Inter-brain coupling reflects disciplinary differences in real-world classroom learning

- Inter-brain coupling reflects disciplinary differences.

■ **Authors**

Jingjing Chen[1,6], Penghao Qian[2], Xinqiao Gao[3], Baosong Li[4,3], Yu Zhang[5] & Dan Zhang[1,6]

■ **Affiliations**

1 Dept. of Psychology, School of Social Sciences, Tsinghua University, China

2 College of Information and Electrical Engineering, China Agricultural University, China

3 Beijing No. 19 High School, Beijing, China

4 College of Education, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua, China

5 Institution of Education, Tsinghua University, China

6 Tsinghua Laboratory of Brain and Intelligence, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China

* Corresponding authors:

Dan Zhang, dzhang@tsinghua.edu.cn, +86-10-62796737

Yu Zhang, zhangyu2011@tsinghua.edu.cn, +86-10-62785686

Abstract

Classroom is the primary site for learning. One important feature of classroom learning is its organization into different disciplines. While disciplinary differences could substantially influence students' learning processes, little is known about the neural mechanism underlying successful disciplinary learning. In the present study, wearable EEG devices were used to record a group of high school students during their classes of a soft (Chinese) and a hard (Math) discipline throughout one semester. The students with higher learning outcomes in Chinese were found to have better inter-brain neural couplings with their excellent peers, whereas the students with higher Math outcomes were found to have better couplings with the class average. Moreover, the inter-brain couplings showed distinct dominant frequencies for the two disciplines. Our results illustrate disciplinary differences in successful learning from an inter-brain perspective and suggest the neural activities of excellent peers and class average as exemplars for soft and hard disciplines.

Teaser

Successful classroom learning is associated with distinct inter-brain coupling patterns for soft and hard disciplines

Introduction

Classroom learning, where dozens of students learn together under the guidance of a teacher in a classroom, is the primary scenario for human beings' formal learning. Due to its practical importance for personal development, classroom learning has drawn consistent attention from the fields of education and psychology[1–3]. It has also been considered an ideal starting point for real-world neuroscience In recent years for its widely-existence and semi-controlled structures[4].

One important feature of classroom learning in educational practice is its organization into different disciplines (e.g., Math, history, physics, or language courses). It is widely acknowledged that disciplinary differences could substantially influence classroom learning. The hard-soft dimension is possibly one of the most influential frameworks regarding disciplinary differences[5]. Hard disciplines (e.g., math, natural science, and engineering) are known for the relatively hierarchical, linear knowledge structure and straightforward, uncontentious learning contents. Soft disciplines are usually associated with loose-structured, non-linear knowledge and contents that require more constructive and interpretative activity (e.g., history, philosophy, and language courses)[5–7]. The differences in the disciplinary knowledge have been proven to influence teachers' teaching goals and correspondingly shape students' learning processes towards success[8,9]. For instance, it has been proposed that students prioritize fixed knowledge from teachers when learning hard disciplines over their soft counterparts[10]. Nevertheless, it should be noted that disciplinary differences in the successful learning process have mainly been inferred based on indirect data such as expert evaluation, retrospective self-reports, and learning outcomes.[7,10,11]. There is a dearth of empirical studies directly addressing the learning process itself[12].

The emerging inter-brain coupling analysis has demonstrated its potential as a powerful tool to directly capture the learning process. The inter-brain coupling approach identifies neural correlates of interests by computing one's inter-brain coupling to other people who are situated in the same learning environment or share the same learning tasks (e.g., attending lectures or videos in a classroom)[4,13–16]. Recent studies have reported that the average inter-brain coupling from one student to all their peers or classmates (termed as 'student-class coupling' in the following) during the learning process was positively correlated with students' engagement[4], memory retention performance[15], and their final-term exam scores[14]. These findings suggest that student-class coupling is capable of characterizing one's moment-to-moment learning process[4,14]. At the same time, it should be noted that in these studies, the disciplines investigated are hard ones, such as biology, computer science, and physics[4,13–16]. As the learning of hard disciplines is straightforward and non-ambiguous (e.g., the application of fixed mathematical rules)[17], it is plausible to assume that similar neural activities responding to the learning contents could emerge among classmates during the learning process. Hereby, averaging might facilitate the extraction of the neural activity shared across students that could effectively represent the 'desired' learning process as intended by the courses. Therefore, it seemed promising to consider the 'class average'

84 neural activity for representing a successful learning process in hard disciplines.
85 However, since creative ideation and personalized construction are cherished in soft
86 disciplines, the differences in teaching goals might lead to a different learning process
87 for students to meet teachers' requirements[7]. Accordingly, the possibly different
88 learning processes towards success might undermine the importance of 'class average'
89 in the context of soft disciplines. Nevertheless, no single inter-brain study has addressed
90 the issue of disciplinary differences.

91 Excellent peers could serve as a candidate exemplar to represent a successful
92 learning process in soft disciplines. Here, excellent peers (termed as 'excellence' in the
93 following) refer to the students in a class with top learning outcomes. As good learning
94 outcomes have long been associated with an effective learning process[18], it is
95 reasonable to take the neural activities of excellence to represent the learning process
96 towards success. Compared to the 'class average', the excellence could be a better
97 candidate for a successful learning process of soft disciplines, given a potentially
98 different learning goal. While comparing these two representatives (i.e., 'class average'
99 vs. excellence) for successful learning could be challenging with conventional single-
100 brain-based methods, the inter-brain approach enables us to compare them directly.
101 Specifically, it is straightforward to compute student-class coupling and student-
102 excellence coupling similarly. Comparing the student-class coupling and student-
103 excellence coupling during the learning of soft and hard disciplines may give us insights
104 into disciplinary differences in successful learning.

105 The real-world classroom is expected to serve as an ideal site to investigate the
106 disciplinary differences in successful learning. Compared to the conventional
107 laboratory-based studies that have mainly focused on strictly-controlled, parametric
108 experimental designs (i.e., based on contrasts across simplified learning tasks to isolate
109 targeted factors in disciplinary differences) to remotely resemble real-world learning[19–
110 21], classroom-based studies are advantageous for their high ecological validity since
111 they could directly reflect the complex and dynamic disciplinary learning process that
112 happens every day. The recent development of wearable electroencephalogram (EEG)
113 devices has enabled researchers to track students' learning processes in real-classroom
114 settings[22–25]. For instance, the EEG device in the form of a headband could support the
115 easy acquisition of EEG data from an entire class of students for its portability, usability,
116 low purchase, and running cost. Wearable EEG devices have been proved to be
117 effective in tracking students' sustained attention, situational interests, and engagement
118 during their classroom learning processes[4,26,27]. The ecologically naturalistic paradigm
119 with wearable neuroimaging technologies is expected to provide insights into
120 understanding the disciplinary differences 'in the wild' and offer a possible 'fast
121 lane' to apply neuroscience findings into educational theoretical construction and
122 practical application[23,28].

123 Therefore, the present study aimed to investigate disciplinary differences in the
124 successful learning process by recording EEGs from a group of high-school students in
125 China during their regular classroom courses for a whole semester. Math and Chinese

126 (the mother tongue learning in China) were chosen as representative courses for the
127 hard discipline and soft discipline, respectively, as they are two of the most important
128 compulsory courses before college in China. Based on the state-of-the-art
129 understanding of disciplinary learning[4,14,15], we hypothesized that the 'class average'
130 might effectively represent the successful learning process during Math courses (a hard
131 discipline). In contrast, excellent peers could effectively represent the successful
132 learning process of Chinese (a soft discipline). Correspondingly, the student-class
133 coupling was expected to be correlated with the learning outcome of Math courses, and
134 the student-excellence coupling was expected to be correlated with the learning
135 outcome of Chinese courses. No clear hypothesis regarding the specifically involved
136 frequency band was formulated due to limited evidence. Any discovery would promote
137 our understanding of the neural mechanism behind the successful disciplinary learning
138 process in the classroom.
139
140 **Result**
141

143 **Fig.1:** Experiment paradigm. **a**, An illustration of the experimental setup for students wearing an
144 EEG headband during their regular classroom learning; **b,** An illustration of the recorded EEG signal
145 during a session; EEGs were recorded at Fp1 and Fp2 for all the students for 40 minutes during a
146 session.
147

Real-world classroom setting-up and inter-brain analysis

149 As demonstrated in Fig.1**a**, thirty-four students from the same class (thirty-seven
150 students in total) from grade 10 of a high school in Beijing volunteered to join the study.
151 Wearable EEG headbands with two dry electrodes covering Fp1/2 were chosen to
152 record students' brain signals during their regular Math and Chinese sessions in the
153 classroom throughout one semester. While the EEG headbands have demonstrated their
154 effectiveness in tracking brain states in tasks such as resting-state, sudoku games, and

155 surgery[29–33], their signal quality was also validated here with a 2-minute eye-
156 closed/open task. Twenty-two students out of the same class participated in this task in
157 their classroom environment. Supplementary Fig.S1 shows the signal quality validation
158 results: compared to the eye-open condition, an expected spectral peak in the alpha
159 range (8-13 Hz) was observed in the eye-closed condition, demonstrating the reliability
160 of the headband for EEG recordings in the classroom.

161   The data collection lasted for four months to cover the whole semester. Each month,
162 students' EEG signals were acquired during Chinese and Math sessions for one week
163 (one or two sessions per day) following the regular curriculum. The total number of
164 sessions was 38, with 18 sessions for Chinese and 20 sessions for Math. The Math
165 course included the introduction of planar vectors, cubic geometry, plural, statistics,
166 and probability. The Chinese courses included reading ancient and modern poems,
167 essays, and novels, and an introduction to writing. Each session lasted for 40 minutes.
168 The students' final-term exam scores for Chinese and Math at the end of this semester
169 were taken to indicate their learning outcomes. Compared with the specially-designed
170 quiz, final-term exams were expected to boost the ecological validity as they were
171 derived from the highly-developed evaluation system in the daily educational practice.
172 The final exams covered the contents of the whole semester.

173

174

175   **Fig 2:** A schematic illustration of the inter-brain coupling analysis. **a,** Computations of
176   pairwise total interdependence (TI) matrix for each pair of students (i, j) for each session, at

177   the frequency bands of theta, alpha, low-beta, and high-beta. The TI values were then
178   normalized within each session and averaged across sessions to obtain an inter-brain coupling
179   value for each pair of students. **b,** Student-class coupling was obtained by averaging TI values
180   over all possible pairwise combinations between one student and the rest of the class. **c,**
181   Student-excellence coupling was computed by averaging TI values over all possible pairwise
182   combinations between one student and all the excellences in the class, except for this student
183   himself/herself if the student was one of the excellences.

184   The total interdependence (TI) method has been employed in the present study to
185   calculate the inter-brain coupling by computing the magnitude squared coherence
186   between brain signals simultaneously recorded from two students[4,16,34]. Recent inter-
187   brain studies have validated the efficiency of TI methods in tracking individuals'
188   engagement and valence levels in naturalistic scenarios such as a classroom and a
189   concert hall[4,35]. Then, to test our hypothesis, student-class coupling and student-
190   excellence coupling were calculated for each student as indicators of the disciplinary
191   learning process for the whole semester, as shown in Fig.3**b, c**. Then, Pearson's
192   correlations between individuals' student-class coupling (or student-excellence
193   coupling) and their corresponding final exam scores were calculated separately for soft
194   and hard disciplines to identify neural correlates of successful learning. For student-
195   class coupling, all students were included in the correlation analysis. However, for
196   student-excellence coupling, the excellences themselves were excluded during the
197   correlation analysis between student-excellence coupling and final exam scores. For
198   example, if individuals' student-excellence coupling was computed with the top
199   N_E students, the top N_E students' coupling values, as well as their final exam scores,
200   would be removed. The number of students used to conduct the correlation analysis
201   would then be the number of students left after subtracting the top N_E students from
202   the total number of N students ($N - N_E$). Theta (4-8 Hz), alpha (8-13 Hz), low-beta
203   (13-18 Hz), and high-beta (18-30 Hz) bands were calculated separately in the inter-
204   brain coupling analysis.
205   **Theta-band student-class coupling reflects successful classroom learning for**
206   **Math**

Fig.3: Correlations between theta-band student-excellence/class coupling with one's final exam score for Chinese and Math. **a**, Scatter plots between theta-band student-excellence coupling (left, excellence number = 4) and theta-band student-class coupling (right) and the final exam scores of Math. **b**, Correlation r values as a function of the number of excellences included in the calculation of student-excellence coupling. **c**, Scatter plots between theta-band student-excellence coupling (left, excellence number = 4) and theta-band student-class coupling (right) and the final exam scores of Chinese. **d**, Correlation r values as a function of the number of excellences included in the calculation of student-excellence coupling. Note that the excellences themselves were not included in the correlation analysis. The star indicates a significant ($p < 0.05$) correlation.

Here, no significant correlations were observed between theta-band inter-brain coupling and the final exam scores for Chinese, neither in student-excellence coupling nor in student-class coupling (Fig.3**a, b**; student-excellence coupling: $r = 0.178$, $p =$

0.347, *n* = 30, excellence number = 4; student-class coupling: *r* = 0.110, *p* = 0.534, *n* = 34). On the other hand, theta-band student-class coupling during Math sessions was found to be positively correlated with the final exam scores for Math (Fig.3**c, d**): the students with higher learning outcomes in Math were found to have better inter-brain couplings with other classmates (*r* = 0.339, *p* = 0.0498, *n* = 34). No significant correlations between theta-band student-excellence coupling and Math scores were found, with the number of excellences included in the calculation of student-excellence coupling varying from 2 up to 6.

Fig.4: Correlations between alpha-band student-excellence/class coupling with one's final exam score of Chinese and Math. **a,** Scatter plots between alpha-band student-excellence coupling (left, excellence number = 4) and alpha-band student-class coupling (right) and the final exam score of Chinese. **b,** Correlation r values as a function of the number of excellences included in the calculation of student-excellence coupling. **c,** Scatter plots between alpha-band student-excellence coupling (left, excellence number = 4) and alpha-band student-class coupling (right) and the final exam scores of Math. **d,** Correlation r values as a function of the number of excellences included in the calculation of student-excellence coupling. Note that the excellences themselves were not included in the correlation analysis. Stars indicate significant ($p < 0.05$) correlation and the cross indicates a marginal significant ($p < 0.10$) correlation.

Alpha-band student-excellence coupling reflects successful classroom learning for Chinese

Alpha-band student-excellence coupling during Chinese sessions was significantly correlated with the final exam scores for Chinese (Fig.4**a**): the students with higher learning outcomes in Chinese were found to have better inter-brain couplings with their excellent peers ($r = 0.433$, $p = 0.017$, $n = 30$, excellence number = 4). The correlation remained significant or marginally significant when the number of excellences included in the calculation of student-excellence coupling varied from 2 to 6 (Fig.4**b**). No significant correlations between alpha-band student-class coupling and the final exam scores of Chinese were found ($r = 0.077$, $p = 0.665$, $n = 34$). Moreover, no significant correlations were observed between alpha-band inter-brain coupling and the final exam scores of Math, neither in student-excellence coupling nor in student-class coupling (Fig.4**c, d**; student-excellence coupling: $r = 0.266$, $p = 0.156$, $n = 30$, excellence number =4; student-class coupling: $r = 0.195$, $p = 0.270$, $n = 34$).

Frequency-specificity of outcome-related inter-brain coupling

Fig.5 further showed the overall inter-brain coupling results in four frequency bands (theta, alpha, low-beta, and high-beta). Inter-brain coupling in the theta and alpha bands was found to correlate with the final exam scores (as shown above) significantly. In contrast, the inter-brain coupling at the low-beta and high-beta bands failed to reach significance. We also conducted a similar inter-brain coupling analysis but focused on 1-20 Hz as several previous studies[4,16]. The results were shown in Supplementary Fig.S2: No significant correlations were found between 1-20 Hz inter-brain coupling and the final exam scores, demonstrating the value of separating different frequency bands.

Fig.5: Correlation r values between inter-brain coupling and the final exam scores at the theta, alpha, low-beta, and high-beta bands for **a**, Chinese and **b,** Math. Bars with a lighter color indicated student-excellence-coupling-based correlations (excellence number = 4), and bars with a darker color indicated student-class-coupling-based correlations. Stars indicated a significant ($p < 0.05$) correlations.

Correlation between Math performance
and inter-brain coupling in Chinese sessions

Correlation between Chinese performance
and inter-brain coupling in Math sessions

Fig.6: Results of correlation analysis by switching the discipline scores, i.e., correlating inter-brain coupling in Math sessions with the final exam scores of Chinese (**a**) and vice versa (**c**). **b,** Scatter plots between theta-band student-class coupling during Chinese sessions and the final exam scores of Math. Bars with a lighter color indicated student-excellence-coupling-based correlations (excellence number = 4), and bars with a darker color indicated student-class-coupling-based correlations. The stars indicated a significant ($p < 0.05$) correlation.

To explore the discipline-specificity of the inter-brain coupling results, the correlations between the final exam scores and inter-brain coupling were re-computed by switching the disciplinary scores (i.e., computing the correlation between inter-brain coupling during Math sessions and the final exam scores of Chinese and vice versa). As shown in Fig. 6**b**, theta-band student-class coupling during Chinese sessions is significantly correlated with the final exam scores of Math (student-class coupling: $r = 0.345$, $p = 0.045$, $n = 34$). No other correlations reached a significant level after switching.

Fig.7: The correlation between the single brain's relative frequency power in the theta, alpha, low-beta, and high beta band with one's final exam scores of Chinese (**a**) and Math (**c**). No significance was found in any of the frequency bands. **b,** Scatter plots between relative power in the theta band and the final exam scores of Chinese (the highest correlation for Chinese). **d,** Scatter plots between the relative power in the high-beta band and the final exam scores of Math (the highest correlation for Math).

Single-brain features fail to reflect successful learning

Additionally, we conducted similar correlational analyses between single-brain EEG features and the final exam scores. The relative power of the four frequency bands from each student was taken as the single-brain EEG features. As shown in Fig.7, the single-brain analysis reveals no significant correlations with the disciplinary final exam scores (Fig.7**b**; the highest correlation for Chinese in the theta band: $r = -0.180$, $p = 0.307$, $n = 34$; Fig.7**d**; the highest correlation for Math in the high-beta band: $r = -0.141$, $p = 0.425$, $n = 34$).

Discussion

In the present study, the learning processes of high school students while taking a soft (Chinese) and a hard (Math) discipline in their real classroom were recorded by wearable EEG devices for a whole semester. By taking their final-term exam scores as

324 learning outcomes, students with higher Chinese outcomes were found to be associated
325 with better inter-brain neural couplings with their excellent peers during the Chinese
326 courses, whereas students with higher Math outcomes were found to be associated with
327 better couplings with other classmates during both the Chinese and the Math courses.
328 Moreover, the neural couplings showed different dominant frequencies for the two
329 disciplines. While the outcome-related inter-brain coupling for Math was found in the
330 theta-band, the importance of the alpha-band was highlighted in the successful learning
331 for Chinese courses. No significant correlation was found between the single brain's
332 relative power and final exam scores in either discipline. Our results demonstrate the
333 feasibility of inter-brain coupling to eval students' successful learning process for both
334 soft and hard disciplines. More importantly, the present study provides insights into
335 understanding the disciplinary differences 'in the wild' from an inter-brain perspective,
336 suggesting the neural activities of excellent peers and class average as exemplars for
337 successful classroom learning in soft and hard disciplines, respectively.

338 The correlation between individuals' student-class coupling and their learning
339 outcomes for Math verified and extended previous findings of neural mechanisms
340 underlying the learning process. By investigating hard disciplines such as physics,
341 biology, and computer science, recent studies have demonstrated student-class coupling
342 as a useful tool to evaluate the learning process[13–15]. Our results about Math, another
343 representative hard discipline, are in line with these studies, where student-class
344 coupling was also found to be correlated with students' learning outcomes. Moreover,
345 after decomposing data into different frequency bands, our results extended previous
346 findings by showing the importance of the frontal theta-band activity during real-
347 classroom learning. Frontal theta activity has been reported to reflect cognitive
348 processes such as cognitive control[36–38], sustained attention[39], and working memory[40–
349 42], and has been found to increase in the arithmetic-related tasks[43]. During the learning
350 of hard disciplines, the emphasis on the development of a capacity to master and apply
351 the accepted scientific viewpoints would require the students to align with the course
352 material[6]. Hereby, the theta-band brain activity shared across classmates could reflect
353 students' continuous engagement with the course content. Then, theta-band student-
354 class coupling could imply the extent to which each student attended the course
355 content[4,15], or the extent to which each student interpreted the course content[14].
356 Therefore, better learning outcomes for Math are found to be associated with better
357 inter-brain coupling with other classmates in the theta band.

358 The positive correlation between theta-band student-class coupling during the
359 Chinese sessions and the learning outcomes of Math further suggested that the class-
360 level frontal theta-band activity could reflect the required cognitive processes shared
361 across the two disciplines. The learning process of Chinese would also rely on cognitive
362 control, sustained attention, and working memory, which allowed students to attend the
363 courses. Nevertheless, the non-significant correlation between theta-band student-class
364 coupling and the Chinese final exam scores in the present study might also suggest the
365 relatively loose link between these cognitive processes and the learning outcomes of

366 Chinese. This finding is similar to a previous study where students' studiousness and
367 continuous engagement have been suggested to be beneficial for both the learning of
368 Math and German. However, they are more important for the learning outcomes of
369 Math[44].

370   The positive correlation between alpha-band student-excellence coupling during
371 the Chinese sessions and the students' Chinese final exam scores provides evidence of
372 the critical neural correlates of successful learning in soft disciplines. The distinct
373 frequency band (alpha) compared to Math (theta) suggests that successful learning of
374 Chinese and Math relies on substantially different cognitive processes. Despite the lack
375 of neuroscience evidence on soft-discipline learning, the frontal alpha-band activity
376 could be related to the inhibition of stimulus-driven attention[39,45] and was found to be
377 involved in tasks with high internal processing demands such as creative ideation[46,47]
378 and imagination[48]. At the same time, student-excellence coupling rather than student-
379 class coupling was informative about the learning outcomes in Chinese, highlighting
380 the neural activities of excellent peers as exemplars for successful learning in soft
381 disciplines. Although different excellence might have different internal interpretations
382 of the course contents, they could share the similar temporal dynamics of the
383 interpretation process. For instance, while learning an ancient poem, two top students
384 could immerse in the aesthetic experience simultaneously when imagining different
385 scenarios in their minds. Note that EEG recording techniques used in our study are
386 advantageous for capturing the temporal dynamics of the learning process rather than
387 the fine-grained representation of the learning content. Taken together, it is plausible to
388 assume that the temporal dynamics of the frontal alpha activity shared across
389 excellences might represent an internal processing state for interpretation construction,
390 which is critical for the learning of Chinese. Moreover, unlike the responses to external
391 stimuli (course contents), this internal state may not necessarily share across classmates,
392 which results in positive results of student-excellence coupling rather than student-class
393 coupling.

394   It is necessary to distinguish between the excellent peers found in this study and
395 the experts that have been often referred to in the field of education. In educational
396 practice, the expert-like mastery of knowledge has been regarded as the target of
397 students' learning and has been linked to good learning outcomes[49]. For example, the
398 performances of emergency medicine trainees were found to improve in the crisis
399 resource management tasks when their cognitive processes were more expert-like[50]. A
400 recent functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) study also reported that one's
401 neural alignment (coupling) to the experts could positively predict the final-term exam
402 score of their computer science course[14]. While experts have been regarded as a well-
403 established exemplar for successful learning, our results suggest that excellences also
404 serve as an alternative reference in soft disciplines. Moreover, compared with experts
405 who may learn qualitatively different from students due to their broader understanding
406 of the field[14], excellences with similar prior knowledge about the to-be-learned content
407 may be particularly efficient as an exemplar for the learning process starting as a novice.

408   It should also be noted that the results of non-significant student-excellence-409   coupling-based correlation for the learning outcomes of Math did not necessarily 410   undermine the potential importance of excellence for successful Math learning. On the 411   one hand, the correlation coefficients between the Math-session student-excellence 412   coupling and the Math final exam scores still reached a positive value of >0.2 at the 413   alpha band (Fig. 4**d**). On the other hand, the students of Math excellence might not 414   adequately express their optimal learning processes during the Math sessions. 415   Specifically, since the classroom teaching was designed to meet the need of the majority 416   of the class[51–53], there might be a lack of challenge for Math excellence. Consequently, 417   the possible boredom might demotivate the excellence to follow the lectures[54] and 418   eliminate the possibly-existing correlations. By contrast, the teaching of soft disciplines 419   such as Chinese emphasizes constructive and interpretative activity, which is expected 420   to be similarly challenging for students at different proficiency levels.

421   As the first study investigating the disciplinary differences in students' successful 422   learning process in real-classroom settings, several limitations must be noted. First, the 423   present ecologically valid paradigm posed a challenge to strictly-controlled contrasts 424   between disciplines. Multiple factors (e.g., learning contents, learning goals and 425   learning difficulties) could lead to the distinct outcome-related inter-brain coupling 426   patterns in soft and hard disciplines. While this is how disciplinary differences manifest 427   in everyday learning processes, future work will be needed to clarify the unique 428   contributions of these factors. Second, the present effect sizes are relatively small 429   compared with previous inter-brain studies (the correlation between inter-brain 430   coupling and learning outcomes for Chinese: R-squared = 0.187; for Math: R-squared 431   = 0.115). In a recent fMRI study focused on the neural correlates of successful learning, 432   R-squared values were found to be influenced by the brain regions, varying from 0.168 433   to 0.563 across brain regions[14]. While the dual-channel EEG devices in the present 434   study provide convenience for daily longitudinal acquisition, the relatively few 435   channels might fail to fully capture the learning-related brain activities. For instance, 436   the development of portable EEGs with larger coverage areas[4] and portable functional 437   near-infrared spectroscopy (fNIRS) devices[55] could be a plausible solution in the near 438   future. Third, while Chinese and Math have been chosen to represent soft and hard 439   disciplines here, more disciplines were needed to be investigated to verify the 440   framework of hard/soft disciplines thoroughly. Specifically, as our findings indicated 441   that some cognitive processes might share across the learning of different disciplines, 442   the involvement of more disciplines will facilitate a deeper understanding of the 443   domain-specific process and domain-general process across disciplines during real-444   world learning activity[56].

445

446   **Methods**

447

448   **Participants**

449   Thirty-six students (16 females; age: 15-16 years old) from the same class (37
450 students in total) in grade 10 of a high school in Beijing volunteered to wear a headband
451 EEG device during their regular Math and Chinese sessions throughout one semester.
452 The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and the
453 protocol was approved by the ethics committee of the Department of Psychology,
454 Tsinghua University (THU201708). All the participants and their legal guardians gave
455 their written informed consent.

456 **Procedure and Data Recording**
457   In the present study, a dual-channel headband with dry electrodes was used to
458 record EEG at Fp1 and Fp2 over the forehead at a sampling rate of 250 Hz (Brainno,
459 SOSO H&C, Korea). The reference electrode was placed on the right ear lobe with a
460 ground at Fpz. The EEG device has been used previously in monitoring brain state
461 during resting state, sudoku games, and surgery[29–33]. The signal quality of the headband
462 was also tested in the present study by using an eye-closed/open task with 22 out of the
463 same 36 students in their classroom environment.

464   The data collection lasted for four months to cover the whole semester. For each
465 month, students' EEG signals during Chinese sessions and Math sessions were
466 recorded for one week (one session or two sessions per day) following the regular
467 curriculum. The total number of sessions was 39, with 19 sessions for Chinese and 20
468 sessions for Math. Before Chinese or Math sessions began, students wore headbands
469 with the help of experimenters, and the headbands were taken off after each session.
470 Each session lasted for 40 minutes. There was one Chinese session when EEG devices
471 failed to record any data due to technical issues. Two students were omitted from the
472 analysis due to the consistently poor quality of EEG data across sessions. A total of 34
473 students in 18 sessions for Chinese and 20 sessions for Math were included in the
474 following analysis.

475   During the Chinese and Math sessions, the learning content was taught according
476 to the arrangement of the school. The Math sessions include the introduction of planar
477 vectors, cubic geometry, plural, statistics, and probability; the Chinese sessions include
478 reading ancient and modern poems, essays, and novels and the introduction to writing.

479   The students' final exam scores in Chinese and Math were taken as indicators of
480 their learning outcomes. The final exams covered the contents of the whole semester.
481 Both exams were scored out of 100. The median of the students' Math scores was 73,
482 ranging from 33 to 95, and the median of the students' Chinese scores was 69, ranging
483 from 40 to 79. The scores were sufficiently diverse to characterize students' differences
484 in learning outcomes. These scores were normalized to [0, 1] using a min-max
485 transformation for the following analysis.
486

487 **Data Preprocessing**
488   Since EEG data were recorded in a regular classroom environment and students
489 were instructed to attend Chinese and Math sessions as usual, more artifacts were
490 expected as compared to conventional, highly-controlled laboratory settings. In the

present study, there were three types of prominent artifacts: 1) a high value indicating signal saturation possibly due to losing contact with the headband; 2) slow drifts related to extensive head or body movements; 3) ocular artifacts related to eye movements.

The recorded EEG data were segmented into non-overlapping 30-sec epochs for preprocessing. As shown in Supplementary Fig. S3, ratios for saturated samples per epoch illustrated a 2-tailed distribution that most epochs containing saturated samples for less than 10% or more than 90%. Therefore, 50% was chosen as a threshold empirically. One epoch would be rejected if it contained saturated samples for more than 50%. The remaining epochs were then processed to remove the slow drifts with the NoiseTools toolbox [57] under Matlab (MathWorks, USA). The removal of the slow drifts was achieved by using the nt_detrending() function. By estimating the position of the glitch, this function could perform a weighted polynomial fit and achieve a better fit to the non-glitch parts. The processed epochs were further band-pass filtered between 0.1 Hz and 40 Hz with 1-s zero-padding at both ends. Afterward, the ocular artifacts were attenuated with the MSDL (multi-scale dictionary learning) toolbox, which was efficient in ocular artifacts removal for single-channel EEG signals[58]. Epochs were decomposed into neuronal and non-neuronal sources with dictionary learning. Then, the coefficients of non-neuronal sources were set to zero to achieve artifact reduction with the seq_MSDL() function. Supplementary Fig.S4 and S5 illustrated representative examples before and after the artifacts rejection procedure. Finally, epochs were rejected automatically if any samples in any channels exceeded a ± 150 µV threshold. With the above preprocessing procedure, 57.2 ± 1.85 % epochs were retained per student, ranging from 31.2% to 76.7%. The data retention rate was comparable with previous EEG studies in classroom settings[4,16]. The number of retained epochs per session per student was shown in Supplementary Fig.S6.

Data Processing

Inter-brain coupling between all possible student pairs was computed using the total interdependence (TI) method as its efficacy in capturing the temporal relationship between two time series[34]. TI was estimated by computing the magnitude squared coherence using the Welch method when clean 30-s epochs were available at the same moments from both students. For X_i, a 30-s epoch from a certain student i and X_j, an overlapping epoch from another student j, TI value was calculated as follows.

$$TI_{X_i,X_j} = -\frac{2}{f_s} \sum_{m=1}^{M} ln(1 - C_{X_i,X_j}^2(m\Delta f))\Delta f \qquad (1)$$

$$\Delta f = \frac{f_s}{2(M-1)} \qquad (2)$$

Here, $C_{X_i,X_j}()$ is the magnitude squared coherence calculation, f_s is the sampling rate, M is the number of desired frequency points in the interval between 0 and the

530 Nyquist frequency $\frac{f_s}{2}$. The Δf is the frequency resolution. Theta, alpha, and low-beta

531 and high-beta TI were computed by summing the coherence values within 4-8 Hz, 8-

532 13 Hz, 13-18 Hz, and 18-30 Hz, respectively.

533   TI for one pair of students for each session was obtained by averaging TI values

534 across all epochs and the two recording electrodes. A minimum of 6 artifact-free

535 common epochs for paired students were included for further analysis. The lower limit

536 was empirically chosen to get a comparable minimum data amount for each pair of

537 students with the previous studies[4,16]. 96.6% of TI values for each pair and each epoch

538 remained for the following analysis. A $N * N$ pairwise TI matrix (N is the number

539 of students) could be obtained for each session. TI values within the matrix were then

540 normalized to [0,1] for each session, following the practice in previous studies [4,16]. Then,

541 the matrixes were averaged across K sessions to obtain an averaged inter-brain

542 coupling for each pair of students for a specific discipline ($K = 18$ for Chinese and $K =$

543 20 for Math).

544   Then, student-class coupling for student i was obtained by averaging TI values

545 over all possible pairwise combinations between the student i and the rest of the class.

546 Student-excellence coupling for student i was computed by averaging TI values over

547 all possible pairwise combinations between the student i and the excellences except

548 themselves if included. Therefore, for each student, there would be a student-class

549 coupling value and a student-excellence coupling value as indicators of disciplinary

550 learning process for the whole semester for each frequency band.

551   Furthermore, Pearson's correlations between individuals' student-class coupling

552 (or student-excellence coupling) and their corresponding learning outcomes were

553 calculated for soft and hard disciplines separately. For student-class coupling, all

554 students were included in the correlation analysis. For student-excellence coupling,

555 however, the excellences themselves were not included during the correlation analysis

556 between student-excellence coupling and learning outcomes. For example, if

557 individuals' student-excellence coupling was computed with the top N_E students, then

558 the top N_E students' coupling values, as well as their learning outcomes, would be

559 removed, leaving $(N - N_E)$ out of the N students for conducting correlations. The

560 effect of excellence number on the relationship between student-excellence coupling

561 and learning outcomes was analyzed.

562   Additionally, we conducted similar correlational analyses between single-brain

563 EEG features and the final exam scores for comparison. The relative power of each

564 frequency band of interest (theta, alpha, and low-beta and high-beta) was obtained by

565 dividing the power in the 1-40 Hz band after a fast Fourier transform for each 30-second

566 epoch. Then, values of the relative power of each frequency band of interest were

567 averaged across all epochs and all sessions within each discipline for each student as

568 the single-brain EEG features. Finally, Pearson's correlations between individuals'

569 single-brain EEG features and corresponding learning outcomes were calculated

570 separately for soft and hard disciplines.

Data availability

The raw data will be available upon reasonable request to the corresponding author due to underage privacy protection. The minimum de-identified dataset used to generate the findings of this study are available at : https://cloud.tsinghua.edu.cn/d/83a776b5db1349c29fd7/.

Code availability

The Matlab code for analysis and figures generation are available at: https://cloud.tsinghua.edu.cn/d/83a776b5db1349c29fd7/.

Author contributions

D.Z. and Y.Z. designed the experiment and revised the manuscript. J.C. analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript. Q.P pre-analzed the data. X.G. and B.L. collected the data.

Reference

1. Darling-Hammond, L., Flook, L., Cook-Harvey, C., Barron, B. & Osher, D. Implications for educational practice of the science of learning and development. *Applied Developmental Science* **24**, 97–140 (2020).
2. Valiente, C., Swanson, J., DeLay, D., Fraser, A. M. & Parker, J. H. Emotion-related socialization in the classroom: Considering the roles of teachers, peers, and the classroom context. *Developmental psychology* **56**, 578 (2020).
3. Vandenbroucke, L., Spilt, J., Verschueren, K., Piccinin, C. & Baeyens, D. The classroom as a developmental context for cognitive development: A meta-analysis on the importance of teacher–student interactions for children's executive functions. *Review of Educational Research* **88**, 125–164 (2018).
4. Dikker, S. *et al.* Brain-to-brain synchrony tracks real-world dynamic group interactions in the classroom. *Current biology* **27**, 1375–1380 (2017).
5. Biglan, A. Relationships between subject matter characteristics and the structure and output of university departments. *Journal of applied psychology* **57**, 204 (1973).
6. Lindblom-Ylänne, S., Trigwell, K., Nevgi, A. & Ashwin, P. How approaches to teaching are affected by discipline and teaching context. *Studies in Higher education* **31**, 285–298 (2006).

613   7.   Neumann, R., Parry, S. & Becher, T. Teaching and learning in their disciplinary
614   contexts: A conceptual analysis. *Studies in higher education* **27**, 405–417 (2002).
615   8.   Rosman, T., Mayer, A.-K., Kerwer, M. & Krampen, G. The differential
616   development of epistemic beliefs in psychology and computer science students: A
617   four-wave longitudinal study. *Learning and Instruction* **49**, 166–177 (2017).
618   9.   Smith*, S. N. & Miller, R. J. Learning approaches: Examination type, discipline
619   of study, and gender. *Educational psychology* **25**, 43–53 (2005).
620   10.   Hofer, B. K. Dimensionality and disciplinary differences in personal
621   epistemology. *Contemporary educational psychology* **25**, 378–405 (2000).
622   11.   Arbaugh, J. B. Does academic discipline moderate CoI-course outcomes
623   relationships in online MBA courses? *The Internet and Higher Education* **17**, 16–28
624   (2013).
625   12.   Foung, D. & Chen, J. Discovering disciplinary differences: blending data sources
626   to explore the student online behaviors in a University English course. *Information*
627   *Discovery and Delivery* (2019).
628   13.   Cohen, S. S. *et al.* Neural engagement with online educational videos predicts
629   learning performance for individual students. *Neurobiology of learning and memory*
630   **155**, 60–64 (2018).
631   14.   Meshulam, M. *et al.* Neural alignment predicts learning outcomes in students
632   taking an introduction to computer science course. *Nature communications* **12**, 1–14
633   (2021).
634   15.   Davidesco, I. *et al.* Brain-to-brain synchrony predicts long-term memory
635   retention more accurately than individual brain measures. *BioRxiv* 644047 (2019).
636   16.   Bevilacqua, D. *et al.* Brain-to-brain synchrony and learning outcomes vary by
637   student–teacher dynamics: Evidence from a real-world classroom
638   electroencephalography study. *Journal of cognitive neuroscience* **31**, 401–411 (2019).
639   17.   Brandt, N. D., Lechner, C. M., Tetzner, J. & Rammstedt, B. Personality,
640   cognitive ability, and academic performance: Differential associations across school
641   subjects and school tracks. *Journal of personality* **88**, 249–265 (2020).
642   18.   Marton, F. & Säljö, R. On qualitative differences in learning: I—Outcome and
643   process. *British journal of educational psychology* **46**, 4–11 (1976).
644   19.   Shamay-Tsoory, S. G. Brains that fire together wire together: interbrain plasticity
645   underlies learning in social interactions. *The Neuroscientist* 1073858421996682
646   (2021).
647   20.   Shamay-Tsoory, S. G. & Mendelsohn, A. Real-life neuroscience: an ecological
648   approach to brain and behavior research. *Perspectives on Psychological Science* **14**,
649   841–859 (2019).
650   21.   Sonkusare, S., Breakspear, M. & Guo, C. Naturalistic stimuli in neuroscience:
651   critically acclaimed. *Trends in cognitive sciences* **23**, 699–714 (2019).
652   22.   De Sanctis, P. *et al.* Time to move: Brain dynamics underlying natural action and
653   cognition. *European Journal of Neuroscience* (2021).

654   23. Xu, J. & Zhong, B. Review on portable EEG technology in educational research.
655   *Computers in Human Behavior* **81**, 340–349 (2018).

656   24. Janssen, T. W. *et al.* Opportunities and limitations of mobile neuroimaging
657   technologies in educational neuroscience. *Mind, Brain, and Education* (2021).

658   25. Davidesco, I. Brain-to-brain synchrony in the STEM classroom. *CBE—Life
659   Sciences Education* **19**, es8 (2020).

660   26. Ko, L.-W., Komarov, O., Hairston, W. D., Jung, T.-P. & Lin, C.-T. Sustained
661   attention in real classroom settings: An EEG study. *Frontiers in human neuroscience*
662   **11**, 388 (2017).

663   27. Babiker, A., Faye, I., Mumtaz, W., Malik, A. S. & Sato, H. EEG in classroom:
664   EMD features to detect situational interest of students during learning. *Multimedia
665   Tools and Applications* **78**, 16261–16281 (2019).

666   28. Davidesco, I., Matuk, C., Bevilacqua, D., Poeppel, D. & Dikker, S. Neuroscience
667   Research in the Classroom: Portable Brain Technologies in Education Research.
668   *Educational Researcher* **50**, 649–656 (2021).

669   29. Jang, K.-I., Lee, S., Lee, S.-H. & Chae, J.-H. Frontal alpha asymmetry, heart rate
670   variability, and positive resources in bereaved family members with suicidal ideation
671   after the Sewol ferry disaster. *Psychiatry investigation* **15**, 1168 (2018).

672   30. Kang, J.-S., Ojha, A. & Lee, M. Development of Intelligent Learning Tool for
673   Improving Foreign Language Skills Based on EEG and Eye Tracker. in *Proceedings
674   of the 3rd international conference on human-agent interaction* 121–126 (2015).

675   31. Kang, J.-S., Ojha, A. & Lee, M. Concentration monitoring with high accuracy but
676   low cost EEG device. in *International Conference on Neural Information Processing*
677   54–60 (Springer, 2015).

678   32. Kwon, J.-W. *et al.* Intraoperative real-time stress in degenerative lumbar spine
679   surgery: simultaneous analysis of electroencephalography signals and heart rate
680   variability: a pilot study. *The Spine Journal* **20**, 1203–1210 (2020).

681   33. Kwon, J.-W. *et al.* Which Factors Affect the Stress of Intraoperative Orthopedic
682   Surgeons by Using Electroencephalography Signals and Heart Rate Variability?
683   *Sensors* **21**, 4016 (2021).

684   34. Wen, X., Mo, J. & Ding, M. Exploring resting-state functional connectivity with
685   total interdependence. *Neuroimage* **60**, 1587–1595 (2012).

686   35. Chabin, T. *et al.* Interbrain emotional connection during music performances is
687   driven by physical proximity and individual traits. *Annals of the New York Academy
688   of Sciences* (2021).

689   36. Cavanagh, J. F. & Frank, M. J. Frontal theta as a mechanism for cognitive
690   control. *Trends in cognitive sciences* **18**, 414–421 (2014).

691   37. Cavanagh, J. F. & Shackman, A. J. Frontal midline theta reflects anxiety and
692   cognitive control: meta-analytic evidence. *Journal of physiology-Paris* **109**, 3–15
693   (2015).

694 38. Eschmann, K. C., Bader, R. & Mecklinger, A. Topographical differences of
695 frontal-midline theta activity reflect functional differences in cognitive control
696 abilities. *Brain and cognition* **123**, 57–64 (2018).
697 39. Clayton, M. S., Yeung, N. & Kadosh, R. C. The roles of cortical oscillations in
698 sustained attention. *Trends in cognitive sciences* **19**, 188–195 (2015).
699 40. Jensen, O. & Tesche, C. D. Frontal theta activity in humans increases with
700 memory load in a working memory task. *European journal of Neuroscience* **15**,
701 1395–1399 (2002).
702 41. Maurer, U. *et al.* Frontal midline theta reflects individual task performance in a
703 working memory task. *Brain topography* **28**, 127–134 (2015).
704 42. Sauseng, P., Griesmayr, B., Freunberger, R. & Klimesch, W. Control
705 mechanisms in working memory: a possible function of EEG theta oscillations.
706 *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews* **34**, 1015–1022 (2010).
707 43. Williams, C. C., Kappen, M., Hassall, C. D., Wright, B. & Krigolson, O. E.
708 Thinking theta and alpha: Mechanisms of intuitive and analytical reasoning.
709 *NeuroImage* **189**, 574–580 (2019).
710 44. Haag, L. & Götz, T. Mathe ist schwierig und Deutsch aktuell: Vergleichende
711 Studie zur Charakterisierung von Schulfächern aus Schülersicht. *Psychologie in*
712 *Erziehung und Unterricht* **59**, 32–46 (2012).
713 45. Sauseng, P. *et al.* EEG alpha synchronization and functional coupling during top-
714 down processing in a working memory task. *Human brain mapping* **26**, 148–155
715 (2005).
716 46. Fink, A. & Benedek, M. EEG alpha power and creative ideation. *Neuroscience &*
717 *Biobehavioral Reviews* **44**, 111–123 (2014).
718 47. Lustenberger, C., Boyle, M. R., Foulser, A. A., Mellin, J. M. & Fröhlich, F.
719 Functional role of frontal alpha oscillations in creativity. *Cortex* **67**, 74–82 (2015).
720 48. Cooper, N. R., Burgess, A. P., Croft, R. J. & Gruzelier, J. H. Investigating evoked
721 and induced electroencephalogram activity in task-related alpha power increases
722 during an internally directed attention task. *Neuroreport* **17**, 205–208 (2006).
723 49. Adams, W. K. & Wieman, C. E. Development and validation of instruments to
724 measure learning of expert-like thinking. *International Journal of Science Education*
725 **33**, 1289–1312 (2011).
726 50. Szulewski, A. *et al.* Starting to think like an expert: an analysis of resident
727 cognitive processes during simulation-based resuscitation examinations. *Annals of*
728 *Emergency Medicine* **74**, 647–659 (2019).
729 51. Petrilli, M. J. All together now? Education high and low achievers in the same
730 classroom. *Education Next* **11**, 48–56 (2011).
731 52. VanTassel-Baska, J. & Stambaugh, T. Challenges and possibilities for serving
732 gifted learners in the regular classroom. *Theory into practice* **44**, 211–217 (2005).
733 53. Westberg, K. L. & Daoust, M. E. The results of the replication of the classroom
734 practices survey replication in two states. *The National Research Center on the Gifted*
735 *and Talented Newsletter* **3**, (2003).

736 54. Glass, T. F. What gift?: The reality of the student who is gifted and talented in
737 public school classrooms. *Gifted Child Today* **27**, 25–29 (2004).
738 55. Pan, Y., Cheng, X. & Hu, Y. Three heads are better than one: Cooperative
739 learning brains wire together when a consensus is reached. *bioRxiv* (2021).
740 56. Wang, L., Li, M., Yang, T. & Zhou, X. Mathematics Meets Science in the Brain.
741 *Cerebral Cortex* (2021).
742 57. de Cheveigné, A. & Arzounian, D. Robust detrending, rereferencing, outlier
743 detection, and inpainting for multichannel data. *NeuroImage* **172**, 903–912 (2018).
744 58. Kanoga, S., Kanemura, A. & Asoh, H. Multi-scale dictionary learning for ocular
745 artifact reduction from single-channel electroencephalograms. *Neurocomputing* **347**,
746 240–250 (2019).
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777

778
779
780 **Supplementary Materials**
781

782
783
784 **Fig.S1:** The signal quality validation of EEG headbands in a 2-minute eye-closed/open
785 task. Twenty-two students out of the same class volunteered to participate in this task.
786 Students were required to open and close eyes for two minutes respectively when sitting
787 in their classroom. Then, fast Fourier transform was conducted to compare the
788 frequency spectral characteristics of EEG signals between conditions. **a,** The frequency
789 spectra for a representative student at Fp1. The solid line represents the eye-closed
790 condition, and the dashed lines represent the eye-open condition. **b,** The frequency
791 spectra for the same student at Fp2. **c,** Relative amplitudes of the alpha band (8-13 Hz)
792 in the eye-open and eye-closed conditions for all the students. Errorbar indicates
793 standard deviation. The amplitudes were averaged across Fp1 and Fp2; **d,** Percent of
794 relative amplitude differences (eye-closed minus eye-open) at the alpha band for each
795 student. The amplitudes were averaged across Fp1 and Fp2.
796

797

798

799

Fig.S2. The correlations between student-excellence/class coupling with one's final exam score of Chinese and Math at 1-20 Hz. **a**, Scatter plots between student-excellence coupling (left, excellence number = 4) and student-class coupling (right) and the final exam score of Chinese at 1-20 Hz. **b,** Correlation r values as a function of the number of excellences included in the calculation of student-excellence coupling. **c,** Scatter plots between student-excellence coupling (left, excellence number = 4) and student-class coupling (right) and the final exam scores of Math at 1-20 Hz. **d,** Correlation r values as a function of the number of excellences included in the calculation of student-excellence coupling. Note that the excellences themselves were not included in the correlation analysis.

810

811

Fig.S3: The distribution of the artifacts ratios per epoch for (**a**) Fp1 and (**b**) Fp2. The ratios for saturated samples per epoch illustrated a 2-tailed distribution.


814

Fig.S4: An illustration of a representative EEG epoch before and after the detrending procedure with the Noisetool toolbox (**a, b**) at Fp1; (**c, d**) at Fp2. The lines with a lighter color indicated the condition before detrending. The lines with a darker color indicated the condition after detrending. The slow drift was removed after the detrending procedure.

820

821

Fig.S5: An illustration of a representative EEG epoch before and after the ocular artifact removal procedure with the MSDL toolbox (**a**) at Fp1; (**b**) at Fp2. The lines with a lighter color indicated the condition before ocular artifact removal. The lines with a darker color indicated the condition after ocular artifact removal. The ocular artifact was removed after the procedure.

827

828

829

Fig.S6: The number of retained epochs per session per student (**a**) at Fp1; (**b**) at Fp2 after the preprocessing procedure. The colorbar indicated the number of retained epochs.