field samples from different hosts, and distinct geographic locations. The isolate  
271 collected from field samples in Australia had *HD* alleles that matched the pandemic  
272 lineage, whereas in Brazil, the center of origin of the disease, there was a strong  
273 association of *HD* allele status with their original host species, as previously observed  
274 (Morales et al. 2023; Graça et al. 2013; Stewart et al. 2017). Overall, the high allelic  
275 diversity at the *HD* locus in our samples is in line with the previously identified diversity

13

276 observed at this locus in rust fungi including *A. psidii* and *Puccinia* spp. (Ferrarezi et al.  
277 2022; Holden et al. 2023; Luo et al., 2024).

278 Individual *HD* genes were amplified in field samples for which the entire *HD* locus  
279 could not be amplified by PCR. In the future, our primers and/or amplification conditions  
280 can be further improved to amplify the entire locus of natural *A. psidii* populations within  
281 its center of diversity, as it is easier in a Biosecurity response to amplify one long region  
282 than two. In addition, we observed off target PCR amplification in some of our technical  
283 replicates of non-target species, yet none of these sequences match the *A. psidii* *HD*  
284 sequence. Improved primers or nested PCRs could improve PCR specificity increasing  
285 sensitivity and throughput when applied under routine testing conditions.

286 To date, the non-pandemic isolates shared at most one out of four *HD* alleles  
287 with the pandemic isolate (Au\_3). This finding shows non-pandemic isolates can be  
288 differentiated from the pandemic lineage based on their *HD* alleles. This protocol serves  
289 as a valuable tool in detecting new incursions of the pathogen in regions where a single  
290 lineage is present as for example in Australia where only a single incursion of the  
291 pandemic biotype has been reported to date. Further, comparing *HD* allele identity with  
292 known reference sequences could link novel incursions with related populations in  
293 source regions. This could help in identifying risks in import pathways of this exotic  
294 pathogen and improve risk mitigation strategies. In addition, the assay could potentially  
295 detect recombination between populations if purified single pustule isolates were  
296 analyzed. In the future, we anticipate that the diagnostic test can be refined to detect  
297 urediniospores of *A. psidii* from complex samples derived from air-sampling or mixed  
298 infections to enable structured targeted surveillance of this pathogen on the ground.

14

299

300 **Acknowledgments**

301

302 The authors thank Danièle Giblot-Ducray and Kelly Hill for the critical feedback and  
303 support.

304

305 **Funding**

306

307 A. T. Gonzalez, R. Kimber, and B. Schwessinger were supported by an Australian  
308 Government grant from the Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry entitled  
309 "Automated air sampling for remote surveillance and high throughput processing of  
310 environmental samples for eDNA analyses". São Paulo Research Foundation  
311 (FAPESP) Grant 2019/13191-5. T. R. Boufleur was supported by FAPESP Grants  
312 2022/11900-1 and 2021/01606-6.

313

314 **Literature Cited**

315

316 Baskarathevan, J., Taylor, R. K., Ho, W., McDougal, R. L., Shivas, R. G., and  
317 Alexander, B. J. R. 2016. Real-Time PCR Assays for the detection of *Puccinia psidii*.  
318 Plant Disease. 100:617–624.  
319 Beenken, L. 2017. *Austropuccinia*: a new genus name for the myrtle rust *Puccinia psidii*  
320 placed within the redefined family Sphaerophragmiaceae (Pucciniales). Phytotaxa.  
321 297:53.

322 Bini, A. P. 2016. Estudo molecular do desenvolvimento de *Puccinia psidii* Winter *in vitro*  
323 e no processo de infecção em *Eucalyptus grandis*. Available at:  
324 <http://www.teses.usp.br/teses/disponiveis/11/11137/tde-10112016-161846/> [Accessed  
325 September 19, 2022].

326 Boufleur, T. R., Morales, J. V. P., Martins, T. V., Gonçalves, M. P., Júnior, N. S. M., and  
327 Amorim, L. 2023. A diagnostic guide for myrtle rust. *Plant Health Progress*. 24:242–251.

328 Carnegie, A. J., Lidbetter, J. R., Walker, J., Horwood, M. A., Tesoriero, L., Glen, M., et  
329 al. 2010. *Uredo rangelii*, a taxon in the guava rust complex, newly recorded on  
330 Myrtaceae in Australia. *Australasian Plant Pathol.* 39:463–466.

331 Coelho, M. A., Bakkeren, G., Sun, S., Hood, M. E., and Giraud, T. 2017. Fungal sex: the  
332 Basidiomycota. *Microbiol Spectr.* 5.

333 Cuomo, C. A., Bakkeren, G., Khalil, H. B., Panwar, V., Joly, D., Linning, R., et al. 2017.  
334 Comparative analysis highlights variable genome content of wheat rusts and divergence  
335 of the mating loci. *G3 Genes|Genomes|Genetics*. 7:361–376.

336 Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry. 2023. Myrtle rust (exotic strains).  
337 Biosecurity and Trade. Available at: [https://www.agriculture.gov.au/biosecurity-trade/pests-diseases-weeds/plant/myrtle-rust#:~:text=Myrtle%20rust%20\(exotic%20strains\)%20is,damaging%20to%20our%20eucalyptus%20trees](https://www.agriculture.gov.au/biosecurity-trade/pests-diseases-weeds/plant/myrtle-rust#:~:text=Myrtle%20rust%20(exotic%20strains)%20is,damaging%20to%20our%20eucalyptus%20trees) [Accessed December 19, 2023].

341 Edgar, R. C. 2004. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high  
342 throughput. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 32:1792–1797.

343 Edwards, R. J., Dong, C., Park, R. F., and Tobias, P. A. 2022. A phased chromosome-  
344 level genome and full mitochondrial sequence for the dikaryotic myrtle rust pathogen,

345 *Austropuccinia psidii*. :2022.04.22.489119 Available at:  
346 <https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2022.04.22.489119v1> [Accessed August 22,  
347 2022].

348 Ferrarezi, J. A., McTaggart, A. R., Tobias, P. A., Hayashibara, C. A. A., Degnan, R. M.,  
349 Shuey, L. S., et al. 2022. *Austropuccinia psidii* uses tetrapolar mating and produces  
350 meiotic spores in older infections on *Eucalyptus grandis*. *Fungal Genetics and Biology*.  
351 160:103692.

352 Graça, R. N., Ross-Davis, A. L., Klopfenstein, N. B., Kim, M.-S., Peever, T. L., Cannon,  
353 P. G., et al. 2013. Rust disease of eucalypts, caused by *Puccinia psidii*, did not originate  
354 via host jump from guava in Brazil. *Mol Ecol*. 22:6033–6047.

355 Holden, S., Bakkeren, G., Hubensky, J., Bamrah, R., Abbasi, M., Qutob, D., et al. 2023.  
356 Uncovering the history of recombination and population structure in western Canadian  
357 stripe rust populations through mating type alleles. *BMC Biology*. 21:233.

358 Huelsenbeck, J. P., and Ronquist, F. 2001. MRBAYES: Bayesian inference of  
359 phylogenetic trees. *Bioinformatics*. 17:754–755.

360 Hussain, K. K., Malavia, D., Johson, E., Littlechild, J. A., Winlove, P., Vollmer, F., et al.  
361 2020. Biosensors and diagnostics for fungal detection. *Journal of Fungi*. 6:349.

362 Hyde, K. D., Al-Hatmi, A. M. S., Andersen, B., Boekhout, T., Buzina, W., Dawson, T. L.,  
363 et al. 2018. The world's ten most feared fungi. *Fungal Diversity*. 93:161–194.

364 IPPC. 2018. DP 26: *Austropuccinia psidii*.

365 Leite, T. F., Moon, D. H., Lima, A. C. M., Labate, C. A., and Tanaka, F. A. O. 2013. A  
366 simple protocol for whole leaf preparation to investigate the interaction between  
367 *Puccinia psidii* and *Eucalyptus grandis*. *Australasian Plant Pathol*. 42:79–84.

368 Luo, Z; McTaggart, A; Schwessinger, B. 2024. Genome biology and evolution of matyng  
369 type loci in four cereal rust fungi. Available at:  
370 <https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2023.03.02.530769v3>.

371 Makinson, R. O., Pegg, G. S., and Carnegie, A. J. 2020. Myrtle Rust in Australia – a  
372 National Action Plan.

373 McTaggart, A. R., Duong, T. A., Le, V. Q., Shuey, L. S., Smidt, W., Naidoo, S., et al.  
374 2018. Chromium sequencing: the doors open for genomics of obligate plant pathogens.  
375 BioTechniques. 65:253–257.

376 Morales, J. V. P., Boufleur, T. R., Gonçalves, M. P., Parisi, M. C. M., Loehrer, M.,  
377 Schaffrath, U., et al. 2023. Differential aggressiveness of *Austropuccinia psidii* isolates  
378 from guava and rose apple upon cross-inoculation. Plant Pathology. Available at:  
379 <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/ppa.13850> [Accessed January 12, 2024].

380 Ranwez, V., Douzery, E. J. P., Cambon, C., Chantret, N., and Delsuc, F. 2018. MACSE  
381 v2: Toolkit for the Alignment of Coding Sequences Accounting for Frameshifts and Stop  
382 Codons. Mol Biol Evol. 35:2582–2584.

383 Rognes, T., Flouri, T., Nichols, B., Quince, C., and Mahé, F. 2016. VSEARCH: a  
384 versatile open source tool for metagenomics. PeerJ. 4:e2584.

385 Roux, J., Granados, G. M., Shuey, L., Barnes, I., Wingfield, M. J., and McTaggart, A. R.  
386 2016. A unique genotype of the rust pathogen, *Puccinia psidii*, on Myrtaceae in South  
387 Africa. Australasian Plant Pathol. 45:645–652.

388 Sandhu, K. S., Karaoglu, H., Zhang, P., and Park, R. F. 2016. Simple sequence repeat  
389 markers support the presence of a single genotype of *Puccinia psidii* in Australia. Plant  
390 Pathol. 65:1084–1094.

391 Santos, A. P., Gomes, R. L., Furtado, E. L., Passos, J. R. S. 2020. Quantifying losses in  
392 productivity by the rust in eucalypt plantations in Brazil. *Fores. Ecol. Manag.* 468:  
393 118170.

394 Simpson, J. A., Thomas, K., and Grgurinovic, C. A. 2006. Uredinales species  
395 pathogenic on species of Myrtaceae. *Australas. Plant Pathol.* 35:549–562.

396 Stewart, J. E., Ross-Davis, A. L., Graña, R. N., Alfenas, A. C., Peever, T. L., Hanna, J.  
397 W., et al. 2017. Genetic diversity of the myrtle rust pathogen (*Austropuccinia psidii*) in  
398 the Americas and Hawaii: Global implications for invasive threat assessments ed. M.  
399 Cleary. *For. Path.* 48:e12378.

400 Wilson, A. M., Godlonton, T., van der Nest, M. A., Wilken, P. M., Wingfield, M. J., and  
401 Wingfield, B. D. 2015. Unisexual reproduction in *Huntiella moniliformis*. *Fungal Genetics  
402 and Biology.* 80:1–9.

403 Winter, G. R. 1884. Rabenhorstii fungi europaei et extraeuropaei exsiccati cura Dr. G.  
404 Winter, CenturiaXXXI et XXXII. *Hedwigia.* 23:164–172.

405

406 **Tables**

407

408 **Table 1.** List of primers used in the present study.

Primers	Sequence (5' - 3')	Region	Changes
HDFor2DG (forward) <sup>a</sup>	ATACAGTTYAGGTTWTRGCG	<i>bW-HD</i>	C/T, A/T, A/G
HDMR1 (reverse)	GAAAGGAAATATTGCCACT	<i>bW-HD</i>	-
HDMF2 DG (forward)	YGACCGCCTTCCTTGAG	<i>bE-HD</i>	C/T,
HDRev2DG (reverse) <sup>a</sup>	GTGTCSAAGCWACCAAAATC	<i>bE-HD</i>	C/G, A/T

409 <sup>a</sup> Primers used to amplify the full *HD* locus.

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418 **Table 2.** Description of isolates and populations and of *A. psidii* and non-target rusts used in this  
419 study

Organism	Code	Source	Country	Amplification <sup>a</sup>			Alleles		
				Full locus	bW- HD1	bE- HD2	HD1	HD2	
<b>Isolates</b>									
<i>Austropuccinia psidii</i>	Au3 <sup>b</sup>	<i>Agonis flexuosa</i>	AUS	+	+	+	Au3	Au3	Au3
							HapA	HapB	HapA
<i>A. psidii</i>	MF-1 <sup>b</sup>	<i>Eucalyptus grandis</i>	BR	+	+	+	MF-1	MF-1	MF-1
							HapA	HapB	HapA
<i>A. psidii</i>	APG1 (GM1)	<i>Psidium guajava</i>	BR	-	-	+	NA	NA	APG1
									HapA
<i>A. psidii</i>	LFNJM1 <sup>b</sup>	<i>Syzygium jambos</i>	BR	+	+	+	LFNJM1	LFNJM1	LFNJM1
							HapA	HapB	HapA
<i>A. psidii</i>	LFNJM3	<i>S. jambos</i>	BR	+	+	-	LFNJM3	NA	NA
							HapA		
<i>A. psidii</i>	LFNJM4	<i>S. jambos</i>	BR	+	+	+	LFNJM4	LFNJM4	LFNJM4
							HapA	HapB	HapA
<i>A. psidii</i>	LFNJRM1	<i>S. samarangense</i>	BR	-	+	+	LFNJRM1	LFNJRM1	LFNJR
							HapA	NA	HapA

<i>A. psidii</i>	Apsidii AM	<i>S. jambos</i>	SA	NA	NA	NA	SA HapA	SA HapB	SA HapA	SA HapB
<b>Populations</b>										
<b>(urediniospores)</b>										
<i>A. psidii</i>	CA	<i>Plinia edulis</i>	BR	-	+	+	CA Hap1	CA Hap2	CA Hap1	CA Hap2
<i>A. psidii</i>	CG	<i>Eugenia dysenterica</i>	BR	-	+	+	LFNSDP1	LFNSDP1	LFNSDP1	LFNSDP1
<b>Populations</b>										
<b>(infected leaves)</b>										
<i>A. psidii</i>	LFNEP1	<i>Eugenia stipitata</i>	BR	-	+	+	LFNEP1	NA	LFNEP1	LFNEP1
<i>A. psidii</i>	3.1	<i>Pimenta dioica</i>	BR	-	+	+	3.1 HapA	NA	3.1 HapA	3.1 HapB
<i>A. psidii</i>	SYD	<i>Melaleuca quinquenervia</i>	AUS	-	+	+	SYD	SYD	SYD	SYD
<b>Non-target rust</b>										
<b>species</b>										
<i>Miyagia pseudosphaeria</i>	-	-	-	NS	NP	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
<i>Puccinia triticina</i>	-	-	-	NS	NS	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
<i>P. graminis</i> f. sp. <i>avenae</i>	-	-	-	NS	NS	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
<i>P. striiformis</i> f. sp. <i>tritici</i>	-	-	-	NS	NS	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
<i>Thekopsora minima</i>	-	-	-	-	NS	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

420 <sup>a</sup> Conventional polymerase chain reaction (PCR) with the three sets of primers developed in the  
 421 present study. Full *HD* locus, HDFor2DG/HDRv2DG amplifies a fragment of 3000 kb; *bW-HD*,  
 422 HDFor2DG/HDMR1 amplifies a fragment of 1500 kb; *bE-HD*, HDMF2/HDRv2DG amplifies a  
 423 fragment of 1400 kb; +, positive; -, negative.

424 <sup>b</sup> *A. psidii* and non-target rust isolates used to confirm the specificity of the designed primers.

21

425 “NS” is defined as NS specific amplification in at least one of the technical replicates, “NA” as  
426 not available, “-“ as no amplification, “+” as amplification of the expected size amplicon product.

427

428 **Figure captions**

429

430 **Figure 1:** Bayesian inference genealogical trees reconstructed from *HD* (homeodomain) genes  
431 of *Austropuccinia psidii*. Genealogical trees reconstructed from the alignment of two *bW-HD1*  
432 (**A, C**) or two *bE-HD2* (**B, D**) alleles per dikaryotic genome assembly of reference isolates (**A-B**),  
433 and with consensus sequences for each allele *de novo* reconstructed from ONT long-read  
434 amplicons of *bW-HD1* and *bE-HD2* (C-D). Lineage identification is indicated by the combination  
435 of different colors in the bottom. Reference sequences are indicated in bold (C-D). Bayesian  
436 posterior probabilities are shown on the nodes.

437

