1	Positive selection and relaxed purifying selection contribute to rapid evolution of
2	male-biased genes in a dioecious flowering plant
3	Lei Zhao <sup>1#</sup> , Wei Zhou <sup>1#</sup> , Jun He <sup>1</sup> , De-Zhu Li <sup>1, 2*</sup> , Hong-Tao Li <sup>1, 2*</sup>
4	<sup>1</sup> Germplasm Bank of Wild Species, Yunnan Key Laboratory of Crop Wild Relatives
5	Omics, Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming,
6	Yunnan 650201, China
7	<sup>2</sup> Kunming College of Life Science, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences,
8	Kunming, Yunnan 650201, China
9	
10	*These authors contributed equally to this article
11	Running title: Positive selection and relaxed selection driving rapid evolution of
12	male-biased genes
13	
14	* Corresponding authors:
15	Hong-Tao Li lihongtao@mail.kib.ac.cn;
16	De-Zhu Li dzl@mail.kib.ac.cn
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

Abstract Sex-biased genes offer insights into the evolution of sexual dimorphism. Sex-biased genes, especially those with male bias, show elevated evolutionary rates of protein sequences driven by positive selection and relaxed purifying selection in animals. Although rapid sequence evolution of sex-biased genes and evolutionary forces have been investigated in animals and brown algae, less is known about evolutionary forces in dioecious angiosperms. In this study, we separately compared the expression of sex-biased genes between female and male floral buds and between female and male flowers at anthesis in dioecious Trichosanthes pilosa (Cucurbitaceae). In floral buds, sex-biased gene expression was pervasive, and had significantly different roles in sexual dimorphism such as physiology. We observed higher rates of sequence evolution for male-biased genes in floral buds compared to female-biased and unbiased genes. Male-biased genes under positive selection were mainly associated with functions to abiotic stress and immune responses, suggesting that high evolutionary rates are driven by adaptive evolution. Additionally, relaxed purifying selection may contribute to accelerated evolution in male-biased genes generated by gene duplication. Our findings, for the first time in angiosperms, suggest evident rapid evolution of male-biased genes, advance our understanding of the patterns and forces driving the evolution of sexual dimorphism in dioecious plants. **Key words:** *Trichosanthes pilosa*, positive selection, relaxed purifying selection,

sexual dimorphism, sex-biased genes, floral development stages

## Introduction

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

Sexual dimorphism is the condition where sexes of the same species exhibit different morphological, ecological and physiological traits in gonochoristic animals and dioecious plants, despite male and female individuals sharing the same genome except for sex chromosomes or sex-determining loci (Mank, 2009; Barrett and Hough, 2013). Such sexual dimorphisms usually arise from differential expression of genes between the two sexes, i.e., sex-biased genes (including sex-specific genes expressed exclusively in one sex) that are located on autosomal chromosomes and sex chromosomes/or sex-determining regions (Ellegren and Parsch, 2007; Parsch and Ellegren, 2013; Grath and Parsch, 2016; Charlesworth, 2018; Tosto et al., 2023). Recently, some studies have begun to explore the strength and impact of evolutionary forces that shape different sexually dimorphic traits through sex-biased gene expression (Mank, 2009; Rowe et al., 2018; Naqvi et al., 2019; Cai et al., 2021; Mank, 2023; Murat et al., 2023; Singh and Agrawal, 2023). Previous studies revealed that sex-biased gene expressions were associated with the evolution of sexual dimorphisms in some animal species, although the extent of this bias exhibits great variation among taxa, tissues, and development stages (Mank, 2017; Hsu et al., 2020; Khodursky et al., 2020; Lichilin et al., 2021; Toubiana et al., 2021; Djordjevic et al., 2022; Yue et al., 2023). Unlike most animals, the vast majority (~90%) of flowering plants (angiosperms) are hermaphroditic, while only a small fraction (~5%) are dioecious in which individuals have exclusively male or female reproductive organs

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

(Renner, 2014). Most dioecious plants possess homomorphic sex-chromosomes that are roughly similar in size when viewed by light microscopy (Palmer et al., 2019). Furthermore, sexual dimorphism in dioecious plants is less common and less conspicuous than in most animals (Barrett and Hough, 2013). Hence, the study of sex-biased gene expression is of great interest to plant evolutionary biologists, as it is necessary to understand the evolution of sexual dimorphism in dioecious plants (Moore and Pannell, 2011). A common pattern that has emerged from previous studies is that sex-biased genes, particularly male-biased genes, tend to evolve rapidly in protein sequence (the ratio of non-synonymous to synonymous substitutions,  $d_N/d_S$ ) compared to unbiased genes (Ellegren and Parsch, 2007; Grath and Parsch, 2016). The rapid evolution of male-biased genes was first observed in *Drosophila melanogaster* (Zhang et al., 2004; Zhang and Parsch, 2005) and has been supported by recent investigations in a wider range of animals (Pro Schel et al., 2006; Mank et al., 2007; Mank, 2017; Papa et al., 2017; Catalan et al., 2018; Toubiana et al., 2021). In recent years, there have been growing studies on the expression dynamics and molecular evolutionary rates of sex-biased genes in flowering plants, including hermaphroditic Arabidopsis thaliana (Gossmann et al., 2014; 2016), Solanum (Moyle et al., 2021), and dioecious Silene latifolia (Zemp et al., 2016), Salix viminalis (Darolti et al., 2018), Mercurialis annua (Cossard et al., 2019), *Populus balsamifera* (Sanderson et al., 2019), and Leucadendron (Scharmann et al., 2021). However, despite such advances, the molecular evolution pattern of sex-biased genes in plants remains inconsistent among

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

the studied plant species (Muyle, 2019; Veltsos, 2019). In dioecious plants such as Mercurialis annua and Leucadendron, Cossard et al., (2019) and Scharmann et al., (2021) found no significant differences in evolutionary rates of proteins among female-biased, male-biased and unbiased genes detected between male and female plants leaf tissues, although the expression of sex-biased genes was highly different from unbiased genes in leaves. Similar patterns have also been reported in dioecious Populus balsamifera, where evolutionary rates of male-biased, female-biased and unbiased genes did not differ in reproductive tissues (Sanderson et al., 2019). However, in the dioecious Salix viminalis, male-biased genes have significantly lower evolutionary rates of proteins than female-biased and unbiased genes in catkin tissues (Darolti et al., 2018). To our knowledge, only the five above-mentioned studies have investigated expression differences and protein evolutionary rates of sex-biased genes in dioecious angiosperms. Moreover, these studies only compared gene expression in vegetative versus vegetative tissues and vegetative versus reproductive tissues, limiting our understanding of sexual selection at different floral development stages. Therefore, more studies and taxa are needed to explore the common patterns of sequence evolution in sex-biased genes, with more focus on comparing sex-biased gene expression in reproductive versus reproductive tissues, e.g., different floral development stages in dioecious angiosperms. Evolutionary analyses indicate that different driving forces impact the rate of sequence evolution of sex-biased genes. These forces include positive selection, which promotes the spread and adaptive fixation of beneficial alleles; sexual selection,

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

which results from male-male competition or female choice; and relaxed purifying selection, which reduces the removal of deleterious mutations (Grath and Parsch, 2016; Mank, 2017; Dapper and Wade, 2020). For example, in animal systems, particularly in *Drosophila*, the elevated sequence divergence rates of male-biased genes have often been interpreted as the signature of adaptive evolution, suggesting that sexual selection is the primary evolutionary force (Pro Schel et al., 2006; Assis et al., 2012). In brown algae, female-biased and/or male-biased genes exhibited higher evolutionary rates than unbiased genes, suggesting that rapid evolution is partly driven by adaptive evolution or sexual selection (Lipinska et al., 2015; Cossard et al., 2022; Hatchett et al., 2023). However, studies in plants have never reported elevated rates of sex-biased genes. An alternative explanation for the rapid evolution of sex-biased genes is a relaxation of purifying selection due to reduced constraints (Lahti et al., 2009; Dapper and Wade, 2020). In the model plant Arabidopsis thaliana, pollen genes were found to be evolving faster than sporophyte-specific genes due to relaxed purifying selection associated with the transition from outcrossing to selfing (Harrison et al., 2019). These trends were recently confirmed in Arabis alpina, which exhibits mating system variation across its distribution, suggesting that the efficacy of purifying selection on male gametophyte-expressed genes was significantly weaker in inbred populations (Gutierrez-Valencia et al., 2022). Together, these findings in plants reinforce the idea that both adaptive (e.g., positive selection, sexual selection) and non-adaptive (e.g., relaxed selection) evolutionary processes differentially impact the sequence evolution

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

of sex-biased genes. Hence, investigating the potential contribution of selection forces to the emergence of specific evolutionary patterns of sex-biased genes within a focal species is of great interest. In the family Cucurbitaceae, there are about 96 genera and 1,000 species, about 50% of species are dioecious, and the others are monoecious (Schaefer and Renner, 2011). Phylogenetic analyses of Cucurbitaceae suggest that dioecy is the ancestral state of the family, but transitions frequently to monoecy (Zhang et al., 2006). Trichosanthes pilosa (synonym: T. ovigera, 2n = 22, Cucurbitaceae) is mainly distributed from Southwest and Southeast China to Japan, extending to Southeast Asia, New Guinea and Western Australia. It was suggested to have originated in the late Miocene (ca. 8-6 million-year ago) (de Boer et al., 2012; 2015; Guo et al., 2020). Trichosanthes pilosa is a perennial, night-flowering, insect-pollinated dioecious vine that reproduces sexually and possesses a pair of heteromorphic sex chromosomes XX/XY (Ming et al., 2011). The male parts (e.g., anthers) of female flowers, and the female parts (e.g., pistil and ovaries) of male flowers are fully aborted. Its male and female flowers exhibit strong sexual dimorphism in floral morphological and phenological traits, such as racemose versus solitary (Fig. 1), early-flowering versus late-flowering, and caducous versus long-lived (Wu et al., 2011). To understand the evolution of sex-biased genes in dioecious *T. pilosa*, we collected floral buds and flowers at anthesis from male and female individuals and characterized their expression profiles using Illumina RNA sequencing. Our primary objectives are to 1) compare expression divergence between males and females at two

floral development stages; 2) explore whether there are differences in the evolutionary rates of proteins among female-biased, male-biased and unbiased genes; and if so, 3) determine the main selective forces that contribute to the differentiation of sequence evolution rates among gene categories.

#### Results

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

# Transcriptome sequencing, de novo assembly and annotation

Using whole transcriptome shotgun sequencing, we sequenced floral buds and flowers at anthesis from females and males of dioecious T. pilosa. We set up three biological replicates from three female and three male plants, including 12 samples in total (six floral buds and six flowers at anthesis). We then generated a total of nearly 276 million clean reads (Table S1). Due to the absence of a reference genome, we performed *de novo* assembly of transcripts from all the clean reads, followed by clustering and filtering analysis, resulting in 59,051 unigenes (Fig. S1A). To evaluate the quality of the assembled unigenes, we used BUSCO assessments based on embryophyta\_odb10 database, which showed the completeness of the reference transcriptome at 89.7% (Table S2). We then annotated them against protein databases including NR, KEGG, Swissport, PFAM, and GO using BLASTP and nucleotide database NT using BLASTN (Table S2). The e-value distribution of the best hits in NR database suggested that 47,241 unigenes (80%) had strong homology, with an e-value smaller than 1.0e-15 (Fig. S1B). The majority of unigenes were annotated by homologs in species of Cucurbitaceae (61.6%, 36,375), such as *Momordica charantia*  (16.3%, 9,625), Cucumis melo (11.9%, 7,027), Cucurbita pepo (11.9%, 7,027),
Cucurbita moschata (11.5%, 6,791), Cucurbita maxima (10.1%, 5,964), and other
species (38.4%, 22,676) (Fig. S1C). Overall, our assessment suggested that we have
generated high-quality reference transcriptomes.

# **Expression characteristics of sex-biased genes**

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

We mapped the RNA-Seq reads of floral buds and flowers at anthesis onto the reference transcriptome in dioecious T. pilosa, which resulted in approximately 75% read mappings per sample (Table S3). In floral buds, we identified 5,096 (9.50%) female-biased genes and 4,214 (7.86%) male-biased genes (Fig. 2A). In contrast, only 380 (0.70%) female-biased genes and 233 (0.43%) male-biased genes were detected in flowers at anthesis (Fig. 2B). Using hierarchical clustering analysis, we evaluated different levels of gene expression across sexes and tissues (Fig. 2C). Gene expression for female floral buds clustered most distantly from expression in female flowers at anthesis. However, expression in male floral buds clustered with expression in female flowers at anthesis, suggesting that male floral buds maybe tend to feminization in the early stages of floral development. Furthermore, we observed that the number of sex-biased genes in floral buds was approximately 15 times higher than in flowers at anthesis, indicating that sex-biased genes associated with meiotic processes, sex differentiation and sexually dimorphic traits are predominantly expressed in floral buds. We also analyzed sex-specific genes that were exclusively expressed in floral buds and flowers at anthesis of one sex. In floral buds, we found 253 out of 5,096

(4.96%) female-specific genes and 465 out of 4,214 (11.03%) male-specific genes.

However, in flowers at anthesis, we only identified 26 out of 380 (6.84%)

female-specific genes and 52 out of 233 (22.32%) male-specific genes. Taken together, sex bias is more prevalent in floral buds than in flowers at anthesis.

# Tissue-biased/stage-biased gene expression

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

We compared the expression levels of transcripts in floral buds and flowers at anthesis within each sex to identify genes with tissue-biased expression. In male plants, the number (M2TGs: n = 2,795) of tissue-biased genes in male flowers at anthesis (M2TGs) was 1,040 higher than that in male floral buds (M1TGs: n = 1,755, Fig. 3A and 3B). However, in female plants, the number (F2TGs: n = 660) of tissue-biased genes in female flowers at anthesis (F2TGs) was only 536 more than that in female floral buds (F1TGs: n = 124, Fig. 3C and 3D). Our results indicated that males had a higher tissue-bias relative to females. We also identified sex-biased genes that were expressed in both types of tissues by comparing tissue-biased genes with male-biased and female-biased genes, respectively. Few female-biased genes in floral buds (F1BGs: n = 5,096) overlapped with tissue-biased genes in female floral buds (F1TGs: n = 124) and female flowers at anthesis (F2TGs: n = 660), accounting for only 85 out of 5,096 (1.67%) (Fig. 3C). Similarly, few female-biased genes in flowers at anthesis (F2BGs: n = 380) overlapped with tissue-biased genes in female floral buds (F1TGs: n = 124) and female flowers at anthesis (F2TGs: n = 660), occupying around 5 out of 380 (1.32%) (Fig. 3D). However, a significant proportion of

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

male-biased genes in floral buds (M1BGs: n = 4,214) overlapped with tissue-biased genes in male floral buds (M1TGs: n = 1,755) and male flowers at anthesis (M2TGs: n = 2,795), with 1,010 out of 4,214 (23.97%) (Fig. 3A). A high proportion of male-biased genes in flowers at anthesis (M2BGs: n = 233) overlapped with tissue-biased genes in male floral buds (M1TGs: n = 1,755) and male flowers at anthesis (M2TGs: n = 2,795), 145 out of 233 (62.23%) (Fig. 3B). Elevated protein evolutionary rates of male-biased genes in floral buds We compared rates of protein evolution among male-biased, female-biased and unbiased genes in four species with phylogenetic relationships (((T. anguina, T. pilosa), T. kirilowii), Luffa cylindrica), including dioecious T. pilosa, dioecious T. kirilowii, monoecious T. anguina in Trichosanthes, together with monoecious Luffa cylindrica. To do this, we used the transcriptomes described above for T. pilosa.. We also collected transcriptomes of T. kirilowii, as well as genomes of T. anguina and Luffa cylindrica (see Methods Section). We identified 1,145 female-biased, 343 male-biased, and 2,378 unbiased one-to-one orthologous groups (OGs) from floral buds. Additionally, we detected 45 female-biased, 13 male-biased, and 3,782 unbiased one-to-one OGs from mature flowers in all four species. To quantify the rates of protein sequences, we separately calculated ω values for each sex-biased and unbiased orthologous gene using 'two-ratio' and 'free-ratio' branch models in juvenile and mature flowers (Figs. 4 and S2). The two-ratio branch model, where the foreground (dioecious branches) has a

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

different ω value relative to the background (all other branches), is better supported than the fixed-ratio branch model, where all branches are constrained to have the same  $\omega$  value. In the results of the 'two-ratio' branch model, the median of  $\omega$  values in female-biased, male-biased and unbiased genes were 0.227, 0.257 and 0.230 in floral buds, respectively (Fig. 4A and Table S4). We observed that male-biased genes had a 13.22% and 11.74% higher median than female-biased and unbiased genes in floral buds, respectively. The difference in the distribution of  $\omega$  values between female-biased versus male-biased genes (P = 0.0021) and male-biased versus unbiased genes (P = 0.0051) was statistically significant in Wilcoxon rank sum tests. However, we did not find a significant difference in ω values between female-biased and unbiased genes in floral buds (Wilcoxon rank sum test, P = 0.4618). In flowers at anthesis, the median of  $\omega$  values for female-biased, male-biased, and unbiased genes were 0.269, 0.177 and 0.231, respectively (Fig. 4B and Table S4). However, there was no statistically significant difference in the distribution of ω values using Wilcoxon rank sum tests for female-biased versus male-biased genes (P = 0.0556), female-biased versus unbiased genes (P = 0.0796), and male-biased versus unbiased genes (P = 0.3296) possibly because of limited statistical power due to the low number of sex-biased genes in flowers at anthesis. In free-ratios model, ω values are free to vary in each branch compared to fixed-ratio branch model and two-ratio branch model. The 'free-ratio' branch model yielded interesting results. In floral buds, the median ω values for female-biased, male-biased, and unbiased genes were 0.222, 0.265 and 0.226, respectively (Fig. 4C

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

and Table S5). Male-biased genes had a significantly higher median relative to female-biased genes (19.37% higher, Wilcoxon rank sum test, P = 0.0009) and unbiased genes (17.26% higher, Wilcoxon rank sum test, P = 0.0004) in floral buds. However, there was no significant difference in ω values between female-biased and unbiased genes (Wilcoxon rank sum test, P = 0.9862). In flowers at anthesis, the median ω values for female-biased, male-biased, and unbiased genes were 0.300, 0.148 and 0.227, respectively (Fig. 4D and Table S5). Female-biased and unbiased genes had significantly higher  $\omega$  values than male-biased (Wilcoxon rank sum test, P = 0.0101, P = 0.0146, respectively). However, there was no significant difference in  $\omega$ values between female-biased and unbiased genes (Wilcoxon rank sum test, P =0.2887). Since the number of male-biased genes and evolutionary rates of male-biased genes in flowers at anthesis are lower than those in floral buds, we decided to focus on the latter in subsequent analyses. Additionally, we found that only in floral buds, there were significant differences in ω values in the results of 'free-ratio' model (female-biased versus male-biased genes, P = 0.04282 and male-biased versus unbiased genes, P = 0.01114) and 'two-ratio' model (female-biased versus male-biased genes, P = 0.01992 and male-biased versus unbiased genes, P = 0.02127, respectively) by permutation t-test, which is consistent with the results of Wilcoxon rank sum test.

#### Evidence of positive selection and relaxed selection for male-biased genes in

#### floral buds

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

After comparing the alternative hypothesis (branch-site model A with estimated  $\omega$  value) against the null model (branch-site model A with fixed  $\omega = 1$ ) (see Methods Section), we discovered that 39 out of 343 OGs (11.34%) in male-biased genes of floral buds exhibited strong evidence of having certain sites that evolved under positive selection based on foreground ω value, likelihood ratio tests (LRTs, P < 0.05) and Bayes empirical Bayes (BEB) value (Fig. 5 and Table S6). As a complementary approach, we utilized the aBSREL and BUSTED methods that are implemented in HyPhy v.2.5 software, which avoids false positive results by classical branch-site models due to the presence of rate variation in background branches, and detected significant evidence of positive selection. According to our findings, 84 out of 343 OGs (24.49%) were identified to be under episodic positive selection in male-biased genes of floral buds with a site proportion of 0.17%–26.44% based on aBSREL (Table S7). In addition, 69 out of 343 OGs (20.01%) exhibited significant signs of positive selection with the site proportion of 0.28%–32.65% in male-biased genes of floral buds according to BUSTED (Table S8). Among these, a total of 32 OGs (9.30%) were identified through our tests using CodeML, aBSREL and BUSTED (Fig. 5). Relaxed selection may occur when the efficiency of natural selection (e.g., the reduction of the strength of purifying selection) is reduced, leading to accumulations

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

of deleterious mutations (Lahti et al., 2009; Dapper and Wade, 2020). This has been proposed as an explanation for the rapid evolution of sex-biased genes (Lahti et al., 2009; Mank, 2017). Using the RELAX model, we detected that 18 out of 343 OGs (5.23%) showed significant evidence of relaxed selection (K = 0.0184-0.6497) (Tables S9). Most of the 18 OGs were members of different gene families generated by gene duplication (Table S13). Additionally, we observed that 61 out of 343 OGs (17.73%) exhibited significant evidence of intensified positive selection (K = 2.3363–50,  $\omega_2 \ge 1$ ) (Fig. 5 and Table S10), which is consistent with the results obtained from CodeML, aBSREL and BUSTED. According to previous studies (Ellegren and Parsch, 2007; Catalan et al., 2018), genes that exhibit sex-biased expression with rapid evolutionary rates tend to display a lower codon bias compared to unbiased genes. In our results, we found that male-biased genes in floral buds had a significantly lower median effective number of codons (ENCs) than both female-biased and unbiased genes (Wilcoxon rank sum test, female-biased vs male-biased genes, P = 0.0001 and male-biased vs unbiased genes, P = 0.0123). This suggested that male-biased genes in floral buds exhibit stronger codon bias than both female-biased and unbiased genes (Fig. S3). Similarly, given that  $d_N/d_S$  values of sex-biased genes were higher due to codon usage bias, lower  $d_S$ rates would be expected in sex-biased genes relative to unbiased genes (Ellegren & Parsch, 2007; Parvathy et al., 2022). However, we exhibited that the median of  $d_S$ values in male-biased genes was much higher than those in female-biased and unbiased genes in the results of 'free-ratio' (Fig. S4A, female-biased versus

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

male-biased genes, P = 6.444e-12 and male-biased versus unbiased genes, P =4.564e-13) and 'two-ratio' model (Fig. S4B, female-biased versus male-biased genes, P = 2.2e-16 and male-biased versus unbiased genes, P = 9.421e-08, respectively). In short, our analyses indicated that rapid evolutionary rates of male-biased genes in floral buds were not associated with a reduction in codon usage bias. We also analyzed whether female-biased and unbiased genes underwent positive and relaxed selection in floral buds (Tables S6-S10). We identified 216 (18.86%) positively selected (Fig. S5), and 69 (6.03%) relaxed selective female-biased genes from 1,145 OGs, respectively. Similarly, we found 436 (18.33%) positively selected (Fig. S6), and 43 (1.81%) unbiased genes under relaxed selection from 2,378 OGs, respectively. Notably, male-biased genes have a higher proportion (10%) of positively selected genes compared to female-biased and unbiased genes. However, relaxed selective male-biased genes have a higher proportion (3.24%) than unbiased genes, but about 0.8% lower than that of female-biased genes. In summary, our analyses suggested that positive selection and relaxed selection likely drove the rapid evolutionary rates of male-biased genes compared to female-biased and unbiased genes in floral buds. Functional analysis of sex-biased genes in floral buds We conducted KEGG pathway enrichment analysis on sex-biased genes in floral buds. Our results showed that 699 genes were female-biased and 358 genes were male-biased, with significant enrichment (P < 0.05) in 26 and 24 KEGG pathways,

respectively (Table S11). In the floral bud stage, we observed that female-biased genes were mainly enriched in metabolic and signaling pathways, such as ribosome, Fatty acid elongation, photosynthesis and plant hormone signal transduction (Fig. S7A and Table S11). On the other hand, male-biased genes were significantly enriched in metabolic and signaling pathways, including inositol phosphate metabolism, starch and sucrose metabolism, regulation of autophagy, plant hormone signal transduction, and Toll-like receptor signaling pathway (Fig. S7B and Table S11). We have also found that certain male-biased genes, which are evolving under positive selection and relaxed selection (Table S12 and S13), were related to abiotic stress and immune responses. For instance, mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase 18 (MAPKKK18) (Zhang and Zhang, 2022), zinc finger CCCH domain-containing protein 20 (C3H20/TZF2) (Bogamuwa and Jang, 2014), and heat stress transcription factor B-3 (HSFB3) (Scharf et al., 2012) have been linked to stress. Additionally, ten male-biased genes with rapid evolutionary rates were associated with anther and pollen development. These genes include LRR receptor-like serine/threonine protein kinase (LRR-RLK) (Cui et al., 2022), pollen receptor-like kinase 3 (*PRK3*) (Muschietti and Wengier, 2018), autophagy-related protein 18f (ATG18f) (Zhou et al., 2015; Li et al., 2020), and plant homeodomain (PHD) finger protein 3 (MALE STERILITY 3) (Hou et al., 2022) in floral buds of male plants.

#### **Discussion**

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

The Cucurbitaceae family, where half of the species are monoecious and half are

dioecious, is an excellent model for studying the evolution of sexual systems of angiosperms, including sex-determination mechanism and sexual dimorphism (Schaefer and Renner, 2010; Boualem et al., 2015; Ma and Pannell, 2016). In this study, we compared the expression profiles of sex-biased genes between sexes and two tissue types, investigated whether sex-biased genes exhibited evidence of rapid evolutionary rates of protein sequences and identified the potential evolutionary forces responsible for the observed patterns in the dioecious *Trichosanthes pilosa*.

## Sex-biased expression in floral buds

Several studies have shown that in dioecious plants, male-biased genes tend to outnumber female-biased genes, consistent with the patterns in most animals (Zhang et al., 2007; Djordjevic et al., 2022). For instance, insect-pollinated dioecious plants such as *Asparagus officinalis* (Harkess et al., 2015) and *Silene latifolia* (Zemp et al., 2016), exhibit a higher proportion of male-biased genes. In contrast, the wind-pollinated dioecious plant *Populus balsamifera* (Sanderson et al., 2019) has twice as many female-biased genes as male-biased genes. The differences in these studies could be partly attributed to the impact of sexual selection on secondary sexual traits in insect-pollinated dioecious plants, as opposed to wind-pollinated ones (Delph and Herlihy, 2012; Muyle, 2019; Sanderson et al., 2019). Similar to the above study of *Populus balsamifera*, our findings revealed that the number of female-biased genes in floral buds of the night-flowering, insect-pollinated dioecious plant *Trichosanthes pilosa* exceeded that of male-biased genes by 882 (~21%). This excess

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

of female-biased expression could be due to lower energy consumption needs and reduced chemical defence capability against insect herbivores in short-lived male flowers (Sanderson et al., 2019). Indeed, functional enrichment analysis in chemical pathways such as terpenoid backbone and diterpenoid biosynthesis indicated that relative to male floral buds, female floral buds had more expressed genes that were equipped to defend against herbivorous insects and pathogens, except for growth and development (Vaughan et al., 2013; Ren et al., 2022) (Fig. S7A and Table S11). Additionally, our enrichment analysis showed that the photosynthesis, porphyrin and chlorophyll metabolism pathways were more active in female floral buds compared to male floral buds (Fig. S7A and Table S11), enabling them to acquire more resources such as carbon for fruit and seed production (Delph,1999). We identified functional enrichments in Toll-like receptor signaling, NF-kappa B signaling, and inositol phosphate metabolism pathways in male floral buds (Fig. S7B, Table S11). We also found that male-biased genes with high evolutionary rates in male floral buds were associated with functions to abiotic stresses and immune responses (Tables S12 and S13), which suggests that male floral buds through rapidly evolving genes are adapted to mountain climate and the environment in Southwest China relative to female floral buds through high gene expression. In addition, the enrichment in regulation of autophagy pathways could be associated with gamete development and the senescence of male floral buds (Table S14) (Liu and Bassham, 2012; Li et al., 2020; Zhou et al., 2021). In fact, it was observed that male flowers senesced faster (Wu et al., 2011). We also found that homologous genes of two

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

male-biased genes in floral buds (Table S14) that control the raceme inflorescence development (Teo et al., 2014) were highly expressed compared to female floral buds. Taken together, these results indicate that expression changes in sex-biased genes, rather than sex-specific genes play different roles in sexual dimorphic traits in physiology and morphology (Dawson and Geber, 1999). Rapid Evolution of male-biased genes in floral buds It has been observed that, in most animals, sex-biased genes, particularly those biased towards males, often exhibit more rapid evolutionary rates than unbiased genes (Parsch and Ellegren, 2013; Grath and Parsch, 2016; Mank, 2017; Toubiana et al., 2021). However, in dioecious angiosperms, no evidence of rapid evolution in sex-biased genes relative to unbiased genes has been found (Zemp et al., 2016; Darolti et al., 2018; Cossard et al., 2019; Sanderson et al., 2019; Scharmann et al., 2021). In contrast, our findings indicated that male-biased genes experience higher evolutionary rates than both female-biased and unbiased genes in floral buds of dioecious T. pilosa. We proposed that positive selection and relaxed purifying selection may be responsible for the rapid sequence evolution of male-biased genes. After analyzing the data, we found that around 28.57% (98 genes) of male-biased genes have undergone positive selection. Additionally, we observed that the proportion of male-biased genes under positive selection was about 10% higher than that of female-biased and unbiased genes. Furthermore, we discovered that some male-biased genes under positive selection were linked to abiotic stress and immune

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

responses (Table S12). Our findings are consistent with studies on *Drosophila* and Ectocarpus (Zhang and Parsch, 2005; Lipinska et al., 2015), suggesting that adaptive evolution is one of the important driving forces for rapid evolutionary rates. Notably, we identified several male-biased genes under positive selection that are functionally related to early flowering (phyB) (Stephenson and Bertin, 1983; Forrest, 2014; Hajdu et al., 2015) and pollen development (Skogsmyr and Lankinen, 2002; Williams and Reese, 2019) (Tables S12-S14). These findings indicate that a small fraction of male-biased genes may experience adaptive evolution due to sexual selection, driven by male-male competition. Alternatively, relaxed constraints could contribute to the rapid evolutionary rates of sex-biased genes through three key characteristics (Dapper and Wade, 2020; Tosto et al., 2023). First, sex-biased genes are often expressed solely in reproductive tissues of one sex (e.g., sex-specific genes), particularly in the haploid phase (Sandler et al., 2018; Immler, 2019; Beaudry et al., 2020). Sex-specific selection (e.g., relaxed purifying selection) acting on sex-specific genes could decrease the elimination of deleterious mutations (Mank, 2017), such as pollen-specific (Harrison et al., 2019) or testes-specific genes (Gershoni and Pietrokovski, 2014). However, we observed male-biased genes but not male-specific genes undergoing relaxed purifying selection. Second, sex-biased genes are often expressed in few tissues (tissue-biased genes) (Meisel, 2011; Tosto et al., 2023), resulting in these genes rapidly evolving under positive selection or relaxed purifying selection due to low evolutionary constraints (Congrains et al., 2018; Whittle et al., 2021; Tosto et al., 2023). In our results, 343

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

male-biased genes (M1-biased genes, M1BGs) with faster evolutionary rates relative to female-biased and unbiased genes overlapped with 1,755 tissue-biased genes in floral buds (M1-tissue-biased genes, M1TGs) (27 out of 343, 7.87%) (Fig. S8A). Furthermore, 27 out of 343 male-biased genes (that is, tissue-biased genes) in floral buds overlapped with nine out of 98 (9.18%) male-biased genes under positive selection (Fig. S8B), and one out of 18 (5.56%) male-biased genes under relaxed purifying selection (Fig. S8C). So, we obtained ten rapidly evolving tissue-biased genes which were also male-biased in male flower buds, suggesting that elevated evolutionary rates may partly be linked to low constraints, consistent with male-biased genes in *Anastrepha* and *Fucus* (Congrains et al., 2018; Hatchett et al., 2023). Finally, gene duplication has long been thought to promote functional divergences and phenotypic novelties by relaxing the constraints of purifying selection on the duplicated gene copy early in its history (Lynch and Conery, 2000; Lynch and Katju, 2004; Lahti et al., 2009). For instance, the progesterone receptor gene family in the human lineage (Marinić and Lynch, 2020) and the CYP98A9 clade in Brassicales (Liu et al., 2016) have demonstrated rapid evolution and divergent function due to relaxed purifying selection. In our results, we identified only 18 out of 343 (5.25%) male-biased genes that underwent relaxed purifying selection using RELAX model (Table S13). Interestingly, the vast majority of genes under relaxed selection were members of different gene families generated by gene duplication (including whole-genome duplication), such as LOB domain-containing protein 18 (LBD18) (Zhang et al., 2020), WRKY transcription factor 72 (WRKY72) (Chen et al.,

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

2017), and pollen receptor-like kinase 3 (*PRK3*) (Muschietti and Wengier, 2018). Reducing codon usage bias could theoretically accelerate evolutionary rates of sex-biased genes by decreasing synonymous substitution rates. However, our results did not support this idea due to stronger codon usage bias in male-biased genes (Fig. S3). Codon usage bias is influenced by many factors, such as levels of gene expression. Highly expressed genes have a stronger codon usage bias and could be encoded by optimal codons for more efficient translation (Frumkin et al., 2018; Parvathy et al., 2022), consistent with high levels of gene expression in males (that is, male-biased genes) in floral buds. Additionally, stronger codon usage bias may be related to higher synonymous substitution rates (Parvathy et al., 2022). Indeed, male-biased genes had significantly higher median  $d_{\rm S}$  values than female-biased and unbiased genes, both in the 'free-ratio' analysis (Fig. S4A) and 'two-ratio' branch model (Fig. S4B). The presence of sex chromosomes may be a potential confounding factor for evolutionary rates of sex-biased genes which are X-linked, Y-linked, and autosomal genes (Hough et al., 2014; Sandler et al., 2018). We distinguished these sex-biased genes on sex chromosomes from autosomal chromosomes following the steps of Sandler et al. (2018), and computed the overall comparable proportions of sex-linked genes among male-biased (3/343 = 0.087%), female-biased (19/1145 = 1.66%) and unbiased genes (36/2378 = 1.51%). These analyses suggested that sex-linked genes may contribute relatively little to rapid evolution of male-biased genes. Several species have been observed to exhibit rapid evolutionary rates of

sequences on sex chromosomes compared to autosomes, which has been related to the evolutionary theories of fast-X or fast-Z (Meisel and Connallon, 2013; Hough et al., 2014; Wright et al., 2015; Charlesworth et al., 2018; Darolti et al., 2023). Furthermore, the quantification of gene expression by bulk RNA-seq technology, relative to single-cell transcriptome analysis, has been shown to potentially obfuscate true signals in the evolution of sex-biased gene expression in complex aggregations of diverse cell types (Darolti and Mank, 2023; Tosto et al., 2023). Additionally, our samples were relatively small, and may provide low power to detect differential expression and evolutionary analysis. Therefore, investigation of these interesting issues related to sex-biased gene evolution in *T. pilosa* can only be conducted when whole genome sequences and population datasets become available in the near future.

#### Methods

## Plant materials and RNA isolation

Floral buds ( $\leq$  3 mm) and flowers at anthesis were sampled from three female and three male plants (Fig. 1) from the mountainous regions of Anning (Qinglong Gorge), Yunnan Province in Southwest China. Floral buds from female and male plants were named F1 and M1, respectively. Similarly, flowers at anthesis from female and male plants were named F2 and M2, respectively (Table S1). To exclude possible bacterial contamination, all tissues were sterilized with 75% alcohol and immediately rinsed with purified water. All samples were then snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80°C. Total RNA was extracted from each sample using

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

TRIzol reagent (Life Technologies, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The quantification and qualification of RNA were assessed by the RNA Nano 6000 Assay Kit of the Bioanalyzer 2100 system (Agilent Technologies, CA, USA). Illumina sequencing, de novo assembly and annotation To construct the library, approximately 2 µg of total RNA was used with the Illumina NEBNext UltraTM RNA Library Prep Kit. RNA sequencing was performed on the Illumina NovaSeq 6000, generating 150 bp paired-end reads. The resulting clean reads were obtained by removing adapters, reads containing N bases and low-quality reads using Trimmomatic v.0.39 (Bolger et al., 2014). These reads were deposited in the NCBI database (PRJNA899312). De novo assembly for clean reads from all samples was performed using Trinity v.2.10.0 (Haas et al., 2013) with min\_kmer\_cov: 3 and all other default parameters. To eliminate contamination, all transcripts of de novo assembly were compared to bacterial genomes downloaded from NCBI databases using BLASTN with e-value of 1.0e-05 in blast+ 2.12.0 software. We used Corset v.4.6 (Davidson and Oshlack, 2014) to obtain high quality, non-redundant consensus transcripts (unigenes). TransDecoder v.5.5.0 was run with -m 100 parameters, namely at least 100 amino acids, to predict the coding DNA and protein sequences (Haas et al., 2013). To evaluate the accuracy and completeness of reference transcriptomes, we performed gene function annotations based on the following databases, using BLAST

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

with a cutoff e-value of 1.0e-05: NR, NT and Swissport (Shiryev et al., 2007). We mapped the unigenes to Pfam database using InterProScan v.5.41 (Jones et al., 2014), to the GO database using Blast2GO (Conesa et al., 2005), and to the KEGG database using KEGG automatic annotation server (Moriya et al., 2007). Additionally, we estimated the completeness of reference transcriptomes using BUSCO v.5.4.5 based on embryophyta\_odb10 database (Seppey et al., 2019). **Detection of sex-biased genes** Clean reads were mapped onto all unigenes using Bowtie2 (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012). Read counts were normalized to FPKM (Fragments Per Kilobase Million) value for each unigene using RSEM (Li and Dewey, 2011) in different male and female samples. Genes with zero read counts (i.e., no expression) in both two sexes and tissues were excluded. Differential expression analysis between sexes and tissue types was performed using DESeq2 R package (Love et al., 2014). Unigenes with an FDR-adjusted P < 0.05 and an absolute value of  $\log_2$  ratio  $\geq 1$  identified by DESeq2 were considered as sex-biased genes. To perform KEGG functional enrichment, we used all KEGG annotation terms for all genes as the background and performed the analyses using KOBAS v.2.0.12 (Mao et al., 2005). **Evolutionary rate analyses** To quantify the evolutionary rates of sex-biased genes, we download published genome datasets for monoecious Trichosanthes anguina (Ma et al., 2020) and

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

monoecious Luffa cylindrica which has a closer phylogenetic relationship with Trichosanthes (de Boer et al., 2012; Wu et al., 2020) from CuGenDB database (Zheng et al., 2019). Additionally, we also download published RNA sequencing reads of floral buds and flowers from CNCB (Accession CRA002313) and NCBI databases (Accession SRR5259239) for dioecious plant *Trichosanthes kirilowii* (Hu et al., 2020), and *de novo* assembled by previously described methods. We identified one-to-one OGs using OrthoFinder v.2.3.3 with default parameters from T. anguina, T. pilosa, T. kirilowii, and Luffa cylindrica (Emms and Kelly, 2019). Then, we employed TranslatorX with -c 1 -p M -g -b5 n parameters (i.e., the multiple alignment and the trimming using Muscle and GBlocks, respectively), translated nucleotide sequences and back-translated amino acid alignments into nucleotide alignments to ensure codon-to-codon alignment (Abascal et al., 2010). The remaining gapless alignments ( $\geq 100$  bp in length) were retained. To investigate the evolutionary rates of coding sequences, we estimated nonsynonymous substitution ( $d_N$ ), synonymous substitution ( $d_S$ ) rates, as well as protein substitution rates  $(d_N/d_S, \omega)$ , using two branch models from CodeML package in PAML v.4.9h with the F3x4 codon frequencies (CodonFreq = 2) (Yang, 2007). According to the phylogenetic relationships of *Trichosanthes* (de Boer et al., 2012; Guo et al., 2020), we set up tree structures ((T. anguina, T. pilosa), T. kirilowii, L. cylindrica) in the control file of CodeML. First, we employed a 'two-ratio' branch model (model = 2, Nssites = 0) that assumes the foreground (two dioecious species) has a different ω value from the background (two monoecious species) to estimate and

compare the divergences of the foreground. Second, to reduce the potential bias of  $\omega$  value due to the conflation of two dioecious species, we also implemented a 'free-ratio' branch model (model = 1, Nssites = 0), which assumes an independent  $\omega$  ratio for each branch. Finally, to avoid the effects of saturation substitution, we used separately OGs with  $0 < \omega < 2$  and all OGs with  $\omega > 0$ , plotted the distribution of  $\omega$  values and compared the median of  $\omega$  values in female-biased, male-biased and unbiased orthologous genes of floral buds and flowers at anthesis. All comparisons between sex-biased and unbiased genes were tested using Wilcoxon rank sum test in R software. Additionally, we also performed permutations t-tests with 100,000 permutations in the R package Deducer (Fellows, 2012).

## **Estimation of the strength of natural selection**

The rapid evolutionary rates of sex-biased genes may be attributed to positive selection, relaxed selection and lower codon usage bias (Catalan et al., 2018; Dapper and Wade, 2020). Therefore, we conducted separate analyses using classical branch-site models that assume different ω values both among branches and across sites (Álvarez-Carretero et al., 2023), the adaptive branch-site random effects likelihood (aBSREL) model (Smith et al., 2015), the branch-site unrestricted statistical test for episodic diversification (BUSTED) model (Murrell et al., 2015), the RELAX model (Wertheim et al., 2015), and the effective number of codons (ENC) in PAML v.4.9h (Yang, 2007), HyPhy v.2.5 (Pond et al., 2020) and CodonW v.1.4.2 (http://codonw.sourceforge.net) to distinguish which evolutionary forces are driving

the rapid evolutionary rates of sex-biased genes.

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

To determine if amino acid sites in the foreground, including the *T. pilosa* lineage have undergone positive selection (foreground  $\omega > 1$ ) compared with the background for each OGs, we followed the steps of Zhang et al. (2005), and used branch-site model A (model = 2, Nssite = 2, fix\_omega = 0, omega = 1.5) and branch-site model  $null (model = 2, Nssite = 2, fix\_omega = 1, omega = 1)$ . The classical branch-site model assumes four site classes (0, 1, 2a, 2b), with different  $\omega$  values for the foreground and background branches. In site classes 2a and 2b, the foreground branch undergoes positive selection when there is  $\omega > 1$ . We examined the significance of likelihood ratio tests (LRTs, P < 0.05) to identify positively selected sites between model A and model null by comparing LRTs to the Chi-square distribution with two degrees of freedom. We adjusted the LRTs P value for multiple comparisons using Benjamini and Hochberg's (FDR) algorithm. When the P value was significant, we used Bayes Empirical Bayes (BEB) estimates to identify sites with a high posterior probability (pp  $\geq 0.95$ ) of being under positive selection (Yang et al., 2005). To detect episodic positive selection at a proportion of sites on the foreground branch, we employed the aBSREL method (Smith et al., 2015) in the HyPhy v.2.5 packages to compare the fully adaptive model ( $\omega > 1$ ) to the null model that allows no positive selection rate classes by LRTs, which is an improved algorithm of branch-site models in PAML. For relatively small datasets, such as those with fewer than 10 taxa, the aBSREL method may not have enough power to detect positive selection. Therefore, we also ran the BUSTED method to identify gene-wide evidence of

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

641

642

episodic positive selection at least one site on at least one branch (Murrell et al., 2015). We set T. pilosa as the foreground and assessed the statistical significance (P < 0.05)using LRTs with the Holm-Bonferroni correction. To test the relaxation of selective strength, we utilized the RELAX model in the HyPhy v.2.5 software (Wertheim et al., 2015; Schrader et al., 2021). The RELAX model estimates three  $\omega$  parameters ( $\omega_0 \le \omega_1 \le 1 \le \omega_2$ ), and determines the proportion of sites in the test (foreground) and reference (background) branches using a branch-site model. The first two ω classifications indicate that sites have undergone purifying selection, and the third classification indicates that sites have been under positive selection. Additionally, the model introduces a selection intensity parameter (K value) to compare a null model (K = 1) with an alternative model, thereby assessing the strength of natural selection. When K > 1, it suggests intensified natural selection, when K < 1, indicates relaxed natural selection in the test branch relative to the reference branch. We quantified the statistical confidence of K value (P < 0.05) using LRTs and the Holm-Bonferroni correction. To investigate codon usage bias, which refers to the differences in the frequency of occurrence of synonymous codons in coding DNA, we employed CodonW v.1.4.2. This program considers the ENC values from 20 to 61 as a measure of the departure of the genetic codes for a given gene (Wright, 1990), with lower ENC values represent stronger codon usage bias (Hambuch and Parsch, 2005). We performed a Wilcoxon rank sum test to determine if there were deviations in ENC values among female-biased, male-biased, and unbiased genes in floral buds.

#### Acknowledgements

643

644

645

646

647

648

649

650

651

652

653

654

655

656

657

658

659

660

661

We are very grateful to Spencer C. H. Barrett (University of Toronto, Canada) for his critical reading and suggestions on the manuscript. We also thank three anonymous reviewers for their comments on improving the quality of the manuscript. We are indebted to Ting Zhang, Zhi-Yun Yang, Jiang-Li Ma, Peng-Fei Ma, Xu-Kun Wu, Shi-Yu Lv, Zhen Peng and other members of staff of Germplasm Bank of Wild Species for sampling. We also thank the iFlora HPC Center (iFlora High Performance Computing Center) of Germplasm Bank of Wild Species for computational support on data analysis. **Funding** This study was funded by the Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, China (XDB31000000), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (32370233, 31570333), the Key R and D Program of Yunnan Province, China (202103AC100003), the Key Basic Research Program of Yunnan Province, China (202101BC070003), the Science and Technology Basic Resources Investigation Program of China (2019FY100900), and the open research project of "Cross-Cooperative Team" of the CAS' Germplasm Bank of Wild Species, Kunming

Institute of Botany. The study was also supported by the National Wild Plant

Germplasm Resource Center and the CAS Key Technology Talent Program.

663

664

665

666

667

668

669

670

671

672

673

674

675

676

677

678

679

680

681

682

# **Author contributions** HTL, DZL and LZ conceived the project the study. HTL and LZ designed and performed the experiment. LZ, JH and HTL collected the samples. LZ analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript. HTL, DZL, LZ, and WZ revised the manuscript. All authors approved the final manuscript. **Additional files** Supplementary files Supplementary file 1. Supplementary Figures S1 to S8. Supplementary Figure S1. The length distribution of unigenes (A) and the e-value distribution (B) and the species distribution (C) of BLAST hits for each unigene. Supplementary Figure S2. Boxplot of $d_N/d_S$ values (including all $\omega$ values) of female-biased, male-biased and unbiased genes in floral buds and flowers at anthesis of Trichosanthes pilosa. White dot indicates the median of dN/dS values for sex-biased and unbiased genes. Wilcoxon rank sum tests are used to test for significant differences (\*\*\*P < 0.0005, \*\*P < 0.005 and \*P < 0.05). The distributions of $d_N/d_S$ values for female-biased, male-biased and unbiased genes in floral buds (A) and flowers at anthesis (B) using 'free-ratio' branch model. The distributions of $d_{\rm N}/d_{\rm S}$ values for female-biased, male-biased and unbiased genes in floral buds (C) and flowers at anthesis (D) using 'two-ratio' branch model. Supplementary Figure S3. Violin plots of ENCs values of female-biased,

male-biased and unbiased genes in floral buds. Significant differences using

684

685

686

687

688

689

690

691

692

693

694

695

696

697

698

699

700

701

702

703

704

Wilcoxon rank sum tests are represented by \* (\*\*\*P < 0.0005 and \*P < 0.05). Supplementary Figure S4. Boxplot of  $d_S$  values of female-biased, male-biased and unbiased genes in floral buds of dioecious Trichosanthes pilosa using 'free-ratio' (A) and 'two-ratio' (B) branch model. Significant differences are represented by \* in Wilcoxon rank sum tests (\*\*\*P < 0.0005). Supplementary Figure S5. Venn diagrams of female-biased genes under positive selection in floral buds. Overlaps of female-biased genes were detected to be under positive selection using aBSREL, BUSTED, CodeML and RELAX. Supplementary Figure S6. Venn diagrams of unbiased genes under positive selection in floral buds. Overlaps of unbiased genes were identified to be under positive selection using aBSREL, BUSTED, CodeML and RELAX. Supplementary Figure S7. Scatterplots of KEGG pathway of sex-biased genes in female (A) and male (B) floral buds of the dioecious *Trichosanthes pilosa*. The y axis represents the pathway name, and the x axis represents the ratio of genes corresponding to the pathways. Supplementary Figure S8. The overlap between male-biased genes with faster evolutionary rates and tissue-biased genes in floral buds. M1BGs indicate male-biased genes with faster evolutionary rates in male floral buds (M1-biased genes). M1TGs indicate tissue-biased genes in male floral buds (M1-tissue-biased genes). 343 M1BGs overlapped with 1755 M1TGs (A). 27 out of 343 M1BGs&M1TGs overlapped with M1BGs\_98 (B). 27 out of 343 M1BGs&M1TGs overlapped with M1BGs 18 (C).

706

707

708

709

710

711

712

713

714

715

716

717

718

719

720

721

722

723

724

725

726

Supplementary file 2. Supplementary Tables S1 to S14. Supplementary Table S1. Overview of sequencing reads from 12 samples of male and female plants in Trichosanthes pilosa. Supplementary Table S2. Numbers of unigenes annotated in public databases. Supplementary Table S3. The mapping rate of reads for each sample in floral buds and flowers at anthesis of Trichosanthes pilosa. Supplementary Table S4.  $d_N$ ,  $d_S$  and  $\omega$  values of each female-biased, male-biased, unbiased orthologous genes of floral buds and flowers at anthesis for each species using 'two-ratio' branch model of CodeML in PAML. Two dioecious species Trichosanthes pilosa and T. kirilowii represent the foreground and two monoecious species T. anguina and Luffa cylindrica represent the background. Supplementary Table S5.  $d_N$ ,  $d_S$  and  $\omega$  values of each female-biased, male-biased, unbiased orthologous genes of floral buds and flowers at anthesis for each species using 'free-ratio' branch model of CodeML in PAML. Supplementary Table S6. Genes under positive selection identified by branch-site model of CodeML in PAML and function in NR, KEGG, Swissport and GO databases for male-biased orthologous genes in floral buds. Two dioecious species Trichosanthes pilosa and T. kirilowii represent the foreground and two monoecious species, T. anguina and Luffa cylindrica represent the background. Supplementary Table S7. Genes under episodic positive selection tested by aBSREL model in HyPhy and functions in NR, KEGG, Swissport and GO databases for male-biased orthologous genes in floral buds. The dioecious species 727 Trichosanthes pilosa represents the foreground and other species represent the 728 background. 729 Supplementary Table S8. Genes under episodic positive selection found by 730 BUSTED model in HyPhy and functions in NR, KEGG, Swissport and GO 731 databases for male-biased orthologous genes in floral buds. The dioecious species 732 Trichosanthes pilosa represents the foreground (unconstrained branch) and other 733 species represent the background (constrained branch). 734 Supplementary Table S9. Genes under relaxed selection detected by RELAX 735 model in HyPhy and functions in NR, KEGG, Swissport and GO databases for 736 male-biased orthologous genes in floral buds. The dioecious species 737 Trichosanthes pilosa represents the foreground (test) and other species represent 738 the background (reference). 739 Supplementary Table S10. Genes under intensified positive selection identified by 740 RELAX model in HyPhy and functions in NR, KEGG, Swissport and GO 741 databases for male-biased orthologous genes in floral buds. The dioecious species 742 Trichosanthes pilosa represents the foreground (test) and other species represent 743 the background (reference). 744 Supplementary Table S11. KEGG pathway enrichment analysis of female-biased 745 and male-biased genes in floral buds of the dioecious Trichosanthes pilosa. 746 Supplementary Table S12. Functions and references associated with abiotic stress 747 and immune responses, organ developments of male-biased genes under 748 significant positive selection (P < 0.05) in floral buds.

750

751

752

753

754

755

756

757

758

759

760

761

762

763

764

765

766

767

768

Supplementary Table S13. Functions and references associated with abiotic stress and immune responses, organ developments of male-biased genes under significant relaxed selection (P < 0.05) in floral buds. Supplementary Table S14. The expressions and functions of some male-biased genes associated with senescence, raceme inflorescence development and early flowering in floral buds. **Data Availability** All RNA-Sequencing clean reads have been deposited in the databases of the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) under BioProject ID PRJNA899312. The reference transcriptome, orthology data, and alignments have been uploaded to ResearchGate (www.researchgate.net/publication/373194650\_Trichosanthes\_pilosa\_datasets). References **Abascal F**, Zardoya R, Telford MJ. 2010. TranslatorX: multiple alignment of nucleotide sequences guided by amino acid translations. Nucleic Acids *Research* **38**: W7-13. Alvarez-Carretero S, Kapli P, Yang Z. 2023. Beginner's guide on the use of PAML to detect positive selection. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **40**: msad041. Arunkumar R, Josephs EB, Williamson RJ, Wright SI. 2013. Pollen-specific, but not sperm-specific, genes show stronger purifying selection and higher rates of

769 positive selection than sporophytic genes in Capsella grandiflora. Molecular 770 *Biology and Evolution* **30**: 2475-2486. 771 Assis R, Zhou Q, Bachtrog D. 2012. Sex-biased transcriptome evolution in 772 *Drosophila. Genome Biology and Evolution* **4**: 1189-1200. 773 Barrett SCH, Hough J. 2013. Sexual dimorphism in flowering plants. *Journal of* 774 Experimental Botany 64: 67-82. 775 Beaudry FEG, Rifkin JL, Barrett SCH, Wright SI. 2020. Evolutionary genomics of 776 plant gametophytic selection. *Plant Communications* 1: 100115. 777 Bogamuwa SP, Jang JC. 2014. Tandem CCCH zinc finger proteins in plant growth, 778 development and stress response. *Plant and Cell Physiology* **55**: 1367-1375. 779 Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. 2014. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina 780 sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **30**: 2114-2120. 781 **Boualem A**, Troadec C, Camps C, Lemhemdi A, Morin H, Sari M-A, 782 Fraenkel-Zagouri R, Kovalski I, Dogimont C, Perl-Treves R, Bendahmane A. 783 2015. A cucurbit androecy gene reveals how unisexual flowers develop and 784 dioecy emerges. Science 350: 688-691. 785 Cai ZY, Yang CC, Liao J, Song HF, Zhang S. 2021. Sex-biased genes and metabolites 786 explain morphologically sexual dimorphism and reproductive costs in Salix 787 paraplesia catkins. Horticulture Research 8: 125. 788 Catalan A, Macias-Munoz A, Briscoe AD. 2018. Evolution of sex-biased gene 789 expression and dosage compensation in the eye and brain of Heliconius 790 *Butterflies. Molecular Biology and Evolution* **35**: 2343-2343.

791 Charlesworth B, Campos JL, Jackson BC. 2018. Faster-X evolution: theory and 792 evidence from *Drosophila*. *Molecular Ecology* **27**: 3753-3771. 793 **Charlesworth D.** 2018. Does sexual dimorphism in plants promote sex chromosome 794 evolution? *Environmental and Experimental Botany* **146**: 5-12. 795 Chen F, Hu Y, Vannozzi A, Wu K, Cai H, Qin Y, Mullis A, Lin Z, Zhang L. 2017. The 796 WRKY transcription factor family in model plants and crops. Critical Reviews in 797 *Plant Sciences* **36**: 311-335. 798 Conesa A, Gotz S, Garcia-Gomez JM, Terol J, Talon M, Robles M. 2005. Blast2GO: 799 a universal tool for annotation, visualization and analysis in functional 800 genomics research. *Bioinformatics* **21**: 3674-3676. 801 Congrains C, Campanini EB, Torres FR, Rezende VB, Nakamura AM, de Oliveira JL, 802 Lima ALA, Chahad-Ehlers S, Sobrinho IS, de Brito RA. 2018. Evidence of 803 adaptive evolution and relaxed constraints in sex-biased genes of South 804 American and West Indies fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae). Genome Biology 805 and Evolution 10: 380-395. 806 Cossard GG, Godfroy O, Nehr Z, Cruaud C, Cock JM, Lipinska AP, Coelho SM. 807 2022. Selection drives convergent gene expression changes during transitions 808 to co-sexuality in haploid sexual systems. *Nature Ecology and Evolution* **6**: 809 579-589. 810 Cossard GG, Toups MA, Pannell JR. 2019. Sexual dimorphism and rapid turnover in 811 gene expression in pre-reproductive seedlings of a dioecious herb. Annals of 812 Botany **123**: 1119-1131.

821

824

831

834

813 Cui YW, Lu XT, Gou XP. 2022. Receptor-like protein kinases in plant reproduction: current understanding and future perspectives. Plant Communications 3: 815 100273. 816 **Dapper AL**, Wade MJ. 2020. Relaxed selection and the rapid evolution of 817 reproductive genes. *Trends in Genetics* **36**: 640-649. 818 Darolti I, Fong LJM, Sandkam BA, Metzger DCH, Mank JE. 2023. Sex chromosome 819 heteromorphism and the Fast-X effect in poeciliids. *Molecular Ecology* **32**: 820 4599-4609. Darolti I, Mank JE. 2023. Sex-biased gene expression at single-cell resolution: cause 822 and consequence of sexual dimorphism. Evolution Letters 7: 148-156. 823 Darolti I, Wright AE, Pucholt P, Berlin S, Mank JE. 2018. Slow evolution of sex-biased genes in the reproductive tissue of the dioecious plant Salix 825 viminalis. Molecular Ecology 27: 694-708. 826 **Davidson NM**, Oshlack A. 2014. Corset: enabling differential gene expression 827 analysis for *de novo* assembled transcriptomes. *Genome Biology* **15**: 410. 828 **Dawson TE**, Geber MA. 1999. Sexual dimorphism in physiology and morphology. In: 829 Geber MA, Dawson TE, Delph LF (Eds). Gender and Sexual Dimorphism in 830 Flowering Plants. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer. p. 175-215. de Boer HJ, Schaefer H, Thulin M, Renner SS. 2012. Evolution and loss of 832 long-fringed petals: a case study using a dated phylogeny of the snake gourds, 833 Trichosanthes (Cucurbitaceae). BMC Ecology and Evolution 12: 108. de Boer HJ, Steffen K, Cooper WE. 2015. Sunda to Sahul dispersals in *Trichosanthes* 

835 (Cucurbitaceae): a dated phylogeny reveals five independent dispersal events 836 to Australasia. Journal of Biogeography 42: 519-531. 837 Delph LF. 1999. Sexual dimorphism in life history. In: Geber MA, Dawson TE, 838 Delph LF (Eds). Gender and Sexual Dimorphism in Flowering Plants. Berlin, 839 Heidelberg: Springer. p. 149-173. 840 Delph LF, Herlihy CR. 2012. Sexual, fecundity, and viability selection on flower size 841 and number in a sexually dimorphic plant. *Evolution* **66**: 1154-1166. 842 **Djordjevic J**, Dumas Z, Robinson-Rechavi M, Schwander T, Parker DJ. 2022. 843 Dynamics of sex-biased gene expression during development in the stick 844 insect Timema californicum. Heredity 129: 113-122. 845 **Ellegren H**, Parsch J. 2007. The evolution of sex-biased genes and sex-biased gene 846 expression. Nature Reviews Genetics 8: 689-698. 847 **Emms DM**, Kelly S. 2019. OrthoFinder: phylogenetic orthology inference for 848 comparative genomics. *Genome Biology* **20**: 238. 849 Fellows I. 2012. Deducer: a data analysis GUI for R. Journal of Statistical Software 850 **49**: 1-15. 851 Forrest JRK. 2014. Plant size, sexual selection, and the evolution of protandry in 852 dioecious plants. American Naturalist 184: 338-351. 853 Frumkin I, Lajoie MJ, Gregg CJ, Hornung G, Church GM, Pilpel Y. 2018. Codon 854 usage of highly expressed genes affects proteome-wide translation efficiency. 855 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **115**: E4940-E4949. 856 Gershoni M, Pietrokovski S. 2014. Reduced selection and accumulation of

857 deleterious mutations in genes exclusively expressed in men. *Nature* 858 Communications 5: 4438. 859 Gossmann TI, Schmid MW, Grossniklaus U, Schmid KJ. 2014. Selection-driven 860 evolution of sex-biased genes is consistent with sexual selection in 861 *Arabidopsis thaliana. Molecular Biology and Evolution* **31**: 574-583. 862 Gossmann TI, Saleh D, Schmid MW, Spence MA, Schmid KJ. 2016. Transcriptomes 863 of plant gametophytes have a higher proportion of rapidly evolving and young 864 genes than sporophytes. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **33**: 1669-1678. 865 **Grath S**, Parsch J. 2016. Sex-biased gene expression. *Annual Review of Genetics* **50**: 866 29-44. 867 **Guo J**, Xu W, Hu Y, Huang J, Zhao Y, Zhang L, Huang CH, Ma H. 2020. 868 Phylotranscriptomics in Cucurbitaceae reveal multiple whole-genome 869 duplications and key morphological and molecular innovations. *Molecular* 870 Plant 13: 1117-1133. Gutierrez-Valencia J, Fracassetti M, Horvath R, Laenen B, Desamore A, Drouzas 871 872 AD, Friberg M, Kolar F, Slotte T. 2022. Genomic signatures of sexual 873 selection on pollen-expressed genes in Arabis alpina. Molecular Biology and 874 Evolution 39: msab349. 875 Haas BJ, Papanicolaou A, Yassour M, Grabherr M, Blood PD, Bowden J, Couger 876 MB, Eccles D, Li B, Lieber M, et al. 2013. *De novo* transcript sequence 877 reconstruction from RNA-seq using the Trinity platform for reference 878 generation and analysis. *Nature Protocols* **8**: 1494-1512.

880

881

882

883

884

885

886

887

888

889

890

891

892

893

894

895

896

897

898

899

900

Hajdu A, Adam E, Sheerin DJ, Dobos O, Bernula P, Hiltbrunner A, Kozma-Bognar L, Nagy F. 2015. High-level expression and phosphorylation of phytochrome B modulates flowering time in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Journal* **83**: 794-805. **Hambuch TM**, Parsch J. 2005. Patterns of synonymous codon usage in *Drosophila melanogaster* genes with sex-biased expression. *Genetics* **170**: 1691-1700. Harkess A, Mercati F, Shan HY, Sunseri F, Falavigna A, Leebens-Mack J. 2015. Sex-biased gene expression in dioecious garden asparagus (Asparagus officinalis). New Phytologist 207: 883-892. Harrison MC, Mallon EB, Twell D, Hammond RL. 2019. Deleterious mutation accumulation in Arabidopsis thaliana pollen genes: a role for a recent relaxation of selection. Genome Biology and Evolution 11: 1939-1951. Harrison PW, Wright AE, Zimmer F, Dean R, Montgomery SH, Pointer MA, Mank JE. 2015. Sexual selection drives evolution and rapid turnover of male gene expression. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 112: 4393-4398. Hatchett WJ, Jueterbock AO, Kopp M, Coyer JA, Coelho SM, Hoarau G, Lipinska AP. 2023. Evolutionary dynamics of sex-biased gene expression in a young XY system: Insights from the brown alga genus Fucus. New Phytologist 238: 422-437. Hou JJ, Fan WW, Ma RR, Li B, Yuan ZH, Huang WX, Wu YY, Hu Q, Lin CJ, Zhao XQ, et al. 2022. MALE STERILITY 3 encodes a plant homeodomain-finger protein for male fertility in soybean. Journal of Integrative Plant Biology 64:

902

903

904

905

906

907

908

909

910

911

912

913

914

915

916

917

918

919

920

921

922

1076-1086. Hough J, Hollister JD, Wang W, Barrett SCH, Wright SI. 2014. Genetic degeneration of old and young Y chromosomes in the flowering plant *Rumex hastatulus*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **111**: 7713-7718. Hsu S-K, Jakšić AM, Nolte V, Lirakis M, Kofler R, Barghi N, Versace E, Schlötterer C. 2020. Rapid sex-specific adaptation to high temperature in *Drosophila*. *Elife* **9**: e53237. Hu XQ, Liao ZY, Zhang B, Yue JJ, Wang Z, Jie X, Liu J. 2020. Transcriptome sequencing and screening of genes related to sex determination of Trichosanthes kirilowii Maxim. PLoS One 15: e0239230. **Hudson M**, Smith H. 1998. The phytochrome B encoded by the *HLG* locus of Nicotiana plumbaginifolia is required for detection of photoperiod: hlg mutants show altered regulation of flowering and circadian movement. *Plant* Journal 15: 281-287. Immler S. 2019. Haploid selection in "diploid" organisms. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics **50**: 219-236. Jones P, Binns D, Chang HY, Fraser M, Li W, McAnulla C, McWilliam H, Maslen J, Mitchell A, Nuka G, et al. 2014. InterProScan 5: genome-scale protein function classification. *Bioinformatics* **30**: 1236-1240. **Khodursky S**, Svetec N, Durkin SM, Zhao L. 2020. The evolution of sex-biased gene expression in the *Drosophila* brain. *Genome Research* **30**: 874-884. Lahti DC, Johnson NA, Ajie BC, Otto SP, Hendry AP, Blumstein DT, Coss RG,

923 Donohue K, Foster SA. 2009. Relaxed selection in the wild. *Trends in Ecology* 924 and Evolution **24**: 487-496. 925 **Langmead B**, Salzberg SL. 2012. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nature* 926 *Methods* **9**: 357-359. 927 Li B, Dewey CN. 2011. RSEM: accurate transcript quantification from RNA-Seq data 928 with or without a reference genome. BMC Bioinformatics 12: 323. 929 Li SH, Yan H, Mei WM, Tse YC, Wang H. 2020. Boosting autophagy in sexual 930 reproduction: a plant perspective. *New Phytologist* **226**: 679-689. 931 **Lichilin** N, El Taher A, Bohne A. 2021. Sex-biased gene expression and recent sex 932 chromosome turnover. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: 933 Biological Sciences **376**: 20200107. 934 **Lipinska A**, Cormier A, Luthringer R, Peters AF, Corre E, Gachon CM, Cock JM, 935 Coelho SM. 2015. Sexual dimorphism and the evolution of sex-biased gene 936 expression in the brown alga ectocarpus. Molecular Biology and Evolution 32: 937 1581-1597. 938 Liu ZH, Tavares R, Forsythe ES, Andre F, Lugan R, Jonasson G, Boutet-Mercey S, 939 Tohge T, Beilstein MA, et al. 2016. Evolutionary interplay between sister 940 cytochrome P450 genes shapes plasticity in plant metabolism. Nature 941 Communications 7: 13026. 942 **Liu Y**, Bassham DC. 2012. Autophagy: pathways for self-eating in plant cells. *Annual* 943 Review of Plant Biology 63: 215-237. 944 Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. 2014. Moderated estimation of fold change and 945 dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biology 15: 550. 946 **Lynch M**, Conery JS. 2000. The evolutionary fate and consequences of duplicate 947 genes. Science 290: 1151-1155. 948 **Lynch M**, Katju V. 2004. The altered evolutionary trajectories of gene duplicates. 949 *Trends in Genetics* **20**: 544-549. 950 Ma LL, Wang Q, Mu JL, Fu AZ, Wen CL, Zhao XY, Gao LP, Li J, Shi K, Wang YX, 951 et al. 2020. The genome and transcriptome analysis of snake gourd provide 952 insights into its evolution and fruit development and ripening. Horticulture 953 Research 7: 199. 954 Ma WJ, Pannell JR. 2016. Sex determination: separate sexes are a double turnoff in 955 melons. Current Biology 26: R171-R174. 956 Mank JE. 2009. Sex chromosomes and the evolution of sexual dimorphism: lessons 957 from the genome. *American Naturalist* **173**: 141-150. 958 Mank JE. 2017. The transcriptional architecture of phenotypic dimorphism. *Nature* 959 *Ecology and Evolution* **1**: 6. 960 Mank JE. 2023. Sex-specific morphs: the genetics and evolution of intra-sexual 961 variation. *Nature Reviews Genetics* **24**: 44-52. 962 Mank JE, Hultin-Rosenberg L, Axelsson E, Ellegren H. 2007. Rapid evolution of 963 female-biased, but not male-biased, genes expressed in the avian brain. 964 *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **24**: 2698-2706. 965 Mao XZ, Cai T, Olyarchuk JG, Wei LP. 2005. Automated genome annotation and 966 pathway identification using the KEGG Orthology (KO) as a controlled

967 vocabulary. Bioinformatics 21: 3787-3793. 968 Marinić M, Lynch VJ. 2020. Relaxed constraint and functional divergence of the 969 progesterone receptor (*PGR*) in the human stem-lineage. *PLOS Genetics* **16**: 970 e1008666. 971 **Meisel RP.** 2011. Towards a more nuanced understanding of the relationship between 972 sex-biased gene expression and rates of protein-coding sequence evolution. 973 *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **28**: 1893-1900. 974 **Meisel RP**, Connallon T. 2013. The faster-X effect: integrating theory and data. 975 *Trends in Genetics* **29**: 537-544. 976 Ming R, Bendahmane A, Renner SS. 2011. Sex chromosomes in land plants. *Annual* 977 Review of Plant Biology **62**: 485-514. 978 **Moore JC**, Pannell JR. 2011. Sexual selection in plants. *Current Biology* **21**: 979 R176-R182. 980 Moriya Y, Itoh M, Okuda S, Yoshizawa AC, Kanehisa M. 2007. KAAS: an automatic 981 genome annotation and pathway reconstruction server. Nucleic Acids Research 982 **35**: W182-185. 983 Moyle LC, Wu M, Gibson MJS. 2021. Reproductive proteins evolve faster than 984 non-reproductive proteins among Solanum species. Frontiers in Plant Science 985 **12**: 635990. 986 Murat F, Mbengue N, Winge SB, Trefzer T, Leushkin E, Sepp M, Cardoso-Moreira 987 M, Schmidt J, Schneider C, Mößinger K, et al. 2023. The molecular evolution 988 of spermatogenesis across mammals. *Nature* **613**: 308-316.

989 Murrell B, Weaver S, Smith MD, Wertheim JO, Murrell S, Aylward A, Eren K, 990 Pollner T, Martin DP, Smith DM, et al. 2015. Gene-wide identification of 991 episodic selection. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **32**: 1365-1371. 992 **Muschietti JP**, Wengier DL. 2018. How many receptor-like kinases are required to 993 operate a pollen tube. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* **41**: 73-82. 994 Muyle A. 2019. How different is the evolution of sex-biased gene expression between 995 plants and animals? A commentary on: 'Sexual dimorphism and rapid turnover 996 in gene expression in prereproductive seedlings of a dioecious herb'. Annals of 997 *Botany* **123**: iv-v. 998 Naqvi S, Godfrey AK, Hughes JF, Goodheart ML, Mitchell RN, Page DC. 2019. 999 Conservation, acquisition, and functional impact of sex-biased gene 1000 expression in mammals. *Science* **365**: eaaw7317. 1001 Palmer DH, Rogers TF, Dean R, Wright AE. 2019. How to identify sex chromosomes 1002 and their turnover. *Molecular Ecology* **28**: 4709-4724. 1003 Papa F, Windbichler N, Waterhouse RM, Cagnetti A, D'Amato R, Persampieri T, 1004 Lawniczak MKN, Nolan T, Papathanos PA. 2017. Rapid evolution of 1005 female-biased genes among four species of *Anopheles* malaria mosquitoes. 1006 Genome Research 27: 1536-1548. 1007 Parsch J, Ellegren H. 2013. The evolutionary causes and consequences of sex-biased 1008 gene expression. *Nature Reviews Genetics* **14**: 83-87. 1009 Parvathy ST, Udayasuriyan V, Bhadana V. 2022. Codon usage bias. *Molecular* 1010 Biology Reports 49: 539-565.

1011 Pond SLK, Poon AFY, Velazquez R, Weaver S, Hepler NL, Murrell B, Shank SD, 1012 Magalis BR, Bouvier D, Nekrutenko A, et al. 2020. HyPhy 2.5–a customizable 1013 platform for evolutionary hypothesis testing using phylogenies. *Molecular* 1014 Biology and Evolution 37: 295-299. 1015 **Pro** □ schel M, Zhang Z, Parsch J. 2006. Widespread adaptive evolution of *Drosophila* 1016 genes with sex-biased expression. Genetics 174: 893-900. 1017 Ren J, Wu Y, Zhu Z, Chen R, Zhang L. 2022. Biosynthesis and regulation of 1018 diterpenoids in medicinal plants. Chinese Journal of Natural Medicines 20: 1019 761-772. 1020 **Renner SS**. 2014. The relative and absolute frequencies of angiosperm sexual 1021 systems: dioecy, monoecy, gynodioecy, and an updated online database. American Journal of Botany 101: 1588-1596. 1022 1023 Rowe L, Chenoweth SF, Agrawal AF. 2018. The genomics of sexual conflict. 1024 American Naturalist 192: 274-286. 1025 Sanderson BJ, Wang L, Tiffin P, Wu ZQ, Olson MS. 2019. Sex-biased gene 1026 expression in flowers, but not leaves, reveals secondary sexual dimorphism in 1027 Populus balsamifera. New Phytologist 221: 527-539. 1028 Sandler G, Beaudry FEG, Barrett SCH, Wright SI. 2018. The effects of haploid 1029 selection on Y chromosome evolution in two closely related dioecious plants. 1030 Evolution Letters 2: 368-377. 1031 **Schaefer H**, Renner SS. 2010. A three-genome phylogeny of *Momordica* 1032 (Cucurbitaceae) suggests seven returns from dioecy to monoecy and recent

1033 long-distance dispersal to Asia. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **54**: 1034 553-560. 1035 Schaefer H, Renner SS. 2011. Phylogenetic relationships in the order Cucurbitales 1036 and a new classification of the gourd family (Cucurbitaceae). *Taxon* **60**: 122-138. 1037 1038 **Scharf KD**, Berberich T, Ebersberger I, Nover L. 2012. The plant heat stress 1039 transcription factor (Hsf) family: structure, function and evolution. Biochimica 1040 Et Biophysica Acta-Gene Regulatory Mechanisms 1819: 104-119. 1041 Scharmann M, Rebelo AG, Pannell JR. 2021. High rates of evolution preceded shifts 1042 to sex-biased gene expression in *Leucadendron*, the most sexually dimorphic 1043 angiosperms. eLife 10: e67485. 1044 Schrader L, Pan H, Bollazzi M, Schiott M, Larabee FJ, Bi X, Deng Y, Zhang G, 1045 Boomsma JJ, Rabeling C. 2021. Relaxed selection underlies genome erosion 1046 in socially parasitic ant species. *Nature Communications* **12**: 2918. 1047 Seppey M, Manni M, Zdobnov EM. 2019. BUSCO: Assessing genome assembly and 1048 annotation completeness. *Methods in Molecular Biology* **1962**: 227-245. 1049 Shiryev SA, Papadopoulos JS, Schaffer AA, Agarwala R. 2007. Improved BLAST 1050 searches using longer words for protein seeding. *Bioinformatics* 23: 1051 2949-2951. 1052 Singh A, Agrawal AF. 2023. Two forms of sexual dimorphism in gene expression in 1053 Drosophila melanogaster: their coincidence and evolutionary genetics. 1054 *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **40**: msad091.

1056

1057

1058

1059

1060

1061

1062

1063

1064

1065

1066

1067

1068

1069

1070

1071

1072

1073

1074

1075

1076

**Skogsmyr I**, Lankinen A. 2002. Sexual selection: an evolutionary force in plants. Biological Reviews 77: 537-562. Smith MD, Wertheim JO, Weaver S, Murrell B, Scheffler K, Pond SLK. 2015. Less is more: an adaptive branch-site random effects model for efficient detection of episodic diversifying selection. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **32**: 1342-1353. **Stephenson AG**, Bertin RI. 1983. Male competition, female choice, and sexual selection in plants. In: Real L (Eds). *Pollination Biology*. New York, NY, USA: Academic Press. p.109-151. Teo ZWN, Song SY, Wang YQ, Liu J, Yu H. 2014. New insights into the regulation of inflorescence architecture. Trends in Plant Science 19: 158-165. Tosto NM, Beasley ER, Wong BBM, Mank JE, Flanagan SP. 2023. The roles of sexual selection and sexual conflict in shaping patterns of genome and transcriptome variation. *Nature Ecology and Evolution* **7**: 981-993 Toubiana W, Armisén D, Dechaud C, Arbore R, Khila A. 2021. Impact of male trait exaggeration on sex-biased gene expression and genome architecture in a water strider. BMC Biology 19: 89 Vaughan MM, Wang Q, Webster FX, Kiemle D, Hong YJ, Tantillo DJ, Coates RM, Wray AT, Askew W, O'Donnell C, et al. 2013. Formation of the unusual semivolatile diterpene rhizathalene by the Arabidopsis class I terpene synthase TPS08 in the root stele is involved in defense against belowground herbivory. *The Plant Cell* **25**: 1108-1125.

1077 **Veltsos P.** 2019. Not all sex-biased genes are the same. *New Phytologist* **221**: 10-11. 1078 Wertheim JO, Murrell B, Smith MD, Kosakovsky Pond SL, Scheffler K. 2015. 1079 RELAX: detecting relaxed selection in a phylogenetic framework. *Molecular* Biology and Evolution 32: 820-832. 1080 Whittle CA, Kulkarni A, Extavour CG. 2021. Evolutionary dynamics of sex-biased 1081 1082 genes expressed in cricket brains and gonads. Journal of Evolutionary Biology 1083 **34**: 1188-1211. 1084 Williams JH, Reese JB. 2019. Evolution of development of pollen performance. 1085 Current Topics in Developmental Biology 131: 299-336. 1086 Wright AE, Harrison PW, Zimmer F, Montgomery SH, Pointer MA, Mank JE. 2015. 1087 Variation in promiscuity and sexual selection drives avian rate of Faster-Z 1088 evolution. *Molecular Ecology* **24**: 1218-1235. Wright F. 1990. The effective number of codons used in a gene. *Gene* 87: 23-29. 1089 1090 Wu H, Zhao G, Gong H, Li J, Luo C, He X, Luo S, Zheng X, Liu X, Guo J, et al. 1091 2020. A high-quality sponge gourd (*Luffa cylindrica*) genome. *Horticulture* 1092 *Research* 7: 128. Wu Z, Raven P, Hong D. 2011. Flora of China. Beijing, China: Science Press, and St. 1093 1094 Louis, USA: Missouri Botanical Garden Press. 1095 Yang Z. 2007. PAML 4: phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood. *Molecular* 1096 *Biology and Evolution* **24**: 1586-1591. 1097 Yang ZH, Wong WSW, Nielsen R. 2005. Bayes empirical bayes inference of amino 1098 acid sites under positive selection. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 22:

1099 1107-1118. 1100 Yue T, Guo Y, Qi X, Zheng W, Zhang H, Wang B, Liu K, Zhou B, Zeng X, Ouzhuluobu, He Y, Su B. 2023. Sex-biased regulatory changes in the placenta 1101 1102 of native highlanders contribute to adaptive fetal development. *eLife* 12: 1103 RP89004 1104 **Zemp N**, Tavares R, Muyle A, Charlesworth D, Marais GA, Widmer A. 2016. 1105 Evolution of sex-biased gene expression in a dioecious plant. *Nature Plants* 2: 1106 16168. 1107 **Zhang JZ**, Nielsen R, Yang ZH. 2005. Evaluation of an improved branch-site 1108 likelihood method for detecting positive selection at the molecular level. 1109 *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **22**: 2472-2479. Zhang MM, Zhang SQ. 2022. Mitogen-activated protein kinase cascades in plant 1110 1111 signaling. Journal of Integrative Plant Biology 64: 301-341. 1112 **Zhang LB**, Simmons MP, Kocyan A, Renner SS. 2006. Phylogeny of the 1113 Cucurbitales based on DNA sequences of nine loci from three genomes: 1114 implications for morphological and sexual system evolution. *Molecular* 1115 Phylogenetics and Evolution 39: 305-322. 1116 Zheng Y, Wu S, Bai Y, Sun HH, Jiao C, Guo SG, Zhao K, Blanca J, Zhang ZH, 1117 Huang SW, et al. 2019. Cucurbit Genomics Database (CuGenDB): a central 1118 portal for comparative and functional genomics of cucurbit crops. *Nucleic* 1119 Acids Research 47: D1128-D1136. 1120 Zhang Y, Sturgill D, Parisi M, Kumar S, Oliver B. 2007. Constraint and turnover in

1122

1123

1124

1125

1126

1127

1128

1129

1130

1131

1132

1133

1134

1135

1136

1137

1138

1139

1140

1141

sex-biased gene expression in the genus *Drosophila*. *Nature* **450**: 233-237. Zhang YW, Li ZW, Ma B, Hou QC, Wan XY. 2020. Phylogeny and functions of LOB domain proteins in plants. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 21: 2278. **Zhang Z**, Hambuch TM, Parsch J. 2004. Molecular evolution of sex-biased genes in *Drosophila. Molecular Biology and Evolution* **21**: 2130-2139. **Zhang Z**, Parsch J. 2005. Positive correlation between evolutionary rate and recombination rate in *Drosophila* genes with male-biased expression. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **22**: 1945-1947. **Zhou XM,** Zhao P, Sun MX. 2021. Autophagy in sexual plant reproduction: new insights. Journal of Experimental Botany 72: 7658-7667. Zhou XM, Zhao P, Wang W, Zou J, Cheng TH, Peng XB, Sun MX. 2015. A comprehensive, genome-wide analysis of autophagy-related genes identified in tobacco suggests a central role of autophagy in plant response to various environmental cues. DNA Research 22: 245-257. **Figure Legends** Fig. 1 Floral buds and flowers at anthesis of females (A, B) and males (C) in *Trichosanthes pilosa.* Fig. 2 Sex-biased gene expression for floral buds and flowers at anthesis in males and females of Trichosanthes pilosa. Volcano plots of average expression between female-biased, male-biased and unbiased genes in floral buds (A) and flowers at

1143

1144

1145

1146

1147

1148

1149

1150

1151

1152

1153

1154

1155

1156

1157

1158

1159

1160

1161

1162

1163

anthesis (B). M1 and F1 indicate male and female floral buds; M2 and F2 indicate male and female flowers at anthesis. The value of y coordinate represents -log<sub>10</sub>(FDR), and the value of x coordinate represents  $log_2(Fold Change)$  identified by DESeq2. Heatmap of sex-biased gene expression (C) using hierarchical clustering analysis. Hierarchical gene clustering is based on Euclidean distance with an average of log<sub>2</sub>(FPKM) for differentially expressed genes. The color gradient represents from high to low (from red to green) gene expression. Fig. 3 The overlap between sex-biased and tissue-biased genes in two types of sexes and tissues. Male-biased genes in floral buds (M1BGs) (A) or flowers at anthesis (M2BGs) (B) overlapped with tissue-biased genes in floral buds (M1TGs) and flowers at anthesis (M2TGs). Female-biased genes in floral buds (F1BGs) (C) or flowers at anthesis (F2BGs) (D) overlapped with tissue-biased genes in floral buds (F1TGs) and flowers at anthesis (F2TGs). **Fig. 4** Violin plots of  $d_N/d_S$  values (0 <  $\omega$  < 2) of female-biased, male-biased and unbiased genes in floral buds and flowers at anthesis of Trichosanthes pilosa. White dot indicates the median of  $d_N/d_S$  values for sex-biased and unbiased genes. Wilcoxon rank sum tests are used to test for significant differences (\*\*\*P < 0.0005, \*\*P < 0.005and \*P < 0.05). The distributions of  $d_N/d_S$  values for female-biased, male-biased and unbiased genes in floral buds (A) and flowers at anthesis (B) using 'two-ratio' branch model. The distributions of  $d_N/d_S$  values for female-biased, male-biased and unbiased genes in floral buds (C) and flowers at anthesis (D) using 'free-ratio' branch model. Fig. 5 Venn diagrams of male-biased genes detected to be under positive selection

using aBSREL, BUSTED, CodeML and RELAX in floral buds.

1165









