

1 **Chromosomal-level genome assembly of the long-spined sea urchin *Diadema setosum***
2 **(Leske, 1778)**

3 Hong Kong Biodiversity Genomics Consortium

4 Project Coordinator and Co-Principal Investigators: Jerome H.L. Hui¹, Ting Fung Chan²,
5 Leo L. Chan³, Siu Gin Cheung⁴, Chi Chiu Cheang^{5,6}, James K.H. Fang⁷, Juan D. Gaitan-
6 Espitia⁸, Stanley C.K. Lau⁹, Yik Hei Sung^{10,11}, Chris K.C. Wong¹², Kevin Y.L. Yip^{13,14},
7 Yingying Wei¹⁵

8 DNA extraction, library preparation and sequencing: Wai Lok So¹

9 Genome assembly and gene model prediction: Wenyan Nong¹

10 Sample collector, animal culture and logistics: Apple P.Y. Chui¹⁶, Thomas H.W. Fong¹⁶, Ho
11 Yin Yip¹

12 1. School of Life Sciences, Simon F.S. Li Marine Science Laboratory, State Key Laboratory
13 of Agrobiotechnology, Institute of Environment, Energy and Sustainability, The Chinese
14 University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China

15 2. School of Life Sciences, State Key Laboratory of Agrobiotechnology, The Chinese
16 University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, China

17 3. State Key Laboratory of Marine Pollution and Department of Biomedical Sciences, City
18 University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, China

19 4. State Key Laboratory of Marine Pollution and Department of Chemistry, City University of
20 Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, China

21 5. Department of Science and Environmental Studies, The Education University of Hong
22 Kong, Hong Kong SAR, China

23 6. EcoEdu PEI, Charlottetown, PE, C1A 4B7, Canada

24 7. Department of Food Science and Nutrition, Research Institute for Future Food, and State
25 Key Laboratory of Marine Pollution, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong
26 SAR, China

27 8. The Swire Institute of Marine Science and School of Biological Sciences, The University
28 of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, China

29 9. Department of Ocean Science, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology,
30 Hong Kong SAR, China

31 10. Science Unit, Lingnan University, Hong Kong SAR, China

32 11. School of Allied Health Sciences, University of Suffolk, Ipswich, IP4 1QJ, UK

33 12. Croucher Institute for Environmental Sciences, and Department of Biology, Hong Kong
34 Baptist University, Hong Kong SAR, China

35 13. Department of Computer Science and Engineering, The Chinese University of Hong
36 Kong, Hong Kong SAR, China

37 14. Sanford Burnham Prebys Medical Discovery Institute, La Jolla, CA, USA.

38 15. Department of Statistics, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, China

39 16. School of Life Sciences, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, China

40

41 Correspondence on behalf of the consortium: jeromehui@cuhk.edu.hk

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49 Abstract

50 The long-spined sea urchin *Diadema setosum* is an algal and coral feeder widely distributed
51 in the Indo-Pacific and can cause severe bioerosion on the reef community. Nevertheless, the
52 lack of genomic information has hindered the study its ecology and evolution. Here, we
53 report the chromosomal-level genome (885.8 Mb) of the long-spined sea urchin *D. setosum*
54 using a combination of PacBio long-read sequencing and Omni-C scaffolding technology.
55 The assembled genome contained scaffold N50 length of 38.3 Mb, 98.1 % of BUSCO (Geno,
56 metazoa_odb10) genes, and with 98.6% of the sequences anchored to 22 pseudo-
57 molecules/chromosomes. A total of 27,478 genes including 23,030 protein-coding genes were
58 annotated. The high-quality genome of *D. setosum* presented here provides a significant
59 resource for further understanding on the ecological and evolutionary studies of this coral
60 reef associated sea urchin.

61

62 Introduction

63 Similar to other echinoderms, sea urchins lack the vertebral column and can
64 metamorphose from juvenile bilateral swimming larvae into radial symmetrical adults (Li et
65 al., 2020; Lowe et al., 2015). Owing to its critical phylogenetic position, sea urchin offers the
66 understanding of how deuterostomes evolved (e.g. Odekuunle et al., 2019; Yañez-Guerra et al.,
67 2020; Sakai et al., 2020; Logeswaran et al. 2021; Zheng et al., 2022). To date, 16 sea urchin
68 genomes are available according to the data presented on NCBI (25 October 2023) and only
69 five of them (all in the order Camarodonta) are assembled in chromosomal level (*Lytechinus*
70 *variegatus* (Davidson et al., 2020), *Lytechinus pictus* (Warner et al., 2021), *Helicidaris*
71 *erythrogramma* (Davidson et al., 2022), *Helicidaris tuberculata* (Davidson et al., 2022) and
72 *Echinometra lucunter* (Davidson et al., 2023)).

73 *Diadema setosum* (Leske, 1778) in the order Diadematoida, commonly known as the
74 porcupine or long-spined sea urchin, is considered as one of the oldest known extant species
75 in the genus *Diadema* (Coppard & Campbell, 2006). *D. setosum* displays features of typical
76 sea urchin, including a dorso-ventrally compressed body and equipped with particularly long,
77 brittle and hollow spines that are mildly venomous (Bilecenoglu et al., 2019; Voulgaris et al.,
78 2021). This species can be easily differentiated from other *Diadema* species by the presence
79 of five distinctive white dots at the aboral side around the anal pore between the ambulacrals
80 grooves (Figure 1A). Sexually matured individuals have been documented to have an average
81 weight from 35 to 80 g and an average test size ranges from 7 to 8 cm in diameter and
82 approximately 4 cm in height (Alsaffar & Lone, 2000; Coppard & Campbell, 2006). Due to
83 its high invasiveness to localities beyond its natural range, *D. setosum* is now widely
84 distributed in the tropical regions throughout the Indo-Pacific basin and can now be found
85 latitudinally from Japan to Africa and longitudinally from the Red Sea to Australia (Öndes et
86 al., 2022).

87 *D. setosum* can live up as deep as 70 m below the sea level and is usually reef-
88 associated (Lane et al., 2001). It is a prolific grazer that feeds on the macroalgae that can be
89 found on the surface of various substrata, as well as the algae that are associated with the
90 coral skeleton (Bak, 1994; Carreiro-Silva and McClanahan, 2001). Whilst a normal level of
91 grazing eliminates competitive algae and can potentially offer a more suitable environments
92 for coral settlement and development, overgrazing results in bioerosion, which thus in turn
93 deteriorates the reef ecosystem and a reduction in the complexity of coral community
94 (Carpenter and Edmunds, 2006; Dumont et al., 2013). Furthermore, overpopulated sea

95 urchins can reduce coral recruitment and the growth of juvenile coral can be hindered (Bak
96 and van Eys, 1975; Sammarco, 1980; Davies and Vize, 2008).

97 **Context**

98 Here, we report a high-quality genome assembly of *D. setosum* in the order
99 Diadematoida and family Diadematidae.

100

101 **Methods**

102 *Collection and husbandry of samples*

103 The long-spined sea urchin, *Diadema setosum*, was collected at the coastal area of the
104 Tolo Harbour in Hong Kong in November 2022. The animals were maintained at 35 ppt
105 artificial sea water alive at 23°C until DNA and RNA isolation. Animals were fed with frozen
106 clams or shrimps once a week.

107 *Isolation of high molecular weight genomic DNA, quantification, and qualification*

108 High molecular weight genomic DNA was isolated from a single individual. The urchin
109 was first removed from the culture and the test was opened with a pair of scissors. The
110 internal tissue, except the gut, was snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and ground to fine powder.
111 DNA extraction was performed with the Qiagen MagAttract HMW kit (Qiagen Cat. No.
112 67563) following the manufacturer's protocol. In brief, 1 g of powdered sample was put in a
113 microcentrifuge tube together with 200 µl 1X PBS and RNase A, Proteinase K, and Buffer
114 AL were added to the tube subsequently. The mixture was incubated at room temperature
115 (~22 °C) for 3 hours. The sample was then eventually eluted with 120 µl of elution buffer
116 (PacBio Ref. No. 101-633-500). Throughout the extraction progress, wide-bore tips were
117 used whenever DNA was transferred. The eluted sample was quantified by the Qubit®
118 Fluorometer and Qubit™ dsDNA HS and BR Assay Kits (Invitrogen™ Cat. No. Q32851). In
119 total, 10 µg of DNA was collected for subsequent steps. The purity of the sample was
120 examined by the NanoDrop™ One/OneC Microvolume UV-Vis Spectrophotometer, with the
121 standard A260/A280: ~1.8 and A260/A230: >2.0. The quality and the fragment distribution
122 of the isolated genomic DNA was examined by the overnight pulse-field gel electrophoresis,
123 together with three DNA markers (λ-Hind III digest, Takara Cat. No. 3403; DL15,000 DNA
124 Marker, Takara Cat. No. 3582A and CHEF DNA Size Standard-8-48 kb Ladder, Cat. No.
125 170-3707). The DNA was then diluted in elution buffer to prepare a 300 ng solution for gel
126 electrophoresis and the electrophoresis profile was set as follow: 5k as the lower end and
127 100k as the higher end for the molecular weight; Gradient=6.0V/cm; Run time = 15 h:16 min;
128 Included angle = 120 °; Int. Sw. Tm = 22 s; Fin. Sw. Tm = 0.53 s; Ramping factor: a = Linear.
129 The gel was run in 1.0% PFC agarose in 0.5X TBE buffer at 14 °C.

130 *DNA shearing, library preparation and sequencing*

131 10 µg of *D. setosum* DNA in 120 µl elution buffer was transferred to a g-tube (Covaris
132 Part No. 520079). The sample was then proceeded to 6 passes of centrifugation with 2,000 xg
133 for 2 min. The sheared DNA was collected with a 2 ml DNA LoBind® Tube (Eppendorf Cat.
134 No. 022431048) at 4 °C until library preparation. Overnight pulse-field gel electrophoresis
135 was performed to examine the fragment distribution of the sheared DNA, with the same
136 electrophoresis profile as described in the last section.

137 A SMRTbell library was then constructed with the SMRTbell® prep kit 3.0 (PacBio
138 Ref. No. 102-141-700), following the manufacturer's protocol. In brief, the sheared DNA was

139 first subjected to DNA repair, and both ends of each DNA strand was polished and tailed with
140 an A-overhang. Ligation of T-overhang SMRTbell adapters was then performed and the
141 SMRTbell library was purified with SMRTbell® cleanup beads (PacBio Ref. No. 102158-
142 300). The concentration and size of the library were examined with the Qubit® Fluorometer
143 and Qubit™ dsDNA HS and BR Assay Kits (Invitrogen™ Cat. No. Q32851), and an overnight
144 pulse-field gel electrophoresis, respectively. A nuclease treatment step was performed
145 afterwards to remove any non-SMRTbell structures in the library and a final size-selection
146 step was performed to remove the short fragments in the library with 35% AMPure PB beads.

147 The Sequel® II binding kit 3.2 (PacBio Ref. No. 102-194-100) was used for final
148 preparation of sequencing. In brief, Sequel II primer 3.2 and Sequel II DNA polymerase 2.2
149 were annealed and bound to the SMRTbell structures in the library. The library then was
150 loaded at an on-plate concentration of 90 pM using the diffusion loading mode. The
151 sequencing was performed on the Sequel IIe System with an internal control provided in the
152 binding kit. The sequencing was prepared and run in 30-hour movies, with 120 min pre-
153 extension. The movie was captured by the software SMRT Link v11.0 (PacBio) and HiFi
154 reads are generated and collected for further analysis. In total, one SMRT cell was used in the
155 sequencing. Details of the sequencing data are listed in Supplementary Information 1.

156

157 *Omni-C library preparation and sequencing*

158 An Omni-C library was constructed using the Dovetail® Omni-C® Library
159 Preparation Kit (Dovetail Cat. No. 21005) according to the manufacturer's instructions. In
160 brief, 60 mg of frozen powered tissue sample was added into 1 mL 1X PBS, where the
161 genomic DNA was crosslinked with formaldehyde and the DNA was then digested with
162 endonuclease DNase I. Subsequently, the concentration and fragment size of the digested
163 sample was validated by the Qubit® Fluorometer and Qubit™ dsDNA HS and BR Assay Kits
164 (Invitrogen™ Cat. No. Q32851), and the TapeStation D5000 HS ScreenTape, respectively.
165 Afterwards, both ends of the DNA were polished and a biotinylated bridge adaptor was
166 ligated at 22 °C for 30 min. Proximity ligation between crosslinked DNA fragments was then
167 performed at 22 °C for 1 hour, followed by the reverse crosslinked of DNA, and it is then
168 purified with SPRIselect™ Beads (Beckman Coulter Product No. B23317).

169 End repair and adapter ligation were performed with the Dovetail™ Library Module
170 for Illumina (Dovetail Cat. No. 21004). In brief, DNA was tailed with an A-overhang, and
171 ligate with Illumina-compatible adapters at 20 °C for 15 min. The Omni-C library was then
172 sheared into small fragments with USER Enzyme Mix and purified with SPRIselect™ Beads.
173 Subsequently, DNA fragments were isolated with Streptavidin Beads. Universal and Index
174 PCR Primers from the Dovetail™ Primer Set for Illumina (Dovetail Cat. No. 25005) were
175 used to amplify the DNA library. A final size selection step was completed with SPRIselect™
176 Beads with DNA fragments ranging between 350 bp and 1000 bp only. The concentration and
177 fragment size of the sequencing library was assessed by the Qubit® Fluorometer and Qubit™
178 dsDNA HS and BR Assay Kits, and the TapeStation D5000 HS ScreenTape, respectively.
179 Qualified library was sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq-PE150 platform. Details of the
180 sequencing data are listed in Supplementary Information 1.

181

182 *RNA extraction and transcriptome sequencing*

183 Total RNA from the internal tissues were isolated from one individual using the
184 TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer's protocol. The quality of the
185 extracted RNA was validated with the NanoDrop™ One/OneC Microvolume UV-Vis
186 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific™ Cat. No. ND-ONE-W) and 1% agarose gel
187 electrophoresis. The qualified samples were sent to Novogene Co. Ltd (Hong Kong, China)
188 for polyA selected RNA sequencing library construction using the TruSeq RNA Sample Prep
189 Kit v2 (Illumina Cat. No. RS-122-2001), and 150 bp paired-end (PE) sequencing. Agilent
190 2100 Bioanalyser (Agilent DNA 1000 Reagents) was used to measure the insert size and
191 concentration of the final library. Details of the sequencing data are shown in Supplementary
192 Information 1.

193

194 ***Genome assembly and gene model prediction***

195 *De novo* genome assembly was completed using Hifiasm (Cheng et al., 2021), and
196 the Hifiasm output assembly was BLAST to the NT database and the BLAST output was
197 used as input for BlobTools (v1.1.1) (Laetsch & Blaxter, 2017) to validate and remove any
198 possible contaminations (Additional Information 1). Haplotypic duplications were detected
199 and removed using purge_dups according to the depth of HiFi reads (Guan et al., 2020).
200 Proximity ligation data from the Omni-C library were used to scaffold the PacBio genome by
201 YaHS (Zhou et al., 2022). A kmer-based statistical method was performed to estimate the
202 heterozygosity, while repeat content and size were analyzed by Jellyfish (Marçais &
203 Kingsford, 2011) and GenomeScope (<http://qb.cshl.edu/genomescope/genomescope2.0/>)
204 (Ranallo-Benavidez et al., 2020). Transposable elements (TEs) were annotated, using the
205 automated Earl Grey TE annotation pipeline (version 1.2,
206 <https://github.com/TobyBaril/EarlGrey>) (Baril et al., 2022). Mitochondrial genome was
207 assembled using MitoHiFi (v2.2, <https://github.com/marcelauliano/MitoHiFi>) (Allio et al.,
208 2020).

209 For gene model prediction, RNA sequencing data was first processed with
210 Trimmomatic (Bolger, Lohse & Usadel, 2014) and transformed to transcripts using genome-
211 guided Trinity (Grabherr et al., 2011). AUGUSTUS (Stanke et al., 2006) was trained using
212 BUSCO (Manni et al., 2021), while GeneMark-ES (Ter-Hovhannisyan et al 2008) was used
213 for *ab initio* gene prediction. Gene models were then predicted by funannotate using the
214 parameters “--repeats2evm --protein_evidence uniprot_sprot.fasta --genemark_mode ET
215 --optimize_augustus --organism other --max_intronlen 350000”. The gene models from
216 several prediction sources including GeneMark, high-quality Augustus predictions (HiQ),
217 pasa, Augustus, GlimmerHM and snap were passed to Evidence Modeler to generate the
218 annotation files. PASA was employed to update the EVM consensus predictions. In addition,
219 UTR annotations were added and models for alternatively spliced isoforms were created.

220

221 **Results**

222 A total of 18.5 Gb of HiFi bases were generated with an average HiFi read length of
223 8,449 bp with 21x data coverage (Supplementary Information 1). The assembled genome
224 size was 885.8 Mb, with 101 scaffolds and a scaffold N50 of 38.3 Mb in 11 scaffolds and the
225 complete BUSCO estimation to be 98.1% (metazoa_odb10) (Figure 1B; Table 1). By
226 incorporating 67.5 Gb Omni-C data, the assembly anchored 98.6% of the scaffolds into 22
227 pseudochromosomes, which matches the karyotype of *D. setosum* (2n = 44) (Shingaki &
228 Uehara, 1984) (Figure 1C; Table 2). The assembled *D. setosum* genome size is comparable to

229 other published sea urchin genomes (Davidson et al., 2020; Warner et al., 2021; Davidson et
230 al., 2022; Davidson et al., 2023) and to the estimated size of 804 Mb by GenomeScope with a
231 2.11% heterozygosity rate (Figure 1D; Supplementary Information 2). Moreover, telomeric
232 repeats were identified in 16 out of 22 pseudochromosomes (Table 3).

233 Total RNA sequencing data was also obtained from a single *D. setosum* individual. The
234 final assembled transcriptome contained 135,063 transcripts, with 113,391 Trinity annotated
235 genes (with an average length of 838 bp and a N50 length of 1,456 bp), and was used to
236 perform gene model prediction. A total of 27,478 gene models were generated with 23,030
237 predicted protein-coding genes, with a mean of 483 bp coding sequence length (Figure 1B;
238 Table 1).

239 For repeat elements, a total repetitive content of 36.98% was identified in the
240 assembled genome including 25.87% unclassified elements (Figure 1E; Table 4). Among the
241 known repeats, DNA is the most abundant (4.18%), followed by LINE elements (3.64%) and
242 LTR (1.92%), whereas Rolling Circle, SINE, Penelope and other are only present in low
243 proportions (Rolling Circle: 0.92%, SINE: 0.23%, Penelope: 0.17%, other: 0.04%).

244

245 Conclusion and future perspectives

246 In sum, the high quality chromosomal-level genome assembly of *D. setosum* presented
247 here provides further understanding of the ecology and evolution of sea urchins.

248

249 Data Records

250 The final genome assembly were deposited to NCBI under accession numbers
251 GCA_033980235.1 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCA_033980235.1/).
252 The raw reads generated in this study were submitted to the NCBI database under the
253 BioProject accessions PRJNA973839
254 (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/PRJNA973839>). The genome and genome
255 annotation files, as well as an Additional Table and an Additional Information were also
256 deposited in figshare (<https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.24408319>).

257

258 Data validation and quality control

259 Quality check of samples in DNA extraction and PacBio library preparation were
260 processed with NanoDropTM One/OneC Microvolume UV-Vis Spectrophotometer, Qubit[®]
261 Fluorometer, and overnight pulse-field gel electrophoresis. The Omni-C library was subjected
262 to quality check by Qubit[®] Fluorometer and TapeStation D5000 HS ScreenTape.

263 For genome assembly, the validation of contamination scaffolds from the Hifiasm
264 output was done by searching at the NT database through BLAST, from which the resultant
265 output was analysed by BlobTools (v1.1.1) (Laetsch & Blaxter, 2017) (Supplementary
266 Information 3). Furthermore, a kmer-based statistical approach was performed to estimate the
267 genome heterozygosity. The repeat content and their size were estimated by Jellyfish
268 (Marçais & Kingsford, 2011) and GenomeScope (Figure 1E and Additional Table 5)
269 (<http://qb.cshl.edu/genomescope/genomescope2.0/>) (Ranallo-Benavidez et al., 2020).
270 Benchmarking Universal Single-Copy Orthologs (BUSCO, v5.5.0) (Manni et al 2021) was run to

271 evaluate the completeness of the genome assembly and gene annotation with the metazoan dataset
272 (metazoa_odb10).

273

274 **Author' contribution**

275 JHLH, TFC, LLC, SGC, CCC, JKHF, JDG, SCKL, YHS, CKCW, KYLY and YW conceived
276 and supervised the study; APYC and THWF collected the sea urchin samples; HYY
277 maintained the animal culture; WLS performed DNA extraction, library preparation and
278 genome sequencing; WN carried out genome assembly and gene model prediction.

279

280 **Competing interest**

281 The authors declare that they do not have competing interests.

282

283 **Funding**

284 This work was funded and supported by the Hong Kong Research Grant Council
285 Collaborative Research Fund (C4015-20EF), CUHK Strategic Seed Funding for
286 Collaborative Research Scheme (3133356) and CUHK Group Research Scheme (3110154).

287

288 **References**

- 289 1. Coppard, S. E., & Campbell, A. C. Taxonomic significance of test morphology in the
290 echinoid genera *Diadema* Gray, 1825 and *Echinothrix* Peters, 1853 (Echinodermata).
291 *Zoosystema*, **28**, 93-112 (2006).
- 292 2. Bilecenoglu, M., Baki Yokeş, M., & Draman, M. The invasive sea urchin *Diadema*
293 *setosum* provides shelter for coastal fish—first observations from the Mediterranean
294 Sea. *Zool Middle East*, **65**, 183-185 (2019).
- 295 3. Voulgaris, K., Varkoulis, A., Zaoutsos, S., Stratakis, A., & Vafidis, D. Mechanical
296 defensive adaptations of three Mediterranean sea urchin species. *Ecol. Evol.*, **11**,
297 17734-17743 (2021).
- 298 4. Alsaffar, A. H., & Lone, K. P. Reproductive cycles of *Diadema setosum* and
299 *Echinometra mathaei* (Echinoidea: echinodermata) from Kuwait (northern Arabian
300 Gulf). *Bull. Mar. Sci.*, **67**, 845-856 (2000).
- 301 5. Öndes, F., Alan, V., Kaiser, M. J., & Güçlüsoy, H. Spatial distribution and density of
302 the invasive sea urchin *Diadema setosum* in Turkey (eastern Mediterranean). *Mar.*
303 *Ecol.*, **43**, e12724 (2022).
- 304 6. Lane, D. J., Marsh, L. M., VandenSpiegel, D., & Rowe, F. W. Echinoderm fauna of
305 the South China Sea: an inventory and analysis of distribution patterns. *Raffles Bull.*
306 *Zool.*, **48**, 459-494 (2001).
- 307 7. Bak, R. P. M. Sea urchin bioerosion on coral reefs: place in the carbonate budget and
308 relevant variables. *Coral reefs*, **13**, 99-103 (1994).
- 309 8. Carreiro-Silva, M., & McClanahan, T. R. Echinoid bioerosion and herbivory on
310 Kenyan coral reefs: the role of protection from fishing. *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.*, **262**,
311 133-153 (2001).
- 312 9. Carpenter, R. C., & Edmunds, P. J. Local and regional scale recovery of *Diadema*
313 promotes recruitment of scleractinian corals. *Ecol. Lett.*, **9**, 271-280 (2006).

314 10. Dumont, C. P., Lau, D. C., Astudillo, J. C., Fong, K. F., Chak, S. T., & Qiu, J. W.
315 Coral bioerosion by the sea urchin *Diadema setosum* in Hong Kong: susceptibility of
316 different coral species. *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.*, **441**, 71-79 (2013).

317 11. Bak, R. P., & van Eys, G. Predation of the sea urchin *Diadema antillarum* Philippi on
318 living coral. *Oecologia*, **20**, 111-115 (1975).

319 12. Sammarco, P. W. *Diadema* and its relationship to coral spat mortality: grazing,
320 competition, and biological disturbance. *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.*, **45**, 245-272 (1980).

321 13. Davies, S. W., & Vize, P. D. Effects of herbivore grazing on juvenile coral growth in
322 the Gulf of Mexico. In The 11th International Coral Reef Symposium, Florida, USA
323 (Vol. 1, pp. 1214-1218). (2008).

324 14. Lowe, C. J., Clarke, D. N., Medeiros, D. M., Rokhsar, D. S., & Gerhart, J. The
325 deuterostome context of chordate origins. *Nature*, **520**, 456-465 (2015).

326 15. Odekunle, E. A., Semmens, D. C., Martynyuk, N., Tinoco, A. B., Garewal, A. K.,
327 Patel, R. R. et al. Ancient role of vasopressin/oxytocin-type neuropeptides as
328 regulators of feeding revealed in an echinoderm. *BMC Biol.*, **17**, 1-23 (2019).

329 16. Yañez-Guerra, L. A., Zhong, X., Moghul, I., Butts, T., Zampronio, C. G., Jones, A. M.
330 et al. Echinoderms provide missing link in the evolution of PrRP/sNPF-type
331 neuropeptide signalling. *Elife*, **9**, e57640. (2020).

332 17. Sakai, T., Yamamoto, T., Matsubara, S., Kawada, T., & Satake, H. Invertebrate
333 gonadotropin-releasing hormone receptor signaling and its relevant biological actions.
334 *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, **21**, 8544 (2020).

335 18. Logeswaran, D., Li, Y., Podlevsky, J. D., & Chen, J. J. L. Monophyletic origin and
336 divergent evolution of animal telomerase RNA. *Mol. Biol. Evol.*, **38**, 215-228 (2021).

337 19. Zheng, Y., Cong, X., Liu, H., Wang, Y., Storey, K. B., & Chen, M. Nervous System
338 Development and Neuropeptides Characterization in Embryo and Larva: Insights
339 from a Non-Chordate Deuterostome, the Sea Cucumber *Apostichopus japonicus*. *Biol.*,
340 **11**, 1538. (2022).

341 20. Davidson, P. L., Guo, H., Wang, L., Berrio, A., Zhang, H., Chang, Y. et al.
342 Chromosomal-level genome assembly of the sea urchin *Lytechinus variegatus*
343 substantially improves functional genomic analyses. *Genome Biol. Evol.*, **12**, 1080-
344 1086 (2020).

345 21. Warner, J. F., Lord, J. W., Schreiter, S. A., Nesbit, K. T., Hamdoun, A., & Lyons, D. C.
346 Chromosomal-level genome assembly of the painted sea urchin *Lytechinus pictus*: A
347 genetically enabled model system for cell biology and embryonic development.
348 *Genome Biol. Evol.*, **13**, evab061 (2021).

349 22. Davidson, P. L., Guo, H., Swart, J. S., Massri, A. J., Edgar, A., Wang, L. et al. Recent
350 reconfiguration of an ancient developmental gene regulatory network in *Heliocidaris*
351 sea urchins. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.*, **6**, 1907-1920 (2022).

352 23. Davidson, P. L., Lessios, H. A., Wray, G. A., McMillan, W. O., & Prada, C. Near-
353 Chromosomal-Level Genome Assembly of the Sea Urchin *Echinometra lucunter*, a
354 Model for Speciation in the Sea. *Genome Biol. Evol.*, **15**, evad093 (2023).

355 24. Cheng, H., Concepcion, G. T., Feng, X., Zhang, H., & Li, H. Haplotype-resolved *de*
356 *novo* assembly using phased assembly graphs with hifiasm. *Nat. Methods*, **18**, 170-
357 175 (2021).

358 25. Laetsch, D. R., & Blaxter, M. L. BlobTools: Interrogation of genome assemblies.
359 *F1000Research*, **6**, 1287 (2017).

360 26. Guan, D., McCarthy, S. A., Wood, J., Howe, K., Wang, Y., & Durbin, R. Identifying
361 and removing haplotypic duplication in primary genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics*,
362 **36**, 2896-2898 (2020).

363 27. Zhou, C., McCarthy, S. A., & Durbin, R. YaHS: yet another Hi-C scaffolding tool.
364 *Bioinformatics*, **39**, 1- (2023).

365 28. Marçais, G., & Kingsford, C. A fast, lock-free approach for efficient parallel counting
366 of occurrences of k-mers. *Bioinformatics*, **27**, 764-770 (2011).

367 29. Ranallo-Benavidez, T. R., Jaron, K. S., & Schatz, M. C. GenomeScope 2.0 and
368 Smudgeplot for reference-free profiling of polyploid genomes. *Nat. Commun.*, **11**,
369 1432. (2020).

370 30. Baril, T., Imrie, R. M., & Hayward, A. Earl Grey: a fully automated user-friendly
371 transposable element annotation and analysis pipeline. *bioRxiv*.
372 <https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.30.498289> (2022).

373 31. Allio, R., Schomaker-Bastos, A., Romiguier, J., Prosdocimi, F., Nabholz, B., &
374 Delsuc, F. MitoFinder: Efficient automated large-scale extraction of mitogenomic
375 data in target enrichment phylogenomics. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.*, **20**, 892-90 (2020).

376 32. Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M. & Usadel, B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina
377 sequence data. *Bioinformatics*, **30**, 2114-2120. (2004).

378 33. Grabherr, M. G., Haas, B. J., Yassour, M., Levin, J. Z., Thompson, D. A., Amit, I. et al.
379 Trinity: reconstructing a full-length transcriptome without a genome from RNA-Seq
380 data. *Nat. Biotechnol.*, **29**, 644 (2011).

381 34. Stanke, M., Keller, O., Gunduz, I., Hayes, A., Waack, S., & Morgenstern, B.
382 AUGUSTUS: *ab initio* prediction of alternative transcripts. *Nucleic Acids Res.*,
383 34(suppl_2), 435-439 (2006).

384 35. Manni, M., Berkeley, M. R., Seppey, M., & Zdobnov, E. M. BUSCO: assessing
385 genomic data quality and beyond. *Curr. Protoc.*, **1**, e323 (2021).

386 36. Shingaki, M., & Uehara, T. (1984). Chromosome studies in the several species of sea
387 urchin from Okinawa. *Zool. Sci.*, **1**, 1008.

388 37. Ter-Hovhannisyan, V., Lomsadze, A., Chernoff, Y. O., & Borodovsky, M. Gene
389 prediction in novel fungal genomes using an *ab initio* algorithm with unsupervised
390 training. *Genome Res.*, **18**, 1979-1990 (2008).

391

392

393 **Table 1.** Genome assembly statistic and sequencing information.

394 **Table 2.** Scaffold information of 22 pseudochromosomes.

395 **Table 3.** List of telomeric repeats identified in the genome.

396 **Table 4.** Statistics of annotated repetitive elements.

397

398 **Figure 1. Genomic information of *Diadema setosum*.** A) Photo of *D. setosum*; B) Statistics
399 of the assembled genome; C) Omni-C contact map of the assembly visualised using Juicebox
400 v1.11.08 (details can be found in Additional Table 6); D) Genomescope report with k-mer =
401 21; E) Repetitive elements distribution in the assembled genome.

402

403 **Supplementary Information 1.** Genome and transcriptome sequencing information.

404 **Supplementary Information 2.** GenomeScope statistics with K-mer length 21.

405 **Supplementary Information 3.** Genome assembly QC and contaminant/cobiont detection.

Figure 1



B)

	<i>Diadema setosum</i>
Accession number	JAWLSL000000000
Total length (bp)	885,842,048
Number	101
Mean length (bp)	8,770,713
N_count	0.0093%
Gaps	412
N50	38,268,380
N50n	11
BUSCOs	98.10%
HiFi (X)	21
HiFi Reads	2,193,509
HiFi Bases	18,531,991,835
HiFi average length	8,449
Gene models	27,478

