

***Teosinte Pollen Drive* guides maize domestication and evolution by RNAi.**

Benjamin Berube¹, Evan Ernst¹, Jonathan Cahn¹, Benjamin Roche¹, Cristiane de Santis Alves¹, Jason Lynn¹, Armin Scheben², Adam Siepel², Jeffrey Ross-Ibarra³, Jerry Kermicle⁴ and Rob Martienssen^{1*}

¹ Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor NY11724

² Simons Center for Quantitative Biology, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor NY11724

³ Dept. of Evolution & Ecology, Center for Population Biology and Genome Center, University of California, Davis CA

⁴ Laboratory of Genetics, University of Wisconsin, Madison WI

*For correspondence: martiens@cshl.edu

Abstract

Meiotic drivers subvert Mendelian expectations by manipulating reproductive development to bias their own transmission. Chromosomal drive typically functions in asymmetric female meiosis, while gene drive is normally postmeiotic and typically found in males. Using single molecule and single-pollen genome sequencing, we describe *Teosinte Pollen Drive*, an instance of gene drive in hybrids between maize (*Zea mays ssp. mays*) and teosinte *mexicana* (*Zea mays ssp. mexicana*), that depends on RNA interference (RNAi). 22nt small RNAs from a non-coding RNA hairpin in *mexicana* depend on *Dicer-Like 2* (*Dcl2*) and target *Teosinte Drive Responder 1* (*Tdr1*), which encodes a lipase required for pollen viability. *Dcl2*, *Tdr1*, and the hairpin are in tight pseudolinkage on chromosome 5, but only when transmitted through the male. Introgression of *mexicana* into early cultivated maize is thought to have been critical to its geographical dispersal throughout the Americas, and a tightly linked inversion in *mexicana* spans a major domestication sweep in modern maize. A survey of maize landraces and sympatric populations of teosinte *mexicana* reveals correlated patterns of admixture among unlinked genes required for RNAi on at least 3 chromosomes that are also subject to gene drive in pollen from synthetic hybrids. *Teosinte Pollen Drive* likely played a major role in maize domestication and evolution, and offers an explanation for the widespread abundance of “self” small RNAs in the germlines of plants and animals.

Introduction

The introduction of novel genetic variation through hybridization is an important evolutionary catalyst¹, as adaptive introgression in hybrid individuals can increase fitness under new environmental conditions and lead to geographical expansion and diversification². Modern maize, for example, was first domesticated from a close relative of *Zea mays ssp. parviglumis* (teosinte *parviglumis*) in the lowlands of southwest Mexico approximately 9000BP, but admixture from a second teosinte, *Zea mays ssp. mexicana*, 4000 years later, appears to have catalyzed rapid expansion across the Americas³. The combination of divergent genomes, however, also carries inherent risks. Allelic incompatibilities, deleterious mutations, and differences in gene dosage can all be unmasked following outbreeding^{4,5}, resulting in hybrid sterility, inviability, and necrosis⁶⁻⁹. The Bateson-Dobzhansky-Muller (BDM) model accounts for such scenarios, proposing that incompatibilities can evolve in distinct populations and are only ever made manifest following hybridization. Increasing evidence suggests that the accumulation of these incompatibilities stems from intragenomic conflict triggered by selfish genetic elements (SGEs)¹⁰⁻¹³.

Meiotic drive depends on selfish elements that actively manipulate reproductive development in order to facilitate their own preferential transmission¹⁴. Chromosomal drive refers to the manipulation of chromosome segregation during asymmetric female meiosis, as centromeres, heterochromatic knobs, and telomeres exert mechanical advantages that favor their inclusion in the egg cell¹⁵⁻²¹. Gene drive on the other hand, occurs preferentially in males and is achieved via disruption of post-meiotic reproductive development resulting in segregation distortion (SD)^{22,23}. These systems tend to occur in sperm or haploid spores and involve toxin-antidote (or distorter-responder) pairs in close genetic linkage. Gametes that do not inherit the drive locus are selectively killed, resulting in overrepresentation of the driver¹⁴. The mouse *t*-complex²⁴⁻²⁷, *Drosophila Segregation Distorter* (SD) complex^{28,29}, and *S. pombe/kombucha wtf* spore killers^{30,31} are all autosomal drivers that selectively kill competing wild-type gametes in heterozygotes.

Meiotic drive has significant evolutionary consequences^{14,15}. Under simple assumptions, an efficient driver can generally be expected to increase in frequency over time. For X-linked distorters in heterogametic males, this can result in severe sex imbalances and even population extinction³². Because drivers often impose fitness and fertility penalties, tremendous selective pressure is placed on regions of the genome that can evolve suppressors³³. As a consequence, drive systems undergo recurrent cycles of suppression and counter-suppression¹⁴. This has a direct impact on patterns of adaptive evolution, and evolutionary conflict in the haploid stage is thought to be a primary reason for unique patterns of germline expression relative to other tissues³⁴. Though drive is predicted to be widespread, only a handful of systems have been well-characterized as most drive systems exist in a cryptic state, either through suppression or fixation^{14,35}. It is through hybridization with naïve individuals that suppression is lost and drive is once again apparent. The unmasking of cryptic drivers in hybrid individuals likely plays an outsized role in mediating hybrid sterility, reinforcing species barriers, and influencing patterns of introgression in hybrid individuals via genetic linkage^{36,37}.

Here, we characterize a novel, male-specific SD system in introgression lines between maize (*Z. mays ssp. mays*) and teosinte *mexicana* (*Zea mays ssp. mexicana*) called *Teosinte Pollen Drive* (*TPD*). A non-cell-autonomous toxin kills pollen grains in a sporophytic manner, and at least two antidotes act as gametophytic suppressors, restoring viability in pollen. We implicate *Dicer-like 2* (*Dcl2*)-dependent small interfering RNAs (siRNAs), as the primary factor mediating pollen killing. These siRNAs are highly abundant in maize pollen and the toxin locus, *Teosinte pollen drive 1* (*Tpd1*), encodes a *mexicana*-specific long non-coding hairpin RNA in close genetic linkage with the centromere of chromosome 5 and a large paracentric inversion. Processing of this hairpin results in specific 22nt siRNAs that target an essential gene *in trans*, resulting in pollen abortion. Co-segregation of a genetically linked hypomorphic *Dcl2* allele suppresses this effect via the reduction of secondary 22nt siRNAs, and is reinforced by a second unlinked antidote (*Tpd2*) on chromosome 6. Single-pollen grain sequencing reveals the biased transmission of several other intervals that also include genes required for RNAi. Survey sequencing of modern and traditional Mexican varieties of maize, and sympatric populations of teosinte indicate that *TPD* has had a substantial impact on patterns of *mexicana* introgression, and on maize dispersal and domestication. Remarkable parallels with endogenous siRNAs in animals suggest that germline RNAi is a general instigator of intragenomic conflict, prompting the idea that “self” small RNAs targeting genes can act as pervasive selfish genetic elements.

Results

Teosinte Pollen Drive (TPD) results in non-Mendelian semi-sterility in Teosinte-Maize hybrids via segregation distortion in pollen

Hybridization between maize and teosinte is subject to unilateral cross-incompatibility^{38,39}, but pollination of maize by *mexicana* pollen is frequent⁴⁰. Consistently, genome-wide assessments of introgression in sympatric collections have provided evidence for asymmetric gene flow from *mexicana* to maize⁴⁰. To further explore the reproductive consequences of hybridization, multiple sympatric collections of *mexicana* were crossed to the Mid-western U.S. dent inbred W22, resulting in variable rates of pollen abortion that typically decreased in subsequent generations. However, a subset of late backcross (BC) lines (hereafter *TPD*) displayed an unusually consistent rate of pollen abortion (75.5% \pm 2.48) relative to W22 (6.02% \pm 2.95, $P < 0.0001$, Welch’s t-test) despite normal vegetative and reproductive development (Figure 1a-c; Extended Data Fig. 1a). Interestingly, the pollen abortion phenotype was absent after three rounds of selfing in *TPD* BC₃S₃ plants (6.40% \pm 2.26, $P < 0.0001$,

Welch's t-test) suggesting that heterozygosity was required (Figure 1d). In reciprocal crosses, pollination of *TPD* ears with W22 pollen resulted in the independent assortment of fertile, semisterile and fully male sterile progeny in a 2:1:1 ratio (Figure 1e; Extended Data Table 1). These results indicated the presence of at least two unlinked loci responsible for pollen survival that were transmitted in a selfish manner to all individuals in the next generation, but only through pollen. Because this phenotype was observed only in heterozygotes, we reasoned that it stemmed from an incompatibility between the W22 genome and regions of *mexicana* introgression after meiosis, reminiscent of genic drivers that distort patterns of inheritance via selective gamete killing^{27,31}. Consistently, meiotic progression in *TPD* plants was normal until the tetrad stage, following the separation of each haploid complement (Figure 1f). This phenotype, while strictly post-meiotic, appeared to progress gradually, ultimately resulting in arrested pollen grains with a heterogeneous overall diameter and varying degrees of starch accumulation (Figure 1c,g).

Genetic mapping revealed that *brittle endosperm 1 (bt1)* on chromosome 5 and *yellow endosperm 1 (y1)* on chromosome 6 were linked with the pollen abortion phenotype. Strikingly, backcrosses to *y1; bt1* yielded 100% *Bt1* kernels instead of 50%, but only when *TPD* was used as a pollen parent (Extended Data Fig. 1b). This bias was strongly indicative of gene drive, though we could not formally exclude other forms of incompatibility that also result in segregation distortion. For example, post-pollination prezygotic barriers, such as *Teosinte crossing barrier1 (Tcb1)*³⁹, or postzygotic hybrid inviability, as observed in the Killer-Protector system in rice⁴¹. To exclude these possibilities, we sequenced the genomes of two homozygous *TPD* lines (BC₈S₃ and BC₅S₂) to define 408,031 SNPs filtered for quality and allele frequency. Next, we sequenced the genomes of individual surviving pollen grains from *TPD* plants, rationalizing that if segregation distortion was occurring in pollen, the causative regions would be overrepresented. We found that several intervals were overrepresented, occurring at much higher frequencies than expected after 8 backcrosses (Figure 1h). Notably, introgression intervals on chromosomes 5 and 6 were consistently observed in all surviving pollen (Figure 1i), strongly indicative of post-meiotic gene drive, and we designated these loci as *Tpd1* and *Tpd2*, respectively. Thus, non-Mendelian inheritance of semi-sterility was the direct result of SD occurring in pollen. Gametes that could potentially give rise to fertile siblings in maternal progeny were being selectively eliminated in the male germline. As a result, only those pollen grains containing both *Tpd1* and *Tpd2* were contributing to the next generation.

***Tpd1*, *Tpd2*, and *Dicer-like2* form a novel toxin-antidote complex**

In order to determine the relative contributions of *Tpd1* and *Tpd2* to male sterility, we separated the components by maternal transmission into fertile, semi-sterile ("drive") and fully sterile classes (Figure 2a). Each progeny class had distinct rates of pollen abortion (Figure 2b) and showed significant differences in flowering time (Figure 2c). Fertile segregants were phenotypically wild-type and showed no transmission defects whereas drive plants recapitulated the canonical *TPD* semi-sterile phenotype. In contrast, male reproductive development in sterile plants was developmentally retarded, displaying severely delayed anthesis and reduced overall shed (Figure 2a,c). Consequently, crosses performed with this pollen showed reduced seed set and often failed entirely. We collected pools of plants from the fertile and sterile phenotypic classes (Figure 2d) for bulk segregant analysis (BSA)⁴², and found that *Tpd1* was differentially enriched in sterile plants, while *Tpd2* was enriched in fertile plants (Figure 2e). This indicated that *Tpd1* alone was sufficient to 'poison' the male germline, and that this most likely occurred pre-meiotically, as only a single copy of *Tpd1* was required. Genetic mapping placed *Tpd1* in

a large interval surrounding the centromere of chromosome 5, while *Tpd2* was placed in a 1.5Mb interval on 6L (Extended Data Fig. 1c,d).

The selfish nature of *TPD* led us to liken it to previously described genic meiotic drivers that operate via post-meiotic gamete killing^{27,29,31}. These systems generally encode a toxin (or distorter) that acts *in trans* to disrupt proper reproductive development. Only gametes containing a cell-autonomous antidote (or resistant responder allele) can suppress these effects in a gametophytic manner. While the toxin was clearly encoded by *Tpd1*, the *TPD* system was unusual in that it featured a genetically unlinked antidote, namely *Tpd2*. However, the absence of *tpd1*; *Tpd2* recombinants in the progeny of W22 x *TPD* crosses argued that *Tpd2* alone was insufficient for suppression of pollen abortion (Figure 2d). We reasoned that this might reflect the additional requirement for another antidote, linked to *Tpd1*, that could explain the observed rate of pollen abortion (~75%). Linked modifiers in drive systems are common and generally ascribed to the co-evolutionary struggle between distorters and rapidly accumulating suppressors^{14,29}.

SNP genotyping of the two homozygous lines identified 13 *mexicana* introgression intervals, 7 of which were shared between backcross generations (Extended Data Fig. 2a). As predicted from the single pollen sequencing data, the highest regions of SNP density were present on chromosomes 5 (*Tpd1*) and 6 (*Tpd2*), coinciding with *Bt1* and close to *Y1*, respectively (Extended Data Fig. 2a). However, other regions strongly overrepresented in homozygous progeny were only partially overrepresented in *TPD* pollen, including additional peaks on 5S, 6S and 6L (Extended Data Fig. 2b). This likely reflected the presence of recombinant pollen grains that competed poorly during pollination. We confirmed these results by genotyping whole genome amplified (WGA) DNA from individual pollen grains using a set of co-dominant molecular markers (data not shown) (Supplementary Table 1).

To determine gene content in these and other introgression intervals, we performed *de novo* genome assembly from homozygous *Tpd1*;*Tpd2* BC₈S₃ seedlings using Oxford Nanopore long sequencing reads (~30Kb read length N50). Repeat-graph based assembly⁴³ was paired with land and short read polishing⁴⁴ to yield a contig-level primary assembly. We then scaffolded the contigs with Dovetail Omni-C chromatin contact data to obtain a chromosome-level assembly (Methods, Extended Data Table 3) with fully scaffolded *mexicana* introgression intervals on chromosomes 5 and 6 (Figure 2f). We noted the presence of a 1.9Mb *mexicana* introgression interval on 5S linked to the *Tpd1* haplotype and strongly over-represented in both our bulk sequencing and single-pollen grain data (Figure 1h,i; Figure 2f). Within this interval, we identified 10 genes with expression in pollen, one of which, *Dicer-like 2* (*Dcl2*), had excess nonsynonymous substitutions within conserved domains (Figure 3a), suggesting the possibility of adaptive evolutionary change⁴⁵. Remarkably, absolute genetic linkage (n=214) between this locus (hereafter *dcl2*^T) and *Tpd1* was conditioned on passage through the male germline from heterozygous *TPD* plants, while independent assortment of *dcl2*^T and *Tpd1* occurred when crossed as female (Figure 3b). This was very strong evidence for a linked antidote.

Dcl2 encodes a Dicer-like protein responsible for the production of 22nt small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) from hairpins, as well as secondary small RNAs from double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) templates produced by the coordinated action of RNA-DEPENDENT RNA POLYMERASE 6 (RDR6) and SUPPRESSOR OF GENE SILENCING 3 (SGS3)⁴⁶. In *Arabidopsis thaliana*, DCL2 function is superseded by DCL4 and endogenous levels of 22nt siRNAs are low⁴⁷. However, DCL2 can fulfill roles in silencing and antiviral immunity when DCL4 function is lost^{47,48}, sometimes resulting in “toxic” pleiotropic defects associated with gene targets of 22nt siRNAs^{47,49,50}. These observations stem

from the unique biological properties of 22nt siRNAs, which are responsible for propagation of systemic silencing signals that move between cells⁵¹ and transitive amplification of silencing both *in cis* and *in trans*⁵². In *dcl2^T*, non-synonymous changes were clustered within the DExD/H RNA Helicase domain of Dicer (Figure 3a), which has been shown to alter substrate preference and processing efficiency of dsRNA, but not hairpin RNA, in both plants and invertebrates^{53–55}.

To explore the role of 22nt siRNAs in the *TPD* phenotype, we tested mutants in 22nt siRNA biogenesis for their ability to act as antidotes. We isolated maternal *dcl2^T* recombinants and compared them to the *dcl2-mu1* allele in the W22 inbred background, which has a *Mu* transposon insertion in the 5' untranslated region (5' UTR), 200bp upstream of the start codon. In *dcl2^T/dcl2-mu1 Tpd1*, pollen abortion was partially suppressed, while pollen from *dcl2-mu1/dcl2-mu1 Tpd1* plants were almost fully viable (Figure 3c). This meant that stacking over the *dcl2^T* allele had a synergistic effect, strongly supporting its role as a partial antidote, and indicating that the sporophytic production of 22nt siRNAs in diploid meiotic cells was responsible for the *TPD* phenotype. To test the idea that 22nt siRNAs might be responsible for *TPD*, we sequenced pollen small RNAs from *TPD* and WT siblings, and found that while sRNA composition was similar overall, the *Tpd1* haplotype triggered a strong, 22nt-specific response (Figure 3d). Consistent with these 22nt small RNAs being responsible for the *TPD* phenotype, we observed almost complete rescue of sterility in *dcl2-mu1/dcl2-mu1 Tpd1/+* pollen parents (Figure 3e). Intriguingly, several other introgression intervals observed in one or the other backcross individual also included genes encoding components of the small RNA biogenesis pathway, including *ago1a*, *ago1b*, and *rgd1*, the homologue of SGS3 (Figure 3a; Extended data Fig. 2a). These intervals were also observed in single pollen grain sequencing along with *dcl2^T* (Extended Data Fig. 2b). To determine if these genes were also capable of acting as an antidote, we crossed mutants in *rgd1* to *TPD* plants. Segregation of *rgd1* in the germline of heterozygotes resulted in close to 50% viable pollen (Extended Data Fig. 2c), suggesting that it functions as a cell autonomous gametophytic suppressor in a manner similar to *Tpd2*. We concluded that mutants in primary 22nt small RNA synthesis (*dcl2-mu1*) blocked production of the toxin, while mutants in secondary 22nt small RNA synthesis (*dcl2^T* and *rgd1*), and potentially in small RNA function (*ago1a,b*), acted as antidotes.

Germline small RNA target the pollen lipase gene *Teosinte Drive Responder*

In order to identify the origin, and the targets of Dcl2-dependent small RNAs, we performed small RNA sequencing from WT, *dcl2^T*, and *dcl2-mu1* plants. Analysis revealed that 22nt siRNAs were the dominant species in WT pollen (Extended Data Fig. 3a,b) and defined 804 high-confidence 22nt siRNA clusters ($\log_2 \text{FC} \geq 2$, $\text{FDR} \leq 0.01$) that depended on *Dcl2* ($p < 0.0001$, ANOVA) (Extended Data Fig. 3c). As expected, there were even fewer 22nt siRNAs in *dcl2-mu1* than in *dcl2^T*. Remarkably, over half (54.6%) of all pollen-specific 22nt species were derived from endogenous hairpin precursors (hpRNAs) (Extended Data Fig. 3d,e,g). Hairpin short interfering RNAs (hp-siRNAs) were disproportionately derived from a single strand (Extended Data Fig. 4a,b) with high thermodynamic stability (Extended Data Fig. 4c,d). Based on these criteria, we identified 27 hp-siRNA producing loci in the genome, with at least one hairpin on every chromosome except chromosome 4 (average 2.1 ± 1.3 per chromosome). hpRNAs can serve as a powerful means to silence transposons⁵⁶, and 22nt siRNAs targeting *Gypsy* and *Copia* LTR retrotransposons were abundant in pollen, as were those targeting *Mutator* and *CACTA* elements (Extended Data Fig. 3d). Surprisingly, we also found evidence for pollen-specific silencing of at least 30 protein coding genes (Extended Data Fig. 3d,f,g).

Germline-specificity is a common feature in *SD* systems, as such factors can avoid the evolutionary conflicts imposed by pleiotropic fitness defects in the diploid stage of the life cycle³⁴.

In *TPD* pollen, we observed the accumulation of 159 ectopic 22nt siRNA clusters across the genome ($\log_2 \text{FC} \geq 2$, $\text{FDR} \leq 0.01$), and a general up-regulation of genes associated with 22nt siRNA biogenesis and function (Extended Data Fig. 5a,c). Nearly 60% of all ectopic 22nt siRNAs in *TPD* pollen targeted TEs of the *P Instability Factor* (PIF)/Harbinger superfamily (Extended Data Fig. 5b), whose expression was *TPD* specific (Extended Data Fig. 5c-e). Interestingly, this superfamily is also activated following intraspecific hybridization and anther culture in rice⁵⁷. However, a subset of protein-coding genes was also targeted in *TPD* pollen specifically (Extended Data Fig. 5b). Given that a reduction in 22nt siRNAs suppressed the *TPD* phenotype, we hypothesized that inappropriate silencing of these genes might disrupt male reproductive development. In total, we identified 4 genes that gained ectopic 22nt siRNAs in *TPD* pollen, ~62% of which came from a single gene (Zm00004b012122) also located on chromosome 5S (Extended Data Fig. 6a). Relative to other targets, this gene exhibited highly specific expression post-meiotically beginning at the 3mm (tetrad) stage and peaking in 5mm anthers and mature pollen (Extended Data Fig. 6b,c). This expression pattern was conspicuously similar to the developmental window in which *TPD* pollen abortion begins (Figure 1f), suggesting that this gene might act as a ‘responder’ to *Tpd1*-driven distortion. Based on these observations, we defined Zm00004b012122 as the primary candidate for targeting by *Tpd1* toxin activity, renaming it *Teosinte Drive Responder 1* (*Tdr1*).

Tdr1 encodes a GDSL triacylglycerol (TAG) lipase/esterase, defined by a core catalytic sequence motif (GDSxxDxG), with roles in lipid metabolism, host immunity, and reproductive development⁵⁸. In maize, both *male sterile 30* (*ms30*) and *irregular pollen exine 1* (*ipe1*) mutants disrupt genes encoding a GDSL lipase and are completely male sterile^{59,60}. Similar functions have also been reported in rice⁶¹ and *Arabidopsis*⁶², where germline-specific GDSL lipase activity is essential for proper aliphatic metabolism in developing anthers, and loss of function results in aberrant pollen exine development.

DCL2-dependent 22nt siRNAs engage primarily in translational repression of their targets⁶³, and consistently all 4 target genes had similar or higher levels of mRNA in *TPD* pollen (Extended Data Fig. 6d). We raised antiserum against the TDR1 protein for immunoblotting, choosing a surface exposed peptide located between putative pro-peptide processing sites reflecting endoplasmic reticulum (ER) localization⁶⁰. TDR1 protein accumulated strongly in both 5mm anthers and mature pollen from WT plants, but was absent from leaf and from *TPD* anthers and pollen, supporting the conclusion that 22nt siRNAs mediate translational repression (Extended Data Fig. 6e). Further, whole protein extracts from *TPD* anthers had reduced esterase activity which was ameliorated in pollen containing *Tpd2* but not in pollen with *Tpd1* alone (Extended Data Fig. 6f). Gene ontology analysis of genes upregulated in WT and *TPD* pollen strongly supported translational suppression of *TDR1* as the primary cause of developmental arrest and abortion of pollen in *TPD* plants (Extended Data Fig. 7a-d).

Teosinte-Specific Hairpin small RNAs Target *Tdr1* and trigger pollen abortion

As ectopic silencing at protein-coding genes only occurred in the presence of the *Tpd1* haplotype, we reasoned that the distorter must generate small RNAs capable of triggering silencing *in trans*. In plants, microRNAs (miRNAs), secondary siRNAs, and hp-siRNAs all have this capacity⁶⁴.

Processed small RNA duplexes are loaded into ARGONAUTE (AGO) proteins, passenger strands are released, and RNase H-like slicing activity is targeted by guide strand homology, as is translational repression⁶⁵. Silencing can be amplified via the coordinated action of RDR6 and SGS3⁵². RNase H-mediated slicing results in an exposed 5' phosphate that allows for ligation of 3' cleavage products⁶⁶. Using an improved degradome sequencing technique in *TPD* pollen, iPARE-seq (Roche et al., in prep), we could identify putative cleavage sites responsible for triggering silencing at the *Tdr1* locus (Figure 4b). We simultaneously searched for non-coding RNA within the *Tpd1* haplotype that produced 22nt sRNAs capable of triggering silencing. This approach yielded only one candidate; a large hpRNA similar to those identified previously in WT pollen (Figure 4c). Interestingly, this hairpin was uninterrupted in the *mexicana*-derived *Tpd1* interval, and produced high levels of *TPD*-specific 22nt hp-siRNAs (Figure 4d,e). In the W22 genome, we identified two large transposon insertions that interrupted this locus, which produced no small RNA, indicating that it was non-functional in maize, consistent with being responsible for *TPD* (Figure 4c). By comparison with centromere placement in other maize inbreds⁶⁷, the hairpin is on the short arm, 5Mb from the centromere.

Target site prediction using psRNATarget⁶⁸ uncovered 4 abundant hp-siRNA species predicted to target the *Tdr1* transcript *in trans* (Figure 4f). Three of these began with 5'-C indicating loading into Ago5, and had iPAREseq support indicating cleavage of *Tdr1* (Figure 4g). However, the most abundant hp-siRNA, *Tpd1*-siRNA_b, was 22nt in length and began with 5'-A indicating loading into Ago2 (Figure 4g). *Tpd1*-siRNA_b has an asymmetric bulge predicted to enhance silencing transitivity and systemic spread between cells^{69,70}, and had only limited iPAREseq support indicating translational repression (Figure 4b). In order to confirm that silencing of *Tdr1* was responsible for the *TPD* phenotype, we generated two independent frameshift alleles within the catalytic domain using CRISPR-Cas9 (Figure 4h). Homozygotes for *tdr1-1* and *tdr1-2* had identical male sterile phenotypes, with extensive pollen abortion that phenocopied *Tpd1* (Figure 4i-k).

Identification of *Teosinte Drive Responder* provided insight into the function of *Tpd2*. While *Dcl2* is required for biogenesis of hp-siRNAs from *Tpd1* (Extended Data Fig. 3g), *Tpd1* hp-siRNAs were unaffected by *Tpd2*, which was instead required to suppress secondary small RNA biogenesis from *Tdr1* (Extended Data Fig. 8a). This indicates that *Tpd2* and *dcl2^T* have additive effects on secondary small RNAs, consistent with their role as partial antidotes. The 1.5Mb *Tpd2* interval contains 6 pollen-expressed genes in W22 (Extended Data Fig. 8b). Intriguingly, one of these genes encodes the maize homologue of *Arabidopsis* RNA-DIRECTED DNA METHYLATION (RDM1), a critical component of the RNA-directed DNA methylation (RdDM) pathway essential for recruitment of RNA polymerase V (Pol V) and ARGONAUTE 4 (AGO4)⁷¹. This gene is significantly overexpressed in *TPD* pollen (Extended Data Fig. 8b), and increased activity of RdDM can compete with the production of secondary small RNAs^{72,73}, which might account for this additive effect, though further experimentation is required to support this conclusion.

***Teosinte Pollen Drive* and RNA interference contributed to maize evolution and domestication.**

Population-level studies of both *mexicana* introgression lines and maize landraces have consistently identified an uninterrupted *mexicana*-derived haplotype surrounding the centromere of chromosome 5^{40,74}. In landraces, this haplotype consistently showed high rates of linkage disequilibrium (LD), suggesting a virtual absence of recombination⁷⁴. Consistent with reduced recombination, fine-mapping of *Tpd1* yielded very few informative recombinants (21/7,549) and none

at all proximal to the hairpin (Extended Data Fig.1c). Comparative analysis of the *TPD* and W22 genomes revealed three megabase-scale inversions, one of which corresponded to a 13Mb event within the *Tpd1* haplotype and including *Bt1* on chromosome 5L (Figure 2f, g). The presence of this inversion, along with its physical proximity to the centromere, explained our mapping data (Extended Data Fig. 1c), and strongly suggested that the *Tpd1* haplotype behaves as a single genetic unit. Large structural variants, such as inversions, can reinforce genetic barriers between populations by contributing to the fixation of deleterious or incompatible variants⁷⁵, and are frequently co-opted during drive evolution to ensure the integrity of distorter-responder pairs¹⁴. Functional analysis of variation in the *Tpd1* interval revealed a handful of putative loss-of-function mutations (n = 12) owing primarily to frameshifts, as well as high rates of nonsynonymous substitution (n = 277). This result was in line with the estimates of increased genetic load within regions of low recombination⁷⁶, and mirrored observations in other drive systems, which often accumulate deleterious recessive mutations in linkage¹⁴. In addition to coding sequence mutations, we found extensive structural variation in the form of 1519 high confidence insertions and deletions (indels) of various sizes (mean size = 5,419bp).

Intriguingly, the 13Mb paracentric inversion in the *Tpd1* haplotype (W22 Chr5:115,316,812-124,884,039) almost entirely encompasses so-called “region D” adjacent to centromere 5 (W22 Chr5:118,213,716-126,309,970), that has undergone a dramatic domestication sweep in all maize inbreds relative to teosinte⁶⁷. Hybridization between teosinte *mexicana* and early cultivated maize was a critical step in the adaptation and geographical dispersal of modern maize, following initial domestication from teosinte *parviglumis* in tropical lowlands^{40,77,78}. We rationalized that, if *TPD* exists as a cryptic drive system in *mexicana*, signatures of drive might be found in traditional Mexican varieties (often called “landraces”⁴⁰), and in sympatric *mexicana* populations in the central highlands of Mexico where hybridization still occurs. Our synthetic hybrids with maize inbred W22 retained approximately 13 intervals of the *mexicana* genome that persisted in serial backcrosses (Extended Data Fig. 2a,b), and we looked for signatures of these intervals in 256 accessions from 10 different traditional varieties of maize, along with their sympatric populations of wild *mexicana*⁴⁰. We determined the mean frequency of *mexicana*-like alleles from each interval in each population from existing genotyping data⁷⁸ and determined the Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient for each pair of intervals. We found that, in *mexicana* populations, the intervals encoding *Tpd1* (chr 5.79), *Tpd2* (chr 6.98) and *Rgd1* (chr 6.3) were highly correlated (Figure 5a), suggesting that this combination of toxin and antidote loci is ancestral in these *mexicana* populations. In traditional maize varieties, on the other hand, significant correlations were observed between 11 of the 13 intervals (Figure 5a). 3 of these intervals are tightly linked to genes encoding Argonaute proteins, specifically *Ago1a*, *Ago1b* and *Ago2b*, all of which are expressed in the male germline (Extended Data Fig. 2). A fourth Argonaute gene, the anther-specific *Ago5b*, is tightly linked to another of the 13 intervals retained in backcross hybrids (Extended Data Fig. 2a), but not in extant traditional maize varieties (Figure 5a). These argonaute proteins are predicted to bind *Tpd1*-hp-siRNAa-d (*Ago2* and *Ago5*), as well as secondary *Tdr1* 22nt siRNAs (*Ago1*), and it is conceivable that hypomorphic alleles could also act as partial antidotes in combination with *Tpd2*. In addition to intervals encoding *Dcl2*, *Rdm1* and *Rgd1/Sgs3*, this means that 7 of the 13 intervals are tightly linked to genes required for RNAi. These correlations suggest there has been strong selection on all of these modifiers to ameliorate the toxic effects of *Tpd1*, resulting in apparent gene drive.

In contrast to RNAi genes, variation at *Tdr1* displays no such correlation with the co-inherited intervals in traditional maize varieties (Figure 5a). In fact, *Tdr1* is strongly monomorphic in traditional maize varieties, while in *mexicana* *Tdr1* displays extreme polymorphism (Figure 5b). We considered

the possibility that this locus has evolved to become immune to silencing in modern maize, a predicted outcome of selfish genetic systems¹⁴. Remarkably, a recent survey of maize and teosinte genome sequences⁷⁹ reveals that 3 of the 4 *Tpd1*-hp-siRNA target sites in *Tdr1* exhibit extensive polymorphism in maize and teosinte, including an in-frame deletion of the target site seed region for *Tpd1*-hp-siRNAa and a SNP at position 11 in target sites for *Tpd1*-hp-siRNAb, that are predicted to reduce or abolish cleavage and translational inhibition (Figure 6a). *TPD* pollinations of the temperate inbred B73, which carries the deletion haplotype, resulted in semi-sterile (24/44) and fully fertile (18/44) offspring in advanced backcrosses, as well as rare fully sterile presumptive recombinants (2/44), consistent with these expectations. Surveys of the frequency of the deletion haplotype across *Zea* found it widespread, suggesting an origin prior to speciation of *Zea mays* from *Z. luxurians* and *Z. diploperennis* (Figure 6b), while it is absent from *Z. nicaraguensis* and *Tripsacum dactyloides*. The frequency of the deletion haplotype is relatively low in *mexicana* (12%) compared to *parviglumis* (46%), and increases in tropical maize, traditional maize varieties (landraces), popcorn and inbreds, where it is nearly fixed in several modern inbred groups (98%), suggesting a trajectory of spread to North and South America.

To further characterize the evolution of genomic elements associated with *TPD*, we applied the haplotype-based statistic iHS⁸⁰ and the F_{ST} statistic⁸¹ to identify genome-wide signals of natural selection (see Methods) using variant data for *parviglumis*, *mexicana*, landraces, and modern lines^{82,83}. We considered values in the top 5% of the genome-wide empirical distributions of these statistics as significant. F_{ST} was effective at identifying known domestication loci, but did not show significant signals of selection for the *TPD*-linked genes (Extended Table 4). However, we found that *dcl2*, *tdr1*, *rdm1* and the hairpin region contained significant SNPs in teosinte based on iHS (Extended Table 5), indicating these loci may be under selection. More conservative analyses using Bonferroni *p*-value adjustment for the multiple tested populations and windowed iHS statistics found signatures of selection in *dcl2* for *mexicana* and for the hairpin region in *parviglumis* (Extended Table 5).

Discussion

Teosinte Pollen Drive (TPD) is a selfish genetic system that defies Mendelian inheritance and operates via a toxin-antidote model. Like other selfish systems, *TPD* contributes to hybrid incompatibility, in this case between maize and teosinte. In the male germline, *Tpd1* produces 22nt hp-siRNAs that act as a non-cell-autonomous toxin, killing pollen grains in a sporophytic manner by targeting the genetically linked responder gene *Tdr1* (Extended Data Fig. 9a, b). This effect is countered by at least two gametophytic antidotes, a linked hypomorphic allele of *Dcl2* and the unlinked *Tpd2* locus on chromosome 6 (Extended Data Fig. 9c). The genetic architecture of this system, consisting of multiple linked and unlinked loci, deviates from previously established toxin-antidote systems. In rice, for instance, the *qHMS7* quantitative trait locus (QTL) is a selfish genetic element composed of two tightly linked open reading frames (ORFs)⁸⁴. Similarly, the *wtf4* driver in *S. pombe* features two alternatively spliced transcripts derived from the same locus³¹. In contrast, the *Tpd1* haplotype results in tight pseudolinkage between *Tpd1*, *Tdr1* and *dcl2^T* but only when transmitted through the male. While recombinants occur in single-pollen grains, they are not transmitted to the next generation (Figure 1), and maternal recombinants between *Dcl2^T* and *Tpd1* are completely male sterile (Figure 2). These recombinants produce far more secondary 22nt small RNAs at *Tdr1* (Extended Data Fig. 8a), providing an explanation for the failure to transmit recombinants through pollen. *Tpd2* is unlinked but acts cell autonomously, so that independent assortment of *Tpd1* and *Tpd2* occurs in female gametes,

but never in male, implying that gametophytic suppression of pollen killing requires co-segregation with *Tpd1*. A similar scenario was reported for drive in fission yeast mediated by *wtf13*. The emergence of a spontaneous unlinked suppressor, *wtf18-2*, was found to selectively suppress spore killing only in spores in which it is co-inherited with the toxin⁸⁵. In both cases, the selective suppression of drive can be interpreted as selfish behavior on the part of the antidote. Such interactions arise repeatedly as part of the co-evolutionary struggle between drivers and the host genome, directly influencing patterns of co-segregation even in the absence of physical linkage. Ultimately, this cycle of suppression and counter-suppression can be expected to result in complex, polygenic drivers that exist in a continuum of cryptic states.

The conspicuous maintenance of *mexicana* introgression intervals containing RNAi factors across many backcross generations supports this idea (Extended Data Fig. 2a). *mexicana* introgression was a key step in facilitating adaptation of early maize domesticates to the highland conditions of central Mexico^{40,86}. In theory, the presence of a driver in *mexicana* could directly impact introgression by skewing patterns of heritability in hybrid populations. Genome scans of sympatric maize and *mexicana* have identified multiple regions of introgression associated with adaptive variation, some of which overlap with the genomic interval corresponding to the *Tpd1* haplotype⁴⁰ and other intervals undergoing drive⁸⁷, and we find that intervals associated with drive in pollen are significantly correlated with each other in maize landraces, but not in sympatric *mexicana* populations (Figure 5). We postulated that the most powerful suppressor of all would be an “immune” target gene, in which hp-siRNA target sites in *Tdr1* had been mutated. Remarkably, such in-frame “immune” haplotypes were found in wild taxa in *Zea*, and have been progressively fixed from tropical to temperate stiff-stalk maize inbreds (Figure 6), suggesting that *Teosinte Pollen Drive* may be an ancient system that has impacted admixture throughout the history of the genus, reaching fixation in modern maize. *Teosinte Pollen Drive* complements the hypothesized role of *Abnormal-10*, a chromosomal driver of female meiosis, that simulations suggest may have been responsible for the re-distribution of heterochromatic knobs in maize, *parviglumis* and *mexicana*^{16,88}, potentially along with thousands of linked genes²¹.

Meiotic recombination has been proposed to be an evolutionary consequence of defense against drive, as the separation of individual drive components is an efficient way to purge selfish genetic elements⁸⁹ and rare recombinants in the *SD* and *t*-complexes in mouse and *Drosophila* exhibit either impaired *SD* or suicidal male sterility^{24,28}. As such, many drive complexes evolve in regions of reduced recombination and select for further structural rearrangements, some of which can give rise to patterns of variation that influence morphological traits not associated with drive itself¹⁴. The *t*-complex, which contains genetic loci governing *SD*, embryonic lethality, and morphological phenotypes such as tail length is a clear example²⁴. At the structural level, the *Tpd1* haplotype is consistent with this notion, encompassing both the core centromeric region and a 13Mb paracentric inversion on chromosome 5L. In *mexicana*, the *Tpd1* haplotype captures upwards of 243 protein-coding genes including at least 12 putative loss of function alleles, significant levels of nonsynonymous substitution, and numerous small SVs. Independent studies have identified major QTLs within the centromeric region of chromosome 5 associated with flowering time⁷⁴, hybrid yield⁹⁰, and up to 6 domestication traits in teosinte-maize backcross populations⁹¹. This region also overlaps with introgression intervals associated with adaptive variation in traditional maize varieties^{40,87}. Finally, the 13Mb inversion within the *Tpd1* haplotype encompasses one of largest known domestication sweeps between maize inbreds and teosinte⁶⁷, accounting perhaps for the unusual size and rapid emergence of “Region D”. Signals of selection at

TPD loci themselves, by contrast, were weaker than at known domestication loci, consistent with the idea that drive itself is not adaptive.

We show that DCL2-dependent 22nt small RNAs stemming from long hpRNAs can function as selfish genetic elements in pollen. In *Arabidopsis*, 22nt siRNA biogenesis is carefully regulated due to ectopic silencing of host genes^{47,50,52,63}. Furthermore, 21-22nt siRNAs from *Arabidopsis* pollen target imprinted genes as well as transposons, and mediate triploid seed abortion^{92,93}. In this context, the fact that DCL2 activity in the maize germline is unconstrained, producing highly abundant 22nt siRNAs that target mobile elements and protein-coding genes alike, is striking. Our observations are highly reminiscent of the testis-specific hairpin-RNA (hpRNA) pathway in *Drosophila melanogaster*^{94,95}. In this system, silencing of protein-coding genes by a set of recently evolved hairpins is important for proper regulation of gene expression during male reproductive development⁹⁵. In mammals, endo-siRNAs in the oocyte are generated from hairpin and antisense precursors by an oocyte specific Dicer isoform (*Dcr-O*) lacking the helicase domain, and have recently been found to have an essential function in global translational suppression⁹⁶⁻⁹⁸. Importantly, the Winters sex-ratio (SR) distortion system in *Drosophila simulans* is suppressed by two hpRNAs, *Not much yang* (*Nmy*) and *Too much yin* (*Tmy*), which are essential for male fertility and sex balance^{99,100}, while the “Paris” SR system may require a component of the piRNA pathway¹⁰¹. The remarkable parallels between all of these systems, and between *Dcr-O* and *Dcl2^T*, which both have potential defects in the helicase domain, invites speculation that selection for selfish behavior is an efficient means by which germline small RNAs can propagate within a population. Such propagation provides a plausible origin for “self”-targeting small RNAs in the germlines of plants and animals.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant material and growth conditions

The *TPD* lineage traces to teosinte *mexicana* collected near Copándaro, Michoacán, Mexico in December 1993. Gamete a, plant 4 of collection 107 was used in an initial outcross to the Mid-western US dent inbred W22 and subsequently backcrossed. *Tpd1*/*Tpd2* (BC₈S₃) homozygous lines were used for whole-genome sequencing and *de novo* genome assembly. All additional experiments were performed using *Tpd1/tpd1*; *Tpd2/tpd2* (BC₁₁-BC₁₃) plants or populations derived from maternal segregation of these lines. The *lhl1-rgd1* and *dcl2-mul* alleles were backcrossed to W22 ≥ 4 times. *dcl2-mul* was isolated from Uniform-Mu line UFMu-12288. All genetic experiments used segregating wild-type progeny as experimental controls. Plants were grown under greenhouse and field conditions.

Phenotyping and Microscopy

All pollen phenotyping was performed using mature 5mm anthers prior to anthesis. Individual anthers were suspended in PBS and dissected using forceps and an insulin syringe. Starch viability staining was performed using Lugol solution (Sigma, cat#L6146-1L). Measurements for days to anthesis (DTA) were taken for three replicate crosses (*Tpd1/tpd1*; *Tpd2/tpd2* x W22) with staggered planting dates in three different field positions. The Leaf Collar Method¹⁰² was combined with routine manual palpation of the topmost internode to track reproductive stages. Meiotic anthers were dissected, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde + MBA buffer (Bass et al. 2014), and stained with DAPI for visualization. For tetrad viability assays, anthers from the upper floret of an individual spikelet were dissected and stored in MBA. One anther was used for staging and the others were dissected to release the tetrads. Fluorescein diacetate viability staining was performed as previously described¹⁰³. To

control for artifacts associated with sample handling, only intact tetrads (4 physically attached spores) were considered.

Genotyping and marker design

For routine genotyping, tissue discs were collected with a leaf punch and stored in 96 well plates. To extract genomic DNA, 20ul of extraction solution (0.1M NaOH) was added to each well and samples were heated to 95°C for ten minutes then placed immediately on ice. To neutralize this solution, 90ul of dilution solution (10mM Tris + 1mM EDTA, pH to 1.5 with HCl) was added. PCR reactions, using 1-2ul of this solution as template, were performed using GoTaq G2 Green Master Mix (Promega, cat#M7822). Secondary validation of genotyping reactions was performed as needed using the Quick-DNA Plant/Seed Miniprep kit (Zymo Research, cat#D6020). Bulk Illumina and Nanopore data from *Tpd1*; *Tpd2* seedlings was used for codominant molecular marker design (Supplementary Table 1). When possible, markers based upon simple sequence length polymorphisms (SSLPs) were prioritized, but a number of restriction fragment length polymorphisms (RFLPs) were also designed. W22, *Tpd1/tpd1*; *Tpd2/tpd2*, and *Tpd1*;*Tpd2* genomic DNA was used to validate marker segregation prior to use. The *dcl2-mu1* insertion was amplified by combining gene-specific forward and reverse primers with a degenerate terminal inverted repeat (TIR) primer cocktail. The insertion was subsequently validated by Sanger sequencing.

High Molecular Weight Genomic DNA Extraction

High molecular weight (HMW) genomic DNA was used as input for all Nanopore and bulk Illumina sequencing experiments. For extraction, bulked seedlings were dark treated for 1 week prior to tissue collection. Four grams of frozen tissue was ground under liquid N₂ and pre-washed twice with 1.0M sorbitol. The tissue was then transferred to 20ml pre-warmed lysis buffer (100mM Tris-HCl pH 9.0, 2% w/v CTAB, 1.4M NaCl, 20mM EDTA, 2% PVP-10, 1% 2-mercaptoethanol, 0.1% sarkosyl, 100ug/mL proteinase K), mixed gently, and incubated for 1 hour at 65°C. Organic extraction in phase-lock tubes was performed using 1 vol phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1) followed by 1 vol chloroform:isoamyl alcohol. DNA was precipitated by adding 0.1 vol 3M NaOAc pH 5.2 followed by 0.7 vol isopropanol. HMW DNA was hooked out with a pasteur pipette and washed with 70% EtOH, air dried for 2 minutes, and resuspended in 200ul Tris-Cl pH 8.5 (EB). The solution was treated with 2ul 20mg/ml RNase A at 37°C for 20 minutes followed by 2ul 50mg/ml proteinase K at 50°C for 30 minutes. 194ul EB, 100ul NaCl, and 2ul 0.5M EDTA were added and organic extractions were performed as before. DNA was precipitated with 1.7 vol EtOH, hooked out of solution with a pasteur pipette, washed with 70% EtOH, and resuspended in 50ul EB.

Nanopore and Hi-C sequencing, TPD genome assembly and annotation

HMW DNA from *Tpd1*;*Tpd2* BC₈S₃ was gently sheared by passage through a P1000 pipette 20 times before library preparation with the Oxford Nanopore Technologies Ligation Sequencing gDNA (SQK-LSK109) protocol with the following modifications: 1) DNA repair, end-prep, and ligation incubation times extended to 20 min. each; 2) 0.8x vol. of a custom SPRI bead solution was used for reaction cleanups^{104,105} 3) bead elutions carried out at 50C for 5 min. Libraries were sequenced on the MinKNOW device with R9.4.1 flow cells. Offline base calling of ONT reads was performed with Guppy 5.0.7 and the R9.4.1 450bps super accuracy model. Reads longer than 1 Kbp were assembled into contigs using Flye 2.9-b1768⁴³ with options “--extra-params

max_bubble_length=2000000 -m 20000 -t 48 --nano-raw". The same long reads were aligned to the Flye contigs (filtered to keep only the longest alternatives) using minimap2 2.22-r1101¹⁰⁶, and these alignments were passed to the PEPPER-Margin-DeepVariant 0.4 pipeline⁴⁴ to polish the initial consensus. To correct remaining SNVs and small indels, two Illumina PCR-free gDNA PE150 libraries were mapped to the long read polished consensus with bwa-mem2 2.2.1¹⁰⁷ for further polishing with NextPolish 1.3.1¹⁰⁸ followed by Hapo-G 1.2¹⁰⁹, both with default options. Two biological replicate samples of BC₈S₃ leaf tissue were used to prepare Dovetail Omni-C Kit libraries following the manufacturer's protocol, and sequenced as a PE150 run on a NextSeq500. These Hi-C reads were mapped to the polished contigs with the Juicer pipeline release 1.6 UGER scripts with options "enzyme=none"¹¹⁰. The resulting "merged_nodups.txt" alignments were passed to the 3D-DNA pipeline to iteratively order and orient the input contigs and correct misjoins¹¹¹. This initial automatic scaffolding resulted in 11 superscaffolds longer than 10 Mbp. Correcting a single centromeric break during manual review with JBAT¹¹² resulted in the expected 10 pseudomolecules. One 6 Mbp contig was identified as bacterial with no contacts, and was discarded. The remaining unscaffolded contigs were of organelle origin (n=9, 625 Kbp), or aligned to the pseudomolecules (n=116, 12 Mbp). Coding gene predictions from the NRGene 2.0 W22 reference¹¹³ were projected onto the TPD genome assembly using Liftoff 1.6.2¹¹⁴ with options "-polish -copies -chroms <chrom_map>". See Extended Data Tbl. 3 for assembly metrics.

RNA extraction

Tissue was collected, snap frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80°C. Samples were ground into a fine powder using a mortar and pestle on liquid nitrogen. 800ul of pre-extraction buffer (100mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 150mM LiCl, 50mM EDTA pH 8.0, 1.5% v/v SDS, 1.5% 2-mercaptoethanol) was added and mixed by vortexing. 500ul of acid phenol:chloroform (pH 4.7-5.0) was added and samples were mixed then spun down at 13,000 x g for 15 minutes at 4°C. The aqueous layer was extracted and 1ml Trizol per 200mg input tissue of was added. Samples were mixed by vortex and incubated at RT for 10 minutes. 200ul chloroform per 1ml Trizol was added and samples were mixed by vortexing then incubated at RT for 2 minutes. Samples were then spun down at 13,000 x g for 15 minutes at 4°C. The aqueous phase was extracted and cleaned up using the Zymo RNA Clean and Concentrator-5 kit (Zymo Research, cat#R1013). Only samples with RNA integrity (RIN) scores ≥ 9 were used for qPCR and sequencing.

Reverse Transcription and RT-qPCR

For reverse transcription, 1ug of total RNA was treated with ezDNase (ThermoFisher, cat#11766051) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Reverse transcription (RT) was performed with SuperScript IV VILO Master Mix (ThermoFisher, cat#11756050). Following RT, complementary DNA (cDNA) was diluted 1:20 in dH₂O to be used as template in RT-qPCR.

All RT-qPCR experiments were performed on an Applied Biosystems QuantStudio 6 system in 96-well plate format using PowerUp SYBR Green Master Mix (ThermoFisher, cat#A25741). Prior to use in experiments, primer efficiency was tested for each primer set using a standard curve generated from serial dilutions of cDNA template. Only primer sets with efficiencies between 90-110% were used (Supplementary Table 2). For experiments, ≥ 3 biological replicates (independent cDNA samples from discrete plants) were assayed per genotype, and ≥ 2 technical replicates were set up for each reaction condition. Raw Ct (cycle

threshold) from technical replicates were averaged, and ΔC_t (mean C_t^{exp} – mean C_t^{ref}) was calculated using Elfa9 as a housekeeping reference. $\Delta\Delta C_t$ values ($\Delta C_t^{\text{cond1}} - \Delta C_t^{\text{cond2}}$) were calculated between genotypes and converted to fold-change ($2^{-\Delta\Delta C_t}$).

Whole Genome Sequencing and SNP Calling

HMW DNA from separately maintained *Tpd1*/*Tpd2* lineages (BC₈S₃, BC₅S₂) and bulk segregation analysis (BSA) maternal pools were extracted as detailed above. Libraries were prepared using the Illumina TruSeq DNA PCR-Free kit (Illumina, cat#20015962) with 2ug of DNA input. Samples were sequenced on a NextSeq500 platform using 2x150bp high output run. Adapter trimming was performed with Cutadapt v3.1¹¹⁵. Paired-end reads were aligned to the W22 reference genome¹¹³ with BWA-MEM v0.7.17¹¹⁶. Alignments were filtered by mapping quality (mapQ≥30) and PCR duplicates were removed using Samtools v1.10¹¹⁷. SNP calling was performed using Freebayes v1.3.2¹¹⁸. Putative SNP calls were filtered by quality, depth, and allele frequency (AF=1) to obtain a high-confidence *mexicana* marker set that was subsequently validated against the *TPD* assembly. For BSA analysis, SNP calls were filtered against the gold-standard *TPD* marker set. Reference and alternate allele frequencies at each marker were calculated and average signal was consolidated into 100kb bins. $\Delta\text{SNPindex}$ was then calculated for each bin in a sliding window.

Single-pollen grain sequencing

Pollen grains from *Tpd1/tpd1*; *Tpd2/tpd2* plants were suspended in ice-cold PBS on a microscope slide under a dissecting scope. Individual plump, viable pollen grains were deposited into the 0.2mL wells of a 96-well plate using a p20 pipette. Lysis and whole genome amplification were performed using the REPLI-g single-cell kit (Qiagen, cat#150345) with the following modifications; 1/4th the specified volume of amplification mix was deposited in each well and isothermal amplification was limited to 5 hours. All steps prior to amplification were performed in a UV-decontaminated PCR hood. WGA products were cleaned up using a Genomic DNA Clean & Concentrator kit (Zymo Research, cat#D4067) and yields were quantified using with the QuantiFluor dsDNA system (Promega, cat#E2670) in a 96-well microplate format.

Libraries were prepared using the TruSeq Nano DNA High Throughput kit (Illumina, cat#20015965) with 200ng input. Samples were sequenced on a NextSeq500 platform using 2x101bp high output runs. Quality control, adapter trimming, alignment, and SNP calling were performed as above. BCFtools 1.14¹¹⁹ was used to derive genotype calls from single pollen grains at the predefined marker positions and then passed to GLIMPSE 1.1.1¹²⁰ for imputation. All calls at validated marker sites were extracted and encoded in a sparse matrix format (rows = markers, columns = samples) and encoded (1 = alt allele, -1 = ref allele, 0 = missing). To assess *mexicana* introgression in individual pollen grains, mean SNP signal was calculated in 100kb bins across the genome. A sliding window (1Mb window, 200kb step) was applied in order to smooth the data and identify regions with *mexicana* SNP density. To identify genomic intervals overrepresented in surviving *TPD* pollen grains, aggregate allele frequency was calculated across all pollen grains at each marker site.

RNA sequencing and analysis

Five biological replicates were prepared for each biological condition (*Tpd1/tpd1*; *Tpd2/tpd2* and *tpd1*; *tpd2* siblings). 5ug of total RNA was ribosome depleted using the RiboMinus Plant Kit (ThermoFisher, cat#A1083808), and libraries were prepared using the NEXTFLEX Rapid Directional

RNA-seq kit (PerkinElmer, cat#NOVA-5138-08). The size distribution of completed libraries was assessed using an Agilent Bioanalyzer, and quantification was performed using a KAPA Library Quantification kit (Roche, cat#KK4824). Libraries were sequenced on a NextSeq500 platform using a 2x150bp high output run. Trimmed reads were aligned to the W22 reference with STAR in two-pass alignment mode¹²¹. Read counts were assigned to annotated features using featureCounts¹²². For transposable element expression, multi-mapping reads were assigned fractional counts based on the number of identical alignments. Differential expression analysis was performed using edgeR¹²³. To avoid false positives, a stringent cutoff ($\log_2\text{FC} \geq 2$, $\text{FDR} \leq 0.001$) was used to call differentially expressed genes. Gene ontology (GO) analysis (Fisher's exact test, $p < 0.01$) was performed using topGO¹²⁴, and the results were visualized using rrvgo¹²⁵. For data visualization, alignment files were converted to a strand-specific bigwig format using deepTools¹²⁶.

Small RNA sequencing and analysis

For comparisons between *Tpd1/tpd1*; *Tpd2/tpd2* and *tpd1*; *tpd2* pollen, three biological replicates were used. Two biological replicates were used for *dcl2^T-/-* and *dcl2-mu1/-* pollen samples. Libraries were constructed with the NEXTFLEX Small RNA-Seq V3 kit (PerkinElmer, cat#NOVA-5132-06) using 2ug of total RNA input per library and the gel-free size selection protocol. The size distribution of completed libraries was assessed using an Agilent Bioanalyzer, and quantification was performed using a KAPA Library Quantification kit (Roche, cat#KK4824). Libraries were sequenced on a NextSeq500 platform using a 1x76bp run. Adapters were trimmed using cutadapt¹¹⁵ and the 4bp UMI sequences on either side of each read were removed.

Reads were filtered using pre-alignment to a maize structural RNA consensus database using bowtie2¹²⁷. Alignment and *de novo* identification of small RNA loci was performed with ShortStack¹²⁸, using a minimum CPM cutoff of 5, and only clusters with clear size bias (21, 22, or 24nt) were retained in downstream analysis. Differential sRNA accumulation was performed with edgeR¹²³ ($\log_2\text{FC} \geq 2$, $\text{FDR} \leq 0.01$). The accumulation of size and strand-biased hp-siRNAs was used to identify hairpin loci throughout the genome. For each locus, the underlying primary sequence was tested for reverse complementarity and RNA secondary structure prediction was performed using RNAfold¹²⁹. Non-hairpin siRNA targets were only retained if they showed negligible strand-bias (i.e., evidence of a dsRNA template for processing by a Dicer-like enzyme).

iPARE sequencing and analysis

For iPARE-seq libraries, 40ug of total RNA was poly(A) selected using a Dynabeads mRNA Purification Kit (ThermoFisher, cat#61006). 1ug of poly(A) RNA was ligated to the 5' PARE adapter (100pmol) in 10% DMSO, 1mM ATP, 1X T4 RNA ligase 1 buffer (New England Biolabs, cat#B0216L), 25% PEG8000 with 1ul (40U) of RNaseOUT (ThermoFisher, cat#10777019) and 1ul T4 RNA ligase 1 (New England Biolabs, cat#M0204S) in a reaction volume of 100ul. Ligation reactions were performed for 2 hours at 25°C followed by overnight incubation at 16°C. Samples were then purified using RNA Clean XP beads (Beckman Coulter, cat#A63987) and eluted in 18ul dH₂O. Chemical fragmentation of ligated RNA to $\leq 200\text{nt}$ was performed using the Magnesium RNA fragmentation kit (New England Biolabs, cat#E6150S). 2ul RNA Fragmentation Buffer was added and samples were incubated at 94°C for 5 minutes followed by a transfer to ice and the addition of 2ul of RNA Stop solution. Samples were purified using the RNA Clean & Concentrator-5 kit (Zymo Research, cat#R1013) and eluted in 11ul H₂O. Reverse-transcription was performed as follows: 10ul of RNA, 1ul

10mM dNTP, and 2ul random primer mix (New England Biolabs, cat#S1330S) were mixed and incubated for 10 minutes at 23°C then put on ice for 1 minute. The following was then added: 4ul 5X SuperScript IV buffer, 1ul 100mM DTT, 1ul RNaseOUT, and 1ul Superscript IV (200U). The reaction was incubated for 10 minutes at 23°C followed by 10 minutes at 50°C. 80ul of TE was then added to this mixture.

Target indirect capture was performed with 100ul Dynabeads MyOne Streptavidin T1 beads (ThermoFisher, cat#65601) as per manufacturer instructions. 100ul of the RT reaction was used as input, and captured cDNA molecules were eluted in 50ul. Second-strand synthesis was performed using 5U Klenow fragment (New England Biolabs, cat#M0210S) with 100uM dNTPs and 1uM of iPARE adapter primer (5'-NNNNTCTAGAAATGCATGGGCCCTCCAAG-3') for 1 hour at 37°C, incubation at 75°C for 20 minutes. Samples were purified with a 1:1 ratio of AMPure XP SPRI beads (Beckman Coulter – cat#A63880) and resuspended in 51ul EB. 50ul of sample was used for library preparation with the NEB Ultra DNA library kit (New England Biolabs, cat# E7370S). Barcoded samples were sequenced with a NextSeq500 2x150bp high output run. Use of the directional iPARE adapter allows for the retention of directionality even when using a non-directional DNA-seq kit. Cutadapt¹¹⁵ was used to search and recover the adapter sequence in both 5' and 3' orientation (forward in read1 or read2 respectively). Read1 adapter reads were trimmed for the 3' adapter if present, and the 5' iPARE adapter was subsequently removed. Potential polyA tails were also removed, and only reads ≥ 20 nt were retained. Read2 adapter reads were processed in an identical fashion. Filtered reads were mapped using Bowtie2¹²⁷ and the 5' position of each read (the cloned 5' monophosphate) was extracted using BEDtools¹³⁰ with CPM normalization. Small RNA target prediction was performed using psRNATarget⁶⁸.

Protein Extraction and Western Blotting

Fresh anthers or pollen were collected and snap frozen in liquid nitrogen. Samples were then ground to a fine powder in a mortar and pestle over liquid nitrogen and resuspended in freshly prepared extraction buffer (2mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 150mM NaCl, 1mM EDTA, 1% v/v NP40, 5% v/v glycerol, 1mM PMSF, 1ml Roche Protease Inhibitor cocktail per 30g input tissue) and vortexed thoroughly. Samples were then centrifuged at 14,000rpm at 4°C for 5 minutes to pellet cellular debris, and the aqueous fraction was transferred to another tube. This step was then repeated twice more. Protein extracts were quantified using the Pierce Detergent Compatible Bradford Assay Kit (ThermoFisher, cat#23246) on a Promega Glomax-Multi+ plate reader.

To assess the role of 22nt siRNAs in translational repression, antiserum was raised against a peptide (SRKGAPPSSPPLSPPKLGA) from the Zm00004b012122 protein in collaboration with PhytoAB. Specificity was determined as follows: (1) blots using pollen protein extracts showed a single band at roughly the expected size, and (2) blots using leaf protein extracts showed no band in concordance with expected pollen/anther specificity. A rabbit polyclonal heat shock protein 90-2 (HSP90-2) antibody (Agrisera, cat#AS11 1629), a constitutive isoform with high expression, was used as loading control in all western blot experiments. For comparisons of protein abundance between wild-type and *TPD* pollen/anthers, 2ug of protein was denatured at 95°C for 5 minutes in an appropriate volume of 2X Laemmli buffer (120mM Tris-Cl pH 6.8, 4% v/v SDS, 0.004% bromophenol blue, 20% v/v glycerol, 0.02% w/v bromophenol blue, 350mM DTT). Samples were run on a 4-20% Mini-PROTEAN TGX Precast Gel (BioRad, cat#4561094) with a Precision Plus Protein Dual Xtra Prestained standard (BioRad, cat#1610377).

Transfer to a PVDF membrane was performed using a BioRad Trans-Blot Turbo Transfer system. Membranes were blocked using 5% w/v powdered milk in 1X TBS-T (20 mM Tris, 150mM NaCl, 0.1% Tween-20) for 1 hour at room temperature. Subsequently, the membrane was cut and incubated with primary antibody (1:3,000 dilution in blocking solution) at 4°C overnight with gentle agitation. Three 15-minute membrane washes were performed with 1X TBS-T at room temperature. Membranes were then incubated with a 1:3,000 goat anti-rabbit IgG H&L (PhytoAB, cat#PHY6000) secondary for 1 hour at room temperature. Following three more washes with 1X TBS-T, membranes were incubated for 5 minutes with ECL Prime detection reagent (Amersham, cat#RPN2236) and visualized using a BioRad ChemiDoc Touch Imaging System.

Esterase Enzymatic Activity Assay

Esterase activity assays were performed using the colorimetric substrate p-Nitrophenyl butyrate (Sigma, cat#N9876) at a final concentration of 1mM in 0.5M HEPES (pH 6.5). For assays using whole 5mm anthers, 100ug of total protein was used as input for each sample, whereas 50ug was used for pollen. Individual samples were prepared in cuvettes at a volume of 1.5mL. Upon addition of the total protein extract, samples were gently mixed and an initial 410nm absorbance reading was taken to serve as a per sample baseline. Samples were then incubated at 30°C and absorbance readings were taken every 5 minutes for a total of 12 timepoints. This experiment was replicated three times for each genotype. All absorbance readings were taken in using a Thermo Scientific Genesys 20 spectrophotometer.

Detection of selective sweeps in candidate regions associated with *TPD*

We investigated signals of selection in genomic regions associated with *TPD* using selscan v.1.2.0a¹³¹ to calculate the genome-wide normalized absolute iHS statistics for individual SNPs and in 10kb windows. iHS is suitable for identifying selection in a single population and relies on the presence of ongoing sweeps and a signal of selection from unusually long-range linkage disequilibrium. We also used VCFtools v0.1.16¹³² to calculate Weir & Cockerham's F_{ST} in 10kb windows to assess signals of selection based on changes in allele frequency between populations. Phased SNPs for modern temperate maize lines, teosinte and *Tripsacum dactyloides* were obtained from Grzybowski et al⁸² and SNPs for 265 CIMMYT landraces were obtained from Yang et al.⁸³ and phased with Beagle v5.4¹³³. A phased and imputed set of 42,387,706 genome-wide concatenated SNPs was used for the analysis of selection. The *T. dactyloides* allele was set to be the ancestral allele. A consensus genetic map curated by Ed Coe was obtained from MaizeGDB¹³⁴ and SNP positions were interpolated to genetic positions. Weighted F_{ST} was calculated for each unique population pair. For iHS, 10kb windows were binned into 10 quantiles based on the number of SNPs they contained, and empirical p -values for each window were calculated within each quantile. The statistic calculated was the number of extreme (top 5%) |iHS| scores per window. Empirical p -values for iHS and F_{ST} were then calculated from the rank of each window based on the respective statistics. We adjusted these p -values for multiple testing of different populations using the Bonferroni method. *TPD*-linked regions (*dcl2*, *rdm1*, *tdr1*, hairpin region) and their 1kb upstream and downstream regions were intersected with the 10kb windows using bedtools v2.30¹³⁰ and assigned the lowest p -value of all intersecting windows. To validate our selection scan, we also investigated windows intersecting with a set of four known domestication genes¹³⁵.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Kelly Dawe and Jim Birchler for helpful discussions and for sharing cytogenetic data. Research in the Martienssen laboratory is supported by the U.S. National Institutes of Health (NIH) grant R01 GM067014, the National Science Foundation Plant Genome Research Program, and the Howard Hughes Medical Institute. The authors acknowledge assistance from the Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Shared Resources, which are funded in part by a Cancer Center Support grant (5PP30CA045508). BB was supported by a predoctoral fellowship from the National Science Foundation.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

BB, JK and RAM designed the study; BB, EE, BR, CA and JL performed the experiments; BB, EE, JC, AA, JRI and RAM analyzed the data and/or its significance; BB and RAM wrote the manuscript with contributions from JC, JRI and AA. AS and RAM acquired funding.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

DATA AND MATERIAL AVAILABILITY

Sequencing datasets generated during the current study are available at NCBI (GEO SuperSeries: GSE234925) and datasets used for genome assembly are available at SRA (BioProject: PRJNA937229).

This Whole Genome Shotgun project has been deposited at DDBJ/ENA/GenBank under the accession JARBIH000000000. The version described in this paper is version JARBIH010000000.

All materials are available upon request.

CODE AVAILABILITY

All code is available on Github (<https://github.com/martienssenlab/TPD-manuscript>).

REFERENCES

1. Anderson, E. & Stebbins, G. L. Hybridization as an Evolutionary Stimulus. *Evolution* **8**, 378–388 (1954).
2. Arnold, M. L. Transfer and origin of adaptations through natural hybridization: were Anderson and Stebbins right? *Plant Cell* **16**, 562–570 (2004).
3. Kistler, L. *et al.* Multiproxy evidence highlights a complex evolutionary legacy of maize in South America. *Science* **362**, 1309–1313 (2018).
4. Rieseberg, L. H. & Blackman, B. K. Speciation genes in plants. *Ann. Bot.* **106**, 439–455 (2010).
5. Chen, C., E, Z. & Lin, H.-X. Evolution and Molecular Control of Hybrid Incompatibility in Plants. *Front. Plant Sci.* **7**, 1208 (2016).
6. Bayes, J. J. & Malik, H. S. Altered heterochromatin binding by a hybrid sterility protein in

- Drosophila sibling species. *Science* **326**, 1538–1541 (2009).
7. Mihola, O., Trachtulec, Z., Vlcek, C., Schimenti, J. C. & Forejt, J. A mouse speciation gene encodes a meiotic histone H3 methyltransferase. *Science* **323**, 373–375 (2009).
8. Tang, S. & Presgraves, D. C. Evolution of the Drosophila nuclear pore complex results in multiple hybrid incompatibilities. *Science* **323**, 779–782 (2009).
9. Bomblies, K. *et al.* Autoimmune response as a mechanism for a Dobzhansky-Muller-type incompatibility syndrome in plants. *PLoS Biol.* **5**, e236 (2007).
10. Hurst, G. D. & Werren, J. H. The role of selfish genetic elements in eukaryotic evolution. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **2**, 597–606 (2001).
11. Johnson, N. A. Hybrid incompatibility genes: remnants of a genomic battlefield? *Trends Genet.* **26**, 317–325 (2010).
12. Presgraves, D. C. The molecular evolutionary basis of species formation. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **11**, 175–180 (2010).
13. McLaughlin, R. N., Jr & Malik, H. S. Genetic conflicts: the usual suspects and beyond. *J. Exp. Biol.* **220**, 6–17 (2017).
14. Lindholm, A. K. *et al.* The Ecology and Evolutionary Dynamics of Meiotic Drive. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **31**, 315–326 (2016).
15. Sandler, L. & Novitski, E. Meiotic Drive as an Evolutionary Force. *Am. Nat.* **91**, 105–110 (1957).
16. Buckler, E. S., 4th *et al.* Meiotic drive of chromosomal knobs reshaped the maize genome. *Genetics* **153**, 415–426 (1999).
17. Fishman, L. & Saunders, A. Centromere-associated female meiotic drive entails male fitness costs in monkeyflowers. *Science* **322**, 1559–1562 (2008).
18. Fishman, L. & McIntosh, M. Standard Deviations: The Biological Bases of Transmission Ratio Distortion. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* **53**, 347–372 (2019).
19. Chmátal, L. *et al.* Centromere strength provides the cell biological basis for meiotic drive and karyotype evolution in mice. *Curr. Biol.* **24**, 2295–2300 (2014).
20. Didion, J. P. *et al.* A multi-megabase copy number gain causes maternal transmission ratio distortion on mouse chromosome 2. *PLoS Genet.* **11**, e1004850 (2015).
21. Dawe, R. K. *et al.* A Kinesin-14 Motor Activates Neocentromeres to Promote Meiotic Drive in Maize. *Cell* **173**, 839–850.e18 (2018).
22. Lyon, M. F. Transmission ratio distortion in mice. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* **37**, 393–408 (2003).
23. McDermott, S. R. & Noor, M. A. F. The role of meiotic drive in hybrid male sterility. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* **365**, 1265–1272 (2010).
24. Lyon, M. F. Transmission ratio distortion in mouse t-haplotypes is due to multiple distorter genes acting on a responder locus. *Cell* **37**, 621–628 (1984).
25. Silver, L. M. & Remis, D. Five of the nine genetically defined regions of mouse t haplotypes are involved in transmission ratio distortion. *Genet. Res.* **49**, 51–56 (1987).
26. Herrmann, B. G., Koschorz, B., Wertz, K., McLaughlin, K. J. & Kispert, A. A protein kinase encoded by the t complex responder gene causes non-mendelian inheritance. *Nature* **402**, 141–146 (1999).
27. Bauer, H., Willert, J., Koschorz, B. & Herrmann, B. G. The t complex-encoded GTPase-activating protein Tagap1 acts as a transmission ratio distorter in mice. *Nat. Genet.* **37**, 969–973 (2005).

28. Hartl, D. L. Genetic dissection of segregation distortion. I. Suicide combinations of SD genes. *Genetics* **76**, 477–486 (1974).
29. Larracuente, A. M. & Presgraves, D. C. The selfish Segregation Distorter gene complex of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genetics* **192**, 33–53 (2012).
30. Zanders, S. E. *et al.* Genome rearrangements and pervasive meiotic drive cause hybrid infertility in fission yeast. *Elife* **3**, e02630 (2014).
31. Nuckolls, N. L. *et al.* wtf genes are prolific dual poison-antidote meiotic drivers. *Elife* **6**, (2017).
32. Hamilton, W. D. Extraordinary sex ratios. A sex-ratio theory for sex linkage and inbreeding has new implications in cytogenetics and entomology. *Science* **156**, 477–488 (1967).
33. Lewontin, R. C. & Dunn, L. C. The Evolutionary Dynamics of a Polymorphism in the House Mouse. *Genetics* **45**, 705–722 (1960).
34. Bhutani, K. *et al.* Widespread haploid-biased gene expression enables sperm-level natural selection. *Science* **371**, (2021).
35. Hurst, L. D. & Pomiankowski, A. Causes of sex ratio bias may account for unisexual sterility in hybrids: a new explanation of Haldane’s rule and related phenomena. *Genetics* **128**, 841–858 (1991).
36. Phadnis, N. & Orr, H. A. A single gene causes both male sterility and segregation distortion in *Drosophila* hybrids. *Science* **323**, 376–379 (2009).
37. Zhang, L., Sun, T., Woldesellassie, F., Xiao, H. & Tao, Y. Sex ratio meiotic drive as a plausible evolutionary mechanism for hybrid male sterility. *PLoS Genet.* **11**, e1005073 (2015).
38. Kermicle, J. L. & Allen, J. P. Cross-incompatibility between maize and teosinte. *Maydica* **35**, 399–408 (1990).
39. Lu, Y., Hokin, S. A., Kermicle, J. L., Hartwig, T. & Evans, M. M. S. A pistil-expressed pectin methylesterase confers cross-incompatibility between strains of *Zea mays*. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 2304 (2019).
40. Hufford, M. B. *et al.* The genomic signature of crop-wild introgression in maize. *PLoS Genet.* **9**, e1003477 (2013).
41. Yang, J. *et al.* A killer-protector system regulates both hybrid sterility and segregation distortion in rice. *Science* **337**, 1336–1340 (2012).
42. Takagi, H. *et al.* QTL-seq: rapid mapping of quantitative trait loci in rice by whole genome resequencing of DNA from two bulked populations. *Plant J.* **74**, 174–183 (2013).
43. Kolmogorov, M., Yuan, J., Lin, Y. & Pevzner, P. A. Assembly of long, error-prone reads using repeat graphs. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **37**, 540–546 (2019).
44. Shafin, K. *et al.* Haplotype-aware variant calling with PEPPER-Margin-DeepVariant enables high accuracy in nanopore long-reads. *Nat. Methods* **18**, 1322–1332 (2021).
45. Yang, Z. & Bielawski, J. P. Statistical methods for detecting molecular adaptation. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **15**, 496–503 (2000).
46. Yoshikawa, M., Peragine, A., Park, M. Y. & Poethig, R. S. A pathway for the biogenesis of trans-acting siRNAs in *Arabidopsis*. *Genes Dev.* **19**, 2164–2175 (2005).
47. Parent, J.-S., Bouteiller, N., Elmayan, T. & Vaucheret, H. Respective contributions of *Arabidopsis* DCL2 and DCL4 to RNA silencing. *Plant J.* **81**, 223–232 (2015).
48. Deleris, A. *et al.* Hierarchical action and inhibition of plant Dicer-like proteins in antiviral defense. *Science* **313**, 68–71 (2006).
49. Bouché, N., Laressergues, D., Gascioli, V. & Vaucheret, H. An antagonistic function for

- Arabidopsis DCL2 in development and a new function for DCL4 in generating viral siRNAs. *EMBO J.* **25**, 3347–3356 (2006).
50. Wu, Y.-Y. *et al.* DCL2- and RDR6-dependent transitive silencing of SMXL4 and SMXL5 in Arabidopsis dcl4 mutants causes defective phloem transport and carbohydrate over-accumulation. *Plant J.* **90**, 1064–1078 (2017).
 51. Taochy, C. *et al.* A Genetic Screen for Impaired Systemic RNAi Highlights the Crucial Role of DICER-LIKE 2. *Plant Physiol.* **175**, 1424–1437 (2017).
 52. Mlotshwa, S. *et al.* DICER-LIKE2 plays a primary role in transitive silencing of transgenes in Arabidopsis. *PLoS One* **3**, e1755 (2008).
 53. Tagami, Y., Motose, H. & Watanabe, Y. A dominant mutation in DCL1 suppresses the hyl1 mutant phenotype by promoting the processing of miRNA. *RNA* **15**, 450–458 (2009).
 54. Welker, N. C. *et al.* Dicer's helicase domain discriminates dsRNA termini to promote an altered reaction mode. *Mol. Cell* **41**, 589–599 (2011).
 55. Aderounmu, A. M., Aruscavage, P. J., Kolaczowski, B. & Bass, B. L. Ancestral protein reconstruction reveals evolutionary events governing variation in Dicer helicase function. *Elife* **12**, (2023).
 56. Slotkin, R. K., Freeling, M. & Lisch, D. Heritable transposon silencing initiated by a naturally occurring transposon inverted duplication. *Nat. Genet.* **37**, 641–644 (2005).
 57. Shan, X. *et al.* Mobilization of the active MITE transposons mPing and Pong in rice by introgression from wild rice (*Zizania latifolia* Griseb.). *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **22**, 976–990 (2005).
 58. Ding, L.-N. *et al.* Advances in plant GDSL lipases: from sequences to functional mechanisms. *Acta Physiol. Plant* **41**, 151 (2019).
 59. An, X. *et al.* ZmMs30 Encoding a Novel GDSL Lipase Is Essential for Male Fertility and Valuable for Hybrid Breeding in Maize. *Mol. Plant* **12**, 343–359 (2019).
 60. Huo, Y. *et al.* IRREGULAR POLLEN EXINE2 Encodes a GDSL Lipase Essential for Male Fertility in Maize. *Plant Physiol.* **184**, 1438–1454 (2020).
 61. Zhao, J. *et al.* RMS2 Encoding a GDSL Lipase Mediates Lipid Homeostasis in Anthers to Determine Rice Male Fertility. *Plant Physiol.* **182**, 2047–2064 (2020).
 62. Tsugama, D., Fujino, K., Liu, S. & Takano, T. A GDSL-type esterase/lipase gene, GELP77, is necessary for pollen dissociation and fertility in Arabidopsis. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **526**, 1036–1041 (2020).
 63. Wu, H. *et al.* Plant 22-nt siRNAs mediate translational repression and stress adaptation. *Nature* **581**, 89–93 (2020).
 64. Borges, F. & Martienssen, R. A. The expanding world of small RNAs in plants. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **16**, 727–741 (2015).
 65. Fang, X. & Qi, Y. RNAi in Plants: An Argonaute-Centered View. *Plant Cell* **28**, 272–285 (2016).
 66. German, M. A., Luo, S., Schroth, G., Meyers, B. C. & Green, P. J. Construction of Parallel Analysis of RNA Ends (PARE) libraries for the study of cleaved miRNA targets and the RNA degradome. *Nat. Protoc.* **4**, 356–362 (2009).
 67. Schneider, K. L., Xie, Z., Wolfgruber, T. K. & Presting, G. G. Inbreeding drives maize centromere evolution. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **113**, E987–96 (2016).
 68. Dai, X., Zhuang, Z. & Zhao, P. X. psRNATarget: a plant small RNA target analysis server (2017 release). *Nucleic Acids Res.* **46**, W49–W54 (2018).

69. Manavella, P. A., Koenig, D. & Weigel, D. Plant secondary siRNA production determined by microRNA-duplex structure. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **109**, 2461–2466 (2012).
70. Dalakouras, A. *et al.* Induction of Silencing in Plants by High-Pressure Spraying of In vitro-Synthesized Small RNAs. *Front. Plant Sci.* **7**, 1327 (2016).
71. Wongpalee, S. P. *et al.* CryoEM structures of Arabidopsis DDR complexes involved in RNA-directed DNA methylation. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 3916 (2019).
72. Jauvion, V., Rivard, M., Bouteiller, N., Elmayan, T. & Vaucheret, H. RDR2 partially antagonizes the production of RDR6-dependent siRNA in sense transgene-mediated PTGS. *PLoS One* **7**, e29785 (2012).
73. Creasey, K. M. *et al.* miRNAs trigger widespread epigenetically activated siRNAs from transposons in Arabidopsis. *Nature* **508**, 411–415 (2014).
74. Romero Navarro, J. A. *et al.* A study of allelic diversity underlying flowering-time adaptation in maize landraces. *Nat. Genet.* **49**, 476–480 (2017).
75. Ayala, F. J. & Coluzzi, M. Chromosome speciation: humans, Drosophila, and mosquitoes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **102 Suppl 1**, 6535–6542 (2005).
76. Rodgers-Melnick, E. *et al.* Recombination in diverse maize is stable, predictable, and associated with genetic load. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **112**, 3823–3828 (2015).
77. McLean-Rodríguez, F. D., Costich, D. E., Camacho-Villa, T. C., Pè, M. E. & Dell’Acqua, M. Genetic diversity and selection signatures in maize landraces compared across 50 years of in situ and ex situ conservation. *Heredity* **126**, 913–928 (2021).
78. Calfee, E. *et al.* Selective sorting of ancestral introgression in maize and teosinte along an elevational cline. *PLoS Genet.* **17**, e1009810 (2021).
79. Chen, L. *et al.* Genome sequencing reveals evidence of adaptive variation in the genus Zea. *Nat. Genet.* **54**, 1736–1745 (2022).
80. Voight, B. F., Kudaravalli, S., Wen, X. & Pritchard, J. K. A map of recent positive selection in the human genome. *PLoS Biol.* **4**, e72 (2006).
81. Weir, B. S. & Cockerham, C. C. ESTIMATING F-STATISTICS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF POPULATION STRUCTURE. *Evolution* **38**, 1358–1370 (1984).
82. Grzybowski, M. W. *et al.* A common resequencing-based genetic marker data set for global maize diversity. *Plant J.* **113**, 1109–1121 (2023).
83. Yang, N. *et al.* Two teosintes made modern maize. *bioRxiv* 2023.01.31.526540 (2023) doi:10.1101/2023.01.31.526540.
84. Yu, X. *et al.* A selfish genetic element confers non-Mendelian inheritance in rice. *Science* **360**, 1130–1132 (2018).
85. Bravo Núñez, M. A., Lange, J. J. & Zanders, S. E. A suppressor of a wtf poison-antidote meiotic driver acts via mimicry of the driver’s antidote. *PLoS Genet.* **14**, e1007836 (2018).
86. van Heerwaarden, J. *et al.* Genetic signals of origin, spread, and introgression in a large sample of maize landraces. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **108**, 1088–1092 (2011).
87. Barnes, A. C. *et al.* An adaptive teosinte *mexicana* introgression modulates phosphatidylcholine levels and is associated with maize flowering time. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **119**, e2100036119 (2022).
88. McClintock, B., Kato Yamakake, T. A., Blumenschein, A. & Escuela Nacional de Agricultura (Mexico). Colegio de Postgraduados. *Chromosome constitution of races of maize : its significance in the interpretation of relationships between races and varieties in the Americas.*

- (Colegio de Postgraduados, 1981).
89. Haig, D. & Grafen, A. Genetic scrambling as a defence against meiotic drive. *J. Theor. Biol.* **153**, 531–558 (1991).
 90. Stuber, C. W., Lincoln, S. E., Wolff, D. W., Helentjaris, T. & Lander, E. S. Identification of genetic factors contributing to heterosis in a hybrid from two elite maize inbred lines using molecular markers. *Genetics* **132**, 823–839 (1992).
 91. Briggs, W. H., McMullen, M. D., Gaut, B. S. & Doebley, J. Linkage mapping of domestication loci in a large maize teosinte backcross resource. *Genetics* **177**, 1915–1928 (2007).
 92. Borges, F. *et al.* Transposon-derived small RNAs triggered by miR845 mediate genome dosage response in Arabidopsis. *Nat. Genet.* **50**, 186–192 (2018).
 93. Martinez, G. *et al.* Paternal easiRNAs regulate parental genome dosage in Arabidopsis. *Nat. Genet.* **50**, 193–198 (2018).
 94. Czech, B. *et al.* An endogenous small interfering RNA pathway in Drosophila. *Nature* **453**, 798–802 (2008).
 95. Wen, J. *et al.* Adaptive regulation of testis gene expression and control of male fertility by the Drosophila hairpin RNA pathway. [Corrected]. *Mol. Cell* **57**, 165–178 (2015).
 96. Flemr, M. *et al.* A retrotransposon-driven dicer isoform directs endogenous small interfering RNA production in mouse oocytes. *Cell* **155**, 807–816 (2013).
 97. Tam, O. H. *et al.* Pseudogene-derived small interfering RNAs regulate gene expression in mouse oocytes. *Nature* **453**, 534–538 (2008).
 98. Su, R. *et al.* Global profiling of RNA-binding protein target sites by LACE-seq. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **23**, 664–675 (2021).
 99. Tao, Y. *et al.* A sex-ratio meiotic drive system in Drosophila simulans. II: an X-linked distorter. *PLoS Biol.* **5**, e293 (2007).
 100. Lin, C.-J. *et al.* The hpRNA/RNAi Pathway Is Essential to Resolve Intragenomic Conflict in the Drosophila Male Germline. *Dev. Cell* **46**, 316–326.e5 (2018).
 101. Helleu, Q. *et al.* Rapid evolution of a Y-chromosome heterochromatin protein underlies sex chromosome meiotic drive. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **113**, 4110–4115 (2016).
 102. Begcy, K. & Dresselhaus, T. Tracking maize pollen development by the Leaf Collar Method. *Plant Reprod.* **30**, 171–178 (2017).
 103. Kalkar, S. A. & Neha, K. Evaluation of FDA Staining Technique in Stored Maize Pollen. *Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research* (2012).
 104. Nagar, R. & Schwessinger, B. DNA size selection (> 3-4kb) and purification of DNA using an improved homemade SPRIbeads solution. *Protocols. io* (2018).
 105. Schalamun, M., Nagar, R. & Kainer, D. Harnessing the Min ION: An example of how to establish long-read sequencing in a laboratory using challenging plant tissue from Eucalyptus pauciflora. *Mol. Ecol.* (2018).
 106. Li, H. Minimap2: pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics* **34**, 3094–3100 (2018).
 107. Vasimuddin, M., Misra, S., Li, H. & Aluru, S. Efficient Architecture-Aware Acceleration of BWA-MEM for Multicore Systems. in *2019 IEEE International Parallel and Distributed Processing Symposium (IPDPS)* 314–324 (ieeexplore.ieee.org, 2019).
 108. Hu, J., Fan, J., Sun, Z. & Liu, S. NextPolish: a fast and efficient genome polishing tool for long read assembly. *Bioinformatics* (2019) doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btz891.

109. Aury, J.-M. & Istace, B. Hapo-G, haplotype-aware polishing of genome assemblies with accurate reads. *NAR Genom Bioinform* **3**, lqab034 (2021).
110. Durand, N. C. *et al.* Juicer Provides a One-Click System for Analyzing Loop-Resolution Hi-C Experiments. *Cell Syst* **3**, 95–98 (2016).
111. Dudchenko, O. *et al.* De novo assembly of the *Aedes aegypti* genome using Hi-C yields chromosome-length scaffolds. *Science* **356**, 92–95 (2017).
112. Durand, N. C. *et al.* Juicebox Provides a Visualization System for Hi-C Contact Maps with Unlimited Zoom. *Cell Syst* **3**, 99–101 (2016).
113. Springer, N. M. *et al.* The maize W22 genome provides a foundation for functional genomics and transposon biology. *Nat. Genet.* **50**, 1282–1288 (2018).
114. Shumate, A. & Salzberg, S. L. Liftoff: accurate mapping of gene annotations. *Bioinformatics* **37**, 1639–1643 (2021).
115. Martin, M. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. *EMBnet.journal* **17**, 10 (2011).
116. Li, H. Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-MEM. *arXiv [q-bio.GN]* (2013).
117. Li, H. *et al.* The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 2078–2079 (2009).
118. Garrison, E. & Marth, G. Haplotype-based variant detection from short-read sequencing. *arXiv [q-bio.GN]* (2012).
119. Danecek, P. *et al.* Twelve years of SAMtools and BCFtools. *Gigascience* **10**, (2021).
120. Rubinacci, S., Ribeiro, D. M., Hofmeister, R. J. & Delaneau, O. Efficient phasing and imputation of low-coverage sequencing data using large reference panels. *Nat. Genet.* **53**, 412 (2021).
121. Dobin, A. *et al.* STAR: Ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* **29**, 15–21 (2013).
122. Liao, Y., Smyth, G. K. & Shi, W. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 923–930 (2014).
123. Robinson, M. D., McCarthy, D. J. & Smyth, G. K. edgeR: A Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 139–140 (2009).
124. Alexa, A. & Rahnenfuhrer, J. topGO: enrichment analysis for gene ontology. *R package version* (2023).
125. Sayols, S. rrvgo: a Bioconductor package for interpreting lists of Gene Ontology terms. *MicroPubl Biol* **2023**, (2023).
126. Ramirez, F. *et al.* deepTools2: a next generation web server for deep-sequencing data analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **44**, 160–165 (2016).
127. Langmead, B. & Salzberg, S. L. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat. Methods* **9**, 357–359 (2012).
128. Axtell, M. J. ShortStack: comprehensive annotation and quantification of small RNA genes. *RNA* **19**, 740–751 (2013).
129. Gruber, A. R., Lorenz, R., Bernhart, S. H., Neuböck, R. & Hofacker, I. L. The Vienna RNA websuite. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **36**, W70–4 (2008).
130. Quinlan, A. R. & Hall, I. M. BEDTools: A flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 841–842 (2010).

131. Szpiech, Z. A. selscan 2.0: scanning for sweeps in unphased data. *bioRxiv* 2021.10.22.465497 (2022) doi:10.1101/2021.10.22.465497.
132. Danecek, P. *et al.* The variant call format and VCFtools. *Bioinformatics* **27**, 2156–2158 (2011).
133. Browning, B. L., Tian, X., Zhou, Y. & Browning, S. R. Fast two-stage phasing of large-scale sequence data. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **108**, 1880–1890 (2021).
134. Portwood, J. L., 2nd *et al.* MaizeGDB 2018: the maize multi-genome genetics and genomics database. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **47**, D1146–D1154 (2019).
135. Stitzer, M. C. & Ross-Ibarra, J. Maize domestication and gene interaction. *New Phytol.* **220**, 395–408 (2018).




Figure 1. Single pollen sequencing reveals selfish inheritance in *Teosinte Pollen Drive*. **a**, Representative 5mm anther florets from wild-type (WT) and *Teosinte Pollen Drive* (TPD) plants. Scale bar = 1mm. **b**, Brightfield imaging of mature pollen grains from WT and TPD plants. Arrows denote developmentally arrested pollen grains. Scale bar = 0.1mm. **c**, Iodine potassium iodide (I.KI) viability staining of mature WT and TPD pollen grains. Viable pollen grains are plump and darkly stained, whereas arrested pollen grains exhibit reduced diameter and incomplete staining. Scale bar = 0.1mm. **d**, Quantification of pollen abortion rates in TPD backcross (BC_{11,12}), WT, and TPD self-fertilized (BC₈S₃) lines. Data are mean ± s.d. (n = 6-8). **** p < 0.0001 (Two-tailed t-test). **e**, Phenotypic segregation ratios across replicate reciprocal crosses. n represents the sample size for each progeny population. The red dashed lines denote a perfect 2:1:1 phenotypic segregation ratio. **f**, Fluorescein diacetate (FDA) viability staining of tetrads from TPD plants. Pollen viability is progressively restricted to a single spore following meiosis. Panels show DIC, FDA, and merged images. Scale bar = 50μm. **g**, Viability scoring of TPD and WT tetrads shown in f. TPD spores exhibit significantly reduced viability at the tetrad stage. n = 3 biological replicates, 952 total tetrads assayed. Data are mean ± s.d. * p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01 (Welch's t-test). **h**, Single pollen grain genome sequencing. Imputed allele frequencies at *mexicana* markers in a population of 178 mature pollen grains collected from TPD plants. **i**, Imputed *mexicana* marker density on chromosomes 5 and 6 for individual pollen grain genome sequences. Multiple *mexicana* haplotypes (blue) are selfishly inherited in viable TPD pollen grains (n=178) but not WT (n=32). Values shown (h, i) are plotted using a 500kb sliding window.




Figure 2. A toxin-antidote system introduced from *mexicana* on chromosomes 5 and 6. **a**, Representative tassels from fertile, semi-sterile, and sterile plants in a maternally segregating population. Examples shown are from synchronized planting dates. Scale bar = 1cm. **b**, I₂KI viability staining of pollen from *Tpd2*^{+/-}, *Tpd1*^{+/-}; *Tpd2*^{+/-}, and *Tpd1*^{+/-} plants. Scale bar = 0.1mm. **c**, Measurement of days to anthesis in fertile, semi-sterile, and sterile phenotypic classes. Data are from three independent field populations. **** p < 0.0001 (Two-tailed Mann-Whitney test). **d**, Genotypic segregation ratios in reciprocal crosses. Genotypes were defined using codominant molecular markers. n represents the sample size for each progeny population. The red dashed lines denote a perfect 1:1:1:1 genotypic segregation ratio. Normal segregation is only observed in maternal progeny. **e**, Bulk segregant analysis (BSA) of fertile and sterile progeny pools indicates that *Tpd1* (red arrow) is necessary and sufficient for dominant male sterility (toxin) while *Tpd2* (blue arrow) is associated with fertility (antidote). FDR ≤ 0.01 (Benjamini-Hochberg method). **f**, Dot plots of chromosomes 5 and 6 showing multiple alignment between the *TPD* and W22 reference genomes. The shaded regions correspond to fully scaffolded intervals of *mexicana* introgression. The purple arrows indicate breakpoints of a ~13Mb paracentric inversion present within the *Tpd1* haplotype on 5L. The blue and red arrows mark the *Tpd1* and *Tpd2* intervals, respectively. **g**, Summary graphics depicting the *Tpd1* and *Tpd2* intervals, as well as associated markers. The 13Mb inversion is indicated as a reverse arrow.




Figure 3. *Dicer-Like 2* from teosinte is a linked antidote for toxic 22nt small interfering RNA. **a**, Genome-wide *mexicana* SNP density in bulk sequenced *Tpd1; Tpd2* (BC_8S_3) plants. A subset of *mexicana* introgression intervals (in addition to *Tpd1* and *Tpd2*) are selectively maintained and encode RNAi factors (*Dcl2*, *Ago1*, *Ago2*, *Ago5*, *Rgd1/Sgs3* and *Rdm1*). A *mexicana*-derived allele of *Dcl2* (*dcl2^T*) with a high rate of nonsynonymous substitution is maintained in linkage to *Tpd1*. **b**, Rates of recombination between *dcl2^T* and *Tpd1* in replicate reciprocal crosses. *dcl2^T* exhibits tight pseudolinkage with *Tpd1* when propagated as male (0cM), but not as female (18.7 ± 1.6 cM). *n* represents the sample size for each progeny population. **c**, Measurements of pollen viability in *Tpd1/tpd1* and *tpd1* plants containing combinations *Dcl2*, *dcl2^T*, and *dcl2-mul1*. Addition of the *dcl2-mul1* hypomorphic allele is sufficient for suppression of *Tpd1*-mediated pollen abortion, implicating 22nt siRNAs as the toxin underlying drive. Data points correspond to measurements from individual plants ($n = 6-10$). ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$ (Two-tailed Mann-Whitney test). **d**, Volcano plots showing 21nt ($n = 9$), 22nt ($n = 212$), and 24nt ($n = 6$) sRNA clusters that are differentially expressed in wild type and *TPD* pollen. The accumulation of ectopic 22nt siRNAs occurs in *TPD* pollen specifically. Log₂ fold change ≥ 2 , FDR ≤ 0.01 . **e**, Representative ears from replicate crosses containing wild type *Dcl2* (*W22 x Tpd1/tpd1*) or *dcl2-mul1* (*W22 x dcl2-mul1 Tpd1/dcl2-mul1 tpd1*) in linkage to *Tpd1*. Pollen parents homozygous for *dcl2-mul1* restore seed set. Scale bar = 4cm.




Figure 4. 22nt siRNAs from a mexicana-derived hairpin (*Tpd1*) target Teosinte drive responder 1 (*Tdr1*), an essential pollen gene. a, Browser shots showing 22nt small interfering RNA (siRNA) levels at the *Tdr1* locus in leaf and pollen tissue from wild type and *TPD* genotypes. Ectopic 22nt siRNAs accumulate in *TPD* pollen specifically. **b**, iPARE-seq depicting the accumulation of 3'-OH cleavage products at the *Tdr1* locus. The 5' nucleotide of each fragment is plotted and corresponds to the position of Argonaute mediated cleavage. Tick marks indicate predicted target sites for hairpin siRNAs (hp-siRNAs) derived from the *Tpd1* hairpin. Sites with (red) and without (gray) iPARE read support are shown. **c**, Browser shot of 22nt hp-siRNA accumulation at the *Tpd1* hairpin. The hairpin locus is disrupted by transposable element insertions in the W22 genome. Data shown (a, b, c) are normalized counts per million (CPM). **d**, 22nt hp-siRNA abundance at the *Tpd1* hairpin locus in WT and *TPD* pollen. n = 3 replicates per condition. **** p < 0.0001 (Mann-Whitney test). **e** Average size distribution of reads mapping to the *Tpd1* hairpin. **f**, small RNA target site prediction at the *Tdr1* locus using psRNATarget. Counts indicate unique hp-siRNAs from *Tpd1* that target each site. **g**, Homology between the guide strand (black) and target strand (orange) is shown for the four most abundant hp-siRNAs. The 10th (red) and 11th nucleotides in the guide strand flank the site of Ago-mediated cleavage. *Tpd1* hp-siRNA b is predicted to suppress translation. **h**, Schematic showing CRISPR/Cas9 targeting of the *Tdr1* locus. Edits corresponding to *tldr1-1* and *tldr1-2* alleles (blue) are shown. **i**, Developmentally synchronized tassels from wild-type and *tldr1* mutant T0 plants. *tldr1* mutants exhibit severely delayed anthesis. Scale bar = 3cm. **j**, Mature 5mm anthers from wild-type and *tldr1* mutant T0 plants. Scale bar = 1mm. **k**, I₂KI viability staining of pollen from wild-type and *tldr1* mutant T0 plants. Scale bar = 0.1mm.




Figure 5. Signatures of Teosinte Pollen Drive in modern maize, maize landraces and sympatric mexicana. **a**, Frequency of mexicana-derived alleles were calculated for 1 Mb intervals associated with *TPD* on chromosomes 1,2,3,4,5,6 and 10. Correlations are shown between population means from each of 14 maize landraces (left) and sympatric mexicana populations (right). Intervals on chromosomes 5, 6 and 10 include *Dcl2* (5.19), *Tdr1* (5.40), *Tpd1* (5.79), *Rgd1/Sgs3* (6.3) and candidate genes *Ago1a* (6.3), *Tpd2/Rdm1* (6.98), *Ago1b* and *Ago2b* (10.134). Correlations were observed for most of the intervals in maize landraces, except for *Tdr1* (blue arrow), but only for intervals including *Tpd1*, *Rgd1* and *Tpd2* in mexicana. Spearman correlation coefficients are displayed as a heatmap. **b**, mexicana-derived ancestry in each of 14 maize landraces (above) and sympatric mexicana populations (below) in *Dcl2*, *Tdr1*, *Tpd1* and *Tpd2* intervals. The *Tdr1* interval (green) is monomorphic in most of the maize landraces, but shows extreme dimorphism in 7 out of 14 sympatric mexicana populations.

