

# **Nitrogen niche partitioning between tropical legumes and grasses conditionally weakens under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>**

Amber C. Churchill<sup>1,2,3\*</sup>, Haiyang Zhang<sup>1,4</sup>, Gil Won Kim<sup>1,5</sup>, Karen L. M. Catunda<sup>1</sup>, Ian C. Anderson<sup>1</sup>, Forest Isbell<sup>2</sup>, Ben Moore<sup>1</sup>, Elise Pendall<sup>1</sup>, Jonathan M. Plett<sup>1</sup>, Jeff R. Powell<sup>1</sup>, Sally A. Power<sup>1</sup>

\* Corresponding author: [achurchill@binghamton.edu](mailto:achurchill@binghamton.edu); [REDACTED]

<sup>1</sup>Hawkesbury Institute for the Environment, Western Sydney University, Hawkesbury Campus, Ground Floor, Building R2, Locked Bag 1797, Penrith 2751, NSW Australia

<sup>2</sup>Department of Ecology, Evolution and Behavior, University of Minnesota, 140 Gortner Laboratory, 1479 Gortner Ave., St. Paul, MN 55108, USA

<sup>3</sup>Environmental Studies Program, Binghamton University, State University of New York, 4400 Vestal Parkway E, Binghamton, NY 13902, USA

<sup>4</sup>College of Life Sciences, Hebei University, Baoding, China


<sup>5</sup>Institute of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Gyeongsang National University, 501 Jinju Daero, Jinju 52828, South Korea

## Abstract

1. Plant community biodiversity can be maintained, at least partially, by shifts in species interactions between facilitation and competition for resources as environmental conditions change. These interactions also drive ecosystem functioning, including productivity, and can promote over-yielding- an ecosystem service prioritized in agro-ecosystems, such as pastures, that occurs when multiple species together are more productive than the component species alone. Importantly, species interactions that can result in over-yielding may shift in response to rising CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations and changes in resource availability, and the consequences these shifts have on production is uncertain especially in the context of tropical mixed-species grasslands.
2. We examined the relative performance of two species pairs of tropical pasture grasses and legumes growing in monoculture and mixtures in a glasshouse experiment manipulating CO<sub>2</sub>. We investigated how over-yielding can arise from nitrogen (N) niche partitioning and biotic facilitation using stable isotopes to differentiate soil N from biological N fixation (BNF) within N acquisition into aboveground biomass for these two-species mixtures.
3. We found that N niche partitioning in species-level use of soil N vs. BNF drove species interactions in mixtures. Importantly partitioning and overyielding were generally reduced under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. However, this finding was mixture-dependent based on biomass of dominant species in mixtures and the strength of selection effects for the dominant species.
4. This study demonstrates that rising atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> may alter niche partitioning between co-occurring species, with negative implications for the over-yielding benefits predicted for legume-grass mixtures in working landscapes with tropical species. Furthermore, these changes in inter-species interactions may have consequences for grassland composition that are not yet considered in larger-scale projections for impacts of climate change and species distributions.

## Key Words (5-8 in alphabetical order):

Biological nitrogen fixation, complementarity effect, elevated carbon dioxide, natural abundance <sup>15</sup>N, nitrogen niche partitioning, tropical pastures



**Graphical abstract** (Image by H. Zhang): Among our tropical pasture species we found that grasses (dotted lines) grown in monoculture rely fully on soil nitrogen (N), while legumes (solid lines) grown in monoculture relied approximately equally on soil N and biological nitrogen fixation (BNF) to meet N requirements. When grown with tropical grasses, however, legumes shifted to rely more strongly on BNF, indicative of niche partitioning and decreased competition for soil nutrients with grasses. This separation of niche space was weakened under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> conditions, ultimately reducing legume production.

## Introduction

Interactions between plant species within communities and ecosystems vary over time and as environmental conditions change, thereby driving shifts between competition and facilitation for resources (Adler et al., 2012; Arfin Khan et al., 2014). Niche partitioning is a mechanism allowing the co-existence of numerous species that may overlap in fundamental niche space but differ in realized niche space to reduce impacts from competition (Jumpponen et al., 2002; McKane et al., 2002; Zuppinger-Dingley et al., 2014). Additionally, changes in niche space associated with interspecific interactions are a common feature of net biodiversity effects whereby interactions among community members result in greater ecosystem functioning, relative to the sum of individual contributions (Barry et al., 2019; Loreau et al., 2008; Loreau & Hector, 2001); when applied to productivity this concept is often referred to as over-yielding (Vandermeer, 1981). Quantifying mechanisms underpinning interspecific interactions, such as niche partitioning, is fundamental to understanding relationships between biodiversity and ecosystem function.

Nitrogen (N) is a primary often-limiting nutrient that plays an important role in structuring community interactions and ecosystem function alongside phosphorus (P) and other mineral nutrients (Fay et al., 2015; Vitousek & Howarth, 1991). Plants that host N-fixing bacteria (i.e. N-fixers), such as legumes, are often considered to have an advantage under low soil N conditions as the mutualism can provide an alternative route for accessing N. This biological N fixation (BNF), therefore, can promote biodiversity and ecosystem function, via N niche partitioning allowing different community members to rely on alternative sources of N or facilitation where N resources from BNF are shared among community members (Barry et al., 2019; Elias & Agrawal, 2021; Lee et al., 2003; Mulder et al., 2002; Perring et al., 2010). These processes contribute to the Net Biodiversity Effect through complementarity effects, where more diverse communities contribute to ecosystem functions greater than the weighted average of constituent monoculture species, and selection effects where species with greater than the average among-species-yields dominate in mixture.

In communities containing legumes, BNF is often assumed to result in N facilitation and an increase in the overall pool of ecosystem N available for neighbouring plants (Lee et al., 2003) that promote and subsequently increase production among component species (Barry et al., 2019; Fornara & Tilman, 2008; von Felton et al., 2009). There are two main pathways

enabling this outcome: 1) direct acquisition of BNF resources from legumes by non-legumes (facilitation; i.e. grasses) and 2) via niche partitioning where grasses compete less with legumes for shared soil nitrogen, given that legumes have access to a non-soil pool of N (Barry *et al.*, 2019). There are a number of biotic feedback-based mechanisms enabling the sharing of BNF derived N to non-legume community members, including decomposition of legume leaf litter (Makkonen *et al.*, 2012; Wei *et al.*, 2019), or roots (Fustec *et al.*, 2009; McLaren & Turkington, 2010) that lead to higher soil N. Additionally, some literature has highlighted the potential importance of root exudation of high N-containing compounds that impact N (or carbon: C) availability in the rhizosphere (Henneron *et al.*, 2020; Paynel *et al.*, 2001), or transfer through the activity of soil fauna (Gilarte *et al.*, 2020), thereby promoting increased N availability for surrounding community members. Alternatively, niche partitioning can support greater overall ecosystem N availability as legumes rely on BNF for N resources, leaving the soil N pool available to neighbouring community members (Ashworth *et al.*, 2018). These pathways offer numerous strategies that ultimately promote increased community productivity in the presence of legumes representing a potential for over-yielding.

Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations have increased by 50% since the industrial revolution (IPCC, 2022). There are many ecosystem consequences associated with this change, including an increase in plant productivity (de Graaff *et al.*, 2006; Gill *et al.*, 2002), altered plant community composition and diversity (Reich, 2009; Zelikova *et al.*, 2014), and modified plant interactions within a community that impact ecosystem scale processes (Blumenthal *et al.*, 2013; Gonçalves de Oliveira *et al.*, 2021; Maschler *et al.*, 2022). However, some of these patterns may only occur when water is limiting, nutrients are abundant (Reich *et al.*, 2014; Rogers *et al.*, 2009; Soussana & Lüscher, 2007), or when plant diversity is high (Reich *et al.*, 2001; Shaw *et al.*, 2002). Under well-watered conditions, eCO<sub>2</sub> generally benefits the C<sub>3</sub> photosynthetic pathway over the C<sub>4</sub> pathway (used by warm season or tropical grasses) due to differences in carbon capture (Ainsworth & Long, 2005; Reich *et al.*, 2014, but see Reich *et al.*, 2018). Furthermore, eCO<sub>2</sub> is reported to increase belowground biomass, especially for fine roots (Nie *et al.*, 2013), which may increase access to soil nutrients (de Graaff *et al.*, 2006; Dieleman *et al.*, 2012; Reich *et al.*, 2001).

Many studies have found that eCO<sub>2</sub> fertilization effects are larger for N fixers than grasses due to greater C resource availability to support the energetically demanding process of N-fixation (Liang et al., 2016). However, N-fixer responses in natural ecosystems have been varied, as potential benefits from increased photosynthesis can become constrained by other limiting nutrients such as phosphorus (Coventry et al., 1985; Hungate et al., 2004; Rogers et al., 2009; Soussana & Lüscher, 2007), or reduced due to temperature or drought conditions (Rogers et al., 2009). Even so, most studies find decreased foliar N concentrations for non-N-fixers under eCO<sub>2</sub> with subsequent increases in C:N ratios in plant tissues (Ainsworth & Long, 2005; Augustine et al., 2018; Gojon et al., 2022; Rogers et al., 2009). However, within mixed grass-legume grassland communities, leaf N and C:N ratios are less likely to be impacted by eCO<sub>2</sub> for the legume component of the sward (Rogers et al., 2009; Winkler & Herbst, 2004). These findings suggest that grassland responses to eCO<sub>2</sub> may depend on the presence of legumes (Jones & Donnelly, 2004). Importantly, the perceived ‘benefit’ of eCO<sub>2</sub> for tropical and subtropical ecosystems is less well documented, as most studies have focused on temperate species, with C<sub>3</sub> photosynthetic pathways (Naudts et al., 2013). The potential impacts of eCO<sub>2</sub> on nutrient dynamics in C<sub>4</sub> grasses, including those in mixed C<sub>3</sub>-C<sub>4</sub> systems is of particular interest, due to the increasing abundance of warm season grasses in many areas of the world (McKeon et al., 2009). Given the diverse predicted outcomes for ecosystems as eCO<sub>2</sub> concentrations increase (Cannell & Thornley, 1998; de Graaff et al., 2006; Dijkstra et al., 2010), there is a need for improved understanding of the impacts of rising CO<sub>2</sub> in managed and natural grasslands. These include ongoing shifts in grassland composition, driven by species differences in response to climate change (McKeon et al., 2009; Munson & Long, 2017; Sherry et al., 2007; C. Wang et al., 2013; Xie et al., 2022; Zelikova et al., 2014). Increased understanding of the processes that underlie plant-plant interactions between tropical grasses and legumes will therefore increase the accuracy of predictions for how grassland species composition and productivity will be impacted as atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations continue to rise and human-induced shifts in ecosystem biodiversity are realized.

To address this area of research, we examined potential mechanisms by which eCO<sub>2</sub> might benefit warm season (C<sub>4</sub>) grasses grown in mixed swards with tropical legumes. Specifically, we evaluated the potential for increased production and nutrient availability within two-species swards relative to individual species, driven by biologically fixed N (from rhizobia)

supported by legumes and accessed by grasses. We hypothesized that 1) grasses grown with legumes benefit in terms of both nutrition and productivity, relative to those growing in monoculture, due to access to BNF from legume rhizobia; 2) tropical legumes grown under eCO<sub>2</sub> will increase production as a consequence of increased carbon-use-efficiency; 3) increased C availability under eCO<sub>2</sub> will increase legume N fixation and both legume shoot N and soil N content; and 4) increased available N due to BNF will promote overyielding and a net biodiversity effect in two-species mixtures driven by a combination of complementarity and selection effects that are enhanced by eCO<sub>2</sub>.

## Materials and Methods

### *Experimental design*

This experiment was conducted in a glasshouse at Western Sydney University's Hawkesbury Campus, Richmond, New South Wales (33°61'S, 150°74'E), Australia. We used six separate glasshouse chambers, with three randomly assigned to ambient conditions (410 - 430 ppm; aCO<sub>2</sub>) and three an elevated CO<sub>2</sub> regime (630 - 650 ppm; eCO<sub>2</sub>). The ambient treatment matches contemporary atmospheric concentration, while the elevated regime was consistent with the upper-end of predicted end-of-century increase in global atmospheric [CO<sub>2</sub>] (Szulejko et al., 2017). We maintained a consistent temperature regime across all chambers at a daily average of 27°C (**Fig S1A**). This included a temperature ramp to mimic diurnal patterns (00-06 hours: 22°C, 06-09 hrs: 27°C, 09-18 hrs: 32°C, 18-21 hrs: 27°C, 21-00 hrs: 22°C) for spring to summer temperatures for areas currently cultivating tropical pasture in northern NSW and southern Queensland (BOM, 2020). Additionally, all chambers were controlled at 50-60% relative humidity (**Fig S1B**) with natural lighting between 11 hours (August) and 14 hours (December) per day.

The soil used in this experiment was collected adjacent to the Pastures and Climate Extremes field experimental facility (Churchill et al., 2022). Soil was sieved to 5 mm before being air dried and mixed with quartz sand (soil:sand 7:3 v/v). Each pot (3.7L, 150 mm diameter, 240 mm height) was filled with 3.9 kg of the soil-sand mixture before planting (Zhang et al., 2021). The final soil mixture was ~ 84% sand, with an average pH of 5.6, plant available N at 55 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, plant available (bray) P at 39 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> and soil organic carbon at 1.1%. All pots were maintained under well-watered conditions using an automated irrigation system such

that soil water capacity was brought to 100% every two days (Zhang et al., 2021). In order to promote legume nodulation, no nutrients were added.

Two tropical grasses (C<sub>4</sub>) and two tropical legumes (C<sub>3</sub>) were selected, based on current widespread use or consideration for future use by key pasture industries within Australia (**Table 1**). All species are commonly grown in multi-species improved pastures in southern Queensland and northern New South Wales, Australia, as well as among other sub-tropical grasslands world-wide (Thomas & Sumberg, 1995; Bell *et al.*, 2012; Miegoue *et al.*, 2016; Melesse *et al.*, 2017; DPI, 2018). Specific species-pairs were the legume *Macroptilium bracteatum* (Nees. & Marti.) growing with the grass *Chloris gayana* (Kunth), and the legume *Desmodium intortum* (Mill) Urb with grass *Panicum maximum* var. *trichoglume* (Robyns). Specific species pairing within pots was randomly assigned at project initiation, as all species are typically grown in mixtures. We included non-factorial pairings between grasses and legumes to maximize species included in the study, while balancing time and space constraints in the greenhouse. All seeds were supplied by Heritage Seeds and contained AgriCote advanced seed coating technology; legume seed came pre-inoculated with appropriate rhizobia (Barenbrug Co., Heritage Seeds, New South Wales, Australia). Seeds were planted directly into seedling trays (~5 seeds per cell) and thinned to a single individual over time. After three weeks, two individuals for the monoculture or one individual from each species for the mixture were then transplanted into the pots. The experimental design therefore included the two CO<sub>2</sub> treatments (aCO<sub>2</sub> and eCO<sub>2</sub>), six types of plant combinations in pots (grass<sub>1</sub>, legume<sub>1</sub>, mixed<sub>1</sub>, grass<sub>2</sub>, legume<sub>2</sub>, mixed<sub>2</sub>), and twelve replicates per plant combination with four of these in each of three chamber replicates per CO<sub>2</sub> treatment). This included a total of 288 pots distributed among six climatically isolated greenhouse chambers.

#### *Aboveground sampling*

After transplanting the seedlings, all plants were grown for 11 weeks before a final harvest, just as individuals were reaching the flowering phenophase. All aboveground standing plant material (shoot; leaves and stems/tillers together) was cut at soil level and for mixed species pots, separated by species, then weighed. To accommodate differing methodologies for nutritional analysis of harvested biomass (Catunda et al., 2022), the fresh aboveground biomass was frozen at -18°C. Within one month all samples were then microwaved at medium power for 90 seconds to halt enzymic degradation of plant tissue compounds

(Landh usser et al., 2018), and oven dried at 70 C for 48 hours then weighed. All dried plant material was homogenized, ground to pass through a 2-mm screen in a laboratory cyclone mill (Foss Cyclotec Mill, Denmark) then ball-milled to a fine powder (Retsch  MM400; Hann, Germany) for nitrogen (N) and isotopic (i.e.  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ ) measurements, which were determined using an elemental analyzer interfaced to a continuous flow isotope ratio mass spectrometer at the UC Davis Stable Isotope Facility (Davis, CA, USA).

# *Belowground biomass*

After aboveground material was removed, belowground biomass was determined. All pot soils were carefully sieved using 2 mm sieves to retain root fragments and roots were oven dried to constant mass. We assessed legume nodule number and weight for a subset of fresh root material in each legume- or mixed species pot by randomly subsetting roots and nodules from different locations within the root system (~25g fresh root or ~ 80% of total root mass). From this subset, we separated the nodules from the roots and weighed the fresh biomass for each component separately. Fresh nodules were incubated with acetylene for 1 hour and ethylene evolution tested as a proxy to estimate the average instantaneous rate of nitrogenase activity of the bacteria within the nodules using acetylene reduction assays (ARA; Plett *et al.*, 2016). After ARA measurement, root nodules were oven dried and weighed as above. Final estimates of biological nitrogen fixation (BNF) activity were calculated as the rate of ethylene production per gram of incubated nodule dry biomass.

# *Soil nutrients*

Nutrient availability was assessed using ion exchange resins (IONAC NM-60  $\text{H}^+/\text{OH}^-$  Form; J.T. Baker) for nitrate ( $\text{NO}_3^-$ ), ammonia ( $\text{NH}_4^+$ ) and phosphate ( $\text{PO}_4^{3-}$ ). We enclosed 5 g of mixed bed resin in a 3 cm x 5 cm nylon bag (42  $\mu\text{m}$  mesh) and placed one bag in each pot in the upper 10 cm of soil. Resin bags were collected during the biomass harvest, briefly rinsed with deionized water to remove attached soil and root particles before immediate storage at -70  C prior to extraction with 0.5 M HCl solution through a Whatman No. 1 paper (Carrillo et al., 2012; Dijkstra et al., 2010). Extractable  $\text{NO}_3^-$ ,  $\text{NH}_4^+$  and  $\text{PO}_4^{3-}$  concentrations in the resin bag were determined on a SEAL AQ2 Discrete Analyser (SEAL Analytical Inc., USA).

# *Quantifying contributions of biological nitrogen fixation*

The contribution of BNF to plant total N was calculated as described in Chalk *et al.* (2016) and Bell *et al.* (2017), where lower  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  is indicative of a higher N-fixation amount. The minimum observed values for  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  for both legume species in this experiment (*B; Macroptilium*: -2.7 ‰ under eCO<sub>2</sub> in a mixed pot; *Desmodium*: -2.0 ‰ under aCO<sub>2</sub> in a mixed pot) were used to represent when the maximum possible amount of N is derived from BNF in our experimental conditions. Note that while this could overestimate the absolute estimate of BNF, the general patterns among different treatments should remain unaffected (Chalk *et al.*, 2016). Mean  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values for companion grass species in monoculture at each treatment level of CO<sub>2</sub> were used as baselines for soil derived N (*Ref; Chloris*: 3.9 ‰, 3.7‰; *Panicum*: 4.9 ‰, 4.4 ‰; aCO<sub>2</sub>, eCO<sub>2</sub> respectively), and we then calculated the relative contribution of BNF to plant aboveground N following Equation 1:

$$(Equation\ 1)\ BNF\ contribution\ to\ plant\ N = 100 * \frac{(Ref - Sample_{\delta^{15}N})}{Ref - B}$$

Calculations of the percentage of shoot N derived from BNF were performed for each species within a pot, based on species specific shoot  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ . The contribution of soil N to shoot N was then calculated as the difference between 100% and BNF values for each species within a pot. Species level shoot N content derived from either BNF or soil was then calculated by multiplying total shoot N content by the relative percentage for both sources.

### Calculations and statistical analyses

Effects of CO<sub>2</sub> treatment on aboveground plant responses measured on pot level totals (aboveground shoot biomass, total shoot N content, soil derived shoot N content, belowground biomass and resin extractable soil nutrients) were analysed using linear mixed effects models. For these analyses, ‘Species Pair’, ‘CO<sub>2</sub>’ and ‘Pot Type’ treatments were included as fixed effects with all two-way and three-way interactions. For analysing shoot % N we conducted a linear mixed effect model with ‘Species Pair’, ‘CO<sub>2</sub>’ and ‘Plant Type’. ‘Chamber’ was also included as a random effect in all models to account for non-independence among replicates within each chamber due to potential among-chamber environmental variation. Treatments of CO<sub>2</sub> included two levels (aCO<sub>2</sub> and eCO<sub>2</sub>), ‘Species Pair’ included ‘*Macroptilium* & *Chloris*’ and ‘*Desmodium* & *Panicum*’, the ‘Pot Type’ category included ‘Grass’, ‘Legume’, and ‘Mixed’ pots, and the ‘Plant Type’ category included ‘Grass’, ‘Legume’, grass grown with legume: ‘L-Grass’, and legume grown with grass: ‘G-Legume’. Nodule data were also analysed using linear mixed effects models, such

that ‘CO<sub>2</sub>’, ‘Species Pair’, and ‘Pot Type’ were included as interactive fixed effects and ‘Chamber’ as a random effect. For these analyses ‘Pot Type’ only included ‘Legume’ and ‘Mixed’ pots.

Community over-yielding was determined as the increased productivity of a mixed pasture over the discrete contributions of the constituent species grown in monoculture (Isbell et al., 2018). We calculated over-yielding for each species pair based on mixture total shoot biomass (and total N content in aboveground plant material) and the associated shoot biomass of the constituent monoculture grass and legume species standardized by the number of individuals per pot in each chamber, following Equation 2:

$$(Equation\ 2)\ Overyield\ effect\ of\ mixtures = \frac{\overline{Mixed}}{\frac{\overline{Grass}}{2} + \frac{\overline{Legume}}{2}} - 1$$

Effects of eCO<sub>2</sub> on over-yielding were examined by calculating over-yield estimates based on average monoculture aboveground biomass by species and average mixed pots for the component species pairs in each chamber (n = 6; 3 for each CO<sub>2</sub> level). To examine differences in over-yield effect between CO<sub>2</sub> treatments and species pair swards, we then ran a linear mixed effects model with over-yield effect as the response, ‘CO<sub>2</sub>’ level and ‘Species Pair’ as the fixed effects and ‘Chamber’ as a random effect. Statistical significance of the effect size for over-yielding was determined by calculating mean treatment values and 95% confidence intervals (CI) across all replicates (n = 12 for each plant type and CO<sub>2</sub> level) and over-yielding was deemed significant if CIs did not overlap with 0. For these results, CIs that did not overlap with 0 indicate either a gain in shoot biomass in mixed pots relative to monoculture pots (positive values) or a loss in shoot biomass in mixed pots relative to monoculture pots (negative values).

The net biodiversity effect, and its constituents- the complementarity effect and selection effect- were calculated following Loreau & Hector (2001) based on averages for monoculture and mixed pots of each species pair in each chamber and analysed statistically as described for the over-yield metric above. Net biodiversity effect was therefore defined as the difference between the observed yield of a mixture and the expected yield in the absence of complementarity or selection (Loreau & Hector, 2001). NBE is considered the net balance of complementarity effects and selection effects. Complementarity effects are positive when


mixture yields are higher than expected based on the weighted average of constituent monoculture species, while selection effects are positive when species with greater than the average among-species-yields dominate in mixture.

All analyses were conducted in R version 4.1.1 (R Core Team, 2020) using the package lme4 (Bates et al., 2015) with statistical contrasts based on Kenward-Roger degrees of freedom calculated using the ‘Anova’ function from the ‘car’ package (Fox & Weisberg, 2019). Pairwise comparisons to determine treatment differences in plant responses for all models were conducted using the R package emmeans (Length, 2020) and using the Tukey method for *P*-value adjustment. All data used in these analyses are publicly available on Dryad (Churchill et al., 2024).

## Results

### *Shoot biomass and N content*


Shoot biomass differed strongly between our species pairs, with greater productivity in pots with the *Macroptilium* & *Chloris* monocultures and mixture, compared with *Desmodium* & *Panicum* (**Fig 1; Table 2**). Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (eCO<sub>2</sub>) had limited effects on shoot biomass, with increased productivity only observed for *Macroptilium* monoculture pots (**Fig 1a**). There were no significant productivity differences among pot types (grass, legume, mixed) for the *Macroptilium* & *Chloris* pairing, while *Desmodium* in monoculture produced less shoot biomass than *Panicum* or the mixed pot type (**Fig 1b**). Despite little change in pot-level biomass among monocultures and mixed pots, the contribution of grasses and legumes to mixed pot total biomass was heavily weighted toward grass production in both species-pairs, with *Chloris* contributing 65% and 61% of total pot biomass under aCO<sub>2</sub> and eCO<sub>2</sub> and *Panicum* 90% and 95%, respectively. Relative to growth of individual plants in monoculture pots, this change represented a 38%/52% gain in biomass under aCO<sub>2</sub> and eCO<sub>2</sub> by *Chloris* and 20%/28% reduction in growth by *Macroptilium* (**Fig 1a**). This trend was stronger for the *Desmodium* & *Panicum* species pair with 96%/87% gain for *Panicum* and 65%/86% loss for *Desmodium* between monoculture and mixed pots (**Fig 1b**).



**Figure 1.** Shoot biomass of grass and legume grown as monoculture or mixtures for two species pairs under ambient (aCO<sub>2</sub>) and elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (eCO<sub>2</sub>) comprising (a) *Macropitilium* & *Chloris* and (b) *Desmodium* & *Panicum*. Bars indicate mean values, plus standard error. Significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) between CO<sub>2</sub> treatments are indicated by ‘\*’, and significant differences among pot types (grass, legume, mixed) are indicated by differing letter designations.

343

344



**Figure 2.** Shoot nitrogen (N) content (%N multiplied by shoot biomass) partitioned between grasses and legumes grown as monocultures or mixtures under ambient (aCO<sub>2</sub>) and elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (eCO<sub>2</sub>), for (a) *Macroptilium & Chloris* and (b) *Desmodium & Panicum*. Bars indicate mean values, plus standard error. Notations follow Fig. 1.

We found that legumes had a higher shoot % N than grasses, and this resulted in greater total shoot N content for the legumes in both species pairs (**Fig S2; Table S1**). Additionally, the *Macroptilium & Chloris* species pair generally had greater total shoot N content than *Desmodium & Panicum*, driven by the differences in aboveground biomass rather than shoot N concentrations. *Macroptilium* exhibited increased shoot N content under eCO<sub>2</sub> in monoculture, however there was no change in N content in the mixed pots that included this species (**Fig 2a**). In contrast, *Desmodium* N content was not significantly affected by eCO<sub>2</sub> in monoculture, however pot total N content in the mixtures with *Panicum* was reduced under

eCO<sub>2</sub> (**Fig 2b**). Legume contributions to shoot N content in mixed pots also differed between the two species pairs, with *Macroptilium* contributing 72%/67% under aCO<sub>2</sub> and eCO<sub>2</sub> and *Desmodium* contributing 24%/18% of the total. Importantly, in both species pairs the shoot N content per individual plant in grasses grown with legumes increased (*Chloris*: 51%/83%, *Panicum*: 135%/84%; aCO<sub>2</sub>/eCO<sub>2</sub>, respectively). At the same time, the corresponding shoot N content per individual in the mixed pot legumes declined compared with monoculture pots (*Macroptilium*: -25%/-43%, *Desmodium*: -59%/-85%). For the *Desmodium* & *Panicum* pair this change was large enough that the *Panicum* grown with *Desmodium* contained comparable shoot N content to the *Desmodium* grown in monoculture. Differences in shoot N content among individual species between monoculture and mixed pots (based on ‘PlantType’) are shown in **Table S1** and **Figure S3**.

#### *N uptake source partitioning: soil N uptake*

Despite variability in shoot N contents, the portion of pot total shoot N derived from soil nutrients (**Fig 3**) remained similar among pot types within each species pair (**Table 2**). There were no effects of eCO<sub>2</sub> on soil-derived shoot N for either species pair, however the fraction of soil-derived N in aboveground plant material in mixed pots was not equally divided between grasses and legumes. Instead, grasses dominated soil nutrient uptake, accounting for 74%/83% for aCO<sub>2</sub> and eCO<sub>2</sub> pots in the *Macroptilium* & *Chloris* species pair and 94%/95% in *Desmodium* & *Panicum*. Raw values for  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  for each pot type and CO<sub>2</sub> treatment are included in **Table S2**. Resin extractable soil nutrients indicated that eCO<sub>2</sub> did not impact availability (**Fig S4**) of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> or PO<sub>4</sub><sup>-3</sup> ( $p > 0.05$ ). We did, however, find differences in the soil availability of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> among pot types within the *Macroptilium* & *Chloris* species pair. *Chloris* monoculture pots had less NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> than in mixed pots (**Fig S4a**), and *Macroptilium* monoculture pots had less NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> than mixed pots (**Fig S4c**).


The ability of plants to take up soil nutrients is contingent on belowground traits, and we found that there were key differences in the root biomass associated with eCO<sub>2</sub> (*Macroptilium* & *Chloris* **Fig S5a**; **Table S3**) and among pot types (legume/grass/mixed, *Desmodium* & *Panicum*; **Fig S5b**). In general, there was increased root biomass in pots under eCO<sub>2</sub>, driven by significant increases in *Macroptilium* roots in monoculture and for mixed roots in the *Macroptilium* & *Chloris* pots (**Fig S5a**). In the *Desmodium* & *Panicum* species

pair there was no impact of eCO<sub>2</sub>, however *Panicum* had the greatest root biomass, followed by the mixed pots and then *Desmodium* in monoculture (**Fig S5b**). Despite these changes in belowground biomass, there were no shifts in the root mass fraction between species pairs, among pot types or associated with eCO<sub>2</sub> (**Fig S5c & d; Table S3**).


# *N uptake source partitioning: biological nitrogen fixation*

We found that a large fraction of the total shoot N was derived from BNF in legume monoculture and mixed species pots, although this differed between legume species and between pot types (**Fig 4; Table 3**). *Macroptilium* derived more shoot N via BNF than *Desmodium* (*Macroptilium*: 77/82% of total shoot N in aCO<sub>2</sub> vs. eCO<sub>2</sub>, *Desmodium*: 32/39%; **Table 3**) in monoculture. Pot level shoot N relied more strongly on BNF in mixtures, with *Macroptilium* & *Chloris* pots increasing reliance on BNF to 84/93% under aCO<sub>2</sub>/eCO<sub>2</sub> and *Desmodium* & *Panicum* shoot N increasing to 72/48% (**Fig S3b & d; Table S1**). Importantly, although both legumes derived less shoot N from BNF in mixture than monoculture (**Fig 4a & c**), BNF contributed a greater percentage of the total shoot N in contrast to soil N (**Fig 4d**) for *Desmodium* especially under aCO<sub>2</sub>. Across both species pairs there were low levels of BNF-derived N uptake by grasses (*Chloris*: 3/1%, *Panicum*: 6/1%). However, by mass, the BNF derived N accounted for 29% of the shoot N in *Panicum* in mixtures under aCO<sub>2</sub>, but only 2% under eCO<sub>2</sub> (**Fig 4b & d**).


The ability of legumes to support rhizobia in nodules is the main constraint on the potential role of BNF as a source for shoot N, and we found clear differences between species in terms of root nodules (**Fig 5; Table 4**). In parallel with total shoot N content, *Macroptilium* had greater nodule biomass (**Fig 5a**) and number of nodules (**Fig 5b**), compared to *Desmodium*, in monoculture. Additionally, both legumes had a significant reduction in nodule biomass and number of nodules when grown with grass, and there were no impacts of eCO<sub>2</sub> on these measurements. Despite the difference in nodule biomass and number between legume species, *Desmodium* had equivalent levels of instantaneous nodule activity to *Macroptilium*, based on production of ethylene as a proxy for the rate of nitrogen fixation following harvest (**Fig 5c**) and in monoculture there was no impact of eCO<sub>2</sub> for either species. However, for *Desmodium* grown in mixture with *Panicum*, real-time nodule activity significantly increased for individuals grown under eCO<sub>2</sub> (**Fig 5c**).



**Fig 3.** Shoot N content derived from soil for (a) *Macropodium* & *Chloris* and (b) *Desmodium* & *Panicum* under ambient (aCO<sub>2</sub>) and elevated (eCO<sub>2</sub>) concentrations of CO<sub>2</sub>. Values shown are pot-level means + 1 SE. Note no significant differences with CO<sub>2</sub> treatment or among pot types were observed.



**Fig. 4.** Shoot N content derived from biological N fixation (BNF; a & c) and the proportion of aboveground N content derived from BNF (b & d) for *Macroptilium* & *Chloris* (a & b) and *Desmodium* & *Panicum* (c & d). Values shown are means  $\pm$  1 SE. Colours in panels match Fig 1, with green indicating N in legume shoots, and orange indicating N in grass shoots. Notations from Fig. 1.




**Fig 5.** Effects of eCO<sub>2</sub> on metrics of nitrogen fixation capacity relating to root nodules for legumes grown in monoculture (Legume) and in mixtures with grasses (Mixed; *Macroptilium* and *Chloris*: Mac & Chl, *Desmodium* and *Panicum*: Des & Pan) including (a) the total biomass of nodules per pot, (b) the number of nodules per pot, and (c) root nodule activity as measured by the production of ethylene as a proxy for the rate of nitrogen fixation. Values shown are means  $\pm$  1 SE. Differences between plant types within a species pair are indicated by letters (Mac & Chl uppercase, Des & Pan lowercase) and significant differences between CO<sub>2</sub> treatments are indicated by ‘\*’.

# *Over-yielding and Complementarity vs. Selection Effects*

We found a non-significant positive response of over-yielding for both species' pairs (**Fig. 6a**). In *Macroptilium* & *Chloris* mixture, over-yielding was mainly determined by the complementarity effect but not the selection effect (**Fig. 6bc**). By contrast, for the *Desmodium* & *Panicum* mixture, the over-yielding was driven more by selection than the complementarity effect (**Fig. 6bc**).

We also found eCO<sub>2</sub> significantly reduced over-yielding (**Fig. 6a**). In the *Macroptilium* & *Chloris* mixture, complementarity effects shifted from neutral under aCO<sub>2</sub> to significantly positive under eCO<sub>2</sub>. Meanwhile, selection effects shifted from neutral under aCO<sub>2</sub> to significantly negative under eCO<sub>2</sub>, meaning that the dominant species had less biomass than expected in the mixture (**Fig. 6c**). In the *Desmodium* & *Panicum* mixture, however, the complementarity effect shifted from significantly positive under aCO<sub>2</sub> to neutral under eCO<sub>2</sub>, meaning that niche partitioning was weakened. At the same time, the selection effect was unaffected by CO<sub>2</sub> (**Fig. 6c**), although values were significantly positive under both CO<sub>2</sub> scenarios, meaning that the dominant species (*Panicum*) consistently had greater biomass in mixtures than proportional production would suggest from monocultures, regardless of CO<sub>2</sub> treatment. There were no significant effects of eCO<sub>2</sub> among the two species pairs for either complementarity (CO<sub>2</sub>:  $F_{1,4} = 0.3$ ,  $p = 0.62$ ; Species pair:  $F_{1,44} = 1.9$ ,  $p = 0.18$ ; CO<sub>2</sub> x Species pair:  $F_{1,44} = 2.1$ ,  $p = 0.15$ ) or selection effects (CO<sub>2</sub>:  $F_{1,4} = 1.0$ ,  $p = 0.37$ ; Species pair:  $F_{1,44} = 94.9$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ; CO<sub>2</sub> x Species pair:  $F_{1,44} = 2.6$ ,  $p = 0.11$ ). Summing these components of the net biodiversity effect supports the general outcomes of biomass over-yielding only in the *Desmodium* & *Panicum* mixture, with reduced over-yielding under eCO<sub>2</sub> (**Fig. 6d**). There were, however, no difference between the species pairs in their response to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (CO<sub>2</sub>:  $F_{1,4} = 0.7$ ,  $p = 0.44$ ; Species pair:  $F_{1,44} = 3.0$ ,  $p = 0.09$ ; CO<sub>2</sub> x Species pair:  $F_{1,44} = 0.8$ ,  $p = 0.37$ ).



**Fig. 6.** Over-yield effect size for (a) aboveground productivity for pots grown with mixed grass-legume plant types in comparison with standardized summed totals for grasses and legumes grown in monoculture. Species-pair calculations for examining (b) complementarity effect, (c) selection effect and (d) the net biodiversity effect. Positive values indicate an increase relative to monoculture pots (a) or a net positive effect in interaction type between species (b-d). Non-overlapping bars indicate a significant difference from 0. Points shown are means values with 95% CI for *Macroptilium* & *Chloris* (Mac & Chl) mixtures and *Desmodium* & *Panicum* (Des & Pan) mixtures.

445

446

## Discussion

In mixed grasslands or pastures containing both grasses and legumes, nutrient niche partitioning among community members can promote species coexistence and ecosystem function, particularly by influencing nutrient availability (Craven et al., 2018; da Silveira Pontes et al., 2015; Fornara & Tilman, 2009). While direct-nutrient sharing is often predicted among community members (Pirhofer-Walzl et al., 2012), nutrient, especially N, niche partitioning is a more common finding in ecological and agricultural studies under field conditions (Nyfeler et al., 2011; Pelzer et al., 2014; Zuppinger-Dingley et al., 2014). In these situations, community members access different pools of available resources, over time and/or space, enabling the persistence of many species by reducing competitive interactions (Adler et al., 2012; Zuppinger-Dingley et al., 2014). Our results found that (1) within tropical grass-legume mixtures, N niche partitioning drove plant-plant interactions such that legumes increased reliance on biological nitrogen fixation (BNF) relative to soil N when grown with grasses leading to increased grass growth per tiller. (2) Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (eCO<sub>2</sub>) had varied impact on individual tropical forage species, with benefits in growth for one legume and no direct benefit for grasses, (3) while nodule activity and N content increased for one legume, we found community productivity and N content from tropical legume-grass mixtures were lower under eCO<sub>2</sub> than aCO<sub>2</sub>, suggesting that legume-grass pairings may benefit ecosystem function to a lesser extent in the face of rising CO<sub>2</sub>; and (4) the species-specific responses associated with over-yielding and responses to eCO<sub>2</sub>, demonstrated differences in complementarity and selection effects operating between the two mixed communities and a lessening of net biodiversity effects under eCO<sub>2</sub> associated with reduced niche partitioning between the grass and legume for one species pair.

### *Tropical grass-legume mixtures*

Relationships between plant functional group diversity and ecosystem function typically predict and find that increased diversity supports greater ecosystem productivity (Hector et al., 1999; Küchenmeister et al., 2012; Loreau & Hector, 2001; Mason et al., 2017; Tilman et al., 2014). In testing this hypothesis, many studies have included grass-forb-legume or grass-shrub-forb combinations experimentally to examine potential over-yielding benefits (Finn et al., 2013), but fewer ecological studies have included C<sub>4</sub> grasses alongside legumes (Lee et al., 2003; Lilley, Bolger, & Gifford, 2001; Rasmussen et al., 2013). This is despite early results suggesting that the high competitive ability of some C<sub>4</sub> grasses may functionally

contribute to over-yielding (Lambers et al., 2004) and the economic importance of such mixtures in many agricultural grasslands around the world (Butler et al., 2013; Duchene et al., 2017). Previous results have shown that, at a global scale, tropical grasses show lower productivity benefits from the addition of legumes, relative to temperate mixed-species systems (Ashworth et al., 2018). Therefore, increased understanding of the mechanisms that may promote over-yielding are of broad interest, especially in tropical systems. Our study found strong evidence for productivity gains by the grass component in tropical grass-legume mixtures (per tiller), accompanied by declines in the legume partner, which translated into minimal changes at the pot or sward level over a short-term glasshouse experiment. This result aligns with earlier tropical mixed pasture trials that concluded that the relative abundance of grasses and legumes has an important impact on the transfer of N and sward productivity benefits of mixtures, compared to monocultures of the component species (Baba et al., 2011).

Increased productivity in mixed species grasslands, relative to monocultures, is primarily attributed to increased access to resources, including light, water or nutrient availability in ways that promote facilitation. This facilitation can include mechanisms of niche partitioning such that different species access different resource pools in time or space to minimize competition (da Silveira Pontes et al., 2015; Jumpponen et al., 2002; Thilakarathna et al., 2016). Biological or environmental conditions under which different mechanisms promoting nutrient facilitation may dominate are still an area of active research (Brooker et al., 2015), and our study addressed the potential for niche partitioning vs. direct facilitation for N between co-occurring grasses and legumes (Ajayi et al., 2008; Baba et al., 2011; Bell et al., 2017; Miegoue et al., 2016). Despite only limited gains in shoot N for mixed pots, relative to the grass monocultures over our short-term species interactions, this indicated niche partitioning as the main mechanism promoting plant N access between the component species. Under these conditions, the proportion of shoot N derived from BNF increased for legumes even as the total mass of shoot N declined in comparison with monoculture pots, as evidenced by one of our species pairs (*Desmodium-Panicum*). In agricultural-grassland settings mixtures of grasses and legumes are often used to specifically improve forage quality and production in the absence of fertilizer applications (Brooker et al., 2016; Li et al., 2015; Warwick et al., 2016). This practice is predicted to play an important role in grassland

management for grazed systems as C<sub>4</sub> grasses become more dominant under changing climate (Butler et al., 2013; McKeon et al., 2009; Still et al., 2019).

There are a variety of mechanisms that enable N niche complementarity in mixed-species grasslands, and it is worth noting that the duration of species associations may play a role in the potential for direct-facilitation of BNF-derived N between for companion grasses in mixed grasslands (Heichel & Henjum, 1991; Thilakarathna et al., 2016). Indeed, a time lag may be associated with the decomposition of legume leaf and root litter that can provide sources for increased ecosystem N availability on longer time scales (Cannell & Thornley, 1998; Kohmann et al., 2019). While there was likely root turnover over the course of our glasshouse experiment, and any senesced leaves were retained in pots, these mechanisms are likely more substantial in field settings especially where soil disturbance may promote incorporation of plant materials directly into soils or where legume residues have sufficient time to undergo decomposition (Brooker et al., 2015; Thilakarathna et al., 2016). Furthermore, under field conditions, legumes often shift to BNF as plants mature (Edmeades & Goh, 1984; Wery et al., 1986) and this can impact the potential facilitation provided to companion species (Bell et al., 2017). In our study all plants were harvested immediately prior to the first flowering phenophase, and consequently observed patterns are from first-year perennial plants in a rapid growth phase when individuals may rely more strongly on available soil N pools. Grasses in mixed pots in our study did show some evidence for incorporation of BNF-derived N into aboveground plant tissue (up to 29% of shoot N for *Panicum* grown with *Desmodium*), thereby providing evidence of direct facilitation under ambient conditions within this species pair. Additionally, this direct contribution is predicted to increase as swards mature (Thilakarathna et al., 2016).

### *Consequences of elevated CO<sub>2</sub>*

Previous work has shown that eCO<sub>2</sub> can increase grassland and crop productivity (Shaw et al., 2002; Terrer et al., 2021), or have no impact over differing time scales, and can result in shifts in the abundance of component species (Bloor et al., 2010; Carroll et al., 2003; Mueller et al., 2016). Under well-watered conditions, C<sub>4</sub> grasses are not predicted to benefit directly from eCO<sub>2</sub> and our results follow those predictions (Ainsworth & Long, 2005; Soussana & Lüscher, 2007; Wang et al., 2022; but see Reich et al., 2018). On the other hand, we found some limited effects of eCO<sub>2</sub> on legume production, with increased growth in *Macroptilium*

likely due to increases in shoot N and root biomass. In contrast, *Desmodium* did not respond to eCO<sub>2</sub>, potentially due to a greater reliance on soil N rather than BNF in monoculture, that may have induced stoichiometric constraints in responding to eCO<sub>2</sub>. This result is in line with research showing that the strongest predictor for an individual's or species' positive response to eCO<sub>2</sub> in legumes is the ability to form nodules (Cernusak et al., 2011; Parvin et al., 2020). For example, *Macropodium* responded positively to eCO<sub>2</sub> and also had greater nodule production than *Desmodium*, although the number of nodules was unaffected by eCO<sub>2</sub>. Indeed, despite the positive effect of eCO<sub>2</sub> on *Desmodium* nodule activity in mixtures, low nodule numbers and biomass overall contributed to a proportional reduction in legume biomass within the mixed sward.

At the sward level, despite some gain in productivity for legume biomass and total shoot N in monoculture (*Macropodium* only), we didn't find an increase in productivity for mixed pots under eCO<sub>2</sub>. While this result is in contrast to C<sub>3</sub> grass-legume mixtures for temperate pastures in Australia where eCO<sub>2</sub> has been shown to increase total shoot N yield (Lilley, Bolger, Peoples, et al., 2001), it is consistent with evidence for limited responses to eCO<sub>2</sub> by C<sub>4</sub> grasses and legumes. One explanation may be related to shifts in the nutritional quality of component species under eCO<sub>2</sub>, as reductions in quality for aboveground biomass have been reported across ecosystems (Augustine et al., 2018; Bhargava & Mitra, 2021). Our species experienced limited shifts in quality based on shoot N%, including lower N in *Macropodium* when grown with *Chloris* and in *Panicum* when grown with *Desmodium*. Even so, these patterns align with other studies measuring nutritional shifts in both tropical grasses and legumes under low soil nutrients where legume shoot N% declined in mixture under eCO<sub>2</sub> (Edwards et al., 2006).

While the use of confined soil space to test mechanisms for the source of nutrient use and acquisition between grasses and legumes is typical in glasshouse settings, there are some key factors that are likely to impact the species interactions and dynamics in response to eCO<sub>2</sub> that are not captured here that are important for overarching messages in relation to nutrient facilitation. Our study found that eCO<sub>2</sub> conditions differentially altered the shoot N% between legumes in mixture but had no impact on legumes grown in monoculture. Under field settings, the effects of herbivory on legumes that maintain a higher nutritive quality relative to surrounding species may result in a comparative loss in abundance or persistence

within the community, ultimately reducing the potential over-yield or net biodiversity effect (Rogers et al., 2009). Additionally, literature reviews on field studies have generally found an increase in root length and biomass associated with eCO<sub>2</sub> in grasslands (Dieleman et al., 2012; Nie et al., 2013), a pattern that was seen in pots containing *Macroptilium* in this study. While our pots were not root-bound by the end of the experiment, other key differences between field and glasshouse conditions may have limited further responses, for example Nie et al. (2013) also found a shift in the depth distribution of roots that is not possible under non-field settings. Such spatial re-distribution of roots with depth can play a major role in complementarity effects between species within mixtures (Oram et al., 2018). Finally, field conditions also typically introduce variation in other resources that modify the interactions between eCO<sub>2</sub> and nutrient-use, in particular water availability. Typically, C<sub>4</sub> grasses have been shown to benefit from eCO<sub>2</sub> under drier soil conditions, further altering the potential interactions between grasses and legumes in mixed swards (Ainsworth & Long, 2005; Reich et al., 2014, but see Reich et al., 2018).

#### *Over-yield implications under future CO<sub>2</sub> scenarios*

Reliance on different sources of N among species within mixed plant communities can ultimately reduce competition especially under low nutrient availability (Ball et al., 2021; Elias & Agrawal, 2021). The increased N niche partitioning between grasses and legumes grown in mixture found in this study support this conclusion. Furthermore, the species pair that had a substantial shift in N source use between monoculture and mixtures was also associated with a significant over-yielding benefit. This suggests that greater plasticity in niche space may promote species coexistence and enhance ecosystem production. Belowground, the idea of nutrient form (nitrate vs. ammonium) niche plasticity supporting coexistence has been well-established for cold-climate grasslands (Ashton et al., 2010) and aboveground light-use plasticity has been shown to translate into increased production in experimental grasslands (Meilhac et al., 2020).

Importantly, however, we found that eCO<sub>2</sub> reduced over-yielding for both aboveground biomass and total shoot N in our tropical grass-legume mixtures. While there were no statistical effects of eCO<sub>2</sub> on complementarity and selection effects directly, we found key differences in the relative importance of these effects in contributing to the over-yield/net

biodiversity effect, with positive complementarity and selection effects together resulting in increased sward level over-yielding that was greatest under aCO<sub>2</sub>.

## **Conclusions**

Plant responses to eCO<sub>2</sub> are largely dependent on soil water and nutrient availability. Therefore, shifts in plant-plant interactions including competition (evidenced by niche partitioning) or facilitation among community members may drive diverse ecosystem responses to changes in CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. Among our tropical pasture species, we found that legumes grown in monoculture relied approximately equally on available soil N pools and BNF for their N requirements. When grown with tropical grasses, however, legumes shifted to rely either equally or more strongly on BNF, the latter indicative of stronger niche partitioning and less successful competition for soil nutrients with grasses. This separation of niche space was weakened under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> conditions, ultimately reducing legume production and minimizing over-yielding benefits. Disentangling contributions of niche partitioning and abiotic facilitation, here quantified using stable isotopes, are key to understanding grass-legume contributions to ecosystem function under future climate conditions.

## **Acknowledgements**

The authors would like to acknowledge individuals whose efforts supported the data collection of this work, including Kathryn Fuller, Alexandra Boyd, Shania Therese Didier Serre, Samantha Weller, Benjamin Capel, Minh Doan. We thank Neha Mohan Babu for comments on the final manuscript. Valuable input from PACE Advisory Board members - Doug McNicholl (Meat and Livestock Australia), Cath Lescun (Dairy Australia), Catherine Phelps (Dairy Australia), Tom Dickson (Heritage Seeds), Brendan Cullen (University Melbourne) and Suzanne Boschman (Department of Primary Industries) - is also gratefully acknowledged. This project was supported by funding from Meat and Livestock Australia's Donor Company (P.PSH. 0793), Dairy Australia (C100002357) and Western Sydney University. A version of this manuscript was included as a preprint on BioRxiv.

## **Conflict of Interest**

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

## **Author Contributions**

Authors ACC, HZ, and GWK designed the experiment with input from SAP, EP, BM, JRP, JMP, and KLMC. ACC, HZ, GWK, and KLMC performed experiments and conducted glasshouse work. ACC conducted statistical analyses with input from HZ, JRP and FI; ACC and HZ wrote the paper with input from all co-authors on draft iterations.

## **Data Availability**

The data that support the findings of this study are openly available in Dryad at <https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.nk98sf7ww>.

## References

- Adler, P. B., Dalgleish, H. J., & Ellner, S. P. (2012). Forecasting plant community impacts of climate variability and change: when do competitive interactions matter? *Journal of Ecology*, 100(2), 478–487. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2745.2011.01930.x>
- Ainsworth, E. A., & Long, S. P. (2005). What have we learned from 15 years of free-air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment (FACE)? A meta-analytic review of the responses of photosynthesis, canopy properties and plant production to rising CO<sub>2</sub>. *New Phytologist*, 165(2), 351–372. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2004.01224.x>
- Ajayi, F. T., Babayemi, O. J., & Taiwo, A. A. (2008). Effects of supplementation of *Panicum maximum* with four herbaceous forage legumes on performance, nutrient digestibility and nitrogen balance in West African dwarf goats. *Animal Science Journal*, 79(6), 673–679. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1740-0929.2008.00579.x>
- Arfin Khan, M. A. S., Grant, K., Beierkuhnlein, C., Kreyling, J., & Jentsch, A. (2014). Climatic extremes lead to species-specific legume facilitation in an experimental temperate grassland. *Plant and Soil*, 379(1–2), 161–175. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-014-2050-8>
- Ashton, I. W., Miller, A. E., Bowman, W. D., & Suding, K. N. (2010). Niche complementarity due to plasticity in resource use: plant partitioning of chemical N forms. *Ecology*, 91(11), 3252–3260. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21141186>
- Ashworth, A. J., Toler, H. D., Allen, F. L., & Auge, R. M. (2018). Global meta-analysis reveals agro-grassland productivity varies based on species diversity over time. *PLoS ONE*, 13(7), 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0200274>
- Augustine, D. J., Blumenthal, D. M., Springer, T. L., LeCain, D. R., Gunter, S. A., & Derner, J. D. (2018). Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> induces substantial and persistent declines in forage quality irrespective of warming in mixedgrass prairie. *Ecological Applications*, 28(3), 721–735. <https://doi.org/10.1002/eap.1680>
- Baba, M., Halim, R. A., Alimon, A. R., & Abubakar, I. (2011). Grass-legume mixtures for enhanced forage production: Analysis of dry matter yield and competition indices. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, 6(23), 5242–5250. <https://doi.org/10.5897/AJAR11.615>
- Ball, K. R., Woodin, S. J., Power, S. A., Brien, C., Berger, B., Smith, P., & Pendall, E. (2021). Integrating ecological stoichiometry to understand nutrient limitation and potential for competition in mixed pasture assemblages. *Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*, 21, 24989–2500.
- Barry, K. E., Mommer, L., Ruijven, J. Van, Wirth, C., Wright, A. J., Bai, Y., Connolly, J., De Deyn, G. B., De Kroon, H., Isbell, F., Milcu, A., Roscher, C., & Scherer-lorenzen, M. (2019). The future of complementarity: disentangling causes from consequences. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 34(2), 167–180.
- Bates, D., Maechler, M., Bolker, B. M., & Walker, S. (2015). Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 67(1), R package version 1.1-8. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1406.5823>.
- Bell, L. W., Lawrence, J., Johnson, B., & Peoples, M. B. (2017). New ley legumes increase nitrogen fixation and availability and grain crop yields in subtropical cropping systems. *Crop and Pasture Science*, 68(1), 11–26. <https://doi.org/10.1071/CP16248>
- Bell, M., Harch, G., & Moody, P. (2012). Diversification from cropping into mixed crop-livestock systems—the sustainability risks posed by hay removal from pasture or forage blocks. *Proceedings of 16th Agronomy Conference 2012*, 18(11), 75.
- Bhargava, S., & Mitra, S. (2021). Elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and the future of crop plants.

- Plant Breeding*, 140(1), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pbr.12871>
- Bloor, J. M. G., Pichon, P., Falcimagne, R., Leadley, P., & Soussana, J.-F. (2010). Effects of warming, summer drought, and CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment on aboveground biomass production, flowering phenology, and community structure in an upland grassland ecosystem. *Ecosystems*, 13(6), 888–900. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-010-9363-0>
- Blumenthal, D. M., Resco, V., Morgan, J. A., Williams, D. G., Lecain, D. R., Hardy, E. M., Pendall, E., & Bladyka, E. (2013). Invasive forb benefits from water savings by native plants and carbon fertilization under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and warming. *New Phytologist*, 200(4), 1156–1165. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12459>
- Brooker, R. W., Bennett, A. E., Cong, W. F., Daniell, T. J., George, T. S., Hallett, P. D., Hawes, C., Iannetta, P. P. M., Jones, H. G., Karley, A. J., Li, L., Mckenzie, B. M., Pakeman, R. J., Paterson, E., Schöb, C., Shen, J., Squire, G., Watson, C. A., Zhang, C., Zhang, F., Zhang, J., & White, P. J. (2015). Improving intercropping: A synthesis of research in agronomy, plant physiology and ecology. *New Phytologist*, 206(1), 107–117. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13132>
- Brooker, R. W., Karley, A. J., Newton, A. C., Pakeman, R. J., & Schöb, C. (2016). Facilitation and sustainable agriculture: A mechanistic approach to reconciling crop production and conservation. *Functional Ecology*, 30(1), 98–107. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12496>
- Butler, T. J., Interrante, S. M., & Foster, J. L. (2013). Assessing the production and nutritive value of warm-season legumes in Oklahoma and Texas. *Forage & Grazinglands*, 11(1), 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.2134/fg-2013-0026-rs>
- Cannell, M. G. R., & Thornley, J. H. M. (1998). N-poor ecosystems may respond more to elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] than N-rich ones in the long term. A model analysis of grassland. *Global Change Biology*, 4(4), 431–442. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2486.1998.00167.x>
- Carrillo, Y., Dijkstra, F. A., Pendall, E., Morgan, J. A., & Blumenthal, D. M. (2012). Controls over soil nitrogen pools in a semiarid grassland under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and warming. *Ecosystems*, 15(5), 761–774. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-012-9544-0>
- Carroll, J. A., Caporn, S. J. M., Johnson, D., Morecroft, M. D., & Lee, J. A. (2003). The interactions between plant growth, vegetation structure and soil processes in semi-natural acidic and calcareous grasslands receiving long-term inputs of simulated pollutant nitrogen deposition. *Environmental Pollution*, 121, 363–376. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00141010>
- Catunda, K. L. M., Churchill, A. C., Power, S. A., & Moore, B. D. (2022). Near infrared spectroscopy calibration strategies to predict multiple nutritional parameters of pasture species from different functional groups. *Journal of Near Infrared Spectroscopy*, 30(5), 254–263. <https://doi.org/10.1177/09670335221114746>
- Cernusak, L. A., Winter, K., Martínez, C., Correa, E., Aranda, J., Garcia, M., Jaramillo, C., & Turner, B. L. (2011). Responses of legume versus nonlegume tropical tree seedlings to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentration. *Plant Physiology*, 157(1), 372–385. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.111.182436>
- Chalk, P. M., Inácio, C. T., Balieiro, F. C., & Rouws, J. R. C. (2016). Do techniques based on <sup>15</sup>N enrichment and <sup>15</sup>N natural abundance give consistent estimates of the symbiotic dependence of N<sub>2</sub>-fixing plants? *Plant and Soil*, 399(1–2), 415–426. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-015-2689-9>
- Churchill, A. C., Zhang, H., Fuller, K. J., Amiji, B., Anderson, I. C., Barton, C. V. M., Carrillo, Y., Catunda, K. L. M., Chandregowda, M. H., Igwenagu, C., Jacob, V., Kim, G. W., Macdonald, C. A., Medlyn, B. E., Moore, B. D., Pendall, E., Plett, J. M., Post, A. K., Powell, J. R., Tissue, D. T., Tjoelker, M. G., & Power, S. A. (2022). Pastures and

- climate extremes: Impacts of cool season warming and drought on the productivity of key pasture species in a field experiment. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 13(March), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2022.836968>
- Churchill, A. C., Zhang, H., Kim, G. W., Catunda, K. L. M., Anderson, I. C., Isbell, F., Moore, B., Pendall, E., Plett, J. M., Powell, J. R., & Power, S. A. (2024). Data from: Nitrogen niche partitioning between tropical legumes and grasses conditionally weakens under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. *Dryad Digital Repository*. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.nk98sf7ww>
- Coventry, D. R., Hirth, J. R., Reeves, T. G., & Burnett, V. F. (1985). Growth and nitrogen fixation by subterranean clover in response to inoculation, molybdenum application and soil amendment with lime. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 17(6), 791–796. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717\(85\)90134-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717(85)90134-8)
- Craven, D., Eisenhauer, N., Pearce, W. D., Hautier, Y., Isbell, F. I., Roscher, C., Bahn, M., Beierkuhnlein, C., Bönisch, G., Buchmann, N., Byun, C., Catford, J. A., Cerabolini, B. E. L., Cornelissen, J. H. C., Craine, J. M., De Luca, E., Ebeling, A., Griffin, J. N., Hector, A., Hines, J., Jentsch, A., Kattge, J., Kreyling, J., Lanta, V., Lemoine, N., Meyer, S. T., Minden, V., Onipchenko, V., Polley, H. W., Reich, P. B., va Ruijven, J., Schamp, B., Smith, M. D., Soudzilovskaia, N. A., Tilman, D., Weigelt, A., Wilsey, B., & Manning, P. (2018). Multiple facets of biodiversity drive the diversity–stability relationship. *Nature Ecology and Evolution*, 2(10), 1579–1587. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-018-0647-7>
- da Silveira Pontes, L., Maire, V., Schellberg, J., & Louault, F. (2015). Grass strategies and grassland community responses to environmental drivers: A review. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, 35(4), 1297–1318. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-015-0314-1>
- de Graaff, M. A., van Groenigen, K. J., Six, J., Hungate, B., & van Kessel, C. (2006). Interactions between plant growth and soil nutrient cycling under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>: A meta-analysis. *Global Change Biology*, 12(11), 2077–2091. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01240.x>
- Dieleman, W. I. J., Vicca, S., Dijkstra, F. A., Hagedorn, F., Hovenden, M. J., Larsen, K. S., Morgan, J. A., Volder, A., Beier, C., Dukes, J. S., King, J., Leuzinger, S., Linder, S., Luo, Y., Oren, R., De Angelis, P., Tingey, D. T., Hoosbeek, M. R., & Janssens, I. A. (2012). Simple additive effects are rare: a quantitative review of plant biomass and soil process responses to combined manipulations of CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature. *Global Change Biology*, 18(9), 2681–2693. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2012.02745.x>
- Dijkstra, F. A., Blumenthal, D., Morgan, J. A., Pendall, E., Carrillo, Y., & Follett, R. F. (2010). Contrasting effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and warming on nitrogen cycling in a semiarid grassland. *New Phytologist*, 187(2), 426–437. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03293.x>
- Duchene, O., Vian, J. F., & Celette, F. (2017). Intercropping with legume for agroecological cropping systems: Complementarity and facilitation processes and the importance of soil microorganisms. A review. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, 240, 148–161. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2017.02.019>
- Edmeades, D. C., & Goh, K. M. (1984). Symbiotic nitrogen fixation in a sequence of pastures of increasing age measured by a <sup>15</sup>N dilution technique. *New Zealand Journal of Agricultural Research*, 21(4), 623–628. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00288233.1978.10427458>
- Edwards, E. J., McCaffery, S., & Evans, J. R. (2006). Phosphorus availability and elevated CO<sub>2</sub> affect biological nitrogen fixation and nutrient fluxes in a clover-dominated sward.

- 799 *New Phytologist*, 169(1), 157–167. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2005.01568.x>
- 800 Elias, J. D., & Agrawal, A. A. (2021). A private channel of nitrogen alleviates interspecific
- 801 competition for an annual legume. *Ecology*, 102(9), 1–10.
- 802 <https://doi.org/10.1002/ecy.3449>
- 803 Fay, P. A., Prober, S. M., Harpole, W. S., Knops, J. M. H., Bakker, J. D., Borer, E. T., Lind,
- 804 E. M., MacDougall, A. S., Seabloom, E. W., Wragg, P. D., Adler, P. B., Blumenthal, D.
- 805 M., Buckley, Y. M., Chu, C., Cleland, E. E., Collins, S. L., Davies, K. F., Du, G., Feng,
- 806 X., Firn, J., Gruner, D. S., Hagenah, N., Hautier, Y., Heckman, R. W., Jin, V. L.,
- 807 Kirkman, K. P., Klein, J., Lagwig, L. M., Li, Q., McCulley, R. L., Melbourne, B. A.,
- 808 Mitchell, C. E., Moore, J. L., Morgan, J. W., Risch, A. C., Schutz, M., Stevens, C. J.,
- 809 Wedin, D. A., & Yang, L. H. (2015). Grassland productivity limited by multiple
- 810 nutrients. *Nature Plants*, 1(July), 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nplants.2015.80>
- 811 Finn, J. A., Kirwan, L., Connolly, J., Sebastià, M. T., Helgadottir, A., Baadshaug, O. H.,
- 812 Bélanger, G., Black, A., Brophy, C., Collins, R. P., Čop, J., Dalmannsdóttir, S.,
- 813 Delgado, I., Elgersma, A., Fothergill, M., Frankow-Lindberg, B. E., Ghesquiere, A.,
- 814 Golinska, B., Golinski, P., Grieu, P., Gustavsson, A-M., Hoglind, M., Huguenin-Elie,
- 815 O., Jorgensen, M., Kadziulienė, Z., Kurki, P., Llorba, R., Lunnan, T., Porqueddu, C.,
- 816 Suter, M., Thumm, U., & Lüscher, A. (2013). Ecosystem function enhanced by
- 817 combining four functional types of plant species in intensively managed grassland
- 818 mixtures: A 3-year continental-scale field experiment. *Journal of Applied Ecology*,
- 819 50(2), 365–375. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.12041>
- 820 Fornara, D. A., & Tilman, D. G. (2008). Plant functional composition influences rates of soil
- 821 carbon and nitrogen accumulation. *Journal of Ecology*, 96(2), 314–322.
- 822 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2745.2007.01345.x>
- 823 Fornara, D. A., & Tilman, D. G. (2009). Ecological mechanisms associated with the positive
- 824 diversity-productivity relationship in an N-limited grassland. *Ecology*, 90(2), 408–418.
- 825 <https://doi.org/10.1890/08-0325.1>
- 826 Fox, J., & Weisberg, S. (2019). *An R companion to applied regression* (Third Edition; Third).
- 827 Sage.
- 828 Fustec, J., Lesuffleur, F., Mahieu, S., & Cliquet, J. B. (2009). Nitrogen rhizodeposition of
- 829 legumes. *Sustainable Agriculture*, 2, 869–881. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-0394-0_38)
- 830 [0394-0\\_38](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-0394-0_38)
- 831 Gilarte, P., Plett, J., Pendall, E., Carrillo, Y., & Nielsen, U. N. (2020). Direct and indirect
- 832 trophic interactions of soil nematodes impact chickpea and oat nutrition. *Plant and Soil*,
- 833 457(1–2), 255–268. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-020-04735-6>
- 834 Gill, R. A., Polley, H. W., Johnson, H. B., Anderson, L. J., Maherali, H., & Jackson, R. B.
- 835 (2002). Nonlinear grassland responses to past and future atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. *Nature*,
- 836 417(6886), 279–282. <https://doi.org/10.1038/417279a>
- 837 Gojon, A., Cassan, O., Bach, L., Lejay, L., & Martin, A. (2022). The decline of plant mineral
- 838 nutrition under rising CO<sub>2</sub> : physiological and molecular aspects of a bad deal. *Trends in*
- 839 *Plant Science*, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2022.09.002>
- 840 Gonçalves de Oliveira, A. C., Rios, P. M., Pereira, E. G., & Souza, J. P. (2021). Growth and
- 841 competition between a native leguminous forb and an alien grass from the Cerrado
- 842 under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. *Austral Ecology*, 46(5), 750–761. <https://doi.org/10.1111/aec.13006>
- 843 Hector, A., Schmid, B., Beierkuhnlein, C., Caldeira, M. C., Diemer, M., Dimitrakopoulos, P.
- 844 G., Finn, J. A., Freitas, H., Giller, P. S., Good, J., Harris, R., Höglberg, P., Huss-Danell,
- 845 K., Joshi, J., Jumpponen, A., Körner, C., Leadley, P. W., Loreau, M., Minns, A.,
- 846 Mulder, C. P. H., O'Donovan, G., Otway, S. J., Pereira, J. S., Prinz, A., Read, D. J.,
- 847 Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Schulze, E.-D., Siamantziouras, A.-S. D., Spehn, E. M., Terry, A.

- C., Troumbis, A. Y., Woodward, F. I., Yachi, S., & Lawton, J. H. (1999). Plant diversity and productivity experiments in European grasslands. *Science*, 286(5442), 1123–1127. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.286.5442.1123>
- Heichel, G. H., & Henjum, K. I. (1991). Dinitrogen fixation, nitrogen transfer, and productivity of forage legume-grass communities. *Crop Science*, 31(1), 202–208. <https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci1991.0011183x003100010045x>
- Henneron, L., Kardol, P., Wardle, D. A., Cros, C., & Fontaine, S. (2020). Rhizosphere control of soil nitrogen cycling: a key component of plant economic strategies. *New Phytologist*, 228(4), 1269–1282. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.16760>
- Hungate, B. A., Stiling, P. D., Dijkstra, P., Johnson, D. W., Ketterer, M. E., Hymus, G. J., Hinkle, C. R., & Drake, B. G. (2004). CO<sub>2</sub> elicits long-term decline in nitrogen fixation. *Science*, 304(5675), 1291. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1095549>
- IPCC. (2022). Climate Change 2022 Impacts, adaptation and vulnerability. In *Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*.
- Isbell, F., Cowles, J., Dee, L. E., Loreau, M., Reich, P. B., Gonzalez, A., Hector, A., & Schmid, B. (2018). Quantifying effects of biodiversity on ecosystem functioning across times and places. *Ecology Letters*, 21(6), 763–778. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12928>
- Jones, M. B., & Donnelly, A. (2004). Carbon sequestration in temperate grassland ecosystems and the influence of management, climate and elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. *New Phytologist*, 164, 423–439.
- Jumpponen, A., Högberg, P., Huss-Danell, K., & Mulder, C. P. H. (2002). Interspecific and spatial differences in nitrogen uptake in monocultures and two-species mixtures in north European grasslands. *Functional Ecology*, 16(4), 454–461. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2435.2002.00642.x>
- Kohmann, M. M., Sollenberger, L. E., Dubeux, J. C. B., Silveira, M. L., & Moreno, L. S. B. (2019). Legume proportion in grassland litter affects decomposition dynamics and nutrient mineralization. *Agronomy Journal*, 111(3), 1079–1089. <https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj2018.09.0603>
- Küchenmeister, F., Küchenmeister, K., Wrage, N., Kayser, M., & Isselstein, J. (2012). Yield and yield stability in mixtures of productive grassland species: Does species number or functional group composition matter? *Grassland Science*, 58(2), 94–100. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-697X.2012.00242.x>
- Lambers, J. H. R., Harpole, W. S., Tilman, D. G., Knops, J., & Reich, P. B. (2004). Mechanisms responsible for the positive diversity-productivity relationship in Minnesota grasslands. *Ecology Letters*, 7(8), 661–668. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2004.00623.x>
- Landhäusser, S. M., Chow, P. S., Turin Dickman, L., Furze, M. E., Kuhlman, I., Schmid, S., Wiesenbauer, J., Wild, B., Gleixner, G., Hartmann, H., Hoch, G., McDowell, N. G., Richardson, A. D., Richter, A., & Adams, H. D. (2018). Standardized protocols and procedures can precisely and accurately quantify non-structural carbohydrates. *Tree Physiology*, 38(12), 1764–1778. <https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpy118>
- Lee, T. D., Reich, P. B., & Tjoelker, M. G. (2003). Legume presence increases photosynthesis and N concentrations of co-occurring non-fixers but does not modulate their responsiveness to carbon dioxide enrichment. *Oecologia*, 137(1), 22–31. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-003-1309-1>
- Length, R. (2020). *emmeans: estimated marginal means, aka least-squares means* (R package version 1.4.6; p. <https://cran.r-project.org/package=emmeans>). <https://cran.r-project.org/package=emmeans>
- Li, Q., Song, Y., Li, G., Yu, P., Wang, P., & Zhou, D. (2015). Grass-legume mixtures impact

- soil N, species recruitment, and productivity in temperate steppe grassland. *Plant and Soil*, 394(1–2), 271–285. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-015-2525-2>
- Liang, J., Qi, X., Souza, L., & Luo, Y. (2016). Processes regulating progressive nitrogen limitation under elevated carbon dioxide: A meta-analysis. *Biogeosciences*, 13(9), 2689–2699. <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-13-2689-2016>
- Lilley, J. M., Bolger, T. P., & Gifford, R. M. (2001). Productivity of *Trifolium subterraneum* and *Phalaris aquatica* under warmer, high CO<sub>2</sub> conditions. *New Phytologist*, 150, 371–383.
- Lilley, J. M., Bolger, T. P., Peoples, M. B., & Gifford, R. M. (2001). Nutritive value and the nitrogen dynamics of *Trifolium subterraneum* and *Phalaris aquatica* under warmer, high CO<sub>2</sub> conditions. *New Phytologist*, 150(2), 385–395. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-8137.2001.00101.x>
- Loreau, M., & Hector, A. (2001). Partitioning selection and complementarity in biodiversity experiments. *Nature*, 412(6842), 72–76. <http://www.biology.mcgill.ca/faculty/loreau/>
- Loreau, M., Naeem, S., Inchausti, P., Bengtsson, J., Grime, J. P., Hooper, D. U., Huston, M. A., Raffaelli, D., Schmid, B., Tilman, D. G., & Wardle, D. A. (2008). Biodiversity and ecosystem functioning: current knowledge and future challenges. *Science*, 804(2001), 804–809. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1064088>
- Makkonen, M., Berg, M. P., Handa, I. T., Hättenschwiler, S., van Ruijven, J., van Bodegom, P. M., & Aerts, R. (2012). Highly consistent effects of plant litter identity and functional traits on decomposition across a latitudinal gradient. *Ecology Letters*, 15(9), 1033–1041. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2012.01826.x>
- Maschler, J., Bialic-Murphy, L., Wan, J., Andresen, L. C., Zohner, C. M., Reich, P. B., Lüscher, A., Schneider, M. K., Müller, C., Moser, G., Dukes, J. S., Kappel Schmidt, I., Bilton, M., Zhu, K., & Crowther, T. W. (2022). Links across ecological scales: Plant biomass responses to elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. *Global Change Biology*, March, 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16351>
- Mason, N. W. H., Palmer, D. J., Romera, A., Waugh, D., & Mudge, P. L. (2017). Combining field experiments and predictive models to assess potential for increased plant diversity to climate-proof intensive agriculture. *Ecology and Evolution*, 7(13), 4907–4918. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.3028>
- McKane, R. B., Johnson, L. C., Shaver, G. R., Nadelhoffer, K. J., Rastetter, E. B., Fry, B., Gibling, A. E., Kielland, K., Kwiatkowski, B. L., Laundre, J. A., & Murray, G. (2002). Resource-based niches provide a basis for plant species diversity and dominance in arctic tundra. *Nature*, 415(6867), 68–71. <https://doi.org/10.1038/415068a>
- McKeon, G. M., Stone, G. S., Syktus, J. I., Carter, J. O., Flood, N. R., Ahrens, D. G., Bruget, D. N., Chilcott, C. R., Cobon, D. H., Cowley, R. A., Crimp, S. J., Fraser, G. W., Howden, S. M., Johnston, P. W., Ryan, J. G., Stokes, C. J., & Day, K. A. (2009). Climate change impacts on northern Australian rangeland livestock carrying capacity: A review of issues. *Rangeland Journal*, 31(1), 1–29. <https://doi.org/10.1071/RJ08068>
- Mclaren, J. R., & Turkington, R. (2010). Plant functional group identity differentially affects leaf and root decomposition. *Global Change Biology*, 16(11), 3075–3084. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.02151.x>
- Meilhac, J., Deschamps, L., Maire, V., Flajoulot, S., & Litrico, I. (2020). Both selection and plasticity drive niche differentiation in experimental grasslands. *Nature Plants*, 6(1), 28–33. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-019-0569-7>
- Melesse, A., Steingass, H., Schollenberger, M., & Rodehutsord, M. (2017). Screening of common tropical grass and legume forages in Ethiopia for their nutrient composition and methane production profile in vitro. *Tropical Grasslands-Forrajes Tropicales*, 5(3),

163–175. [https://doi.org/10.17138/TGFT\(5\)163-175](https://doi.org/10.17138/TGFT(5)163-175)

Meterology, B. of. (2020). *Bureau of Meterology*. Government, Australian. <http://www.bom.gov.au/>

Miegoue, E., Tendonkeng, F., Lemoufouet, J., Noubissi, M. N. B., Mweugang, N. N., Zougou, T. G., Nkouadjio, M. F., Boukila, B., & Tedonkeng, E. P. (2016). Pre-weaning growth of cavies feed on *Panicum maximum* supplemented with diet containing *Arachis glabrata*, *Calliandra calothyrsus* or *Desmodium intortum*. *International Journal of Biological and Chemical Sciences*, 10(1), 313. <https://doi.org/10.4314/ijbcs.v10i1.24>

Mueller, K. E., Blumenthal, D. M., Pendall, E., Carrillo, Y., Dijkstra, F. A., Williams, D. G., Follett, R. F., & Morgan, J. A. (2016). Impacts of warming and elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on a semi-arid grassland are non-additive, shift with precipitation, and reverse over time. *Ecology Letters*, 19(8), 956–966. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12634>

Mulder, C. P. H., Jumpponen, A., Högborg, P., & Huss-Danell, K. (2002). How plant diversity and legumes affect nitrogen dynamics in experimental grassland communities. *Oecologia*, 133(3), 412–421. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-002-1043-0>

Munson, S. M., & Long, A. L. (2017). Climate drives shifts in grass reproductive phenology across the western USA. *New Phytologist*, 213(4), 1945–1955. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14327>

Naudts, K., Van den Berge, J., Farfan, E., Rose, P., Abdelgawad, H., Ceulemans, R., Janssens, I. A., Asard, H., & Nijs, I. (2013). Future climate alleviates stress impact on grassland productivity through altered antioxidant capacity. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, 99(2014), 150–158. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2013.11.003>

Nie, M., Lu, M., Bell, J., Raut, S., & Pendall, E. (2013). Altered root traits due to elevated CO<sub>2</sub>: A meta-analysis. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 22(10), 1095–1105. <https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.12062>

NSW Government. (2018). *Pasture species and varieties*. NSW Department of Primary Industries. <https://www.dpi.nsw.gov.au/agriculture/pastures-and-rangelands/species-varieties>

Nyfele, D., Huguenin-Elie, O., Suter, M., Frossard, E., & Lüscher, A. (2011). Grass-legume mixtures can yield more nitrogen than legume pure stands due to mutual stimulation of nitrogen uptake from symbiotic and non-symbiotic sources. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, 140(1–2), 155–163. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2010.11.022>

Oram, N. J., Ravenek, J. M., Barry, K. E., Weigelt, A., Chen, H., Gessler, A., Gockele, A., de Kroon, H., van der Paauw, J. W., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Smit-Tiekstra, A., van Ruijven, J., & Mommer, L. (2018). Below-ground complementarity effects in a grassland biodiversity experiment are related to deep-rooting species. *Journal of Ecology*, 106(1), 265–277. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12877>

Parvin, S., Uddin, S., Tausz-Posch, S., Armstrong, R., & Tausz, M. (2020). Carbon sink strength of nodules but not other organs modulates photosynthesis of faba bean (*Vicia faba*) grown under elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] and different water supply. *New Phytologist*, 227(1), 132–145. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.16520>

Paynel, F., Murray, P. J., & Bernard Cliquet, J. (2001). Root exudates: A pathway for short-term N transfer from clover and ryegrass. *Plant and Soil*, 229(2), 235–243. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1004877214831>

Pelzer, E., Hombert, N., Jeuffroy, M. H., & Makowski, D. (2014). Meta-analysis of the effect of nitrogen fertilization on annual cereal–legume intercrop production. *Agronomy Journal*, 106(5), 1775–1786. <https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj13.0590>

Perring, M. P., Cullen, B. R., Johnson, I. R., & Hovenden, M. J. (2010). Modelled effects of

- 995 rising CO<sub>2</sub> concentration and climate change on native perennial grass and sown grass-  
996 legume pastures. *Climate Research*, 42(1), 65–78. <https://doi.org/10.3354/cr00863>
- 997 Pirhofer-Walzl, K., Rasmussen, J., Høgh-Jensen, H., Eriksen, J., Søgaard, K., & Rasmussen,  
998 J. (2012). Nitrogen transfer from forage legumes to nine neighbouring plants in a multi-  
999 species grassland. *Plant and Soil*, 350(1–2), 71–84. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-011-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-011-0882-z)  
1000 0882-z
- 1001 Plett, J. M., Plett, K. L., Bithell, S. L., Mitchell, C., Moore, K., Powell, J. R., & Anderson, I.  
1002 C. (2016). Improved Phytophthora resistance in commercial chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*)  
1003 varieties negatively impacts symbiotic gene signalling and symbiotic potential in some  
1004 varieties. *Plant Cell and Environment*, 39(8), 1858–1869.  
1005 <https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.12757>
- 1006 R Core Team. (2020). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing* (2.9.0; p.  
1007 <http://www.r-project.org/>). R Foundation for Statistical Computing. [http://www.r-](http://www.r-project.org/)  
1008 [project.org/](http://www.r-project.org/)
- 1009 Rasmussen, J., Gylfadóttir, T., Loges, R., Eriksen, J., & Helgadóttir, Á. (2013). Spatial and  
1010 temporal variation in N transfer in grass-white clover mixtures at three Northern  
1011 European field sites. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 57, 654–662.  
1012 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2012.07.004>
- 1013 Reich, P. B. (2009). Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> reduces losses of plant diversity caused by nitrogen  
1014 deposition. *Science*, 326, 1399–1402.
- 1015 Reich, P. B., Hobbie, S. E., & Lee, T. D. (2014). Plant growth enhancement by elevated CO<sub>2</sub>  
1016 eliminated by joint water and nitrogen limitation. *Nature Geoscience*, 7(12), 920–924.  
1017 <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2284>
- 1018 Reich, P. B., Hobbie, S. E., Lee, T. D., & Pastore, M. A. (2018). Unexpected reversal of C<sub>3</sub>  
1019 versus C<sub>4</sub> grass response to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> during a 20-year field experiment. *Science*,  
1020 361(6407), 317–320. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aau8982>
- 1021 Reich, P. B., Knops, J., Tilman, D. G., Craine, J. M., Ellsworth, D. S., Tjoelker, M. G., Lee,  
1022 T., Wedink, D., Naeem, S., Bahaeddin, D., Hendrey, G., Jose, S., Wragge, K., Goth, J., &  
1023 Bengston, W. (2001). Plant diversity enhances ecosystem responses to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and  
1024 nitrogen deposition. *Nature*, 410, 809–812.
- 1025 Rogers, A., Ainsworth, E. A., & Leakey, A. D. B. (2009). Will elevated carbon dioxide  
1026 concentration amplify the benefits of nitrogen fixation in legumes? *Plant Physiology*,  
1027 151(3), 1009–1016. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.109.144113>
- 1028 Shaw, M. R., Zavaleta, E. S., Chiariello, N. R., Cleland, E. E., Mooney, H. A., & Field, C. B.  
1029 (2002). Grassland responses to global environmental changes suppressed by elevated  
1030 CO<sub>2</sub>. *Science*, 298(2002), 1987–1990. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1075312>
- 1031 Sherry, R. A., Zhou, X., Gu, S., Arnone, J. A., Schimel, D. S., Verburg, P. S., Wallace, L. L.,  
1032 & Luo, Y. (2007). Divergence of reproductive phenology under climate warming.  
1033 *PNAS*, 104(1), 198–202.
- 1034 Soussana, J. F., & Lüscher, A. (2007). Temperate grasslands and global atmospheric change:  
1035 A review. *Grass and Forage Science*, 62(2), 127–134. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2494.2007.00577.x)  
1036 2494.2007.00577.x
- 1037 Still, C. J., Cotton, J. M., & Griffith, D. M. (2019). Assessing earth system model predictions  
1038 of C<sub>4</sub> grass cover in North America: From the glacial era to the end of this century.  
1039 *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 28(2), 145–157. <https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.12830>
- 1040 Szulejko, J. E., Kumar, P., Deep, A., & Kim, K. H. (2017). Global warming projections to  
1041 2100 using simple CO<sub>2</sub> greenhouse gas modeling and comments on CO<sub>2</sub> climate  
1042 sensitivity factor. *Atmospheric Pollution Research*, 8(1), 136–140.  
1043 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apr.2016.08.002>

- 1044 Terrer, C., Phillips, R., Hungate, B., Rosende, J., Pett-Ridge, J., Craig, M., van Groenigen,  
1045 K., Keenan, T., Sulman, B., Stocker, B., Reich, P. B., Pellegrini, A., Pendall, E., Zhang,  
1046 H., Evans, R., Carrillo, Y., Fisher, J., Van Sundert, K., Vicca, S., & Jackson, R. (2021).  
1047 A trade-off between plant and soil carbon storage under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. *Nature*, 591(July  
1048 2020). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03306-8>
- 1049 Thilakarathna, M. S., McElroy, M. S., Chapagain, T., Papadopoulos, Y. A., & Raizada, M. N.  
1050 (2016). Belowground nitrogen transfer from legumes to non-legumes under managed  
1051 herbaceous cropping systems. A review. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, 36(4).  
1052 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-016-0396-4>
- 1053 Thomas, D., & Sumberg, J. E. (1995). A review of the evaluation and use of tropical forage  
1054 legumes in sub-Saharan Africa. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, 54(3), 151–  
1055 163. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-8809\(95\)00584-F](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-8809(95)00584-F)
- 1056 Tilman, D. G., Isbell, F. I., & Cowles, J. M. (2014). Biodiversity and ecosystem functioning.  
1057 *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, 45, 471–493.  
1058 <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-120213-091917>
- 1059 Vandermeer, J. (1981). The interference production principle: An ecological theory for  
1060 agriculture. *BioScience*, 31(5), 361–364. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1308400>
- 1061 Vitousek, P. M., & Howarth, R. W. (1991). Nitrogen limitation on land and in the sea: How  
1062 can it occur. *Biogeochemistry*, 13(2), 87–115. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00002772>
- 1063 von Felton, S., Hector, A., Buchmann, N., Niklaus, P. A., Schmid, B., & Scherer-Lorenzen,  
1064 M. (2009). Belowground nitrogen partitioning in experimental grassland plant  
1065 communities of varying species richness. *Ecology*, 90(3), 1389–1399.
- 1066 Wang, C., Hunt, E. R., Zhang, L., & Guo, H. (2013). Phenology-assisted classification of C<sub>3</sub>  
1067 and C<sub>4</sub> grasses in the U.S. Great Plains and their climate dependency with MODIS time  
1068 series. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 138, 90–101.  
1069 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2013.07.025>
- 1070 Wang, Z., Wang, C., & Liu, S. (2022). Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> alleviates adverse effects of drought on  
1071 plant water relations and photosynthesis: A global meta-analysis. *Journal of Ecology*,  
1072 August, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.13988>
- 1073 Warwick, K., Allen, F. L., Keyser, P. D., Ashworth, A. J., Bates, G. E., Tyler, D. D.,  
1074 Lambdin, P. L., & Harper, C. A. (2016). Biomass and integrated forage/biomass yields  
1075 of switchgrass as affected by intercropped cool- and warm-season legumes. *Journal of*  
1076 *Soil and Water Conservation*, 71(1), 21–28. <https://doi.org/10.2489/jswc.71.1.21>
- 1077 Wei, X., Reich, P. B., & Hobbie, S. E. (2019). Legumes regulate grassland soil N cycling and  
1078 its response to variation in species diversity and N supply but not CO<sub>2</sub>. *Global Change*  
1079 *Biology*, 25(7), 2396–2409. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14636>
- 1080 Wery, J., Turc, O., & Salsac, L. (1986). Relationship between growth, nitrogen fixation and  
1081 assimilation in a legume (*Medicago sativa* L.). *Plant and Soil*, 9629, 17–29.
- 1082 Winkler, J. B., & Herbst, M. (2004). Do plants of a semi-natural grassland community benefit  
1083 from long-term CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment? *Basic and Applied Ecology*, 5(2), 131–143.  
1084 <https://doi.org/10.1078/1439-1791-00219>
- 1085 Xie, Q., Huete, A., Hall, C. C., Medlyn, B. E., Power, S. A., Davies, J. M., Medek, D. E., &  
1086 Beggs, P. J. (2022). Satellite-observed shifts in C<sub>3</sub>/C<sub>4</sub> abundance in Australian  
1087 grasslands are associated with rainfall patterns. *Remote Sensing of Environment*,  
1088 273(February), 112983. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2022.112983>
- 1089 Zelikova, T. J., Blumenthal, D. M., Williams, D. G., Souza, L., LeCain, D. R., Morgan, J., &  
1090 Pendall, E. (2014). Long-term exposure to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> enhances plant community  
1091 stability by suppressing dominant plant species in a mixed-grass prairie. *Proceedings of*  
1092 *the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 111(43), 15456–

1093 15461. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1414659111>  
1094 Zhang, H., Powell, J. R., Plett, J. M., Churchill, A. C., Power, S. A., Macdonald, C. A.,  
1095 Jacob, V., Kim, G. W., Pendall, E., Tissue, D., Catunda, K. M., Igwenagu, C., Carrillo,  
1096 Y., Moore, B. D., & Anderson, I. C. (2021). Climate warming negates arbuscular  
1097 mycorrhizal fungal reductions in soil phosphorus leaching with tall fescue but not  
1098 lucerne. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 152(November), 108075.  
1099 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2020.108075>  
1100 Zuppinger-Dingley, D., Schmid, B., Petermann, J. S., Yadav, V., De Deyn, G. B., & Flynn,  
1101 D. F. B. (2014). Selection for niche differentiation in plant communities increases  
1102 biodiversity effects. *Nature*, 515(7525), 108–111. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13869>  
1103

**Table 1.** Tropical species and associated mixtures

| Scientific Name*                                        | Common Name         | Variety     | Growth form | Paired species |
|---------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|
| <i>Chloris gayana</i> (Kunth, 1830)                     | Rhodes grass        | Katambora   | Grass       | Burgundy       |
| <i>Desmodium intortum</i> (Mill. Urb.)                  | Greenleaf Desmodium | Greenleaf   | Legume      | Panic          |
| <i>Macroptilium bracteatum</i> (Nees & Mart.)           | Burgundy Bean       | Presto      | Legume      | Rhodes         |
| <i>Panicum maximum</i> var. <i>trichoglume</i> (Robyns) | Panic grass         | Megamax 059 | Grass       | Desmodium      |

\*Species are referenced by genera in text

**Table 2)** Effects of CO<sub>2</sub> treatment (CO<sub>2</sub>; ambient, elevated), species pair (SP; *Macroptilium/Chloris* and *Desmodium/Panicum*) and pot type (PT; grass, legume, mixed-species), for pot level total shoot biomass, total shoot N, total shoot N derived from soil (shoot N- soil).

| Response                     | Factors                   | F Statistic*           | P Value | r <sup>2</sup> -M | r <sup>2</sup> -C |
|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|---------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Shoot biomass <sup>^</sup>   | CO <sub>2</sub>           | 5.23 <sub>1,4</sub>    | 0.08    | 0.40              | 0.45              |
|                              | Species pair              | 49.9 <sub>1,143</sub>  | <0.01   |                   |                   |
|                              | Plant type                | 12.1 <sub>2,143</sub>  | <0.01   |                   |                   |
|                              | CO <sub>2</sub> x SP      | 1.9 <sub>1,143</sub>   | 0.17    |                   |                   |
|                              | CO <sub>2</sub> x PT      | 2.5 <sub>2,143</sub>   | 0.08    |                   |                   |
|                              | SP x PT                   | 5.6 <sub>2,143</sub>   | <0.01   |                   |                   |
|                              | CO <sub>2</sub> x SP x PT | 1.0 <sub>2,143</sub>   | 0.38    |                   |                   |
|                              |                           |                        |         |                   |                   |
| Shoot N content <sup>^</sup> | CO <sub>2</sub>           | 0.3 <sub>1,4</sub>     | 0.60    | 0.65              | 0.66              |
|                              | Species pair              | 102.7 <sub>1,143</sub> | <0.01   |                   |                   |
|                              | Plant type                | 79.4 <sub>2,143</sub>  | <0.01   |                   |                   |
|                              | CO <sub>2</sub> x SP      | 1.9 <sub>1,143</sub>   | 0.18    |                   |                   |
|                              | CO <sub>2</sub> x PT      | 3.7 <sub>2,143</sub>   | 0.03    |                   |                   |
|                              | SP x PT                   | 14.7 <sub>2,143</sub>  | <0.01   |                   |                   |
|                              | CO <sub>2</sub> x SP x PT | 0.4 <sub>2,143</sub>   | 0.64    |                   |                   |
|                              |                           |                        |         |                   |                   |
| Shoot N-soil                 | CO <sub>2</sub>           | 0.1 <sub>1,4</sub>     | 0.79    | 0.14              | 0.15              |
|                              | Species pair              | 17.8 <sub>1,143</sub>  | <0.01   |                   |                   |
|                              | Plant type                | 2.7 <sub>2,143</sub>   | 0.07    |                   |                   |
|                              | CO <sub>2</sub> x SP      | 0.4 <sub>1,143</sub>   | 0.54    |                   |                   |
|                              | CO <sub>2</sub> x PT      | 0.1 <sub>1,143</sub>   | 0.92    |                   |                   |
|                              | SP x PT                   | 0.04 <sub>2,143</sub>  | 0.95    |                   |                   |
|                              | CO <sub>2</sub> x SP x PT | 1.3 <sub>2,143</sub>   | 0.27    |                   |                   |
|                              |                           |                        |         |                   |                   |

\*Subscripts indicate degrees of freedom, <sup>^</sup>data were ln transformed to meet assumptions of normality. All models included growth chamber as a random effect.

**Table 3)** Effects of CO<sub>2</sub> treatment (CO<sub>2</sub>; ambient, elevated), species pair (SP; *Macroptilium/Chloris* and *Desmodium/Panicum*) and pot type (PT; legume and mixed-species only), for pot level percent of N derived from biologically fixed N (Shoot N- BNF %; legume and mixed plant types only) and total shoot N derived from BNF (Shoot N- BNF content).

| Response                          | Factors                   | F Statistic*          | P Value | r <sup>2</sup> -M | r <sup>2</sup> -C |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Shoot N- BNF %                    | CO <sub>2</sub>           | 0.03 <sub>1,4</sub>   | 0.87    | 0.44              | 0.45              |
|                                   | Species pair              | 59.0 <sub>1,94</sub>  | <0.01   |                   |                   |
|                                   | Plant type                | 13.8 <sub>1,94</sub>  | <0.01   |                   |                   |
|                                   | CO <sub>2</sub> x SP      | 2.5 <sub>1,94</sub>   | 0.12    |                   |                   |
|                                   | CO <sub>2</sub> x PT      | 2.1 <sub>1,94</sub>   | 0.15    |                   |                   |
|                                   | SP x PT                   | 2.8 <sub>1,94</sub>   | 0.10    |                   |                   |
|                                   | CO <sub>2</sub> x SP x PT | 3.3 <sub>1,94</sub>   | 0.07    |                   |                   |
|                                   |                           |                       |         |                   |                   |
| Shoot N- BNF content <sup>^</sup> | CO <sub>2</sub>           | 0.1 <sub>1,4</sub>    | 0.80    | 0.59              | 0.63              |
|                                   | Species pair              | 132.3 <sub>1,94</sub> | <0.01   |                   |                   |
|                                   | Plant type                | 30.8 <sub>1,94</sub>  | <0.01   |                   |                   |
|                                   | CO <sub>2</sub> x SP      | 0.7 <sub>1,94</sub>   | 0.39    |                   |                   |
|                                   | CO <sub>2</sub> x PT      | 3.5 <sub>1,94</sub>   | 0.06    |                   |                   |
|                                   | SP x PT                   | 1.0 <sub>1,94</sub>   | 0.32    |                   |                   |
|                                   | CO <sub>2</sub> x SP x PT | 0.2 <sub>1,94</sub>   | 0.62    |                   |                   |
|                                   |                           |                       |         |                   |                   |

\*Subscripts indicate degrees of freedom, ^data were ln transformed to meet assumptions of normality. All models included growth chamber as a random effect.

**Table 4)** Effects of CO<sub>2</sub> treatment (CO<sub>2</sub>; ambient, elevated) for pot-level total biomass of root nodules (Nodule biomass), number of root nodules present (Nodule number), and the activity of nodules at the time of harvest (Nodule activity) by species pair (SP; *Macroptilium/Chloris* and *Desmodium/Panicum*) and plant type (PT; legumes in monoculture vs mixed pots only)

| Response                     | Factors                   | F Statistic*         | P Value | r <sup>2</sup> -M | r <sup>2</sup> -C |
|------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|---------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Nodule biomass <sup>^</sup>  | CO <sub>2</sub>           | 4.0 <sub>1,4</sub>   | 0.12    | 0.68              | 0.69              |
|                              | Species pair              | 65.6 <sub>1,68</sub> | <0.01   |                   |                   |
|                              | Plant type                | 97.2 <sub>1,68</sub> | <0.01   |                   |                   |
|                              | CO <sub>2</sub> x SP      | 0.6 <sub>1,68</sub>  | 0.43    |                   |                   |
|                              | CO <sub>2</sub> x PT      | 0.1 <sub>1,68</sub>  | 0.80    |                   |                   |
|                              | SP x PT                   | 4.6 <sub>1,68</sub>  | 0.04    |                   |                   |
|                              | CO <sub>2</sub> x SP x PT | 0.3 <sub>1,68</sub>  | 0.58    |                   |                   |
|                              |                           |                      |         |                   |                   |
| Nodule number <sup>^</sup>   | CO <sub>2</sub>           | 0.4 <sub>1,4</sub>   | 0.55    | 0.55              | 0.58              |
|                              | Species pair              | 28.8 <sub>1,68</sub> | <0.01   |                   |                   |
|                              | Plant type                | 72.9 <sub>1,68</sub> | <0.01   |                   |                   |
|                              | CO <sub>2</sub> x SP      | 0.02 <sub>1,68</sub> | 0.88    |                   |                   |
|                              | CO <sub>2</sub> x PT      | 0.1 <sub>1,68</sub>  | 0.8     |                   |                   |
|                              | SP x PT                   | 4.0 <sub>1,68</sub>  | 0.05    |                   |                   |
|                              | CO <sub>2</sub> x SP x PT | 0.4 <sub>1,68</sub>  | 0.52    |                   |                   |
|                              |                           |                      |         |                   |                   |
| Nodule activity <sup>^</sup> | CO <sub>2</sub>           | 4.7 <sub>1,4</sub>   | 0.10    | 0.16              | 0.16              |
|                              | Species pair              | 0.7 <sub>1,68</sub>  | 0.42    |                   |                   |
|                              | Plant type                | 7.0 <sub>1,68</sub>  | 0.01    |                   |                   |
|                              | CO <sub>2</sub> x SP      | 0.6 <sub>1,68</sub>  | 0.44    |                   |                   |
|                              | CO <sub>2</sub> x PT      | 1.0 <sub>1,68</sub>  | 0.33    |                   |                   |
|                              | SP x PT                   | 0.01 <sub>1,68</sub> | 0.90    |                   |                   |
|                              | CO <sub>2</sub> x SP x PT | 1.9 <sub>1,68</sub>  | 0.17    |                   |                   |
|                              |                           |                      |         |                   |                   |

\*Subscripts indicate degrees of freedom, <sup>^</sup>data were ln transformed to meet assumptions of normality. All models included growth chamber as a random effect.