



Chimeric antigen receptors that trigger phagocytosis

Meghan A Morrissey^{1,2†}, Adam P Williamson^{1,2†}, Adriana M Steinbach^{1,2},
Edward W Roberts³, Nadja Kern^{1,2}, Mark B Headley³, Ronald D Vale^{1,2*}

¹Department of Cellular and Molecular Pharmacology, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, United States; ²Howard Hughes Medical Institute, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, United States; ³Department of Pathology, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, United States

Abstract Chimeric antigen receptors (CARs) are synthetic receptors that reprogram T cells to kill cancer. The success of CAR-T cell therapies highlights the promise of programmed immunity and suggests that applying CAR strategies to other immune cell lineages may be beneficial. Here, we engineered a family of Chimeric Antigen Receptors for Phagocytosis (CAR-Ps) that direct macrophages to engulf specific targets, including cancer cells. CAR-Ps consist of an extracellular antibody fragment, which can be modified to direct CAR-P activity towards specific antigens. By screening a panel of engulfment receptor intracellular domains, we found that the cytosolic domains from Megf10 and FcR γ robustly triggered engulfment independently of their native extracellular domain. We show that CAR-Ps drive specific engulfment of antigen-coated synthetic particles and whole human cancer cells. Addition of a tandem PI3K recruitment domain increased cancer cell engulfment. Finally, we show that CAR-P expressing murine macrophages reduce cancer cell number in co-culture by over 40%.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.36688.001>

*For correspondence:
Ron.Vale@ucsf.edu

†These authors contributed equally to this work

Competing interests: The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

Funding: See page 18

Received: 14 March 2018

Accepted: 26 May 2018

Published: 04 June 2018

Reviewing editor: Jonathan A Cooper, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, United States

© Copyright Morrissey et al. This article is distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use and redistribution provided that the original author and source are credited.

Introduction

Chimeric antigen receptors (CARs) are synthetic transmembrane receptors that redirect T cell activity towards clinically relevant targets (reviewed in [Lim et al., 2017; Fesnak et al., 2016]). The CAR-T receptor contains an extracellular single chain antibody fragment (scFv) that recognizes known tumor antigens, and intracellular signaling domains from the T Cell Receptor (TCR) and costimulatory molecules that trigger T cell activation (Fesnak et al., 2016; Kochenderfer et al., 2009). CAR-T cells recognizing CD19, a marker expressed at high levels on the surface of B cells and B cell-derived malignancies, have been used successfully to target hematological malignancies with 70–90% of patients showing measurable improvement (Lim et al., 2017; Engel et al., 1995; Haso et al., 2013). The success of CAR-T suggests that programming immune cells to target cancer might be a broadly applicable approach.

Macrophages are critical effectors of the innate immune system, responsible for engulfing debris and pathogens. Harnessing macrophages to combat tumor growth is of longstanding interest (Alvey and Discher, 2017; Lee et al., 2016). Macrophages are uniquely capable of penetrating solid tumors, while other immune cells, like T cells, are physically excluded or inactivated (Lim et al., 2017; Lee et al., 2016). This suggests that engineered macrophages may augment existing T cell-based therapies. Early efforts transferring healthy macrophages into cancer patients failed to inhibit tumor growth, suggesting that macrophages require additional signals to direct their activity towards tumors (Lacerna et al., 1988; Andreesen et al., 1990). Antibody blockade of CD47, a negative regulator of phagocytosis, reduced tumor burden, indicating that shifting the balance in favor of macrophage activation and engulfment is a promising therapeutic avenue (Majeti et al., 2009;

eLife digest Our immune system constantly patrols our body, looking to eliminate cancerous cells and harmful microbes. It can spot these threats because it recognizes certain signals at the surface of dangerous cells. However, cancer cells often find ways to 'hide' from our immune system.

Chimeric antigen receptors, or CARs, are receptors designed in a laboratory to attach to specific proteins that are found on a cancer cell. These receptors tell immune cells, such as T cells, to attack cancers. T cells that carry CARs are already used to treat people with blood cancers. Yet, these immune cells are not good at penetrating a solid tumor to kill the cells inside, which limits their use.

Macrophages are a group of immune cells that can make their way inside tumors and travel to cancers that the rest of the immune system cannot reach. They defend our body by 'swallowing' harmful cells. Would it then be possible to use CARs to program macrophages to 'eat' cancer cells?

Morrissey, Williamson et al. created a new type of CARs, named CAR-P, and introduced it in macrophages. These cells were then able to recognize and attack beads covered in proteins found on cancer cells. The modified macrophages could also limit the growth of live cancer cells in a dish by 'biting' and even 'eating' them. While these results are promising in the laboratory, the next step is to test whether these reprogrammed macrophages can recognize and fight cancers in living animals.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.36688.002>

Chao et al., 2010; Jaiswal et al., 2009; Tseng et al., 2013. Here, we report a family of chimeric antigen receptors that activate phagocytosis of cancer cells based on recognition of defined cell surface markers, resulting in significantly reduced cancer cell growth.

Results

To program engulfment towards a target antigen, we created a CAR strategy using the CAR-T design as a guide (Fesnak et al., 2016). We call this new class of synthetic receptors Chimeric Antigen Receptors for Phagocytosis (CAR-Ps). The CAR-P molecules contain the extracellular single-chain antibody variable fragment (scFv) recognizing the B cell antigen CD19 (α CD19) and the CD8 transmembrane domain present in the α CD19 CAR-T (Fesnak et al., 2016; Kochenderfer et al., 2009). To identify cytoplasmic domains capable of promoting phagocytosis, we screened a library of known murine phagocytic receptors: Megf10 (Figure 1a), the common γ subunit of Fc receptors (FcR γ), Bai1, and MerTK (Penberthy and Ravichandran, 2016). FcR triggers engulfment of antibody-bound particles, while the other receptors recognize apoptotic corpses (Freeman and Grinstein, 2014; Penberthy and Ravichandran, 2016). We also made a receptor containing an extracellular α CD19 antibody fragment and a cytoplasmic GFP, but no signaling domain, to test whether adhesion mediated by the α CD19 antibody fragment is sufficient to induce engulfment (Figure 1a; CAR-P^{GFP}).

To assay our library of CAR-Ps, we introduced each CAR-P into J774A.1 murine macrophages by lentiviral infection. As an engulfment target, we used 5 μ m diameter silica beads coated with a supported lipid bilayer. A His₈-tagged extracellular domain of CD19 was bound to a NiNTA-lipid incorporated into the supported lipid bilayers. Macrophages expressing a CAR-P with the Megf10 (CAR-P^{Megf10}) or FcR γ (CAR-P^{FcR γ}) intracellular domain promoted significant engulfment of CD19 beads compared to macrophages with no CAR (Figure 1b,c, Figure 1—video 1). Macrophages expressing CAR-P^{Bai1}, CAR-P^{MerTK}, and the adhesion-only CAR-P^{GFP} did not bind the CD19 beads even though these CAR-Ps are present at the cell surface (Figure 1b,c, Figure 1—figure supplement 1). To confirm that the CAR-P was a viable strategy for redirecting primary macrophages, we expressed the CAR-P^{FcR γ} in primary murine bone marrow derived macrophages and found that these transfected primary cells also were able to trigger engulfment of CD19 beads (Figure 1d).

Next we asked if the CAR-P strategy could target a different antigen. Because CAR-P^{Megf10} performed well in our initial screen (Figure 1a), we developed α CD22 CAR-P^{Megf10} using a previously developed α CD22 antibody fragment (Xiao et al., 2009; Haso et al., 2013). Consistent with our results using α CD19-based CARs, α CD22 CAR-P^{Megf10} promoted engulfment of CD22 beads (Figure 2a). To confirm antigen specificity of CAR-P, we incubated α CD19 CAR-P^{Megf10}




Figure 1. Identification of intracellular signaling region for CAR-P. (A) Schematics show the structure of CAR-P constructs. An α CD19 (purple) or α CD22 (blue, center) scFv directs CAR specificity. Intracellular signaling domains from Megf10 or the indicated engulfment receptor (green) activate engulfment. CAR-P^{GFP} contains only GFP and no intracellular signaling domains (right). All constructs include a transmembrane domain from CD8 and a C-terminal GFP. (B) J774A.1 macrophages expressing α CD19 CAR-P with the indicated intracellular signaling domain (green) engulf 5 μ m silica beads. Figure 1 continued on next page

Figure 1 continued

covered with a supported lipid bilayer containing His-tagged CD19 extracellular domain. The beads were visualized with atto390-labeled lipid incorporated into the supported lipid bilayer (magenta). Cells infected with the cell membrane marker, mCherry-CAAX, were used as a control (no CAR, top left). To the right of each image is a histogram depicting the frequency of cells engulfing the indicated number of beads. The average number of beads eaten per cell is quantified in (C). (D) Bone marrow derived macrophages were infected with CAR-P^{FCRY} or GFP-CAAX (green, left and center top; grey, center bottom) and incubated with CD19 beads (magenta) for 45 min. Images show an x-y plane through the center of the engulfed beads (left), or a cross section (center) of the z plane indicated in the inset panel (white line). The histogram depicts the number of cells engulfing the indicated number of beads. The scale bar indicates 5 μ m and n = 78–163 cells per condition, collected during three separate experiments. Error bars denote 95% confidence intervals and *** indicates p<0.0001 compared to mCherry-CAAX control by Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparison correction.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.36688.003>

The following video and figure supplement are available for figure 1:

Figure supplement 1. Expression level of CAR-P constructs in macrophages.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.36688.004>

Figure 1—video 1. CAR-P^{Megf10} macrophage engulfs silica beads

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.36688.005>

macrophages with CD22 beads, and α CD22 CAR-P^{Megf10} macrophages with CD19 beads. CD19 beads were not eaten by α CD22 CAR-P^{Megf10} macrophages, and CD22 beads were not eaten by α CD19 CAR-P^{Megf10} macrophages (Figure 2a). These data indicate that CAR-P^{Megf10} specifically




Figure 2. CAR-P expression drives specific engulfment of diverse beads. (A) Macrophages infected with the α CD19 (purple) or α CD22 (blue) CAR-P^{Megf10} or mCherry-CAAX control were fed 5 μ m beads ligated with either CD19 (left) or CD22 (right). Engulfment is quantified as the mean beads eaten per cell. The fraction of phagocytic cells is as follows: 31/144 GFP-CAAX cells engulfed CD19 beads, 87/149 α CD19 CAR-P^{Megf10} engulfed CD19 beads, 20/142 α CD22 CAR-P^{Megf10} engulfed CD19 beads, 28/140 GFP-CAAX cells engulfed CD22 beads, 18/151 α CD19 CAR-P^{Megf10} engulfed CD22 beads, 103/148 α CD22 CAR-P^{Megf10} engulfed CD22 beads (pooled data was collected during three separate experiments). Error bars denote 95% confidence intervals and *** indicates p<0.0001 compared to mCherry-CAAX control by Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparison correction. (B) J774A.1 macrophages expressing the α CD19 CAR-P^{Megf10} (green) were fed beads of various sizes (magenta, diameter of bead indicated below image). The beads were covered in a supported lipid bilayer ligated to His-tagged CD19 extracellular domain and the number of beads engulfed per cell is reported below each image (magenta bars indicate CAR-P^{Megf10} macrophages and pink bars indicate CAR-P^{GFP}). The α CD19 CAR-P^{Megf10} macrophages were also incubated with 10 μ m beads coated in phosphatidylserine (PS) and ICAM-1 (blue bar in graph, 51/390 cells engulfed a bead). The fraction of cells engulfing a CD19 bead is as follows: 135/169 CAR-P^{Megf10} and 134/187 CAR-P^{GFP} cells engulfed 2.5 μ m bead, 126/395 CAR-P^{Megf10} and 112/499 CAR-P^{GFP} cells engulfed a 5 μ m bead, 48/377 CAR-P^{Megf10} and 21/378 CAR-P^{GFP} cells engulfed a 10 μ m bead, 120/706 CAR-P^{Megf10} and 45/675 CAR-P^{GFP} cells engulfed a 15 μ m bead, 194/760 CAR-P^{Megf10} and 23/587 CAR-P^{GFP} cells engulfed a 20 μ m bead (data is pooled from at least three separate experiments). Error bars denote 95% confidence intervals of the mean. *** indicates p<0.0001 respectively by Mann-Whitney test. All scale bars represent 5 μ m.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.36688.006>

triggers engulfment in response to the target ligand and that the CAR-P strategy is able to target multiple cancer antigens.

To further define the capabilities of the CAR-P, we assessed the capacity of CAR-P-expressing macrophages to engulf variably sized targets. We found that CAR-P^{Megf10} was able to trigger specific engulfment of beads ranging from 2.5 μ m to 20 μ m in diameter, with higher specificity above background engulfment being demonstrated for the larger beads (**Figure 2b**). The high background in this assay is due to heterogeneity in the bilayers on beads purchased from a different manufacturer (Corpuscular) than previous assays. For the 10 μ m bead condition, we also tested the phagocytic efficiency of beads containing the endogenous Megf10 ligand, phosphatidylserine. We found that CAR-P^{Megf10} macrophages engulfed CD19 beads and beads containing 10% phosphatidylserine and the adhesion molecule ICAM-1 at a similar frequency (**Figure 2b**). This indicates that the CAR-P is comparably efficient to the endogenous system.

To determine if the CAR-P^{Megf10} initiates active signaling at the synapse between the macrophage and target, we stained for phosphotyrosine. Macrophages expressing CAR-P^{Megf10} exhibited an increase in phosphotyrosine at the synapse, while macrophages expressing CAR-P^{GFP} did not show this enrichment (**Figure 3a**). Consistent with previous reports, we found that F-actin also was enriched at the cell bead synapse (**Figure 3—figure supplement 1**). This result suggests that CAR-P^{Megf10} initiates engulfment through a localized signaling cascade involving tyrosine phosphorylation.

Both successful CAR-P intracellular domains (from Fc γ and Megf10) have cytosolic Immunoreceptor Tyrosine-based Activation Motifs (ITAMs) that are phosphorylated by Src family kinases. Based on this observation, we hypothesized that the expression of an alternate ITAM-containing receptor might initiate phagocytosis when expressed in macrophages. The CD3 ζ subunit of the T cell receptor contains three ITAM motifs. To test if the CD3 ζ chain was able to activate phagocytic signaling, we transduced macrophages with the first generation CAR-T (**Figure 3b**). The CAR-T was able to trigger engulfment of CD19 beads to a comparable extent as CAR-P^{Megf10} (**Figure 3c**). In T cells, phosphorylated ITAMs in CD3 ζ bind to tandem SH2 domains (tSH2) in the kinase ZAP70. Zap70 is not expressed in macrophages, but Syk, a phagocytic signaling effector and tSH2 domain containing protein, is expressed at high levels (**Andreu et al., 2017**). Previous work suggested that Syk kinase can also bind to the CD3 ζ ITAMs (**Bu et al., 1995**), indicating that the CAR-T may promote engulfment through a similar mechanism as CAR-P^{Fc γ} . To quantitatively compare the interaction between Syk^{tSH2} and CD3 ζ or Fc γ in a membrane proximal system recapitulating physiological geometry, we used a liposome-based assay (**Figure 3d** [**Hui and Vale, 2014**]). In this system, His₁₀-CD3 ζ and His₁₀-Lck (the kinase that phosphorylates CD3 ζ) are bound to a liposome via NiNTA-lipids and the binding of labeled tandem SH2 domains to phosphorylated CD3 ζ was measured using fluorescence quenching. Our results show that Syk-tSH2 binds the CD3 ζ and Fc γ with comparable affinity (~15 nM and ~30 nM respectively, **Figure 3d**). Collectively, these results demonstrate that the TCR CD3 ζ chain can promote phagocytosis in a CAR-P, likely through the recruitment of Syk kinase.

We next sought to program engulfment towards a cellular target. We incubated the CAR-P^{Megf10} and CAR-P^{Fc γ} macrophages with cancerous Raji B cells that express high levels of endogenous CD19. Strikingly, the majority of CAR-P-expressing macrophages internalized bites of the target cell (**Figure 4a**, **Figure 4—video 1**, 78% of CAR-P^{Megf10} and 85% of CAR-P^{Fc γ} macrophages internalized bites within 90 min). The biting phenotype resembles trogocytosis, or nibbling of live cells, which has been reported previously in immune cells (**Joly and Hudrisier, 2003**). This process was dependent on the ITAM-bearing intracellular signaling domain, as removing the signaling domain (CAR-P^{GFP}) dramatically reduced trogocytosis (**Figure 4a**). Enrichment of phosphotyrosine at the cell-cell synapse further supports active signaling initiating trogocytosis (**Figure 4—figure supplement 1**). The CAR-P module also was able to induce trogocytosis in non-professional phagocytes, human NIH 3T3 fibroblast cells (**Figure 4—figure supplement 2**). This suggests that the CAR-P can promote cancer antigen-dependent engulfment by both professional and non-professional phagocytes.

We next focused on engineering strategies to engulf whole human cancer cells. We observed that macrophages expressing the CAR-P^{Megf10} or CAR-P^{Fc γ} were capable of engulfing whole Raji B cells (2 cancer cells eaten per 100 macrophages in a 4–8 hr window for both CAR-P^{Megf10} or CAR-P^{Fc γ} , **Figure 4b,e**, **Figure 4—video 2**). Whole cell engulfment was infrequent but trogocytosis was




Figure 3. A phosphorylated ITAM at the cell-target synapse drives engulfment. (A) Macrophages expressing α CD19 CAR-P^{Megf10} (green, top) or α CD19 CAR-P^{GFP} were incubated with CD19-ligated beads (position indicated with dotted line), fixed and stained for phosphotyrosine (magenta, top; greyscale, bottom). The fold enrichment of phosphotyrosine at the cell-bead synapse compared to the cell cortex is graphed on the right ($n \geq 11$; each dot represents one cell-bead synapse; lines represent the mean \pm one standard deviation). (B) Schematic shows the structure of CAR-P constructs in the plot at right. An α CD19 (purple) scFv directs CAR specificity. The intracellular signaling domains from CD3 ζ activate engulfment. On the right is a histogram depicting the fraction of macrophages engulfing the indicated number of CD19-coated beads. (C) Comparison showing the average number of beads eaten per cell in J774A.1 macrophages expressing α CD19 CAR-Ps with the indicated intracellular signaling domain. 5 μ m silica beads covered with a supported lipid bilayer containing His-tagged CD19 extracellular domain were used as an engulfment target ($n = 156$ –167 cells per condition collected during three separate experiments). Error bars denote 95% confidence intervals and *** indicates $p < 0.0001$ compared to CAR-P^{GFP} control by Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparison in correction. (D) Model of the liposome-based fluorescence quenching assay used to determine affinity between the Syk tSH2 domains and the receptor tails of CD3 ζ and FcR γ , two intracellular signaling domains that promote engulfment. Binding between the Syk tSH2 reporter (Syk tSH2), green, and a receptor tail, purple, was detected by rhodamine quenching of BG505 dye on the reporter (see Materials and methods). K_d was determined by assessing mean fluorescence quenching for the last 20 timepoints collected \sim 45 min after ATP addition over a receptor titration from 0 to 500 nM. Each point represents the mean \pm SD from three independent experiments. K_d \pm SE was calculated by nonlinear fit assuming one site specific binding.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.36688.007>

The following figure supplement is available for figure 3:

Figure supplement 1. F-actin is enriched at the cell-target synapse.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.36688.008>

robust, suggesting that productive macrophage target interactions were frequently insufficient to trigger whole cell engulfment. To determine if whole cell eating could be enhanced by further opsonization of CD19, we opsonized Raji B cells with a mouse IgG2a anti-CD19 antibody. While addition of this antibody did not trigger additional whole cell internalization, blockade of the 'don't eat me' signal CD47 using the mouse IgG1 anti-human B6H12 clone resulted in a 2.5 fold increase of whole cell eating of opsonized Raji B cells (**Figure 4—figure supplement 3**). Both endogenous FcR recognition of the anti-CD47 antibody and blockade of CD47 signaling may contribute to this effect.

To develop a receptor to enhance whole cell eating, we hypothesized that combining signaling motifs in a tandem array might increase the frequency of whole cell engulfment by specifically recruiting effectors required for the engulfment of large targets. Previous work demonstrated that PI3K signaling is important for internalization of large targets (Schlam et al., 2015). To increase PI3K




Figure 4. CAR-P promotes trogocytosis and whole cell eating. (A) J774A.1 macrophages expressing the α CD19 CAR- P^{Megf10} (top panel, green in merge, left; greyscale, center) engulf pieces of CD19 +Raji B cells (labeled with mCherry-CAAX; magenta in merge, left; greyscale, right). The corresponding control α CD19 CAR-P $^{\text{GFP}}$ -infected cells are shown below. Arrows point to pieces of ingested Raji B cell. The proportion of CAR-P expressing macrophages internalizing one or more bite within 90 min is quantified on the right. Bites are defined as a fully internalized mCherry-positive vesicle $>1\ \mu\text{m}$ in diameter; $n = 46$ CAR- P^{Megf10} macrophages, $n = 39$ CAR- P^{FcRY} macrophages and 102 CAR-P $^{\text{GFP}}$ macrophages acquired during three separate experiments. (B) Time course of a J774A.1 macrophage expressing CAR- P^{FcRY} (green) internalizing a whole Raji B cell labeled with mCherry-CAAX (magenta). These images correspond to frames from **Figure 4—video 2**. (C) Schematic shows the structure of CAR- P^{tandem} construct, combining the intracellular signaling domain from FcRY and the p85 recruitment domain from CD19. (D) Time course of a J774A.1 macrophage expressing CAR- P^{tandem} (green) internalizing a whole Raji B cell labeled with mCherry-CAAX (magenta). These images correspond to frames from **Figure 4—video 3**. (E) Macrophages and Raji B cells were incubated together at a 1:2 macrophage:Raji ratio, and the number of whole Raji B cells eaten per 100 macrophages during 4–8 hr of imaging is graphed. Graph depicts pooled data from four independent experiments; $n = 921$ CAR-P $^{\text{GFP}}$, $n = 762$ CAR- P^{FcRY} , $n = 638$ CAR- P^{PI3K} , $n = 555$ CAR- P^{tandem} cells. Sample sizes were selected for their ability to detect a 5% difference between samples with 95% confidence. (F) 10,000 macrophages and 20,000 Raji B cells were incubated together for 44 hr. The number of Rajis was then

Figure 4 continued on next page

Figure 4 continued

quantified by FACS. 2–3 technical replicates were acquired each day on three separate days. The number of Rajis in each replicate was normalized to the average number present in the GFP-CAAX macrophage wells on that day. * indicates $p<0.01$, *** indicates $p<0.0001$ by two-tailed Fisher Exact Test (a and e) or by Ordinary one way ANOVA with Dunnet's correction for multiple comparisons (f); error bars denote 95% confidence intervals.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.36688.009>

The following video and figure supplements are available for figure 4:

Figure supplement 1. CAR-P localizes with pTyr at synapse with Raji B cell.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.36688.010>

Figure supplement 2. NIH 3T3 cells internalize Raji B cell bites.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.36688.011>

Figure supplement 3. Opsonization by an anti-CD47 antibody enhances whole cell internalization through CAR-P Macrophages expressing CAR-P^{FcRY} and Raji B cells were incubated together at a 1:2 macrophage:Raji ratio (20,000 macrophages and 40,000 Rajis) without antibody addition (No ab) or in the presence of anti-CD19 or anti-CD47 antibodies as indicated.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.36688.012>

Figure supplement 4. CAR-P promotes internalization of cancer antigen.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.36688.013>

Figure 4—video 1. CAR-P^{Megf10} macrophage engulfs bites of a Raji B cell.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.36688.014>

Figure 4—video 2. CAR-P^{FcRY} macrophage engulfs a Raji B cell.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.36688.015>

Figure 4—video 3. CAR-P^{tandem} macrophage engulfs a Raji B cell.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.36688.016>

recruitment to the CAR-P, we fused the portion of the CD19 cytoplasmic domain (amino acids 500 to 534) that recruits the p85 subunit of PI3K to the CAR-P^{FcRY} creating a 'tandem' CAR (CAR-P^{tandem}, **Figure 4c**) (Tuveson et al., 1993; Brooks et al., 2004). A CAR-P containing the p85 recruitment motif alone (CAR-P^{PI3K}) was able to induce some whole cell engulfment, comparable to the CAR-P^{FcRY} (**Figure 4e**). Expression of CAR-P^{tandem} tripled the ability of macrophages to ingest whole cells compared to CAR-P^{GFP} (6 cancer cells eaten per 100 macrophages, **Figure 4d,e**, **Figure 4—video 3**). These data indicate that assembling an array of motifs designed to recruit distinct phagocytic effectors can increase CAR-P activity towards whole cells.

To determine if the combination of whole cell eating and tritocytosis was sufficient to drive a noticeable reduction in cancer cell number, we incubated CAR-P macrophages with Raji B cells for two days. After 44 hr of co-culture, we found that CAR-P macrophages significantly reduced the number of Raji cells (**Figure 4f**). Although the CAR-P^{tandem} was much more efficient at whole cell eating, the CAR-P^{FcRY} performed nearly as well at eliminating Rajis. Importantly, our assay does not distinguish between whole cell engulfment or death following tritocytosis, so it is possible both CAR-P activities are contributing to Raji death rates. Overall, these data suggest that the CAR-P is a successful strategy for directing macrophages towards cancer targets, and can initiate whole cell eating and tritocytosis leading to cancer cell elimination.

In summary, we engineered phagocytes that recognize and ingest targets through specific antibody-mediated interactions. This strategy can be directed towards multiple extracellular ligands (CD19 and CD22) and can be used with several intracellular signaling domains that contain ITAM motifs (Megf10, FcRY, and CD3ζ). Previous work has suggested that spatial segregation between Src-family kinases and an inhibitory phosphatase, driven by receptor ligation, is sufficient to trigger signaling by the T cell receptor (Davis and van der Merwe, 2006; James and Vale, 2012) and FcR (Freeman et al., 2016). The CAR-Ps that we have developed may similarly convert receptor-ligand binding into receptor phosphorylation of ITAM domains through partitioning of kinases and phosphatases at the membrane-membrane interface.

Further development of CAR-Ps could be useful on several therapeutic fronts. Targeting of tumor cells by macrophages has been suggested to cause tumor cell killing (Jaiswal et al., 2009; Majeti et al., 2009; Chao et al., 2010; Jadus et al., 1996), either through directly engulfing cancer cells or by stimulating antigen presentation and a T cell-mediated response (Liu et al., 2015; Tseng et al., 2013). Inhibition of the CD47-SIRPA 'Don't eat me' signaling pathway has also been shown to result in engulfment of cancer cells (Chen et al., 2017; Gardai et al., 2005; Jaiswal et al.,

2009; Majeti et al., 2009; Chao et al., 2010). A recent study suggests that CD47 inhibition is most effective when combined with a positive signal to promote target engulfment, which raises the possibility of combining CAR-P expression with CD47 or SIRPA inhibition for an additive effect (Alvey et al., 2017).

Although we were able to increase whole cell engulfment by recruiting the activating subunit of PI3K to the phagocytic synapse, the engulfment of larger 20 micron beads was more frequent than the engulfment of whole cells. We hypothesize that this is due to differing physical properties of the engulfment target. Specifically, increased target stiffness has been shown to promote engulfment, suggesting that manipulating the physical properties of the engulfment target could also be a potential strategy for increasing CAR-P efficiency (Beningo and Wang, 2002; Cross et al., 2007).

While the CAR-P can engulf whole, viable cancer cells, the ingestion of a piece of the target cell is more common. Trogocytosis, or nibbling of living cells, has also been described in immune cells (Harshyne et al., 2003; Harshyne et al., 2001; Kao et al., 2006; Joly and Hudrisier, 2003; Batista et al., 2001) and brain-eating amoebae (Ralston et al., 2014). In vivo studies also have shown that endogenous dendritic cell populations ingest bites of live tumor cells, contributing to presentation of cancer neo-antigen (Harshyne et al., 2001; Harshyne et al., 2003). Although we were able to use the CAR-P to induce trogocytosis in dendritic cells, we were not able to detect robust cross presentation of the model antigen ovalbumin (Figure 4—figure supplement 4). Thus, although using CAR-Ps to enhance cross presentation of cancer antigen is an intriguing future avenue, such a strategy would likely require more optimization of the dendritic cell subset employed or the CAR-P receptor itself.

Overall, our study demonstrates that the CAR approach is transferrable to biological processes beyond T cell activation and that the expression of an engineered receptor in phagocytic cells is sufficient to promote specific engulfment and elimination of cancer cells.

Materials and methods

Key resources table

Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Cell line (<i>Mus musculus</i>)	J774A.1 Macrophages	UCSF Cell Culture Facility		
Cell line (<i>Homo sapiens</i>)	Raji B Cells	Other		Obtained from M. McManus, UCSF
Cell line (<i>Mus musculus</i>)	3t3 Fibroblasts	UCSF Cell Culture Facility		
Cell line (<i>Mus musculus</i>)	C57BL/6J	PMID: 21356739		Bone Marrow Derived Macrophages (BMDM)
Cell line (<i>Mus musculus</i>)	C57BL/6J	PMID: 7489412		Bone Marrow derived Dendritic Cells (BMDC)
Cell line (<i>Homo sapiens</i>)	HEK293T cells	UCSF Cell Culture Facility		Lentivirus production
Genetic Reagent (<i>Mus musculus</i>)	OTI	PMID: 8287475		E. Roberts/M. Krummel Lab UCSF
Recombinant DNA reagent	CD19-mMegf10 CAR	this paper		Signal peptide: aa 1–21 CD8 (Uniprot Q96QR6_HUMAN) Extracellular antibody sequence: V-L chain: aa 23–130 anti-CD19 CAR (Genbank AMZ04819) – GS linker: ggtggcgggtggctcgccgggtgggtgggtgggt ggcggcggatct – V-H chain: aa 148–267 anti-CD19 CAR (Genbank AMZ04819) Stalk/Transmembrane: aa 138–206 CD8 (Uniprot Q96QR6_HUMAN) Cytosolic sequence: aa 879–1147 Mouse Megf10 (Uniprot Q6DIB5 (MEG10_MOUSE)) Fluorophore: mGFP

Continued on next page

Continued

Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Recombinant DNA reagent	CD19-FcGamma CAR	this paper		Signal peptide: aa 1–21 CD8 (Uniprot Q96QR6_HUMAN) Extracellular antibody sequence: V-L chain: aa 23–130 anti-CD19 CAR (Genbank AMZ04819) – GS linker: ggtggcggcgtggctcgggcgggtgggtggcggtggcgatct – V-H chain: aa 148–267 anti-CD19 CAR (Genbank AMZ04819) Stalk/Transmembrane: aa 138–206 CD8 (Uniprot Q96QR6_HUMAN) Cytosolic sequence: aa 19–86 Mouse Fc ERG precursor (Uniprot P20491 (FCERG_MOUSE)) Fluorophore: mGFP
Recombinant DNA reagent	CD19-empty CAR	this paper		Signal peptide: aa 1–21 CD8 (Uniprot Q96QR6_HUMAN) Extracellular antibody sequence: V-L chain: aa 23–130 anti-CD19 CAR (Genbank AMZ04819) – GS linker: ggtggcggcgtggctcgggcgggtgggtggcggtggcgatct – V-H chain: aa 148–267 anti-CD19 CAR (Genbank AMZ04819) Stalk/Transmembrane: aa 138–206 CD8 (Uniprot Q96QR6_HUMAN) Cytosolic sequence: basic linker NHRNRRR (nucleotide AACACACAGG AACCGAAGACGT) Fluorophore: mGFP
Recombinant DNA reagent	CD22-Megf10 CAR	this paper		Signal peptide: aa 1–21 CSF2R (Uniprot P15509 (CSF2R_HUMAN)) Extracellular antibody sequence: aa 22–258 of translated JP 2016502512-A/1: M971 Chimeric Antigen (Genbank HZ530416.1) Stalk/Transmembrane: aa 138–206 CD8 (Uniprot Q96QR6_HUMAN) Cytosolic sequence: aa 879–1147 Mouse Megf10 (Uniprot Q6DIB5 (MEG10_MOUSE)) Fluorophore: mGFP
Recombinant DNA reagent	CD22-empty CAR	this paper		Signal peptide: aa 1–21 CSF2R (Uniprot P15509 (CSF2R_HUMAN)) Extracellular antibody sequence: aa 22–258 of translated JP 2016502512-A/1: M971 Chimeric Antigen (Genbank HZ530416.1) Stalk/Transmembrane: aa 138–206 CD8 (Uniprot Q96QR6_HUMAN) Cytosolic sequence: basic linker NHRNRRR (nucleotide AACACACAGG AACCGAAGACGT) Fluorophore: mGFP
Recombinant DNA reagent	CD19-MerTK CAR	this paper		Signal peptide: aa 1–21 CD8 (Uniprot Q96QR6_HUMAN) Extracellular antibody sequence: V-L chain: aa 23–130 anti-CD19 CAR (Genbank AMZ04819) – GS linker: ggtggcggcgtggctcgggcgggtgggtggcggtggcgatct – V-H chain: aa 148–267 anti-CD19 CAR (Genbank AMZ04819) Stalk/Transmembrane: aa 138–206 CD8 (Uniprot Q96QR6_HUMAN) Cytosolic sequence: aa 519–994 Mouse MerTK (Uniprot Q60805 (MERTK_MOUSE)) Fluorophore: mGFP
Recombinant DNA reagent	CD19-Bai1 CAR	this paper		Signal peptide: aa 1–21 CD8 (Uniprot Q96QR6_HUMAN) Extracellular antibody sequence: V-L chain: aa 23–130 anti-CD19 CAR (Genbank AMZ04819) – GS linker: ggtggcggcgtggctcgggcgggtgggtggcggtggcgatct – V-H chain: aa 148–267 anti-CD19 CAR (Genbank AMZ04819) Stalk/Transmembrane: aa 138–206 CD8 (Uniprot Q96QR6_HUMAN) Cytosolic sequence: aa 1188–1582 Mouse Bai1 (Uniprot Q3UHD1 (BAI1_MOUSE)) Fluorophore: mGFP

Continued on next page

Continued

Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Recombinant DNA reagent	CD19-CD3zeta CAR	this paper		Signal peptide: aa 1–21 CD8 (Uniprot Q96QR6_HUMAN) Extracellular antibody sequence: V-L chain: aa 23–130 anti-CD19 CAR (Genbank AMZ04819) – GS linker: ggtggccggggctcgccgtgggtggcggccggatct – V-H chain: aa 148–267 anti-CD19 CAR (Genbank AMZ04819) Stalk/Transmembrane: aa 138–206 CD8 (Uniprot Q96QR6_HUMAN) Cytosolic sequence: aa 52–164, Human TCR CD3 zeta chain (Uniprot P20963) Fluorophore: sfGFP
Recombinant DNA reagent	CD19-PI3K CAR	this paper		Signal peptide: aa 1–21 CD8 (Uniprot Q96QR6_HUMAN) Extracellular antibody sequence: V-L chain: aa 23–130 anti-CD19 CAR (Genbank AMZ04819) – GS linker: ggtggccgggtcggccgtgggtggcggccggatct – V-H chain: aa 148–267 anti-CD19 CAR (Genbank AMZ04819) Stalk/Transmembrane: aa 138–206 CD8 (Uniprot Q96QR6_HUMAN) Cytosolic sequence: aa 500–534 Mouse CD19 (Uniprot CD19_MOUSE) Fluorophore: mCherry
Recombinant DNA reagent	CD19 tandem CAR	this paper		Signal peptide: aa 1–21 CD8 (Uniprot Q96QR6_HUMAN) Extracellular antibody sequence: V-L chain: aa 23–130 anti-CD19 CAR (Genbank AMZ04819) – GS linker: ggtggccggggctggccgtgggtggcggccggatct – V-H chain: aa 148–267 anti-CD19 CAR (Genbank AMZ04819) Stalk/Transmembrane: aa 138–206 CD8 (Uniprot Q96QR6_HUMAN) Cytosolic sequence: aa 500–534 Mouse CD19 (Uniprot CD19_MOUSE) fused to aa 19–86 Mouse Fc ERG precursor (FCERG_MOUSE) Fluorophore: mGFP
Recombinant DNA reagent	GFP-CaaX	this paper		eGFP fused to a c terminal CaaX targeting sequence: aaaaatgtccaaaggatggta agaaaaagaagaaggtaaaaaaccacaaagtgtgttatcatg
Recombinant DNA reagent	mCherry-CaaX	this paper		mCherry fused to a c terminal CaaX targeting sequence: aaaaatgtccaaaggatggta agaaaaagaagaaggtaaaaaaccacaaagtgtgttatcatg
Recombinant DNA reagent	OVA/p2a/mCherry-CaaX	this paper		Cytoplasmic Ovalbumin (UNIPROT: SERPINB14)/p2 a site: GGAAGCGGAGACTAACTTCAAGCCTGCTGAAGCAGGCTGGAGA CGTGGAGGAGAACCTGGACCT/followed by mCherry fused to a c terminal CaaX targeting sequence: aaaaatgtccaaaggatggtaagaaaaagaag aagaagtcaaaaaccacaaagtgtgttatcatg
Peptide, recombinant protein	His10-CD3 zeta	Hui and Vale (2014) PMID: 24463463		aa 52–164, Human TCR CD3 zeta chain (Uniprot CD3Z_HUMAN) fused to Hisx10 tag
Peptide, recombinant protein	His10-FcR γ	this paper		aa 45–85, Human FcR γ (Uniprot FCERG_HUMAN) fused to Hisx10 tag
Peptide, recombinant protein	SNAP-Syk tSH2	this paper		aa 1–262, Mouse Syk (Uniprot KSYK_MOUSE) with N-term SNAP tag
Peptide, recombinant protein	His10-Lck Y505F	Hui and Vale (2014) PMID: 24463463		full length Human Lck with inhibitory Tyr 505 mutated to Phe (Uniprot LCK_HUMAN) fused to Hisx10 tag
Antibody	anti phospho-Tyrosine	Santa Cruz	PY20	1:100 IF primary
Antibody	anti mouse IgG coupled to Alexa Fluor 647	Thermo/Lifetech	A21236	1:200 IF secondary
Antibody	anti mouse CD11c coupled to APC	BioLegend	117313	FACS

Continued on next page

Continued

Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Antibody	anti mouse F4/80 coupled to APC/Cy7	BioLegend	123117	FACS
Other	DMEM	Gibco	11965-092	
Other	Pen-Strep-Glutamine	Corning	30-009 Cl	
Other	Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS)	Atlanta Biologicals	S1150H	
Other	RPMI	Gibco	11875-093	
Other	HEPES	Gibco	1530080	
Other	2-Mercaptoethanol	Sigma	M6250-100mL	
Commercial assay or kit	MycoAlert Mycoplasma Testing Kit	Lonza	LT07-318	
Recombinant DNA reagent	pMD2.G lentiviral plasmid	other	Addgene 12259	D. Stainier, Max Planck; VSV-G envelope
Recombinant DNA reagent	pCMV-dR8.91	other	Current Addgene 8455	
Recombinant DNA reagent	pHRSIN-CSGW	other		As cited James and Vale (2012) , PMID: 22763440
Other	Lipofectamine LTX	Invitrogen	15338-100	Lentivirus production
Other	Lipofectamine	Invitrogen	18324-012	Added to spin infections to improve transduction
Other	Hamilton Gastight Syringes	Hamilton	8 1100	
Other	POPC	Avanti	850457	
Other	Ni2+-DGS-NTA	Avanti	790404	
Other	PEG5000-PE	Avanti	880230	
Other	atto390 DOPE	ATTO-TEC GmbH	AD 390-161	
Other	PBS (Tissue Culture Grade)	Gibco	20012050	
Other	Bioruptor Pico	Diagenode		Used for producing SUVs
Other	5 um silica microspheres	Bangs	SS05N	
Peptide, recombinant protein	CD19-His8	Sino Biological	11880H08H50	
Peptide, recombinant protein	CD22-His8	Sino Biological	11958H08H50	
Other	2.5 um silica microspheres (size titration)	Corpuscular	C-SIO-2.5	
Other	5 um silica microspheres (size titration)	Corpuscular	C-SIO-5	
Other	10 um silica microspheres (size titration)	Corpuscular	C-SIO-10	
Other	15 um silica microspheres (size titration)	Corpuscular	C-SIO-15	
Other	20 um silica microspheres (size titration)	Corpuscular	C-SIO-20	
Other	Low retention tubes for microsphere cleaning	Eppendorf	22431081	
Other	MatriPlate	Brooks	MGB096-1-2-LG-L	
Peptide, recombinant protein	M-CSF	Peprotech	315-02	
Other	IMDM	Thermo	12440079	

Continued on next page

Continued

Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Other	Retronectin	Clontech	T100A	
Commercial assay or kit	CD8 + T cell purification kit	Stemcell	19853	
Other	eFluor670 proliferation dye	Thermo	65-0840-85	
Chemical compound, drug	phRSIN-CSGW	Sigma	L4516	
Other	Fluorobrite DMEM	Gibco	A1896701	
Other	DMEM minus phenol red	Gibco	A14430-01	
Other	Rhodamine PE	Avanti	810150C	
Other	DOPS	Avanti	840035C	
Other	SNAP-Cell 505-Star	NEB	S9103S	
Other	PD MiniTrap G-25 column	GE Healthcare	28-9225-29 AB	
Other	6.4% Paraformaldehyde solution	Electron Microscopy Sciences	50980495	
Chemical compound, drug	AlexaFluor 647 Phalloidin	Thermo/Molecular Probes	A22284	
Software, algorithm	ImageJ	NIH		
Software, algorithm	Illustrator	Adobe	CC, CS6	
Software, algorithm	Photoshop	Adobe	CC, CS6	
Software, algorithm	Fiji	https://fiji.sc/		
Software, algorithm	Prism	GraphPad	7	
Antibody	anti human CD19 (mouse antibody)	OriGene	TA506240 Clone OTI2F6	IgG2a mouse monoclonal antibody
Antibody	anti human CD47 (mouse antibody)	BD	556044 Clone B6H12	IgG1 mouse monoclonal antibody
Antibody	anti Ovalbumin (rabbit antibody)	Pierce	PA1-196	IgG rabbit polyclonal antibody

Constructs and antibodies

Detailed information for all constructs can be found in the Key resources table. This file includes the following information for all receptors developed in this study: signal peptide, extracellular antibody fragment, stalk/transmembrane domain, and cytosolic tail including appropriate accession numbers. Antibodies used in this study are described in Supplemental Excel File 1, 'Antibodies' tab.

Cell culture

J774A.1 macrophages and NIH 3T3 fibroblasts were obtained from the UCSF cell culture facility and cultured in DMEM (Gibco, Catalog #11965-092) supplemented with 1 x Pen-Strep-Glutamine (Corning, Catalog #30-009 Cl) and 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Atlanta Biologicals, Catalog #S11150H). Raji B cells were obtained from J. Blau (McManus lab, UCSF) and cultured in RPMI (Gibco, Catalog #11875-093) supplemented with 1 x Pen-Strep-Glutamine (Corning, Catalog #30-009 Cl), 10% FBS (Atlanta Biologicals, Catalog #S11150H), 10 mM HEPES (Gibco, Catalog #1530080), and 5 μ M 2-Mercaptoethanol (Sigma, Catalog #M6250-100mL). All cell lines used in this study were tested for Mycoplasma at least once per month using the Lonza MycoAlert Detection Kit (Lonza, Catalog# LT07-318) and control set (Lonza, Catalog #LT07-518).

Lentivirus production and infection

Lentiviral infection was used to stably express CAR-P constructs in all cell types. Lentivirus was produced by HEK293T cells transfected with pMD2.G (a gift from Didier Tronon, Addgene plasmid # 12259 containing the VSV-G envelope protein), pCMV-dR8.91 (since replaced by second generation compatible pCMV-dR8.2, Addgene plasmid #8455), and a lentiviral backbone vector containing the construct of interest (derived from pHRSIN-CSGW) using lipofectamine LTX (Invitrogen, Catalog # 15338-100). The media on the HEK293T cells was replaced with fresh media 8–16 hr post transfection to remove transfection reagent. At 50–72 hr post-transfection, the lentiviral media was filtered with a 0.45 μ m filter and concentrated by centrifugation at 8000 \times g for 4 hr or overnight. The concentrated supernatant was applied directly to $\sim 0.5 \times 10^6$ NIH 3T3 cells in 2 ml of fresh media. For J77A4.1 macrophages and Raji B cells, the concentrated supernatant was mixed with 2 mls of media and 2 μ g lipofectamine (Invitrogen, Catalog # 18324-012) and added to the cells. The cells were spun at 2200 \times g for 45 min at 37°C. Cells were analyzed a minimum of 72 hr later.

Preparation of CD19 and CD22 5 μ m silica beads

Chloroform-suspended lipids were mixed in the following molar ratio using clean glasstight Hamilton syringes (Hamilton, Catalog #8 1100): 97% POPC (Avanti, Catalog # 850457), 2% Ni²⁺-DGS-NTA (Avanti, Catalog # 790404), 0.5% PEG5000-PE (Avanti, Catalog # 880230, and 0.5% atto390-DOPE (ATTO-TEC GmbH, Catalog # AD 390-161). Lipid mixes were dried under argon and desiccated overnight under foil. Dried lipids were resuspended in 1 ml tissue-culture grade PBS, pH7.2 (Gibco, Catalog # 20012050), and stored under argon gas. Small unilamellar vesicles were formed by five freeze-thaw cycles followed by 2 \times 5 min of bath sonication (Bioruptor Pico, Diagenode), and cleared by ultracentrifugation (TLA120.1 rotor, 35,000 rpm / 53,227 \times g, 35 min, 4°C) or by 33 freeze thaw cycles. Lipid mixes were used immediately for form bilayers or shock frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored under argon at -80° C. To form bilayers on silica beads, 6×10^8 5 μ m silica microspheres (10% solids, Bangs Labs, Catalog # SS05N) were washed 2x in water, and 2x in PBS by sequential suspension in water and spinning at 800 rcf, followed by decanting. Cleaned beads were resuspended in 150 μ l tissue-culture grade PBS, pH7.2 (Gibco, Catalog # 20012050) and briefly vortexed. 30 μ l cleared SUVs prepared as above as a 10 mM stock were added to bead suspension for a 2 mM final SUV concentration. Beads were vortexed for 10 s, covered in foil, and rotated for 30 min at room temperature to form bilayers. Bilayer-coated beads were washed 3x in PBS by sequential centrifugation at 800 rcf and decanting. Beads were resuspended in PBS + 0.1% w/v BSA for blocking for 15 min rotating at room temperature under foil. 10 nM final concentration of CD19_{his8} (Sino Biological, Catalog # 11880H08H50) or CD22_{his8} (Sino Biological, Catalog # 11958H08H50) protein were added to blocked beads and proteins were allowed to bind during a 45 min incubation rotating under foil at room temperature. Beads were washed 3x in PBS + 0.1% w/v BSA by sequential centrifugation at 300 rcf and decanting. Beads were resuspended in 120 μ l PBS + 0.1% w/v BSA.

Preparation of CD19 silica beads over a range of diameters

Prior building bilayers on Silica beads ranging from 2.5 μ m-20 μ m in diameter (Microspheres-Nano-spheres, Catalog# C-SIO-2.5, 5, 10, 15, 20), beads were RCA cleaned as follows: beads were pelleted at 2000 \times g in low retention tubes (Eppendorf, Catalog #022431081) and resuspended in acetone. Resuspended beads were sonicated for 60 min in a bath sonicator. Rinse and sonication were repeated in ethanol. Finally, rinse and sonication were repeated in water. Beads were then washed 2x in water to remove all traces of ethanol and left in a small volume after decanting. All further steps were performed in a 70–80°C water bath prepared in a fume hood. Proper Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) was worn throughout the RCA cleaning protocol. Washed beads were added to 3 ml of hot 1.5 M KOH in a clean glass vial suspended in the water bath described above. 1 ml 30% H₂O₂ to bead solution and allowed to react for 10 min. Washed beads were cooled on ice, pelleted at 2000xg and rinsed 5x in ultrapure water. Used cleaning solution was saved for disposal by Environmental Health and Safety (EH and S). Cleaned beads were resuspended in 240 μ l tissue-culture grade PBS, pH7.2 (Gibco, Catalog # 20012050) and briefly vortexed. The lipid mix used in this assay differed slightly from above. Here a mix of 93.5% POPC (Avanti, Catalog # 850457), 5% Ni²⁺-DGS-NTA (Avanti, Catalog # 790404), 1% PEG5000-PE (Avanti, Catalog # 880230, and 0.5% atto390-DOPE (ATTO-TEC GmbH, Catalog # AD 390-161. Bilayers were built and proteins coupled

as described above. The concentration of CD19 was scaled appropriately to account for the increased surface area of the larger beads.

Bead engulfment assay

12 to 16 hr prior to imaging, 2.5×10^4 J774A.1 macrophages expressing the appropriate CAR-P or control construct were plated in a 96-well glass bottom MatriPlate (Brooks, Catalog # MGB096-1-2-LG-L). To assess engulfment, 0.5×10^6 CD19 or CD22-ligated beads were added to each well. Engulfment was allowed to proceed for 45 min at 37°C incubator with CO₂. Cells were then imaged as described below.

Bites assay – J774A.1 macrophages, dendritic cells and NIH 3T3 fibroblasts

On the day of imaging 0.5×10^6 NIH 3T3 fibroblasts, dendritic cells or macrophages and 1.5 million Raji B cells were combined in a 1.5 ml eppendorf tube and pelleted by centrifugation (800 rpm / 68 x g) for 5 min at room temperature. Culture media was decanted to ~100 µl volume and cells were gently resuspended, and allowed to interact in the small volume for 60 min in a 37°C incubator with CO₂. After incubation cells and beads were diluted to a final volume of 1000 µl and 300 µl of this co-culture plated for imaging in a 96-well glass bottom MatriPlate (Brooks, Catalog # MGB096-1-2-LG-L), and imaged as described below.

Eating assay read by FACS – J774A.1 macrophages and Raji B cells

20,000 J774A.1 macrophages were plated into 96-well glass bottom MatriPlate (Brooks, Catalog # MGB096-1-2-LG-L) in a final volume of 300 µl complete DMEM (Gibco, Catalog #11965-092) supplemented with 1 x Pen-Strep-Glutamine (Corning, Catalog #30-009 Cl) and 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Atlanta Biologicals, Catalog #S11150H). 52 hr prior to reading the assay macrophages were stimulated with 500 ng/ml LPS (Sigma, Catalog # L4516). 44 hr prior to imaging LPS was removed by three sequential gentle washes. After LPS removal 10,000 Rajis expressing mCherry-CAAX were added to the well containing stimulated macrophages. The co-culture was incubated for 44 hr in a 37°C tissue culture incubator with 5% CO₂. After 44 hr, the remaining number of Raji B cells remaining was analyzed by FACS as follows: 10,000 counting beads were added to the well immediately prior to reading and the cell-counting bead mixture was harvested by pipetting up and down 8x with a p200 pipet. The assay was read on an LSRII (BD Biosciences) and Rajis were identified by the presence of mCherry fluorescence.

Primary cell transduction and differentiation

Bone marrow derived macrophages (BMDMs) were produced as previously described (Weischenfeldt and Porse, 2008), except that L-929 conditioned media was replaced with purified 25 ng/ml M-CSF (Peprotech, Catalog # 315-02). The BMDMs were lentivirally infected with concentrated lentivirus after 5 days of differentiation. Differentiation was confirmed by F4/80 staining on day seven and found to be >95% efficient for each replicate. Phagocytosis was measured on day nine in imaging media lacking M-CSF.

To produce CAR-P expressing dendritic cells, bone marrow-derived hematopoietic stem cells were lentivirally infected immediately after harvest by spinning with concentrated lentivirus in GMCSF-containing media (IMDM supplemented with 10% FBS and PSG) on retronectin (Clontech, Catalog # T100A)-coated plates at 2200 x g for 45 min at 37°C. Dendritic cells were produced as previously described (Mayordomo et al., 1995) by culturing bone marrow cells for 8–11 days with GMCSF. IL-4 was added 2–3 days before use. Efficient differentiation into CD11c + dendritic cells was verified by FACS, revealing ≥95% APC-CD11c + cells (Biolegend, Catalog #N418).

Antigen cross-presentation assay

The ability of CAR-P to stimulate OTI T cell proliferation was tested using the co-culture assay shown as a schematic in **Figure 4—figure supplement 4** and described previously (Roberts et al., 2016). 10,000 CAR-P transduced CD11c + dendritic cells transduced and differentiated as above were plated in U bottom 96 well dishes (Falcon, Catalog #353077) and stimulated with 1 µg/ml LPS. 12 hr after LPS stimulation, 40,000 Raji B cells expressing soluble cytosolic ovalbumin (Raji B-OVA) were

added to the culture. 24 hr after Raji B-OVA cell addition, 50,000 OTI CD8 + T cells isolated from lymph nodes of OTI TCR transgenic mice using a CD8 +T cell purification kit (Stemcell, Catalog #19853) and labeled with e670 proliferation dye (Thermo, Catalog #65-0840-85) were added. 72 hr after OTI addition the percent of OTI cells divided was measured by eFluor670 signal using flow cytometry.

Confocal imaging

All imaging in this study was performed using a spinning disk confocal microscope with environmental control (Nikon Ti-Eclipse inverted microscope with a Yokogawa spinning disk unit). For bead internalization assays, images were acquired using a 40×0.95 N/A air objective and unbiased live image acquisition was performed using the High Content Screening (HCS) Site Generator plugin in μ Manager³. Other images were acquired using either a 100×1.49 N/A oil immersion objective. All images were acquired using an Andor iXon EM-CCD camera. The open source μ Manager software package was used to control the microscope and acquire all images³.

Quantification of whole cell internalization

20,000 J774A.1 macrophages were plated into 96-well glass bottom MatriPlate (Brooks, Catalog # MGB096-1-2-LG-L). Four hours prior to imaging, the macrophages were stimulated with 500 ng/ml LPS (Sigma, Catalog # L4516). Immediately prior to imaging the LPS-containing media was replaced with Fluobrite DMEM (ThermoFisher Scientific, Catalog # A1896701) containing 10% FBS. 40,000 Raji cells were added to the macrophages and the co-culture was imaged at 5 min intervals for 12 hr. For the antibody experiments, macrophages were washed into DMEM minus phenol red (A14430-01) containing 10% FBS just prior to addition of 40,000 Raji cells. Where indicated antibody was added to a final concentration of 20 μ g/ml immediately after Raji cell addition and prior to imaging to limit antibody internalization. Because cells moved in and out of the field of view, we selected the cells present after 8 hr of imaging and quantified their B cell eating if they could be followed for four hours or more. Time-lapse analysis was essential to ensure that the B cell appeared viable prior to engulfment by the macrophage. Engulfment of B cells with an apoptotic morphology was not counted as a whole cell eating event.

Quantification of bites internalization

During live cell image acquisition GFP-positive J774A.1 macrophages or NIH 3T3 cells were selected by the presence of GFP signal. A full z-stack comprising the entire cell was captured using 1 μ m steps. All z sections were then manually inspected for internalized Raji B cell material. Cells containing one or more bites of fully internalized Raji B cell material >1 μ m in diameter were scored as positive.

Liposome FRET assay

Experiments were carried out as previously described². Briefly, proteins were purified using a bacterial expression system. All protein components (1 mg/ml BSA, 100 nM tSH2-Syk SNAP-505, 0 to 500 nM His10-CD3 ζ or His10-FcR γ intracellular chain, and 7.2 nM His10-Lck Y505F) were mixed into kinase buffer (50 mM HEPES-NaOH pH 6.8, 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM MgCl₂, and 1 mM TCEP). Liposomes prepared at the following molar ratios: 74.5% POPC (Avanti, Catalog # 850457C), 10% DOGS-NTA (Nickel) (Avanti, Catalog # 790404C, 0.5% Rhodamine PE (Avanti, Catalog # 810150C), and 15% DOPS (Avanti, Catalog # 840035C) were added and the mixture was incubated for 40–60 min at room temperature, during which the SNAP-505 fluorescence was monitored at 8 s intervals with 504 nm excitation and 540 nm emission. 1 mM ATP was then injected to trigger Lck mediated phosphorylation of CD3 ζ or FcR γ . Injection was followed by 5 s of automatic shaking of the plate, and the fluorescence was further monitored at 8 s intervals for at least 1 hr. Data were normalized by setting the average fluorescence value of the last 10 data points before ATP addition as 100% and background fluorescence as 0%. The final extent of fluorescence quenching (% fluorescence change) at each concentration of receptor was determined using the average of the last 20 data points after ensuring fully equilibrated binding. Nine reactions containing increasing concentrations of CD3 ζ and nine reactions containing increasing concentrations of FcR γ were run in parallel. The final % fluorescence change was plotted against FcR γ or CD3 ζ concentration. The apparent

dissociation constants (K_d) of tSH2-Syk to FcR γ and CD3 ζ were calculated by fitting the data with Graphpad Prism 6.0, using the 'one site specific binding' model.

Protein expression, purification, and labeling

The intracellular portion of the FcR γ -chain (aa 45–85, Human FcR γ , Uniprot FCERG_HUMAN) was cloned into a modified pET28a vector containing a His10 upstream to the multiple cloning site using BamHI and EcoRI. The intracellular portion of CD3 ζ (aa 52–164, Human CD3 ζ , Uniprot CD3Z_HUMAN) was also cloned into the His10 modified pET28a vector. A Lys-Cys-Lys-Lys sequence, originally present for fluorescent labeling, is also present between His10 and CD3 ζ in this construct. SNAP-tSH2Syk (aa 1–262) was cloned into a pGEX6 vector using BamHI and EcoRI. His10-CD3 ζ , His10-FcR γ -chain, and GST-SNAP-tSH2Syk were bacterially expressed in BL21 (DE3) RIPL strain of *Escherichia coli* as described previously². His10-Lck Y505F was expressed in SF9 cells using the Bac-to-Bac baculovirus system as described previously². All cells were lysed in an Avestin Emulsiflex system. His10 proteins were purified by using Ni-NTA agarose (Qiagen, Catalog # 30230) and GST-SNAP-tSH2Syk was purified by using glutathione-Sepharose beads (GE Healthcare, Catalog # 17075601) as described previously². Soluble SNAP-tSH2 Syk was generated by cleaving the GST moiety via the PreScission Protease at 4°C overnight. All proteins were subjected to gel-filtration chromatography using a Superdex 200 10/300 GL column (GE Healthcare, Catalog # 17517501) in HEPES-buffered saline (HBS) containing 50 mM HEPES-NaOH (pH 6.8 for His10-CD3 ζ , His10-FcR γ -chain, and GST-SNAP-tSH2Syk and pH 7.4 for His10-Lck Y505F), 150 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol, and 1 mM TCEP. The monomer fractions were pooled, frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at –80°C. All gel-filtered proteins were quantified by SDS-PAGE and Coomassie staining, using BSA as a standard. To prepare fluorescently labeled tSH2 Syk, 10 uM SNAP-tSH2 Syk was incubated at a 1:2 ratio with SNAP-Cell 505-Star (NEB, Catalog # S9103S) overnight at 4°C and run over a PD MiniTrap G-25 (GE Healthcare, Catalog # 28-9225-29 AB) column to eliminate excess dye.

Phosphotyrosine and phalloidin staining

To fix and stain preparations described above in bead and bites assays for quantifying enrichment of phosphotyrosine staining, half the media (~150 μ l) was gently removed from the imaging well and replaced with 150 μ l 6.4% paraformaldehyde solution (prepared from 32% stock, Electron Microscopy Sciences, Catalog # 50980495) in tissue culture grade PBS, pH7.2 (Gibco, Catalog # 20012050). Cells were fixed for 15 min in a 37°C incubator with CO₂. After fixation cells were washed 2x with PBS and permeabilized/blocked for 60 min at room temperature in freshly prepared, filter sterilized PBS + 5% FBS+0.1% w/v saponin (PFS solution). After permeabilization, cells were washed 2 \times 3 min with PFS solution. Following block, cells were incubated with 1:100 dilution of mouse anti-phosphotyrosine (pTyr) antibody to stain pan-pTyr (Santa Cruz, Catalog # PY20) diluted in PFS solution in the dark for 60 min at room temperature then washed 3 \times 5 min in PFS solution. Washed cells were incubated with a 1:500 dilution of goat anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 647 antibody (Thermo/Molecular Probes, Catalog # A21236) in PFS solution in the dark for 60 min at room temperature. Wells were then washed 3 \times 5 min in PFS solution. Cells were covered in 200 μ l PBS. If not imaged immediately samples were wrapped in parafilm and foil and stored at 4°C prior to microscopy. Phosphotyrosine enrichment at the synapse was calculated by dividing the mean Alexa Fluor 647 signal of a 5 pixel linescan at the synapse with bead or cell by a 5 pixel linescan on the cortex. For phalloidin staining, cells were fixed with 4% PFA for 15 min at room temperature, blocked and permeabilized with 5% BSA in TBS with 0.5% triton X overnight, and incubated with AlexaFluor 647 Phalloidin (Thermo/Molecular Probes, Catalog # A22284) for 20 min. Cells were then washed with PBS, imaged and quantified using the method described above. Each data point represents a single cell, and the graphs reflect pooled results from three biological replicates.

Ovalbumin antibody staining

To fix and stain preparations described above for ovalbumin staining, half the media (~150 μ l) was gently removed from the imaging well and replaced with 150 μ l 8% paraformaldehyde solution (prepared from 32% stock, Electron Microscopy Sciences, Catalog # 50980495) in tissue culture grade PBS, pH7.2 (Gibco, Catalog # 20012050). Cells were fixed for 10 min in a 37°C incubator with CO₂. After fixation cells were washed 2x with PBS and permeabilized/blocked for 60 min at room

temperature in freshly prepared, filter sterilized PBS + 0.1% w/v casein +0.1% w/v saponin (PCS solution). After permeabilization, cells were washed 1 × 3 min with PCS solution and blocked for 1 hr at room temperature in PCS. Following block, cells were incubated with 1:100 dilution of rabbit anti-ovalbumin (OVA) antibody to stain OVA (Thermo/Pierce, Catalog # PA1-196) diluted in PCS solution overnight at 4°C. Washed cells were incubated with a 1:200 dilution of goat anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 647 antibody (Thermo/Molecular Probes, Catalog # A21235) and 3.3 nM 488 phalloidin (dissolved at 6.6 μM in methanol) in PCS solution in the dark for 60 min at room temperature. Wells were then washed 3 × 5 min in PCS solution. Cells were covered in 200 μl PBS and immediately imaged. Ovalbumin signal was quantified as the corrected total cell fluorescence (CTCF). CTCF = Integrated Density – Area of Selected Cell * Mean Fluorescence of 3 Background Readings. Each data point represents a single cell, and the graphs reflect pooled results from three biological replicates.

Image processing and analysis

All image quantification was done on raw, unedited images. All images in figures were first analyzed in ImageJ, where a single Z-slice at the center of the cell was extracted. The image intensities were scaled to enhance contrast and cropped in Photoshop. For movies, background was subtracted in Fiji using a rolling ball radius of 50 μm and bleach corrected using the Histogram Matching plug in.

Statistics

All statistical analysis was performed in Prism 6.0 (GraphPad, Inc.). The statistical test used is indicated in each figure legend. Error bars throughout the paper denote 95% confidence intervals of the mean. *** indicates p<0.0001; ** indicates p<0.001 and * indicates p<0.01.

Acknowledgements

We thank J Reiter and E Yu for providing mouse long bones as a source for hematopoietic stem cells. We thank K McKinley and X Su for critical feedback on this manuscript. MAM was supported by the National Institute of General Medical Sciences of the National Institutes of Health under award number F32GM120990. APW was supported by a CRI Irvington Postdoctoral Fellowship. This work was funded by the Howard Hughes Medical Institute.

Additional information

Funding

Funder	Grant reference number	Author
National Institute of General Medical Sciences	F32GM120990	Meghan A Morrissey
Cancer Research Institute	Irvington Postdoctoral Fellowship	Adam P Williamson
Howard Hughes Medical Institute		Ronald Vale

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

Author contributions

Meghan A Morrissey, Adam P Williamson, Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Validation, Investigation, Visualization, Methodology, Writing—original draft, Writing—review and editing; Adriana M Steinbach, Conceptualization, Investigation, Visualization, Writing—review and editing; Edward W Roberts, Conceptualization, Resources, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing—review and editing; Nadja Kern, Formal analysis, Investigation, Visualization, Writing—review and editing; Mark B Headley, Conceptualization, Resources; Ronald D Vale, Conceptualization, Resources, Supervision, Funding acquisition, Writing—review and editing

Author ORCIDsMeghan A Morrissey  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0531-4864>Adam P Williamson  <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8905-5646>Ronald D Vale  <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3460-2758>**Ethics**

Animal experimentation: All mice were maintained under specific pathogen-free conditions and treated in accordance with the regulatory standards of the NIH and American Association of Laboratory Animal Care standards, and are consistent with the UCSF Institution of Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC approval: AN170208-01I).

Decision letter and Author responseDecision letter <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.36688.021>Author response <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.36688.022>

Additional files

Supplementary files

- Transparent reporting form

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.36688.017>**Data availability**

The replicates used to construct Figure 1d have been uploaded to Dryad (doi:10.5061/dryad.c57c1s0). Due to the large size of the datasets, the full set of raw images are available from the authors upon request.

The following dataset was generated:

Author(s)	Year	Dataset title	Dataset URL	Database, license, and accessibility information
Morrissey MA, Williamson AP, Steinbach AM, Roberts EW, Kern N, Headley MB, Vale RD	2018	Data from: Chimeric antigen receptors that trigger phagocytosis	https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.c57c1s0	Available at Dryad Digital Repository under a CC0 Public Domain Dedication

References

Alvey C, Discher DE. 2017. Engineering macrophages to eat Cancer: from "marker of self" CD47 and phagocytosis to differentiation. *Journal of Leukocyte Biology* **102**:31–40. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1189/jlb.4R1216-516R>, PMID: 28522599

Alvey CM, Spinler KR, Irianto J, Pfeifer CR, Hayes B, Xia Y, Cho S, Dingal P, Hsu J, Smith L, Tewari M, Discher DE. 2017. SIRPA-Inhibited, Marrow-Derived macrophages engorge, accumulate, and differentiate in Antibody-Targeted regression of solid tumors. *Current Biology* **27**:2065–2077. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2017.06.005>, PMID: 28669759

Andreesen R, Scheibenbogen C, Brugger W, Krause S, Meerpol H, Leser HG, Engler H, Löhr GW. 1990. Adoptive transfer of tumor cytotoxic macrophages generated in vitro from circulating blood monocytes: a new approach to Cancer immunotherapy. *Cancer Research* **50**:7450–7456. PMID: 1701343

Andreu N, Phelan J, de Sessions PF, Cliff JM, Clark TG, Hibberd ML. 2017. Primary macrophages and J774 cells respond differently to infection with *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Scientific Reports* **7**:42225. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep42225>, PMID: 28176867

Batista FD, Iber D, Neuberger MS. 2001. B cells acquire antigen from target cells after synapse formation. *Nature* **411**:489–494. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/35078099>, PMID: 11373683

Beningo KA, Wang YL. 2002. Fc-receptor-mediated phagocytosis is regulated by mechanical properties of the target. *Journal of Cell Science* **115**:849–856. PMID: 11865040

Brooks SR, Kirkham PM, Freeberg L, Carter RH. 2004. Binding of cytoplasmic proteins to the CD19 intracellular domain is high affinity, competitive, and multimeric. *The Journal of Immunology* **172**:7556–7564. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.172.12.7556>, PMID: 15187135

Bu JY, Shaw AS, Chan AC. 1995. Analysis of the interaction of ZAP-70 and syk protein-tyrosine kinases with the T-cell antigen receptor by plasmon resonance. *PNAS* **92**:5106–5110. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.92.11.5106>, PMID: 7761456

Chao MP, Alizadeh AA, Tang C, Myklebust JH, Varghese B, Gill S, Jan M, Cha AC, Chan CK, Tan BT, Park CY, Zhao F, Kohrt HE, Malumbres R, Briones J, Gascoyne RD, Lossos IS, Levy R, Weissman IL, Majeti R. 2010. Anti-CD47 antibody synergizes with rituximab to promote phagocytosis and eradicate non-Hodgkin lymphoma. *Cell* **142**:699–713. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2010.07.044>, PMID: 20813259

Chen J, Zhong MC, Guo H, Davidson D, Mishel S, Lu Y, Rhee I, Pérez-Quintero LA, Zhang S, Cruz-Munoz ME, Wu N, Vinh DC, Sinha M, Calderon V, Lowell CA, Danska JS, Veillette A. 2017. SLAMF7 is critical for phagocytosis of haematopoietic tumour cells via Mac-1 integrin. *Nature* **544**:493–497. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature22076>, PMID: 28424516

Cross SE, Jin YS, Rao J, Gimzewski JK. 2007. Nanomechanical analysis of cells from cancer patients. *Nature Nanotechnology* **2**:780–783. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/nnano.2007.388>, PMID: 18654431

Davis SJ, van der Merwe PA. 2006. The kinetic-segregation model: TCR triggering and beyond. *Nature Immunology* **7**:803–809. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/ni1369>, PMID: 16855606

Edelstein A, Amodaj N, Hoover K, Vale R, Stuurman N. 2010. Computer control of microscopes using μmanager. *Current Protocols in Molecular Biology* **14**:Unit14.20. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/0471142727.mb1420s92>, PMID: 20890901

Engel P, Zhou LJ, Ord DC, Sato S, Koller B, Tedder TF. 1995. Abnormal B lymphocyte development, activation, and differentiation in mice that lack or overexpress the CD19 signal transduction molecule. *Immunity* **3**:39–50. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/1074-7613\(95\)90157-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/1074-7613(95)90157-4), PMID: 7542548

Fesnak AD, June CH, Levine BL. 2016. Engineered T cells: the promise and challenges of cancer immunotherapy. *Nature Reviews Cancer* **16**:566–581. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc.2016.97>, PMID: 27550819

Freeman SA, Goyette J, Furuya W, Woods EC, Bertozi CR, Bergmeier W, Hinz B, van der Merwe PA, Das R, Grinstein S. 2016. Integrins Form an Expanding Diffusional Barrier that Coordinates Phagocytosis. *Cell* **164**:128–140. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2015.11.048>, PMID: 26771488

Freeman SA, Grinstein S. 2014. Phagocytosis: receptors, signal integration, and the cytoskeleton. *Immunological Reviews* **262**:193–215. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/imr.12212>, PMID: 25319336

Gardai SJ, McPhillips KA, Frasch SC, Janssen WJ, Starefeldt A, Murphy-Ullrich JE, Bratton DL, Oldenborg PA, Michalak M, Henson PM. 2005. Cell-surface calreticulin initiates clearance of viable or apoptotic cells through trans-activation of LRP on the phagocyte. *Cell* **123**:321–334. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2005.08.032>, PMID: 16239148

Harshyne LA, Watkins SC, Gambotto A, Barratt-Boyes SM. 2001. Dendritic cells acquire antigens from live cells for Cross-Presentation to CTL. *The Journal of Immunology* **166**:3717–3723. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.166.6.3717>

Harshyne LA, Zimmer MI, Watkins SC, Barratt-Boyes SM. 2003. A Role for Class A Scavenger Receptor in Dendritic Cell Nibbling from Live Cells. *The Journal of Immunology* **170**:2302–2309. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.170.5.2302>

Haso W, Lee DW, Shah NN, Stetler-Stevenson M, Yuan CM, Pastan IH, Dimitrov DS, Morgan RA, FitzGerald DJ, Barrett DM, Wayne AS, Mackall CL, Orentas RJ. 2013. Anti-CD22-chimeric antigen receptors targeting B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Blood* **121**:1165–1174. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2012-06-438002>, PMID: 23243285

Hui E, Vale RD. 2014. In vitro membrane reconstitution of the T-cell receptor proximal signaling network. *Nature Structural & Molecular Biology* **21**:133–142. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.2762>, PMID: 24463463

Jadus MR, Irwin MC, Irwin MR, Horansky RD, Sekhon S, Pepper KA, Kohn DB, Wepsic HT. 1996. Macrophages can recognize and kill tumor cells bearing the membrane isoform of macrophage colony-stimulating factor. *Blood* **87**:5232–5241. PMID: 8652838

Jaiswal S, Jamieson CH, Pang WW, Park CY, Chao MP, Majeti R, Traver D, van Rooijen N, Weissman IL. 2009. CD47 is upregulated on circulating hematopoietic stem cells and leukemia cells to avoid phagocytosis. *Cell* **138**:271–285. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2009.05.046>, PMID: 19632178

James JR, Vale RD. 2012. Biophysical mechanism of T-cell receptor triggering in a reconstituted system. *Nature* **487**:64–69. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11220>, PMID: 22763440

Joly E, Hudrisier D. 2003. What is trogocytosis and what is its purpose? *Nature Immunology* **4**:815. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/ni0903-815>, PMID: 12942076

Kao G, Huang CC, Hedgecock EM, Hall DH, Wadsworth WG. 2006. The role of the laminin beta subunit in laminin heterotrimer assembly and basement membrane function and development in *C. elegans*. *Developmental Biology* **290**:211–219. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2005.11.026>, PMID: 16376872

Kochenderfer JN, Feldman SA, Zhao Y, Xu H, Black MA, Morgan RA, Wilson WH, Rosenberg SA. 2009. Construction and preclinical evaluation of an anti-CD19 chimeric antigen receptor. *Journal of Immunotherapy* **32**:689–702. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1097/CJI.0b013e3181ac6138>, PMID: 19561539

Lacerna LV, Stevenson GW, Stevenson HC. 1988. Adoptive cancer immunotherapy utilizing lymphokine activated killer cells and gamma interferon activated killer monocytes. *Pharmacology & Therapeutics* **38**:453–465. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0163-7258\(88\)90014-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0163-7258(88)90014-9), PMID: 3143128

Lee S, Kivimäe S, Dolor A, Szoka FC. 2016. Macrophage-based cell therapies: the long and winding road. *Journal of Controlled Release* **240**:527–540. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conrel.2016.07.018>, PMID: 27422609

Lim WA, June CH, Huang J, Hodes RJ. 2017. The Principles of Engineering Immune Cells to Treat Cancer. *Cell* **168**:724–740. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.01.016>, PMID: 28187291

Liu X, Pu Y, Cron K, Deng L, Kline J, Frazier WA, Xu H, Peng H, Fu YX, Xu MM. 2015. CD47 blockade triggers T cell-mediated destruction of immunogenic tumors. *Nature Medicine* **21**:1209–1215. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.3931>, PMID: 26322579

Majeti R, Chao MP, Alizadeh AA, Pang WW, Jaiswal S, Gibbs KD, van Rooijen N, Weissman IL. 2009. CD47 is an adverse prognostic factor and therapeutic antibody target on human acute myeloid leukemia stem cells. *Cell* **138**:286–299. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2009.05.045>, PMID: 19632179

Mayordomo JL, Zorina T, Storkus WJ, Zitvogel L, Celluzzi C, Falo LD, Melfi CJ, Ildstad ST, Kast WM, Deleo AB. 1995. Bone marrow-derived dendritic cells pulsed with synthetic tumour peptides elicit protective and therapeutic antitumour immunity. *Nature Medicine* **1**:1297–1302. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/nm1295-1297>, PMID: 7489412

Penberthy KK, Ravichandran KS. 2016. Apoptotic cell recognition receptors and scavenger receptors. *Immunological Reviews* **269**:44–59. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/imr.12376>, PMID: 26683144

Ralston KS, Solga MD, Mackey-Lawrence NM, Somlata , Bhattacharya A, Petri WA. 2014. Trogocytosis by Entamoeba histolytica contributes to cell killing and tissue invasion. *Nature* **508**:526–530. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13242>, PMID: 24717428

Roberts EW, Broz ML, Binnewies M, Headley MB, Nelson AE, Wolf DM, Kaisho T, Bogunovic D, Bhardwaj N, Krummel MF. 2016. Critical Role for CD103(+)CD141(+) Dendritic Cells Bearing CCR7 for Tumor Antigen Trafficking and Priming of T Cell Immunity in Melanoma. *Cancer Cell* **30**:324–336. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2016.06.003>, PMID: 27424807

Schlam D, Bagshaw RD, Freeman SA, Collins RF, Pawson T, Fairn GD, Grinstein S. 2015. Phosphoinositide 3-kinase enables phagocytosis of large particles by terminating actin assembly through Rac/Cdc42 GTPase-activating proteins. *Nature Communications* **6**:8623. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms9623>, PMID: 26465210

Tseng D, Volkmer JP, Willingham SB, Contreras-Trujillo H, Fathman JW, Fernhoff NB, Seita J, Inlay MA, Weiskopf K, Miyanihi M, Weissman IL. 2013. Anti-CD47 antibody-mediated phagocytosis of cancer by macrophages primes an effective antitumor T-cell response. *PNAS* **110**:11103–11108. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1305569110>, PMID: 23690610

Tuveson DA, Carter RH, Soltoff SP, Fearon DT. 1993. CD19 of B cells as a surrogate kinase insert region to bind phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase. *Science* **260**:986–989. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.7684160>, PMID: 764160

Weischenfeldt J, Porse B. 2008. Bone Marrow-Derived Macrophages (BMM): Isolation and Applications. *Cold Spring Harbor Protocols* **2008**:pdb.prot5080. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1101/pdb.prot5080>, PMID: 21356739

Xiao X, Ho M, Zhu Z, Pastan I, Dimitrov DS. 2009. Identification and characterization of fully human anti-CD22 monoclonal antibodies. *mAbs* **1**:297–303. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4161/mabs.1.3.8113>, PMID: 20065646