1 **First detection of *Anopheles stephensi* in Ghana using molecular surveillance**

2 Yaw A. Afrane[1]**,** Anisa Abdulai[1], Abdul R. Mohammed[1], Yaw Akuamoah-Boateng[1], Christopher

3 Mfum Owusu-Asenso[1], Isaac K. Sraku[1], Stephina A. Yanney[1], Keziah Malm[2] & Neil F. Lobo[3].

4

5 **Affiliations**

6 [1] University of Ghana, Accra, Ghana

7 [2] Ghana Health Service, Accra, Ghana

8 [3] University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN, USA

9

10 **Abstract**

11 The invasive *Anopheles stephensi* mosquito has been rapidly expanding in range in Africa over

12 the last decade, spreading from the Indian sub-continent to several East African countries

13 (Djibouti, Ethiopia, Sudan, Somalia and Kenya) and now in West Africa, Nigeria. The rapid

14 expansion of this invasive vector poses a major threat to current malaria control and elimination

15 efforts. In line with the WHO's strategy to stop the spread of this invasive species by enhancing

16 surveillance and control measures in Africa, we incorporated morphological and molecular

17 surveillance of *An. stephensi* into routine entomological surveillance of malaria vectors in the

18 city of Accra, Ghana. Here, we report on the first detection of *An. stephensi* in Ghana. *An.*

19 *stephensi* mosquitoes were confirmed using PCR and sequencing of the ITS2 regions. These

20 findings highlight the urgent need for increased surveillance and response strategies to mitigate

21 the spread of *An. stephensi* in Ghana.

22

Background

Anopheles stephensi is an invasive mosquito species originating from parts of Southeast Asia and the Arabian Peninsula (1). The ability of this species to utilize artificial containers for larval sites has made this vector capable of thriving in urban areas, setting them apart from other major malaria vectors that primarily breed in rural areas (2). *An. stephensi* is capable of transmitting both *P. falciparum* and *P. vivax* (1,3). Over the last decade, *An. stephensi* has been expanding in range and has now been documented in several countries in Africa (4). It was first detected in Djibouti, the Horn of Africa in 2012, where it was implicated in an urban malaria outbreak (5). It was also detected in Ethiopia in 2016 and 2018, where it is well-established in eastern Ethiopia (6,7). *An. stephensi* was subsequently detected in Sudan (2016), Somalia (2019), Nigeria (2020) and Kenya (2023) (4,5,7–9).

The rapid expansion of *An. stephensi* in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) which has the highest burden of malaria globally, is a major public health concern. The spread of this invasive species could lead to high malaria transmission in urban areas though malaria is typically a rural disease. In Djibouti, *An. stephensi* mosquitoes are thought to be responsible for an increase in malaria incidence, from 1 to 4 cases in 2013 to 49.8 cases/1,000 persons in 2019 (10). With over 40% of the population in SSA living in urban areas, the spread of *An. stephensi* into these receptive areas will currently put about 126 million people at risk of malaria (2,4). Also, this invasive vector has been found to be resistant to insecticides further increasing the risk of malaria transmission in combination with limiting intervention efficacy (11–13). *An. stephensi* mosquitoes from Somalia were found to be resistant to several insecticide classes, especially pyrethroids (13).

2

44   The World Health Organization issued an initiative in 2022 aimed at strengthening

45   surveillance, increasing collaborations and prioritizing research to help stop the spread of *An.*

46   *stephensi* in SSA and find strategies to combat or eliminate the vector in areas that have been

47   invaded (4). Morphological and molecular surveillance of *An. stephensi* were incorporated into

48   routine entomological surveillance of malaria vectors in the city of Accra, Ghana, following the

49   WHO initiative, that seeks to take coordinated action to limit the spread of this invasive species

50   by improving surveillance and control efforts in Africa (4). This study outlines the entomological

51   surveillance that documents the identification of this invasive species in Ghana.

52   **Methods**

53   **Study Sites**

54   Sampling was conducted in 8 sites within the city of Accra, Ghana, as part of routine

55   entomological surveillance from January 2022 to July 2022. These sites were categorized to

56   represent different environments and socio-economic status; irrigated urban farming (IUF) sites

57   (Tuba and Dzorwulu), lower socioeconomic (LS) sites (Nima and Chorkor), middle

58   socioeconomic (MS) sites (Dansoman and Teshie) and high socioeconomic (HS) sites (East

59   Legon and Cantonment). Tuba (5° 30' 47"N 0° 23' 16" W) and Dzorwulu

60   (5°36′53″N 0°12′03″W) are sites where irrigated farming is practised all year round leading to

61   the creation of mosquito breeding sites. Socio-economic sites were classified based on their

62   population, housing structures and the availability of proper drainage and sanitation systems.

63   Low socioeconomic sites, Nima (5° 35′ 0″ N, 0° 12′ 0″ W) and Chorkor (5°31′39″N 0°13′55″W)

64   are densely populated slums with poor sanitation and inadequate drainage systems. Dansoman

65   (5° 33′ 0″ N, 0° 16′ 0″ W) and Teshie (5° 35′ 0″ N, 0° 6′ 0″ W) are middle socioeconomic sites

66   with more standard residential structures with well-designed drainage and sanitation systems but

3

67   poorly managed. High socioeconomic sites, Cantonment (5° 35′ 10″ N, 0° 10′ 35″ W) and East

68   Legon (5°38'16.39"N, 0°9'40.33"W) have proper housing structures with good sanitation and

69   drainage systems. Accra is the capital city of Ghana and it is the most populous. Accra lies in the

70   coastal savannah zone of Ghana, with an annual mean temperature of 26.5 °C and an average

71   annual precipitation of 787 mm. Figure 1 shows a map of the routine surveillance sites.

72   **Figure 1**: Routine entomological surveillance sites in Accra, Ghana

73

74   **Larval Habitat Characterization**

75   Larval habitats identified in each site were grouped into two; natural habitats and man-

76   made habitats. The man-made habitats included ditches, footprints, tyres and tyre tracks while

77   natural habitats included swamps, furrows and natural ponds. The land-use type where the larval

78   habitats were found was recorded. The geographical coordinates of each larval habitat were

79   recorded using a GPS device (Garmin eTrex 10 Worldwide Handheld GPS Navigator).

80

81   **Larval mosquito sampling and densities**

82   Larval sampling was conducted for all potential breeding sites using the standard WHO

83   dippers and small ladles for smaller habitats (14). The total number of dips was recorded as

84   described by Hinne *et al.* (14). The number of larvae and pupae was recorded, and the larval

85   density was calculated as the ratio of the number of larvae collected per dip (14,15). Larval

86   sampling was done in every site monthly for the dry (February – March) and rainy (June – July)

87   seasons of 2022. Larval samples were transported to the insectary at the Department of Medical

88   Microbiology, University of Ghana Medical School, where they were raised into adults for

89   morphological identification.

4

90   **Morphological and molecular identification of mosquito samples**

91   Adults raised from larvae sampled were morphologically identified to species using their

92   palps, wings, abdomen and legs using the keys of Nagpal and Sharma (16) and Coetzee (17).

93   DNA was extracted from the mosquito legs using the alcohol precipitation method (18). PCR

94   amplifications were carried out to detect *An. stephensi* using primers targeting the ITS region

95   based on previously described protocols by Singh et al. (19). Members of the *An. gambiae s.l*

96   complex were further identified by PCR using the extracted DNA as the template. Four sets of

97   oligonucleotide primers (*An. gambiae, An. arabiensis, An. melas* and universal primer) were

98   used in the PCR for the identification of members of the *Anopheles gambiae* s.l species complex

99   (20). *Anopheles gambiae* s.s *and An. coluzzii* were distinguished by PCR-RFLP using previously

100   described protocols (21).

101

102   **Molecular Species Identification - Sequencing**

103   After PCR, mosquitoes that did not produce bands indicative of the *An. gambiae* complex

104   (n=11) were subjected to Sanger sequencing of the ITS2 regions and analysed based on

105   comparisons to the NCBI database (22).

106

107   **Results**

108   *Anopheles* **larval densities in different habitat types across different sites**

109   Ten (10) different habitat types were encountered during the larval sampling. The highest

110   larval density during the dry and wet seasons was observed in drainage ditches from Chorkor

111   (9.72 larvae/dip) and swamps in Teshie (20.3 larvae/dip) respectively. Drainage ditches were

112   consistently productive across almost all the sites in both seasons. The most productive habitat

113   type across all the sites was drainage ditches. However, habitat types such as footprints, swamps

114   and tyre tracks also recorded low to high larval densities in some of the sites (0.25 to 20.3

115   larvae/dip). In Tuba, Nima and Dansoman, where *An. stephensi* mosquitoes were found, and

116   some of the more productive habitats were drainage ditches (1.45 to 8.39 larvae/dip) and tyre

117   tracks (0.77 to 14.96 larvae/dip) (Table 1). Figure 2 shows habitats where *An. stephensi*

118   mosquitoes were found. *An. gambiae s.l.* larval density was significantly associated with season

119   ($t = 4.14$, $P = 0.00$).

120

121   **Table 1:** *Anopheles* larval density in the dry and rainy seasons

Habitat type	Sites/Seasons															
	Tuba		Dzorwulu		Nima		Chorkor		Dansoman		Teshie		East Legon		Cantonments	
	Dry	Wet	Dry	Wet	Dry	Wet	Dry	Wet	Dry	Wet	Dry	Wet	Dry	Wet	Dry	Wet
Man-made pond	5.15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Car tyre	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.3	0	0	0	0
Drainage ditch	6.08	0	1.68	0.59	8.39	2.25	9.72	4.35	1.83	**1.45**	6.7	5.78	1.14	0.9	2.33	1.43
Footprint	0	1.6	0	3.53	0	1.97	0	6.44	0	4.52	0	5.67	0	0	0	0
Furrow	3.18	6.27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Natural pond	0	0	6.15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Puddle	0	4.16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	4.06	0	0	0	0
Swamp	0	0	5.75	1.27	0	**2.67**	0	0	0	2.31	0	20.3	0	1	0	2.85
Tyre track	12	14.96	0	0	0	2.69	0	3	0	3.16	0.77	8.95	0	1.52	0	1
Well	**0.96**	0	0	0	0	0	7.5	4	0	0	0	0	0	0.25	0	0

122   Values in bold represent habitat types were *An. stephensi* larvae were found.

123

124   **Figure 2:** Habitats were *An. stephensi* larvae were found. **a** Dug-out well (Tuba), **b** drainage

125   ditches (Dansoman), **c** swamp (Nima)

126

127   **Species distribution of *Anopheles* mosquitoes**

128   A total of 1169 mosquitoes obtained from the larval sampling were identified using

129   morphological keys and PCR methods for speciation. Out of this number, 551(47.13 %) were *An.*

130   *gambiae s.s*, 582 (49.79 %) *An. coluzzii* and 32 (2.74%) Hybrids. Four samples (0.34 %) were

131   identified as *An. stephensi* using a modified PCR-based method by Singh et al. (19) and

132   sequencing (22)(Table 2). Results from the NCBI blast showed that the *An. stephensi* samples

133   had 100% sequence similarity with *An. stephensi* voucher A268 5.8S ribosomal RNA gene and

134   internal transcribed spacer 2 (GenBank: MH650999.1) (Table 3).

135   **Table 2:** *Anopheles* larvae species distribution across different sites

Site	Site Category	Species, no. (%)				
		An. gambiae	*An. coluzzii*	**Hybrids**	*An. stephensi*	**Total**
Tuba	**IUF**	197 (61)	116 (35.9)	8 (2.5)	2 (0.6)	323 (100)
Dzorwulu		5 (31.3)	11 (68.7)	0	0	16 (100)
Nima	**LS**	67 (33.5)	120 (60)	12 (6)	1 (0.5)	200 (100)
Chorkor		17 (29.3)	41 (70.7)	0	0	58 (100)
Dansoman	**MS**	7 (7.1)	84 (85.7)	6 (6.1)	1(1.1)	98 (100)
Teshie		166 (46.62)	186 (52.2)	3 (1.2)	0	355 (100)
East Legon	**HS**	77 (77.7)	19 (19.3)	3 (3)	0	99 (100)
Cantonment		15 (75)	5 (25)	0	0	20 (100)
Total		**551 (47.13)**	**582 (49.79)**	**32 (2.74)**	**4 (0.34)**	**1169 (100)**

136

137   **Table 3:** Sequencing results of suspected *An. stephensi* samples

Sample ID	ITS2 Contig	NCBI blast result	GenBank accession number of best match	%Identity match	Final Species ID	GenBank accession numbers
DN 035	283	*An. stephensi* voucher	MH650999.1	100%	*An. stephensi*	OR711900
TP 002S	283	*An. stephensi* voucher	MH650999.1	100%	*An. stephensi*	OR711899

138

7

139

140

141 **Discussion**

142 The invasion of *An. stephensi* in sub-Saharan Africa, which bears the world's highest malaria

143 burden, represents a significant concern for public health. This is because of their ability to thrive

144 in urban areas and transmit both *P. falciparum* and *P. vivax*. Here we report the first detection of

145 *An. stephensi* in Ghana using molecular surveillance. *An .stephensi* was found in larval mosquito

146 samples from urban areas of Accra, Ghana, specifically Tuba, Dansoman and Nima.

147 While the vector's spread could have occurred through land borders, air travel, or

148 seaports, it is noteworthy that in Ghana, it was discovered at considerable distances from these

149 points of entry, suggesting possible introduction via long-distance migration (Atieli et al 2023),

150 local transportation, and/or human activities. Similar studies in Eastern Ethiopia have reported

151 the collection of *An. stephensi* samples far inland along transportation routes that are not

152 proximate to any seaport entry, underscoring the role of long-distance migration, local

153 transportation, and human activities in driving the dispersal of this invasive species (23). This

154 highlights the need to expand surveillance efforts to determine the distribution and spread of *An.*

155 *stephensi* in Ghana. It is likely that this invasive species may have spread to other parts of Accra

156 as well as other regions of Ghana.

157 *Anopheles stephensi* is known to breed in various types of larval habitats, including man-

158 made water containers such as plastic tanks, cisterns, barrels, discarded tires, and plastic

159 receptacles, as well as freshwater pools such as stream margins and irrigation ditches.

160 Remarkably, in this study, *An. stephensi* was found breeding in habitats distinct from the typical

161 ones observed in Asia and East Africa (10,24). In Ghana, this vector was identified in dug-out

8

162 wells within irrigated vegetable farms and roadside ditches. Additionally, it was observed to

163 breed alongside *An. gambiae s.s* and *An. coluzzii,* whereas it is commonly associated with Aedes

164 mosquitoes.

165   Expanding surveillance efforts for *An. stephensi* in both urban and rural areas should be a

166 primary focus of Ghana's National Malaria Elimination Program. Such efforts are crucial to

167 curbing the dissemination of this invasive species within Ghana, which could potentially elevate

168 malaria prevalence in Accra, traditionally considered a low malaria transmission zone within

169 Ghana(25). The rapid expansion of *An. stephensi* also raises the risk of its colonization in rural

170 regions of Ghana, where malaria prevalence is already high, resulting in intensified malaria

171 transmission, disease morbidity, and mortality. Incorporating molecular-based detection tools

172 into surveillance systems is paramount for the early detection of invasive malaria vectors,

173 preventing their adaptation and local establishment(8).

174

175 **Conclusion**

176   The first report of the invasion of *An. stephensi* in Accra, Ghana, represents a major

177 public health concern, given the heightened risk of urban malaria outbreaks. It is imperative to

178 reinforce surveillance and response strategies in both rural and urban settings across Ghana, with

179 specific attention directed towards *Anopheles stephensi,* to mitigate the spread of this invasive

180 species.

181

182 **Acknowledgement**

185   YAA, KM and NFL were responsible for the study design, supervised the data collection

186   and contributed to the writing of the manuscript. AA, ARM, YAB, CMO-A, SAY and IS

187   performed the data collection, laboratory work and analysis. AA, YAA and NFL drafted the

188   manuscript. All the authors read and approved the final manuscript.

189

190   **Biography**

191   Yaw A. Afrane is a Professor of Vector Biology at University of Ghana, Accra, Ghana. His

192   research focus on vector and parasite biology and epidemiology with over 15 years of research

193   experience in vector-borne diseases.

194   **Address for Correspondence**

195   Yaw A. Afrane,

196   Email: yafrane@ug.edu.gh (YAA)

197   Phone No: +233542286113

198

199   **References**

200   1.   Sinka ME, Bangs MJ, Manguin S, Chareonviriyaphap T, Patil AP, Temperley WH, et al.

201   The dominant Anopheles vectors of human malaria in the Asia-Pacific region: occurrence

202   data, distribution maps and bionomic précis. Parasit Vectors. 2011;4(1):1–46.

203   2.   Sinka ME, Pironon S, Massey NC, Longbottom J, Hemingway J, Moyes CL, et al. A new

204   malaria vector in Africa: Predicting the expansion range of *Anopheles stephensi* and

205   identifying the urban populations at risk. Proc Natl Acad Sci [Internet]. 2020 Oct

206   6;117(40):24900–8. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2003976117

207 3.   Thomas S, Ravishankaran S, Justin NA, Asokan A, Mathai MT, Valecha N, et al. Resting

208   and feeding preferences of *Anopheles stephensi* in an urban setting, perennial for malaria.

209   Malar J. 2017;16(1):1–7.

210 4.   World Health Organization. WHO initiative to stop the spread of *Anopheles stephensi* in

211   Africa. 2022;4. Available from: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-UCN-

212   GMP-2022.06

213 5.   Faulde MK, Rueda LM, Khaireh BA. First record of the Asian malaria vector *Anopheles*

214   *stephensi* and its possible role in the resurgence of malaria in Djibouti, Horn of Africa.

215   Acta Trop. 2014;139:39–43.

216 6.   Balkew M, Mumba P, Dengela D, Yohannes G, Getachew D, Yared S, et al. Geographical

217   distribution of *Anopheles stephensi* in eastern Ethiopia. Parasit Vectors. 2020;13(1):1–8.

218 7.   Carter TE, Yared S, Gebresilassie A, Bonnell V, Damodaran L, Lopez K, et al. First

219   detection of *Anopheles stephensi* Liston, 1901 (Diptera: culicidae) in Ethiopia using

220   molecular and morphological approaches. Acta Trop. 2018;188:180–6.

221 8.   Ahmed A, Khogali R, Elnour M-AB, Nakao R, Salim B. Emergence of the invasive

222   malaria vector *Anopheles stephensi* in Khartoum State, Central Sudan. Parasit Vectors

223   [Internet]. 2021;14(1):511. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-021-05026-4

224 9.   Ochomo EO, Milanoi S. Molecular surveillance leads to the first detection of *Anopheles*

225   *stephensi* in Kenya. Research square. [Preprint]. 2023 [cited 2023 Sep 9]. Available from:

226   https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-2498485/v1

227 10. Pommier de Santi V, Khaireh BA, Chiniard T, Pradines B, Taudon N, Larréché S, et al.

11

228   Role of Anopheles stephensi Mosquitoes in Malaria Outbreak, Djibouti, 2019.

229   Emerg Infect Dis J [Internet]. 2021;27(6):1697. Available from:

230   https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/eid/article/27/6/20-4557_article

231 11. Acford-Palmer H, Phelan JE, Tadesse FG, Kristan M, Collins E, Spadar A, et al.

232   Identification of two insecticide resistance markers in Ethiopian *Anopheles stephensi*

233   mosquitoes using a multiplex amplicon sequencing assay. Sci Rep [Internet].

234   2023;13(1):5612. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-32336-7

235 12. Enayati A, Hanafi-Bojd AA, Sedaghat MM, Zaim M, Hemingway J. Evolution of

236   insecticide resistance and its mechanisms in *Anopheles stephensi* in the WHO Eastern

237   Mediterranean Region. Malar J [Internet]. 2020;19(1):258. Available from:

238   https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-020-03335-0

239 13. Yared S, Gebressielasie A, Damodaran L, Bonnell V, Lopez K, Janies D, et al. Insecticide

240   resistance in *Anopheles stephensi* in Somali Region, eastern Ethiopia. Malar J [Internet].

241   2020;19(1):180. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-020-03252-2

242 14. Hinne IA, Attah SK, Mensah BA, Forson AO, Afrane YA. Larval habitat diversity and

243   Anopheles mosquito species distribution in different ecological zones in Ghana. Parasit

244   Vectors [Internet]. 2021;14(1):193. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-021-

245   04701-w

246 15. Kweka EJ, Munga S, Himeidan Y, Githeko AK, Yan G. Assessment of mosquito larval

247   productivity among different land use types for targeted malaria vector control in the

248   western Kenya highlands. Parasit Vectors [Internet]. 2015;8(1):356. Available from:

249   https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-015-0968-1

250   16.   Nagpal, B. N, Sharma V. Indian Anophelines [Internet]. Oxford and IBH publishing co.

251   pvt.ltd; 1995. 426 p. Available from:

252   https://nimr.org.in/images/pdf/Indian_Anophelines_compressed.pdf

253   17.   Coetzee M. Key to the females of Afrotropical Anopheles mosquitoes (Diptera:

254   Culicidae). Malar J [Internet]. 2020;19(1):70. Available from:

255   https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-020-3144-9

256   18.   Machani MG, Ochomo E, Zhong D, Zhou G, Wang X, Githeko AK, et al. Phenotypic,

257   genotypic and biochemical changes during pyrethroid resistance selection in *Anopheles*

258   *gambiae* mosquitoes. Sci Rep [Internet]. 2020;10(1):19063. Available from:

259   https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-75865-1

260   19.   Singh OP, Kaur T, Sharma G, Kona MP, Mishra S, Kapoor N, et al. Molecular Tools for

261   Early Detection of Invasive Malaria Vector *Anopheles stephensi* Mosquitoes. Emerg

262   Infect Dis. 2023;29(1):36–44.

263   20.   Scott JA, Brogdon WG, Collins FH. Identification of Single Specimens of the *Anopheles*

264   *Gambiae* Complex by the Polymerase Chain Reaction. Am J Trop Med Hyg.

265   1993;49(4):520–9.

266   21.   Santolamazza F, Calzetta M, Etang J, Barrese E, Dia I, Caccone A, et al. Distribution of

267   knock-down resistance mutations in *Anopheles gambiae* molecular forms in west and

268   west-central Africa. Malar J. 2008;7(1):74.

269   22.   St. Laurent B, Cooke M, Krishnankutty SM, Asih P, Mueller JD, Kahindi S, et al.

270   Molecular Characterization Reveals Diverse and Unknown Malaria Vectors in the

271   Western Kenyan Highlands. Am Soc Trop Med Hyg [Internet]. 2016;94(2):327–35.

272   Available from: https://www.ajtmh.org/view/journals/tpmd/94/2/article-p327.xml

273   23.   Balkew M, Mumba P, Yohannes G, Abiy E, Getachew D, Yared S, et al. An update on the

274   distribution, bionomics, and insecticide susceptibility of *Anopheles stephensi* in Ethiopia,

275   2018–2020. Malar J. 2021;20(1):1–13.

276   24.   Thomas S, Ravishankaran S, Justin JA, Asokan A, Mathai MT, Valecha N, et al.

277   Overhead tank is the potential breeding habitat of *Anopheles stephensi* in an urban

278   transmission setting of Chennai, India. Malar J [Internet]. 2016;15(1):274. Available from:

279   https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-016-1321-7

280   25.   NMCP. 2021 Annual Report: National Malaria Control Program. 2022;

281

282

283

KEY

Sahel savannah

Forest zone

Coastal savannah

● Collection sites

● *An. stephensi* sites