Myomatrix arrays for high-definition muscle recording

*Bryce Chung¹, *Muneeb Zia², Kyle A. Thomas³, Jonathan A. Michaels⁴, Amanda Jacob¹, Andrea Pack⁵, Matthew J. Williams³, Kailash Nagapudi¹, Lay Heng Teng¹, Eduardo Arrambide¹, Logan Ouellette¹, Nicole Oey¹, Rhuna Gibbs¹, Philip Anschutz⁶, Jiaao Lu⁷, Yu Wu², Mehrdad Kashefi⁴, Tomomichi Oya⁴, Rhonda Kersten⁴, Alice C. Mosberger⁸, Sean O'Connell³, Runming Wang⁹, Hugo Marques¹⁰, Ana Rita Mendes¹⁰, Constanze Lenschow^{10,11}, Gayathri Kondakath¹², Jeong Jun Kim¹³, William Olson¹³, Kiara N. Quinn¹⁴, Pierce Perkins¹⁴, Graziana Gatto^{15,16}, Ayesha Thanawalla¹⁵, Susan Coltman¹⁷, Taegyo Kim¹⁸, Trevor Smith¹⁸, Ben Binder-Markey¹⁹, Martin Zaback²⁰, Christopher K. Thompson²⁰, Simon Giszter¹⁸, Abigail Person¹⁷, Martyn Goulding¹⁵, Eiman Azim¹⁵, Nitish Thakor¹⁴, Daniel O'Connor¹³, Barry Trimmer¹², Susana Q. Lima¹⁰, Megan R. Carey¹⁰, Chethan Pandarinath⁹, Rui M. Costa^{8,21}, J. Andrew Pruszynski⁴, Muhannad Bakir², Samuel J. Sober¹

*Equal contributions

- ¹ Department of Biology, Emory University (Atlanta, GA, USA).
- ² School of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology (Atlanta, GA, USA).
- ³ Graduate Program in Biomedical Engineering at Emory University and Georgia Tech (Atlanta, GA, USA).
- ⁴ Department of Physiology and Pharmacology, Western University (London, ON, Canada).
- ⁵ Neuroscience Graduate Program, Emory University (Atlanta, GA, USA).
- ⁶ Graduate Program in BioEngineering, Georgia Tech (Atlanta, GA, USA).
- ⁷ Graduate Program in Electrical and Computer Engineering, Georgia Tech (Atlanta, GA, USA).
- ⁸ Zuckerman Mind Brain Behavior Institute at Columbia University (New York, NY, USA).
- ⁹ Department of Biomedical Engineering at Emory University and Georgia Tech (Atlanta, GA, USA).
- ¹⁰ Champalimaud Neuroscience Programme, Champalimaud Foundation (Lisbon, Portugal).
- ¹¹ <u>current address</u>: Institute of Biology, Otto-von-Guericke University, (Magdeburg, Germany).
- ¹² Department of Biology, Tufts University (Medford, MA, USA).
- ¹³ Solomon H. Snyder Department of Neuroscience, Johns Hopkins School of Medicine (Baltimore, MD, USA).
- ¹⁴ Departments of Biomedical Engineering and Neurology, Johns Hopkins School of Medicine (Baltimore, MD, USA).
- ¹⁵ Salk Institute for Biological Studies (La Jolla, CA, USA).
- ¹⁶ <u>current address</u>: Department of Neurology, University Hospital of Cologne (Cologne, Germany).
- ¹⁷ Department of Physiology and Biophysics, University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus (Aurora, CO, USA).
- ¹⁸ Department of Neurobiology & Anatomy, Drexel University, College of Medicine (Philadelphia, PA, USA).
- ¹⁹ Department of Physical Therapy and Rehabilitation Sciences, Drexel University College of Nursing and Health Professions (Philadelphia, PA).
- ²⁰ Department of Health and Rehabilitation Sciences, Temple University (Philadelphia, PA, USA).
- ²¹ Allen Institute (Seattle, WA, USA).

Myomatrix arrays for high-definition muscle recording

Abstract

Neurons coordinate their activity to produce an astonishing variety of motor behaviors. Our present understanding of motor control has grown rapidly thanks to new methods for recording and analyzing populations of many individual neurons over time. In contrast, current methods for recording the nervous system's actual motor output – the activation of muscle fibers by motor neurons – typically cannot detect the individual electrical events produced by muscle fibers during natural behaviors and scale poorly across species and muscle groups. Here we present a novel class of electrode devices ("Myomatrix arrays") that record muscle activity at unprecedented resolution across muscles and behaviors. High-density, flexible electrode arrays allow for stable recordings from the muscle fibers activated by a single motor neuron, called a "motor unit", during natural behaviors in many species, including mice, rats, primates, songbirds, frogs, and insects. This technology therefore allows the nervous system's motor output to be monitored in unprecedented detail during complex behaviors across species and muscle morphologies. We anticipate that this technology will allow rapid advances in understanding the neural control of behavior and in identifying pathologies of the motor system.

Introduction

Recent decades have seen tremendous advances in our understanding of the physiological mechanisms by which the brain controls complex motor behaviors. Critical to these advances have been tools to record neural activity at scale^{4,5}, which, when combined with novel algorithms for behavioral tracking ⁶⁻¹⁰, can reveal how neural activity shapes behavior ^{11,12}. In contrast, current methods for observing the nervous system's motor output lag far behind neural recording technologies. The nervous system's control of skeletal motor output is ultimately mediated by "motor units", each of which consists of a single motor neuron and the muscle fibers it activates, producing motor unit action potentials (**Fig. 1a**) that generate muscle force to produce movement ¹³. Because each action potential in a motor neuron reliably evokes a single spike in its target muscle fibers, action potentials recorded from muscle provide a high-resolution readout of motor neuron activity in the spinal cord and brainstem. However, our understanding of motor unit activity during natural behaviors is rudimentary due to the difficulty of recording spike trains from motor unit

- populations.
- 12

Traditional methods for recording muscle fiber activity via electromyography (EMG) include fine wires inserted into muscles and electrode arrays placed on the surface of the skin ¹⁴. These methods can resolve the activity of individual motor units in only a limited range of settings. First, to prevent measurement artifacts, traditional EMG methods require that a subject's movements be highly restricted, typically in "isometric" force tasks where subjects contract their muscles without moving their bodies ¹⁵⁻¹⁸. Moreover, fine wire electrodes typically cannot detect single motor unit activity in small muscles, including the muscles of widely used model systems such as mice or songbirds ¹⁹⁻²¹, and surface electrode arrays are poorly tolerated by freely behaving animal subjects. These limitations have impeded our

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.02.21.529200; this version posted September 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.



Figure 1: Myomatrix arrays record muscle activity at motor unit resolution. (a) The nervous system controls behavior via motor units, each consisting of a single motor neuron and the muscle fibers it innervates. Each motor neuron's spiking evokes motor unit action potentials in the corresponding muscle fibers. Myomatrix arrays (right) bearing 32 electrode contacts on a flexible substrate (Supplemental Fig. 1) can be targeted to one or more muscles and yield high-resolution recordings of motor activity during free behavior. Motor neurons, muscle fibers, and electrode arrays are not shown to scale. (b,c) Example recordings from the right triceps muscle of a freely behaving mouse. (b) Top, bipolar Myomatrix recording from the mouse triceps during locomotion. Blue dots indicate the spike times of one motor unit isolated from the data using a spike sorting method based on principal components analysis (Supplemental Fig. 2a-d). Bottom, example data (from ¹, used with permission) from traditional fine-wire EMG recording of triceps activity during locomotion. Applying the PCA-based spike sorting method to the fine-wire data did not isolate any individual motor units. (c) Unipolar Myomatrix recording during quiet stance. Colored boxes illustrate motor unit action potentials from four identified units. Spike waveforms from some units, including those highlighted with gray and orange boxes, appear on multiple electrode channels, requiring the use of a multi-channel spike sorting algorithm (Kilosort 2.5, see Supplemental Fig. 2e-h). (d) Spiking pattern (tick marks) of six individual motor units recorded simultaneously during locomotion on a treadmill. The three bursts of motor unit action potentials correspond to triceps activity during three stride cycles. Motor unit 4 (cyan) is the same motor unit represented by cyan dots in (b). The other motor units in this recording, including the smaller amplitude units at top in (b), were isolated using Kilosort but could not be isolated with the PCA-based method applied to data from only the single recording channel shown (b).

20 understanding of fundamental questions in motor control, including how the nervous system coordinates populations

- of motor units to produce skilled movements, how this coordination degrades in pathological states, and how motor
- 22 unit activity is remapped when animals learn new tasks or adapt to changes in the environment.

²⁴ Here, we present a novel approach (Fig. 1) to recording populations of individual motor units from many different

²⁵ muscle groups and species during natural behaviors. Flexible multielectrode ("Myomatrix") arrays were developed to

- ²⁶ achieve the following goals:
 - (a) Record muscle activity at motor unit resolution
 - (b) Record motor units during active movements
 - (c) Record from a wide range of muscle groups, species, and behaviors
 - (d) Record stably over time and with minimal movement artifact

²⁷ To achieve these goals, we developed a variety of array configurations for use across species and muscle groups.

- ²⁸ Voltage waveforms from individual motor units (Fig. 1b,c) can be readily extracted from the resulting data using a
- ²⁹ range of spike-sorting algorithms, including methods developed to identify the waveforms of individual neurons in
- ³⁰ high-density arrays ^{2,22}. Below, we show how Myomatrix arrays provide high-resolution measures of motor unit
- activation in a variety of species and muscle groups including forelimb, hindlimb, orofacial, pelvic, vocal, and
- 32 respiratory muscles.

33

34 **Results**

³⁵ We developed methods to fabricate flexible, high-density EMG ("Myomatrix") arrays, as detailed in the Methods and

36 schematized in Supplemental Figure 1. We selected polyimide as a substrate material due to its strength and

37 flexibility and the ease with which we could define electrode contacts, suture holes, and other sub-millimeter features

38 that facilitate ease of implantation and recording stability (Supplemental Fig. 1a-e). Moreover, simple modifications to

³⁹ the fabrication pipeline allowed us to rapidly design, test, and refine different array morphologies targeting a range of

⁴⁰ muscle sizes, shapes, and anatomical locations (**Supplemental Fig. 1c, f, g**).

41

42 Myomatrix arrays record muscle activity at motor unit resolution

Myomatrix arrays robustly record the activity of individual motor units in freely behaving mice. Arrays specialized for 43 mouse forelimb muscles include four thin "threads" (8 electrodes per thread, 32 electrodes total) equipped with suture holes, flexible "barbs," and other features to secure the device within or onto a muscle (Fig. 1a, Supplemental Fig. 45 1c, d, e, h). These devices yielded well-isolated waveforms from individual motor units (Fig. 1b, top), which were 46 identified using open-source spike sorting tools ^{2,22}. As detailed in Supplemental Figure 2a-d, in some cases the 47 spike times of individual motor units (cyan dots, Fig. 1b) can be isolated from an individual electrode channel with 48 simple spike sorting approaches including single-channel waveform clustering ²². In other cases, waveforms from 49 individual motor units appeared on multiple electrode channels (**Fig. 1c**), allowing – and in many cases necessitating more advanced spike-sorting approaches that leverage information from multiple channels to identify larger 51

numbers of units and resolve overlapping spike waveforms ², as detailed in **Supplemental Figure 2e-h**. These methods allow the user to record simultaneously from ensembles of single motor units (**Fig. 1c,d**) in freely behaving animals, even from small muscles including the lateral head of the triceps muscle in mice (approximately 9 mm in length with a mass of 0.02 g ²³). Myomatrix recordings isolated single motor units for extended periods (greater than two months, **Supplemental Fig. 3e**), although highest unit yield was typically observed in the first 1-2 weeks after chronic implantation. Because recording sessions from individual animals were often separated by several days during which animals were disconnected from data collection equipment, we are unable to assess based on the present data whether the same motor units can be recorded over multiple days.

60

61 Myomatrix arrays record motor units during active movements

Myomatrix arrays outperform traditional fine-wire electrodes in mice by reliably recording isolated single units in 62 behaving animals. First, Myomatrix arrays isolate the activity of multiple individual motor units during freely moving behavior (Fig. 1c-d). In contrast, wire electrodes typically cannot resolve individual motor units during muscle 64 lengthening and shorting, as occurs in naturalistic movements such as locomotion ^{1,24}. Figure 1b illustrates a comparison between Myomatrix (top) and fine-wire (bottom) data recorded during locomotion in the mouse triceps. Spike-sorting identified well-isolated motor unit spikes in the Myomatrix data (cyan dots in Fig. 1b, top) but failed to 67 extract any isolated motor units in the fine wire data (Supplemental Fig. 2a,b). Similarly, while Myomatrix recordings robustly isolated motor units from a songbird vocal muscle, fine wire EMG electrodes applied to the same muscle did not yield isolatable units (Supplemental Fig. 2c,d). This lack of resolution, which is typical of fine wire EMG, severely limits access to motor unit activity during active behavior, although wire electrodes injected through the skin can provide excellent motor unit isolation during quiet stance in mice²⁵. Second, because wire-based EMG requires inserting an additional wire for each additional electrode contact, only a single pair of wires (providing a single bipolar recording channel, Fig. 1b, bottom) can be inserted into an individual mouse muscle in most cases ^{1,24,26}. In contrast, 74 at least four Myomatrix "threads" (Fig 1a), bearing a total of 32 electrodes, can be inserted into one muscle (Fig. 1c shows five of 32 channels recorded simultaneously from mouse triceps), greatly expanding the number of recording channels within a single muscle. Single motor units were routinely isolated during mouse locomotion in our Myomatrix recordings (Fig. 1), but never in the fine-wire datasets from ¹ we re-analyzed or, to our knowledge, in any prior study. 78 Moreover, in multiunit recordings, Myomatrix arrays have significantly higher signal-to-noise ratios than fine-wire EMG arrays (Supplemental Fig. 3). Myomatrix arrays therefore far exceed the performance of wire electrodes in mice in 80 terms of both the quality of recordings and the number of channels that can be recorded simultaneously from one 81 muscle. 82

5



Figure 2: Myomatrix recordings across muscles and species. (a) Example recording from mouse triceps during a head-fixed pellet reaching task. Arrows at top indicate the approximate time that the animal's paw leaves a rest position and first contacts the target. Bottom, colored boxes highlight motor unit action potentials identified using Kilosort². Different box colors on the same voltage trace indicate distinct motor units. (b) Recordings from the mouse superficial masseter muscle were obtained in anesthetized, head-fixed mice when passive mandible displacement evoked reflexive muscle contractions. Top trace shows the lateral component of jaw displacement, with arrows indicating the direction and approximate time of displacement onset. (c) In a recording from rat triceps during head-free locomotion, the arrowhead indicates the time that the mouse's paw touched the treadmill surface, marking the beginning of the stance phase. (d) Recording from the rat flexor digitorum profundus muscle during a pellet reaching task, arrow indicates the time of grasp initiation. (e) Myomatrix recording of motor unit activity in the mouse bulbospongiosus muscle evoked by optical stimulation of spinal motor neurons, producing motor unit spikes at latencies between 10-15 msec, consistent with results obtained from traditional fine-wire electrodes in mice³. (f-j) Recordings from the cat soleus (f) during sensory nerve stimulation, songbird vocal (ventral syringeal) muscle (g) and expiratory muscle (h) during quiet respiration, hawkmoth larva dorsal internal medial (DIM) muscle (i) during fictive locomotion, and bull frog semimembranosus (SM) muscle (i) in response to cutaneous (foot) stimulation. Spike times from individual motor units are indicated by colored tick marks under each voltage trace in f-j. Recordings shown in panels (a, c, g, h, i, and j) were collected using bipolar amplification, data in panels (b, d, e, and f) were collected using unipolar recording. See Methods for details of each experimental preparation.

88 Myomatrix arrays record from a wide range of muscle groups, species, and behaviors

⁸⁴ Myomatrix arrays provide high-resolution EMG recordings across muscle targets and experimental preparations (Fig.

2). Beyond the locomotor and postural signals shown in **Figure 1**, Myomatrix recordings from mouse triceps also

provided single-unit EMG data during a head-fixed reaching task (Fig. 2a). In addition to recording single motor units 86 during these voluntary behaviors, Myomatrix arrays also allow high-resolution recordings from other muscle groups 87 during reflex-evoked muscle activity. Figure 2b shows single motor unit EMG data recorded from the superficial 88 masseter (jaw) muscle when reflexive muscle contraction was obtained by passively displacing the jaw of an anesthetized mouse. To extend these methods across species, we collected Myomatrix recordings from muscles of 90 the rat forelimb, obtaining isolated motor units from the triceps during locomotion (Fig. 2c) and a digit-flexing muscle 91 in the lower forearm during head-free reaching (Fig. 2d). Myomatrix arrays can furthermore isolate motor unit 92 waveforms evoked by direct optogenetic stimulation of spinal motor neurons. Figure 2e shows recordings of light evoked spikes in the mouse bulbospongiosus muscle (a pelvic muscle that wraps around the base of the penis in male mice), demonstrating that optogenetic stimulation of the spinal cord evokes spiking in single motor units with 95 millisecond-scale timing jitter (Fig. 2e, center) and with latencies (Fig. 2e, right) consistent with the latencies of 96 recordings obtained with fine-wire electrodes ³. Beyond rodents, simple modifications of the basic electrode array 97 design (Supplemental Fig. 1f) allowed us to obtain high-resolution recordings from hindlimb muscles in cats (Fig. 2f), 98 vocal and respiratory muscles in songbirds (Fig. 2g,h, see also ²⁷), body wall muscles in moth larvae (Fig. 2i), and leg 99

101

muscles in frogs (Fig. 2j).

In addition to isolating individual motor units, Myomatrix arrays provide stable multi-unit recordings of comparable or superior quality to conventional fine wire EMG. Although single-unit recordings are essential to identify individual motor neurons' contributions to muscle activity ^{28,29}, for other lines of inquiry a multi-unit signal is preferred as it reflects the combined activity of many motor units within a single muscle. Although individual Myomatrix channels are often dominated by spike waveforms from one or a small number of motor units (**Fig 1b**), other channels reflect the combined activity of multiple motor units as typically observed in fine-wire EMG recordings ³⁰. As shown in **Supplemental Figure 3a** and **b**, these multi-unit Myomatrix signals are stable over multiple weeks of recordings, similar to the maximum recording longevity reported for wire-based systems in mice and exceeding the 1-2 weeks of recording more typically obtained with wire electrodes in mice ^{1,24,26}, and with significantly greater recording quality than that obtained from wire electrodes at comparable post-implantation timepoints (**Supplemental Fig. 3d**).

7

- 113 To record from larger muscles than those described above, we also created designs targeting the forelimb and
- 114 shoulder muscles of rhesus macaques (Fig. 3). Although fine wire electrodes have been used to isolate individual
- motor units in both humans and monkeys ^{14,31}, and skin-surface electrode arrays robustly record motor unit
- populations in human subjects ^{15,32},
- this resolution is limited to isometric
- tasks that is, muscle contraction
- without movement due to the
- sensitivity of both fine-wire and surface
- 121 array electrodes to electrical artifacts
- 122 caused by body movement. For ease
- 123 of insertion into larger muscles, we
- 124 modified the "thread" design used in
- 125 our mouse arrays so that each
- 126 Myomatrix array could be loaded into a
- 127 standard hypodermic syringe and
- injected into the muscle
- (Supplemental Fig. 1g,i), inspired by
- 130 earlier work highlighting the
- 131 performance of injectable arrays in
- primates ^{14,33,34}. As shown in **Figure**
- 133 **3a-d**, injectable Myomatrix arrays
- 134 yielded motor unit recordings during
- arm movements. Tick marks in Figure
- 136 3d show the activity of 13 motor units
- 137 recorded simultaneously during a



Figure 3: Motor unit recordings during active movement in primates. (a) An injectable version of the Myomatrix array (Supplemental Fig. 1g) was inserted percutaneously (Supplemental Fig. 1i) into the right biceps of a rhesus macaque performing a cued reaching task. Green and red dots: reach start and endpoints, respectively; grey regions: start and target zones. (b) Recording from five of 32 unipolar channels showing spikes from three individual motor units isolated from the multichannel recording using Kilosort (Supplemental Fig. 2). (c) At trial onset (dotted line), a sudden force perturbation extends the elbow, signaling the animal to reach to the target. (d) Spike times (tick marks) from 13 simultaneously recorded motor units. (e) Example voltage data from a Myomatrix array (top) and traditional fine-wire EMG (middle, bottom) collected from the same biceps muscle in the same animal performing the same task, but in a separate recording session. Gray traces (bottom) show smoothed EMG data from the fine-wire electrodes in all trials, orange trace shows trial-averaged smoothed fine-wire EMG, dark gray trace represents the fine-wire trial shown at middle. (f) Spike times of four motor units (of the 13 shown in d) recorded simultaneously over 144 trials.

single trial in which a monkey was cued (by a force perturbation which causes an extension of the elbow joint) to
reach to a target. In contrast to the ensemble of spike times obtained with a Myomatrix probe, conventional fine-wire
EMGs inserted into the same muscle (in a separate recording session) yielded only a single trace reflecting the
activity of an unknown number of motor units (**Fig 3e**, middle). Moreover, although fine-wire EMG signals varied
across trials (**Fig. 3e**, bottom), the lack of motor unit resolution makes it impossible to assess how individual motor
units vary (and co-vary) across trials. In contrast, Myomatrix recordings provide spiking resolution of multiple
individual motor units across many trials, as illustrated in **Figure 3f**.

145

146 Myomatrix arrays record stably over time and with minimal movement artifact

Myomatrix arrays provide EMG recordings that are resistant to movement artifacts and stable over time. In recordings 147 from rodents during locomotion (Fig. 1b, Fig. 2c), we did not observe voltage artifacts at any point in the stride cycle 148 (e.g. when the paw of the recorded limb first touches the ground in each stride cycle; Fig. 2c, black arrowhead). Movement artifacts were similarly absent during active arm movements in monkeys (peak fingertip speeds ~15 cm/sec; peak elbow angle velocity ~40 deg/sec, Fig 3b,e), during passive jaw displacement in anesthetized mice (Fig. 2b), or in the other anesthetized preparations shown in Figure 2. Individual motor units could typically be isolated for the entire duration of an acute or chronic recording session. In triceps recordings during locomotion in rodents (Fig. 1, Fig. 2c), isolated motor units were recorded for up to 4,791 stride cycles (mice) or 491 stride cycles 154 (rats) during continuous recording sessions lasting 10-60 minutes. Myomatrix recordings in behaving nonhuman primates were similarly long-lived, as in the dataset shown in Figure 3, where single-unit isolation was maintained across 1,292 reaching trials collected over 97 minutes. In each of these datasets, the duration of single-unit EMG recording was limited by the willingness of the animal to continue performing the behavior, rather than a loss of signal isolation. Recordings in acute preparations were similarly stable. For example, the songbird dataset shown in **Figure** 2g includes single-unit data from 8,101 respiratory cycles collected over 74 minutes, and, like the other acute recordings shown in Figure 2, recordings were ended by the experimenter rather than because of a loss of signal 161 from individual motor units.

163

The diversity of applications presented here demonstrates that Myomatrix arrays can obtain high-resolution EMG recordings across muscle groups, species, and experimental conditions including spontaneous behavior, reflexive movements, and stimulation-evoked muscle contractions. Although this resolution has previously been achieved in moving subjects by directly recording from motor neuron cell bodies in vertebrates ³⁵⁻³⁷ and by using fine-wire electrodes in moving insects ^{38,39}, both methods are extremely challenging and can only target a small subset of

species and motor unit populations. Exploring additional muscle groups and model systems with Myomatrix arrays will allow new lines of investigation into how the nervous system executes skilled behaviors and coordinates the populations of motor units both within and across individual muscles. These approaches will be particularly valuable in muscles in which each motor neuron controls a very small number of muscle fibers, allowing fine control of oculomotor muscles in mammals as well as vocal muscles in songbirds (**Fig. 2g**), in which most individual motor neurons innervate only 1-3 muscle fibers ⁴⁰. Of further interest will be combining high-resolution EMG with precise measurement of muscle length and force output to untangle the complex relationship between neural control, body kinematics, and muscle force that characterizes dynamic motor behavior. Similarly, combining Myomatrix recordings with high-density brain recordings or targeted manipulations of neural activity can reveal how central circuits shape and reshape motor activity and – in contrast to the multi-unit signals typically obtained from traditional EMG in animals – reveal how neural dynamics in cortical, subcortical, and spinal circuits shape the spiking patterns of individual motor neurons.

181

Applying Myomatrix technology to human motor unit recordings, particularly by using the minimally invasive injectable designs shown in **Figure 3** and **Supplemental Figure 1g,i**, will create novel opportunities to diagnose motor pathologies and quantify the effects of therapeutic interventions in restoring motor function. Moreover, because Myomatrix arrays are far more flexible than the rigid needles commonly used to record clinical EMG, our technology might significantly reduce the risk and discomfort of such procedures while also greatly increasing the accuracy with which human motor function can be quantified. This expansion of access to high-resolution EMG signals – across muscle groups, species, and behaviors – is the chief impact of the Myomatrix project.

189 Methods

190

191 Myomatrix array fabrication

The microfabrication process (schematized in Supplemental Fig. 1a) consists of depositing and patterning a series of polymer (polyimide) and metal (gold) layers, using a combination of spin coating, photolithography, etching, and evaporation processes, as described previously 27,41,42. These methods allow very fine pitch escape routing (<10 µm 194 spacing between the thin "escape" traces connecting electrode contacts to the connector), spatial alignment between the multiple layers of polyimide and gold that constitute each device, and precise definition of "via" pathways that 196 connect different layers of the device. Once all the metal and polyimide layers have been deposited and patterned on carrier wafers, the gold EMG recording electrode sites are formed by removing the top polyimide layer over each electrode site using reactive ion etching process (O_2 and SF₆ plasma, 5:1 ratio). Electrode sites are then coated with a 199 conductive polymer, PEDOT:PSS (Poly(3.4-ethylenedioxythiophene)-poly(styrene-sulfonate)), ⁴³⁻⁴⁵ to reduce the 200 electrode impedance ⁴⁶. PEDOT:PSS was deposited on the electrode contacts to a thickness of 100 nm using spin 201 coating, resulting in final electrode impedances of 5 kOhms or less (100 x 200 um electrode sites). Once all layers have been deposited on the carrier wafer, the wafer is transferred to an Optec Femtosecond laser system, which is 203 used to cut the electrode arrays into the shape/pattern needed based on the target muscle group and animal species. The final device thickness was ~40 μ m for the injectable (primate forelimb) design and ~20 μ m for all other design variants. The final fabrication step is bonding a high-density connector (Omnetics, Inc.) to the surface of the electrode array using a Lambda flip-chip bonder (Finetech, Inc.). This fabrication pipeline allows the rapid development and refinement of multiple array designs (Supplemental Fig. 1c-g).

209

Myomatrix array implantation

For chronic EMG recording in mice and rats (Fig. 1, Fig. 2a, c, d), arrays such as those shown in Supplemental 211 Figure 1c-f were implanted by first making a midline incision (approximately 10 mm length) in the scalp of an anesthetized animal. The array's connector was then secured to the skull using dental cement (in some cases along 213 with a headplate for later head-fixed chronic recordings), and the electrode array threads were routed subcutaneously 214 to a location near the target muscle or muscles (Supplemental Fig. 1h). In some electrode array designs, subcutaneous routing is facilitated with "pull-through tabs" that can be grasped with a forceps to pull multiple threads into position simultaneously. For some anatomical targets a small additional incision was made to allow surgical 217 access to individual muscles (e.g. a 2-5 mm incision near the elbow to facilitate implantation into the biceps and/or 218 triceps muscles). Once each thread has been routed subcutaneously and positioned near its target, any pull-through tabs are cut off with surgical scissors and discarded. Each thread can then either be sutured to the surface of the thin sheet of elastic tissue that surrounds muscles ("epimysial attachment") or inserted into the muscle using a suture needle ("intramuscular implantation"). For epimysial attachment, each electrode thread is simply sutured to the surface of each muscle (suture sizes ranging from 6-0 to 11-0) in one of the proximal suture holes (located on the depth-restrictor tabs) and one of the distal suture holes. For intramuscular implantation (Supplemental Fig. 1h), a suture (size 6-0 to 11-0 depending on anatomical target) is tied to the distal-most suture hole. The needle is then 225 passed through the target muscle and used to pull the attached array thread into the muscle. In some designs, a 'depth-restrictor tab" (Supplemental Fig. 1d) prevents the thread from being pulled any further into the muscle, thereby limiting the depth at which the electrodes are positioned within the target muscle. The array is then secured within the muscle by the passive action of the flexible polyimide "barbs" lining each thread and/or by adding additional sutures to the proximal and distal suture holes.

231

Acute recording in small animals (including rodents, songbirds, cats, frogs, and caterpillars; **Fig. 2b,e-j**) used the same arrays as chronic recordings. However, for both epimysial and intramuscular acute recordings, the Myomatrix array traces were simply placed on or within the target muscle after the muscle was exposed via an incision in the overlying skin of the anesthetized animal (rather than routed subcutaneously from the skull as in chronic applications).

For acute recordings in nonhuman primates, prior to recording, the "tail" of the injectable array (Supplemental Fig. 1g) was loaded into a sterile 23-gauge cannula (1" long) until fully seated. The upper half of the cannula bevel, where contact is made with the electrode, was laser-blunted to prevent breakage of the tail ³⁴. During insertion (Supplemental Fig. 1i), the tail was bent over the top of the cannula and held tightly, and the electrode was inserted 240 parallel to bicep brachii long head muscle fibers at an angle of ~45 degrees to the skin. Once the cannula was fully 241 inserted, the tail was released, and the cannula slowly removed. After recording, the electrode and tail were slowly 242 pulled out of the muscle together. Insertion and removal of injectable Myomatrix devices appeared to be comparable 243 or superior to traditional fine-wire EMG electrodes (in which a "hook" is formed by bending back the uninsulated tip of 244 the recording wire) in terms of both ease of injection, ease of removal of both the cannula and the array itself, and 245 animal comfort. Moreover, in over 100 Myomatrix injections performed in rhesus macagues, there were zero cases in 246 which Myomatrix arrays broke such that electrode material was left behind in the recorded muscle, representing a 247 substantial improvement over traditional fine-wire approaches, in which breakage of the bent wire tip regularly occurs 248 14

250

For all Myomatrix array designs, a digitizing, multiplexing headstage (Intan, Inc.) was plugged into the connector, which was cemented onto the skull for chronic applications and attached to data collection devices via a flexible tether, allowing EMG signals to be collected during behavior. By switching out different headstages, data from the same 32 electrode channels on each Myomatrix array could be recorded either as 32 unipolar channels or as 16 bipolar channels, where each bipolar signal is computed by subtracting the signals from physically adjacent electrode contacts.

257

58 Data analysis: spike sorting

Motor unit action potential waveforms from individual motor units were identified with analysis methods previously used to sort spikes from neural data. In all cases, Myomatrix signals (sampling rate 30 or 40 kHz) were first bandpassed between 350-7,000 Hz. When the voltage trace from a single Myomatrix channel is dominated by a single high-amplitude action potential waveform (as in **Fig. 1b**), single units can be isolated using principal components analysis (PCA) to detect clusters of similar waveforms, as described previously ²². As detailed in **Supplemental Figure 2a-d**, this method provides a simple quantitative measure of motor unit isolation by quantifying the overlap between clusters of spike waveforms in the space of the first two principal components.

266

In other cases (as in Fig. 1c), the spikes of individual motor units appear on multiple channels and/or overlap with
 each other in time, requiring a more sophisticated spike sorting approach to identifying the firing times of individual
 motor units. We therefore adapted Kilosort version 2.5 ^{2,47} and wrote custom MATLAB and Python code to sort
 waveforms into clusters arising from individual motor units (Supplemental Fig. 2e-h). Our modifications to Kilosort
 reflect the different challenges inherent in sorting signals from neurons recorded with Neuropixels probes and motor
 units recorded with Myomatrix arrays ¹⁴. These modifications include the following:

Modification of spatial masking: Individual motor units contain multiple muscle fibers (each of which is 274 typically larger than a neuron's soma), and motor unit waveforms can often be recorded across 275 spatially distant electrode contacts as the waveforms propagate along muscle fibers. In contrast, Kilosort - optimized for the much more local signals recorded from neurons - uses spatial masking to 277 penalize templates that are spread widely across the electrode array. Our modifications to Kilosort therefore include ensuring that Kilosort search for motor unit templates across all (and only) the 279 electrode channels inserted into a given muscle. In the Github repository linked above, this is 280 accomplished by setting parameter nops.sigmaMask to infinity, which effectively eliminates spatial 281 masking in the analysis of the 32 unipolar channels recorded from the injectable Myomatrix array schematized in Supplemental Figure 1g. In cases including chronic recording from mice where only a single 8-contact thread is inserted into each muscle, a similar modification can be achieved with a 284 finite value of nops.sigmaMask by setting parameter NchanNear, which represents the number of nearby EMG channels to be included in each cluster, to equal the number of unipolar or bipolar data channels recorded from each thread. Finally, note that in all cases Kilosort parameter NchanNearUp (which defines the maximum number of channels across which spike templates can appear) must be reset to be equal to or less than the total number of Myomatrix data channels. 289

Allowing more complex spike waveforms: We also modified Kilosort to account for the greater duration and complexity (relative to neural spikes) of many motor unit waveforms. In the code repository linked above, Kilosort 2.5 was modified to allow longer spike templates (151 samples instead of 61), more spatiotemporal PCs for spikes (12 instead of 6), and more left/right eigenvector pairs for spike template construction (6 pairs instead of 3) to account for the greater complexity and longer duration of motor unit action potentials ¹⁴ compared to the neural action potentials for which Kilosort was initially created. These modifications were crucial for improving sorting performance in the nonhuman primate dataset shown in **Figure 3**, and in a subset of the rodent datasets (although they were not used in the analysis of mouse data shown in **Fig. 1** and **Supplemental Fig. 2a-f**).

300 301

290

We therefore used Kilosort version 2.5^{2,47} and custom MATLAB and Python code to sort waveforms into clusters arising from individual motor units (**Supplemental Fig. 2e-h**). Kilosort 2.5 was modified to allow longer spike templates (151 samples instead of 61), more spatiotemporal PCs for spikes (12 instead of 6), and more left/right eigenvector pairs for spike template construction (6 pairs instead of 3) to account for the greater complexity and longer duration of motor unit action potentials ¹⁴ compared to the neural action potentials for which Kilosort was initially created.

³⁰⁹ Individual motor units were identified from "candidate" units by assessing motor unit waveform consistency, SNR, and ³¹⁰ spike count, by inspecting auto-correlograms to ensure that each identified units displayed an absolute refractory

period of less than 1 msec, and by examining cross-correlograms with other sorted units to ensure that each motor
 unit's waveforms were being captured by only one candidate unit. Candidate units with inconsistent waveforms or
 >1% of inter-spike intervals above 1 msec were discarded. Candidate units with highly similar waveform shapes and
 cross-correlation peaks at lag zero were merged, resulting in sorted units with well-differentiated waveform shapes
 and firing patterns (Supplemental Fig. 2e,f). Our spike sorting code, which includes the above-mentioned
 modifications to Kilosort, is available at https://github.com/JonathanAMichaels/PixelProcessingPipeline.

317

Our approach to spike sorting shares the same ultimate goal as prior work using skin-surface electrode arrays to 318 isolate signals from individual motor units but pursues this goal using different hardware and analysis approaches. A 319 number of groups have developed algorithms for reconstructing the spatial location and spike times of active motor units ^{18,48} based on skin-surface recordings, in many cases drawing inspiration from earlier efforts to localize cortical 321 activity using EEG recordings from the scalp ⁴⁹. Our approach differs substantially. In Myomatrix arrays, the close electrode spacing and very close proximity of the contacts to muscle fibers ensure that each Myomatrix channel records from a much smaller volume of tissue than skin-surface arrays. This difference in recording volume in turn creates different challenges for motor unit isolation: compared to skin-surface recordings. Myomatrix recordings include a smaller number of motor units represented on each recording channel, with individual motor units appearing on a smaller fraction of the sensors than typical in a skin-surface recording. Because of this sensor-dependent 327 difference in motor unit source mixing, different analysis approaches are required for each type of dataset. Specifically, skin-surface EMG analysis methods typically use source-separation approaches that assume that each sensor receives input from most or all of the individual sources within the muscle as is presumably the case in the data. In contrast, the much sparser recordings from Myomatrix are better decomposed using methods like Kilosort. which are designed to extract waveforms that appear only on a small, spatially restricted subset of recording channels.

334

336 Additional recording methods - mouse forelimb muscle

337 All procedures described below were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Emory

³³⁸ University (data in Fig. 1c, Supplemental Fig 3) or were approved by European Committee Council Directive, the

Animal Care and Users Committee of the Champalimaud Neuroscience Program, and the Portuguese National

Authority for Animal Health (data in **Fig. 1b, Supplemental Fig. 2e**). Individual Myomatrix threads were implanted in

the triceps muscle using the "intramuscular" method described above under isoflurane anesthesia (1-4% at flow rate 1

L/min). EMG data were then recorded either during home cage exploration or while animals walked on a custom-built linear treadmill ⁵⁰ at speeds ranging from 15-25 cm/sec. A 45° angled mirror below the treadmill allowed simultaneous

343 linear treadmill ⁵⁰ at speeds ranging from 15-25 cm/sec. A 45° angled mirror below the treadmill allowed simultaneous 344 side and bottom views of the mouse ⁶ using a single monochrome usb3 camera (Grasshopper3, Teledyne FLIR) to

collect images 330 frames per second. We used DeepLabCut ⁵¹ to track paw, limb, and body positions. These tracked

points were used to identify the stride cycles of each limb, defining stance onset as the time at which each paw

³⁴⁷ contacts the ground and swing onset as the time when each paw leaves the ground.

348

349 Additional recording methods – mouse orofacial muscle

All procedures described below were approved by The Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Johns

Hopkins University. Individual Myomatrix threads were implanted on the masseter muscle using the "epimysial"

method described above. A ground pin was placed over the right visual cortex. As described previously ⁵², EMG

signals and high-speed video of the orofacial area were recorded simultaneously in head-fixed animals under

isoflurane anesthesia (0.9-1.5% at flow rate 1L/min). During data collection, the experimenter used a thin wooden

dowel to gently displace the mandible to measure both jaw displacement and muscle activity from the jaw jerk reflex.

Jaw kinematics were quantified using a high-speed camera (PhotonFocus DR1-D1312-200-G2-8) at 400 frames per second using an angled mirror to collect side and bottom views simultaneously. Jaw displacement was quantified by

second using an angled mirror to collect side and bottom views simultane
 tracking eleven keypoints along the jaw using DeepLabCut ⁵¹.

358 []

360 Additional recording methods - rat forelimb muscle

All procedures described below were approved by The Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Emory

³⁶² University. Anesthesia was induced with an initial dose of 4% isoflurane in oxygen provided in an induction chamber

with 2 L/minute rate and maintained with 3% isoflurane at 1 L/minute. Following this, rats received a subcutaneous

injection of 1mg/kg Meloxicam, a subcutaneous injection of 1% Lidocaine and topical application of lidocaine ointment

(5%) at each incision site. Myomatrix threads were implanted in the triceps muscle using the "intramuscular" method.

EMG data were then recorded while animals walked on a treadmill at speeds ranging from 8-25 cm/sec. Kinematics

were quantified using a circular arrangement of four high-speed FLIR Black Fly S USB3 cameras (BFS-U3-16S2M-

CS, Mono), each running at 125 FPS. We used DeepLabCut to label pixel locations of each of ten anatomical

Iandmarks on the limbs and body, which we then transformed into 3D cartesian coordinates using Anipose ^{51,53}. We then defined the onset of each swing/stance cycle by using local minima in the rat's forelimb endpoint position along

the direction of locomotion.

372

Additional recording methods – rat forelimb muscle

All procedures described below were approved by The Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Johns

Hopkins University. Prior to electrode implantation, rodents were trained for 4-6 weeks to perform a single pellet reach

task ⁵⁴. Rodents were food restricted for 17-18 hours prior to training. During the task, rats used the right arm to reach for sucrose pellets through a vertical slit (width = 1 cm) in a custom-built acrylic chamber. Individual MyoMatrix

threads were implanted on the right flexor digitorum profundus (FDP) muscle using the "epimysial" method described

above under 2.0-3.0% isoflurane anesthesia in oxygen gas. The arrays were then connected to data collection

hardware via a flexible tether and EMG data were recorded while unrestrained animals performed the reaching task.

³⁸¹ Kinematics were quantified using a smartphone camera running at 60 fps. Two raters then manually labelled the

frames of grasp onset. Grasp initiation was defined when a frame of full digit extension was immediately followed by a

383 frame of digit flexion.

385 Additional recording methods - mouse pelvic muscle

All experimental procedures were carried out in accordance with the guidelines of the European Committee Council

³⁸⁷ Directive and were approved by the Animal Care and Users Committee of the Champalimaud Neuroscience Program,

the Portuguese National Authority for Animal Health. As described in detail elsewhere ³, spinal optogenetic stimulation

of the motor neurons innervating the bulbospongiousus muscle (BSM) was performed on 2-3 month old male BL6 mine that had received an injection of a rAN/CAC ChBR into the BSM on performed on 2-3 month old male BL6

³⁹⁰ mice that had received an injection of a rAAVCAG-ChR2 into the BSM on postnatal day 3-6. Individual Myomatrix ³⁹¹ threads were implanted in the BSM using the "intramuscular" method described above. During EMG recording an

392 optrode was moved on top of the spinal cord along the rostral-caudal axis while applying optical stimulation pulses (10)

msec duration, power 1-15 mW).

394

Additional recording methods – songbird vocal and respiratory muscles

396 All procedures described below were approved by The Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Emory

³⁹⁷ University. As described previously ^{20,27,42}, adult male Bengalese finches (>90 d old) were anesthetized using

intramuscular injections of 40 mg/kg ketamine and 3 mg/kg midazolam injected and anesthesia was maintained using

1-5% isoflurane in oxygen gas. To record from the expiratory (respiratory) muscles, an incision was made dorsal to

the leg attachment and rostral to the pubic bone and the electrode array was placed on the muscle surface using the "epimysial" approach described above. To record from syringeal (vocal) muscles, the vocal organ was accessed for

"epimysial" approach described above. To record from syringeal (vocal) muscles, the vocal organ was accessed for
 electrode implantation via a midline incision into the intraclavicular air sac as described previously ²⁰ to provide access

to the ventral svringeal (VS) muscle located on the ventral portion of the svrinx near the midline.

404

405 Additional recording methods – cat soleus muscle

All procedures described below were approved by The Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Temple

⁴⁰⁷ University. As described previously ⁵⁵, an adult female cat was provided atropine (0.05 mg/kg IM) and anesthetized

with isoflurane (1.5–3.5% in oxygen), during which a series of surgical procedures were performed including L3

laminectomy, implantation of nerve cuffs on the tibial and sural nerve, and isolation of hindlimb muscles. Individual

410 Myomatrix threads were implanted in hindlimb muscles using the "intramuscular" method described above. Following

these procedures, a precollicular decerebration was performed and isoflurane was discontinued. Following a recovery the activity of hindlimb motor units were recorded in response to electrical stimulation of either the

413 contralateral tibial nerve or the ipsilateral sural nerve.

414

Additional recording methods – hawkmoth larva (caterpillar) body wall muscle

EMG recordings were obtained from 5th instar larvae of the tobacco hornworm Manduca sexta using a semi-intact preparation called the "flaterpillar" as described previously (Metallo, White, and Trimmer 2011). Briefly, after chilling

animals on ice for 30 minutes, an incision was made along the cuticle, allowing the nerve cord and musculature to be

exposed and pinned down in a Sylgard dish under cold saline solution. This preparation yields spontaneous muscle

activity (fictive locomotion) which was recorded from the dorsal intermediate medial (DIM) muscle using the

421 "epimysial" method described above, with the modification that sutures were not used to hold the muscle in place.

422

423 Additional recording methods – frog hindlimb muscles

424 Spinal bullfrogs were prepared under anesthesia in accordance with USDA and PHS guidelines and regulations

425 following approval from the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Drexel University as described previously

⁴²⁶ ⁵⁶. Bull frogs were anesthetized with 5% tricaine (MS-222, Sigma), spinalized, and decerebrated. The frog was placed

on a support and Myomatrix arrays were implanted into the semimembranosus (SM) hindlimb muscle using the

⁴²⁸ "intramuscular" method described above. Epidermal electrical stimulation at the heel dorsum (500 msec train of 1

msec, 5V biphasic pulses delivered at 40 Hz) or foot pinch was used to evoke reflexive motor activity.

430

Additional recording methods – rhesus macaque forelimb muscle

- All procedures described below were approved by The Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Western
- ⁴³³ University. One male rhesus monkey (Monkey M, Macaca mulatta, 10 kg) was trained to perform a range of reaching
- tasks while seated in a robotic exoskeleton (NHP KINARM, Kingston, Ontario). As described previously ^{57,58}, this
- robotic device allows movements of the shoulder and elbow joints in the horizontal plane and can independently apply
- torque at both joints. Visual cues and hand feedback were projected from an LCD monitor onto a semi-silvered mirror
- ⁴³⁷ in the horizontal plane of the task and direct vision of the arm was blocked with a physical barrier.
- 438

An injectable Myomatrix array (Supplemental Fig. 1g) was inserted percutaneously as shown in Supplemental 439 Figure 1i. Then, using his right arm, Monkey M performed a reaching task similar to previous work ⁵⁷. On each trial 440 the monkey waited in a central target (located under the fingertip when the shoulder and elbow angles were 32° and 441 72°, respectively; size = 0.6 cm diameter) while countering a constant elbow load (-0.05 Nm). The monkey was presented with one of two peripheral goal targets (30/84° and 34/60° shoulder/elbow, 8cm diameter), and after a 443 variable delay (1.2-2s) received one of two unpredictable elbow perturbations (±0.15Nm step-torque) which served as 444 a go cue to reach to the goal target. At the time of perturbation onset, all visual feedback was frozen until the hand 445 remained in the goal target for 800ms, after which a juice reward was given. On 10% of trials no perturbation was 446 applied, and the monkey had to maintain the hand in the central target. In addition to Myomatrix injectables, we 447 acquired bipolar electromyographic activity from nonhuman primates using intramuscular fine-wire electrodes in the 448 biceps brachii long head as described previously ⁵⁹, recording in this instance from the same biceps muscle in the 449 same animal from which we also collected Myomatrix data, although in a separate recording session. Fine-wire 450 electrodes were spaced ~8 mm apart and aligned to the muscle fibers, and a reference electrode was inserted 451 subcutaneously in the animal's back. Muscle activity was recorded at 2,000 Hz, zero-phase bandpass filtered (25-500 452 Hz, fourth order Butterworth) and full-wave rectified. 453

455 Data and code availability:

A data archive including two EMG datasets recorded with Myomatrix arrays from behaving animals is available at

- 457 doi.org/10.5061/dryad.66t1g1k70. This archive includes the mouse triceps data shown in Figure1
- (b,d) and Supplemental Figure 2(a,b,e,f), the rhesus macaque biceps data shown in Figure 3 and Supplemental
- 459 Figure 2g, and associated metadata.

460

454

REFERENCES

- Miri, A. *et al.* Behaviorally Selective Engagement of Short-Latency Effector Pathways by Motor Cortex.
 Neuron 95, 683-696 e611 (2017). <u>https://doi.org:10.1016/j.neuron.2017.06.042</u>
- ⁴⁶³ 2 Pachitariu, M., Sridhar, S. & Stringer, C. Solving the spike sorting problem with Kilosort. *bioRxiv*, ⁴⁶⁴ 2023.2001.2007.523036 (2023). https://doi.org:10.1101/2023.01.07.523036

Lenschow, C. *et al.* A galanin-positive population of lumbar spinal cord neurons modulates sexual behavior and arousal. *bioRxiv*, 2022.2010.2004.510783 (2022). <u>https://doi.org:10.1101/2022.10.04.510783</u>

- 467 4 Steinmetz, N. A., Koch, C., Harris, K. D. & Carandini, M. Challenges and opportunities for large-scale 468 electrophysiology with Neuropixels probes. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* **50**, 92-100 (2018). 469 https://doi.org:10.1016/j.conb.2018.01.009
- Urai, A. E., Doiron, B., Leifer, A. M. & Churchland, A. K. Large-scale neural recordings call for new insights to
 link brain and behavior. *Nat Neurosci* 25, 11-19 (2022). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41593-021-00980-9
- Machado, A. S., Darmohray, D. M., Fayad, J., Marques, H. G. & Carey, M. R. A quantitative framework for
 whole-body coordination reveals specific deficits in freely walking ataxic mice. *Elife* 4 (2015).
 https://doi.org:10.7554/eLife.07892
- 475 7 Berman, G. J., Choi, D. M., Bialek, W. & Shaevitz, J. W. Mapping the stereotyped behaviour of freely moving
 476 fruit flies. *J R Soc Interface* 11 (2014). <u>https://doi.org:10.1098/rsif.2014.0672</u>
- Pereira, T. D. *et al.* Fast animal pose estimation using deep neural networks. *Nat Methods* 16, 117-125 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-018-0234-5
- Mathis, A., Schneider, S., Lauer, J. & Mathis, M. W. A Primer on Motion Capture with Deep Learning:
 Principles, Pitfalls, and Perspectives. *Neuron* 108, 44-65 (2020). <u>https://doi.org:10.1016/j.neuron.2020.09.017</u>
- 48110Wiltschko, A. B. *et al.* Revealing the structure of pharmacobehavioral space through motion sequencing. *Nat*482*Neurosci* 23, 1433-1443 (2020). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41593-020-00706-3
- Hernandez, D. G., Sober, S. J. & Nemenman, I. Unsupervised Bayesian Ising Approximation for decoding
 neural activity and other biological dictionaries. *Elife* 11 (2022). https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.68192
- Vyas, S., Golub, M. D., Sussillo, D. & Shenoy, K. V. Computation Through Neural Population Dynamics. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 43, 249-275 (2020). <u>https://doi.org:10.1146/annurev-neuro-092619-094115</u>
- Manuel, M., Chardon, M., Tysseling, V. & Heckman, C. J. Scaling of Motor Output, From Mouse to Humans.
 Physiology (Bethesda) 34, 5-13 (2019). <u>https://doi.org:10.1152/physiol.00021.2018</u>
- Loeb, G. E. & Gans, C. *Electromyography for Experimentalists, First edi*. (The University of Chicago Press, 1986).
- Bracklein, M. *et al.* The control and training of single motor units in isometric tasks are constrained by a common input signal. *Elife* **11** (2022). <u>https://doi.org:10.7554/eLife.72871</u>
- Farina, D. & Holobar, A. Characterization of Human Motor Units From Surface EMG Decomposition. *IEEE Proceedings* 104, 353-373 (2016).
- Marshall, N. J. *et al.* Flexible neural control of motor units. *Nat Neurosci* 25, 1492-1504 (2022).
 https://doi.org:10.1038/s41593-022-01165-8
- Negro, F., Muceli, S., Castronovo, A. M., Holobar, A. & Farina, D. Multi-channel intramuscular and surface
 EMG decomposition by convolutive blind source separation. *J Neural Eng* 13, 026027 (2016).
 https://doi.org:10.1088/1741-2560/13/2/026027
- Pearson, K. G., Acharya, H. & Fouad, K. A new electrode configuration for recording electromyographic
 activity in behaving mice. *J Neurosci Methods* 148, 36-42 (2005).
 https://doi.org:10.1016/j.jneumeth.2005.04.006
- Srivastava, K. H., Elemans, C. P. & Sober, S. J. Multifunctional and Context-Dependent Control of Vocal
 Acoustics by Individual Muscles. *J Neurosci* 35, 14183-14194 (2015).
 https://doi.org:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3610-14.2015
- Pack, A. R., Yan, J. S., Pasquali, M., Sober, S. J. & Elemans, C. P. H. A flexible carbon nanotube electrode array for acute in vivo EMG recordings. *J Neurophysiol* (2023). <u>https://doi.org:10.1152/jn.00262.2022</u>
- Sober, S. J., Wohlgemuth, M. J. & Brainard, M. S. Central contributions to acoustic variation in birdsong. J Neurosci 28, 10370-10379 (2008). <u>https://doi.org:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2448-08.2008</u>
- Mathewson, M. A., Chapman, M. A., Hentzen, E. R., Friden, J. & Lieber, R. L. Anatomical, architectural, and
 biochemical diversity of the murine forelimb muscles. *J Anat* 221, 443-451 (2012).
 https://doi.org:10.1111/j.1469-7580.2012.01559.x
- Tysseling, V. M. *et al.* Design and evaluation of a chronic EMG multichannel detection system for long-term recordings of hindlimb muscles in behaving mice. *J Electromyogr Kinesiol* **23**, 531-539 (2013). <u>https://doi.org:10.1016/j.jelekin.2012.11.014</u>
- Ritter, L. K., Tresch, M. C., Heckman, C. J., Manuel, M. & Tysseling, V. M. Characterization of motor units in
 behaving adult mice shows a wide primary range. *J Neurophysiol* 112, 543-551 (2014).
 https://doi.org:10.1152/jn.00108.2014

- Tysseling, V. M. *et al.* Constitutive activity of 5-HT2C receptors is present after incomplete spinal cord injury but is not modified after chronic SSRI or baclofen treatment. *J Neurophysiol* **118**, 2944-2952 (2017). <u>https://doi.org:10.1152/jn.00190.2017</u>
- Zia, M., Chung, B., Sober, S. & Bakir, M. S. Flexible Multielectrode Arrays With 2-D and 3-D Contacts for In
 Vivo Electromyography Recording. *IEEE Trans Compon Packaging Manuf Technol* 10, 197-202 (2020).
 https://doi.org:10.1109/tcpmt.2019.2963556
- Sober, S. J., Sponberg, S., Nemenman, I. & Ting, L. H. Millisecond Spike Timing Codes for Motor Control. *Trends Neurosci* **41**, 644-648 (2018). <u>https://doi.org:10.1016/j.tins.2018.08.010</u>
- Srivastava, K. H. *et al.* Motor control by precisely timed spike patterns. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **114**, 1171-1176 (2017). <u>https://doi.org:10.1073/pnas.1611734114</u>
- Quinlan, K. A. *et al.* Chronic electromyograms in treadmill running SOD1 mice reveal early changes in muscle activation. *J Physiol* 595, 5387-5400 (2017). <u>https://doi.org:10.1113/JP274170</u>
- Marshall, N. J. *et al.* Flexible neural control of motor units. *bioRxiv*, 2021.2005.2005.442653 (2021).
 <u>https://doi.org:10.1101/2021.05.05.442653</u>
- 533 32 Farina, D. & Merletti, R. Comparison of algorithms for estimation of EMG variables during voluntary isometric 534 contractions. *J Electromyogr Kinesiol* **10**, 337-349 (2000). <u>https://doi.org:10.1016/s1050-6411(00)00025-0</u>
- Farina, D., Yoshida, K., Stieglitz, T. & Koch, K. P. Multichannel thin-film electrode for intramuscular
 electromyographic recordings. *J Appl Physiol (1985)* 104, 821-827 (2008).
 https://doi.org:10.1152/japplphysiol.00788.2007
- Muceli, S. *et al.* Accurate and representative decoding of the neural drive to muscles in humans with multichannel intramuscular thin-film electrodes. *J Physiol* 593, 3789-3804 (2015).
 https://doi.org:10.1113/JP270902
- ⁵⁴¹ 35 Hoffer, J. A., O'Donovan, M. J., Pratt, C. A. & Loeb, G. E. Discharge patterns of hindlimb motoneurons during
 ⁵⁴² normal cat locomotion. *Science* 213, 466-467 (1981). <u>https://doi.org:10.1126/science.7244644</u>
- Robinson, D. A. Oculomotor unit behavior in the monkey. *J Neurophysiol* 33, 393-403 (1970).
 <u>https://doi.org:10.1152/jn.1970.33.3.393</u>
- Hyngstrom, A. S., Johnson, M. D., Miller, J. F. & Heckman, C. J. Intrinsic electrical properties of spinal
 motoneurons vary with joint angle. *Nat Neurosci* 10, 363-369 (2007). https://doi.org/10.1038/nn1852
- Pfluger, H. J. B., M. Locusts use the same basic motor pattern in swimming as in jumping and kicking. *Journal of experimental biology* **75**, 81-93 (1978).
- Putney, J., Niebur, T., Wood, L., Conn, R. & Sponberg, S. An information theoretic method to resolve
 millisecond-scale spike timing precision in a comprehensive motor program. *PLOS Computational Biology* 19, e1011170 (2023).
- Adam, I. *et al.* One-to-one innervation of vocal muscles allows precise control of birdsong. *Curr Biol* **31**, 3115-3124 e3115 (2021). <u>https://doi.org:10.1016/j.cub.2021.05.008</u>
- Lu, J. *et al.* High-performance Flexible Microelectrode Array with PEDOT:PSS Coated 3D Micro-cones for Electromyographic Recording. *Annu Int Conf IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc* **2022**, 5111-5114 (2022). <u>https://doi.org:10.1109/EMBC48229.2022.9871052</u>
- Zia, M., Chung, B., Sober, S. J. & Bakir, M. S. Fabrication and Characterization of 3D Multi-Electrode Array
 on Flexible Substrate for In Vivo EMG Recording from Expiratory Muscle of Songbird. *Tech Dig Int Electron Devices Meet* 2018, 29 24 21-29 24 24 (2018). <u>https://doi.org:10.1109/IEDM.2018.8614503</u>
- Cui, X. Y. & Martin, D. C. Electrochemical deposition and characterization of poly(3,4 ethylenedioxythiophene) on neural microelectrode arrays. *Sensor Actuat B-Chem* 89, 92-102 (2003).
 <u>https://doi.org:Pii</u> S0925-4005(02)00448-3, Doi 10.1016/S0925-4005(02)00448-3
- ⁵⁶³ 44 Dijk, G., Rutz, A. L. & Malliaras, G. G. Stability of PEDOT:PSS-Coated Gold Electrodes in Cell Culture ⁵⁶⁴ Conditions. *Adv Mater Technol-Us* **5** (2020). <u>https://doi.org:ARTN</u> 1900662, 10.1002/admt.201900662
- Rossetti, N. *et al.* Poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) (PEDOT) Coatings for High-Quality Electromyography
 Recording. *Acs Appl Bio Mater* 2, 5154-5163 (2019). <u>https://doi.org:10.1021/acsabm.9b00809</u>
- Ludwig, K. A. *et al.* Poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) (PEDOT) polymer coatings facilitate smaller neural recording electrodes. *J Neural Eng* **8**, 014001 (2011). <u>https://doi.org:10.1088/1741-2560/8/1/014001</u>
- 569 47 Steinmetz, N. A. *et al.* Neuropixels 2.0: A miniaturized high-density probe for stable, long-term brain 570 recordings. *Science* **372** (2021). <u>https://doi.org:10.1126/science.abf4588</u>
- van den Doel, K., Ascher, U. M. & Pai, D. K. Computed myography: three-dimensional reconstruction of motor functions from surface EMG data. *Inverse Problems* **24**, 065010 (2008).
- 49 Michel, C. M. *et al.* EEG source imaging. *Clin Neurophysiol* 115, 2195-2222 (2004).
 https://doi.org:10.1016/j.clinph.2004.06.001
- 575 50 Darmohray, D. M., Jacobs, J. R., Marques, H. G. & Carey, M. R. Spatial and Temporal Locomotor Learning in 576 Mouse Cerebellum. *Neuron* **102**, 217-231 e214 (2019). <u>https://doi.org:10.1016/j.neuron.2019.01.038</u>
- 577 51 Mathis, A. *et al.* DeepLabCut: markerless pose estimation of user-defined body parts with deep learning. *Nat* 578 *Neurosci* **21**, 1281-1289 (2018). <u>https://doi.org:10.1038/s41593-018-0209-y</u>

- Severson, K. S. *et al.* Active Touch and Self-Motion Encoding by Merkel Cell-Associated Afferents. *Neuron* 94, 666-676 e669 (2017). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.neuron.2017.03.045
- 581 53 Karashchuk, P. *et al.* Anipose: A toolkit for robust markerless 3D pose estimation. *Cell Rep* **36**, 109730 582 (2021). <u>https://doi.org:10.1016/j.celrep.2021.109730</u>
- 54 Whishaw, I. Q., Pellis, S. M., Gorny, B., Kolb, B. & Tetzlaff, W. Proximal and distal impairments in rat forelimb
 use in reaching follow unilateral pyramidal tract lesions. *Behav Brain Res* 56, 59-76 (1993).
 https://doi.org:10.1016/0166-4328(93)90022-i
- 586 55 Zaback, M. *et al.* Toward Assessing the Functional Connectivity of Spinal Neurons. *Front Neural Circuits* 16, 839521 (2022). <u>https://doi.org:10.3389/fncir.2022.839521</u>
- 588 56 Kim, T. *et al.* Highly Flexible Precisely Braided Multielectrode Probes and Combinatorics for Future 589 Neuroprostheses. *Front Neurosci* **13**, 613 (2019). <u>https://doi.org:10.3389/fnins.2019.00613</u>
- 57 Pruszynski, J. A., Omrani, M. & Scott, S. H. Goal-dependent modulation of fast feedback responses in
 51 primary motor cortex. *J Neurosci* 34, 4608-4617 (2014). https://doi.org:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4520-13.2014
- 592 58 Scott, S. H. Apparatus for measuring and perturbing shoulder and elbow joint positions and torques during 593 reaching. *J Neurosci Methods* **89**, 119-127 (1999). <u>https://doi.org:10.1016/s0165-0270(99)00053-9</u>
- 594 59 Maeda, R. S., Kersten, R. & Pruszynski, J. A. Shared internal models for feedforward and feedback control of 595 arm dynamics in non-human primates. *Eur J Neurosci* **53**, 1605-1620 (2021). 596 https://doi.org:10.1111/ejn.15056



597

⁸ Supplemental Figure 1: Myomatrix fabrication, design variations, and implantation.

(a) Workflow for electrode array fabrication. Layers of insulating polymer (polyimide) and conductive metal (gold) are successively
 deposited on a carrier wafer to form a flexible, 20 or 40 μm thick electrode array of gold electrode contacts, which receive a surface
 treatment of PEDOT to improve recording properties (see Methods). Electrodes are connected via thin gold traces to a receiving
 pad for a high-density connector (Omnetics Inc.) which is then bonded to the array. The completed array is then peeled off the

carrier wafer. (b) Photo showing two different Myomatrix designs (left) as well as "blank" arrays comprised only of the flexible polyimide substrate for surgical practice and design optimization. (c-e) Expanded views of the electrode array also shown in Figure 604 1 of the main manuscript text, which has four "threads" each bearing eight electrode contacts. This array design can be used for either acute or chronic recordings. For chronic implantation, the surgeon grasps the "pull-through tabs" when tunneling the threads 606 subcutaneously. For intramuscular implantation in either acute or chronic settings, a needle is used to pull each thread through the 607 target muscle. In this use case, the "depth-restrictor tabs" prevent the thread from being pulled any further into the muscle, thereby determining the depth of the electrode contacts within the muscle. (d,e) Detail views highlighting sub-millimeter features used to 609 increase electrode stability within the muscle (barbs, suture holes) and labels to indicate which channel/thread labels have been 610 implanted in which muscles. (f,g) Design variations. The fabrication process shown in (a) can easily be modified to alter size and 611 shape of the electrode array. Each Myomatrix design in f and g has 32 electrode contacts. (f) Two array designs customized for 612 chronic recording applications in different muscle groups in rodents. (g) Injectable array for recording forelimb muscles in 613 nonhuman primates. (h) For chronic implantation in mice, the connector end of the array is attached to the skull using dental acrylic 614 (1) and the flexible array threads are then routed subcutaneously to a small distal incision located near the targeted muscle or 615 muscles (2). For intramuscular implantation, the surgeon secures each thread to a suture and needle, which are then inserted through 616 the target area of muscle tissue (3). The surgeon then pulls the suture further through the muscle, eventually drawing the array 617 thread into the muscles such that the depth-restrictor tabs prevent further insertion and ensure that the electrode contacts are 618 positioned at the correct depth within the muscle (4). In contrast, for epimysial (as opposed to intramuscular) implantation, the array 619 threads are sutured to the surface of the muscle fascia rather than being inserted with a suture needle. After all threads are secured 620 to the muscle, the distal incision site is sutured closed (5). (i) For percutaneous insertion of injectable arrays, the array's thin "tail" 621 is loaded into a modified hypodermic syringe ^{14,34}. During insertion, the tail is secured by bending it back over the plastic needle 622 holder and securing it with either the surgeon's fingers or an additional syringe inserted into the cannula. After electrode array 623 insertion the needle is gently pulled out of the muscle, leaving the electrode-bearing part of the array thread within the target muscle 624 for the duration of the recording session. After recording, the electrode and tail are gently pulled out of the muscle together as with

626 injectable fine-wire EMG¹⁴.



⁶²⁸ Supplemental Figure 2: Spike sorting

627

Action potential ("spike") waveforms from individual motor units can be identified ("sorted") using analysis methods that are

630 commonly used to sort spikes from neural data. (a-d) Single channel spike sorting. In some cases, a single motor unit's spike will

dominate the recording on an individual Myomatrix channel, as shown in an example bipolar recording from mouse triceps during locomotion (a, top). In such cases, a simple voltage threshold (dashed line) can be used to isolate spike times of the largest recorded unit (blue dots) from a single channel. In contrast (a, bottom), fine-wire EMG typically does not yield isolated single units during active behaviors. (b) Single-channel spike sorting using principal components analysis (PCA) of the data shown in 634 (a). Each data point in (b) represents a single voltage waveform represented in the dimensions defined by the first two principal 635 components (PC1 and PC2) of the set of all spike waveforms. As described previously ²², k-means clustering can discriminate the waveforms from individual motor units (cvan dots in a and b) and waveforms from other motor units and/or background noise 637 (black dots in **b**). If one of the clusters has less than 1% overlap with any other cluster (based on fitting each cluster with a 2D 638 Gaussian as described previously) and displays an absolute refractory period (less than 1% of inter-spike intervals less than 1 639 msec), it is classified as a single unit ²². When applied to the Myomatrix data in (a), PCA-based sorting method produced identical 640 spike times as the thresholding method (cyan dots in **a**). In contrast, the same analysis applied to the fine-wire data shown in **a** did 641 not produce any well-isolated clusters in PCA space (b, right), indicating that this method could not extract any single motor 642 units. Myomatrix and fine-wire data shown in (a,b) are from the same datasets as the examples shown in main text Figure 1a,b. 643 (c,d) Single-channel spike sorting applied to bipolar Myomatrix recordings from the ventral syringeal (VS) muscle, a songbird 644 vocal muscle²⁰. Here again, PCA-based sorting of Myomatrix data method produced identical spike times as the thresholding 645 method (orange dots in c and d). In contrast, the same analysis applied to fine-wire data recorded from VS shown in c did not 646 produce any well-isolated clusters in PCA space (d, right), Other plotting conventions for (c,d) are the same as for the mouse data 647 in (a,b). 648

649

(e-h) Multichannel spike sorting using Kilosort. We used Kilosort version 2.5^{2,47} and custom MATLAB and Python code to sort 650 waveforms into clusters arising from individual motor units. (e) Spike times (top) and mean waveforms (bottom) of six motor 651 units recorded simultaneously from mouse triceps during locomotion (same dataset as Fig. 1 in the main text). Mean waveforms for the six motor units (columns at bottom) are shown from six different EMG channels (rows) and illustrate the distinct pattern of spike waveforms across channel associated with the discharge of each identified motor unit. (f) Left, feature space projection of 654 individual waveforms (colored dots), projected onto the space of singular values ("factors") that describe the space of all recorded 655 waveforms. The clustering of waveforms from kilosort-identified units (colors) further illustrates the distinctness of voltage 656 waveforms assigned to each of the identified motor units. Right, autocorrelograms (colors) and cross correlograms (gray) of the 657 six motor units shown in (e). In addition to examining the consistency of each candidate motor unit's spike waveforms we also inspected autocorrelations to ensure that each identified unit showed an absolute refractory period (zero or near-zero 659 autocorrelations at lag zero) and that cross-correlograms did not have strong peaks at zero lag (which might indicate the same 660 motor unit being detected by multiple Kilosort clusters). (g,h) Myomatrix recordings from nonhuman primate and rat (unipolar 661 and bipolar recordings respectively, same datasets as in main text Fig. 3 and Fig. 2c), respectively. These examples (along with 662 the mouse data in main text Fig. 1c) highlight the finding that Myomatrix arrays typically record the same motor unit on multiple 663 channels simultaneously. This redundancy is critical for Kilosort and related methods to isolate single motor unit waveforms, particularly when waveforms from multiple units overlap in time. 665



667

Supplemental Fig 3: Longevity of Myomatrix recordings

In addition to isolating individual motor units, Myomatrix arrays also provide stable multi-unit recordings of comparable or superior quality to conventional fine wire EMG. (a,b) Bipolar Myomatrix recordings from the triceps muscle of a mouse recorded during treadmill locomotion over a period 61 days. Colored regions in (b) highlight the "stance" phase (when the paw from the recorded forelimb is in contact with the treadmill surface) and "swing" phase (when the paw is lifted off the treadmill surface). To 672 quantify changes in recording quality over time, we computed a "signal-to-noise ratio (SNR)" for each of each stride cycle as 673 described previously²¹. Here, the "locomotor SNR" for each swing-stance-cycle is defined as the root mean square (RMS) 674 amplitude of the multi-unit EMG signal during each single stance cycle divided by the RMS of the EMG signal during the 675 immediately subsequent swing phase. (c) Fine-wire EMG data recorded from the triceps muscle during locomotion (reproduced 676 with permission from ¹. Note that all horizontal gray bars in (b,c) represent 100 msec. (d) Mean +/- standard error of locomotor 677 SNR across five mouse subjects implanted with Myomatrix arrays. Filled symbols indicate EMG implantation in the right triceps 678 muscle, unfilled symbols indicate EMG implantation in the left triceps. The black trace with unfilled symbols represents the 679 animal whose data are also shown in panel (b). In some cases, error bars are hidden behind plotting symbols. Blue symbols indicate the locomotor SNR from the fine-wire data from ¹, with each symbol representing a single day's recording from one of 681 four individual mice. SNR values from Myomatrix arrays are significantly greater than those from fine-wire EMG, both when all 683 data shown in (d) are pooled and when only data from day 14 are included (2-sample KS-test, p=0.002 and p=0.038, respectively). (e) Although individual motor units were most frequently recorded in the first two weeks of chronic recordings (see main text), Myomatrix arrays also isolate individual motor units after much longer periods of chronic implantation, as shown here where spikes from two individual motor units (colored boxes in bottom trace) were isolated during locomotion 65 days after implantation. This bipolar recording was collected from the subject plotted with unfilled black symbols in panel (d). 687

688

Acknowledgements:

The participants of the Emory-SKAN Remote Workshop for Advanced EMG Methods, which brought together over 100 researchers from around the world, for their critical feedback on how to improve and refine the electrode technology described here.

Dr. Andrew Miri for helpful discussions and for sharing locomotor EMG data from Miri et al. (2017).

Dr. Cinzia Metallo for the initial Manduca studies using flexible electrode arrays.

Drs. Gabriela J. Martins and Mariana Correia for project and colony coordination.

Dr. Ana Gonçalves for technical assistance in mouse locomotion experiments.

Mattia Rigotti, Margo Shen, Nevin Aresh, and Manikandan Venkatesh for assistance in collecting rat forelimb data.

Components of all figures were created using BioRender.com.

Funding sources:

Azim	National Institutes of Health ("NIH") DP2NS105555, R01NS111479, RF1NS128898, U19NS112959 Searle Scholars Program Pew Charitable Trusts McKnight Foundation
Bakir	NIH R01NS109237, U24NS126936 McKnight Foundation
Carey	The Simons Foundation (as part of the Simons-Emory International Consortium on Motor Control) Portuguese Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (SFRH/BPD/119404/2016) to Hugo G. Marques. European Research Council Consolidator Grant #866237
Costa	The Simons Foundation (as part of the Simons-Emory International Consortium on Motor Control) NIH U19NS104649
Gatto	DFG SFB1451 iBehave Netzwerke 2021 Halle Institute for Global Research
Giszter	NIH R01NS104194, F31NS124347 PA Dept Health CURE award Coulter-Drexel Translational Research Partnership award
Goulding	NIH R01NS112959, R01NS111643
Lenschow	Human Frontier Science Program Postdoctoral Fellowship (LT000353/2018-L4) 2020 Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions Individual Fellowship (799973)
Lima	Research infrastructure Congento, co-financed by the Lisboa Regional Operational Programme (Lisboa2020), under the PORTUGAL 2020 Partnership Agreement, through the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) FCT under the project LISBOA-01-0145-FEDER-022170 InterEmerging Actions 2020 (301137) European Research Council (ERC) Consolidator Grant (772827)
Mendes	Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT) PhD Fellowship (PD/BD141576/2018)
Michaels	Banting Postdoctoral Fellowship BrainsCAN (Canada First Research Excellence Fund) Postdoctoral Fellowship Vector Institute Postgraduate Affiliate Program
Mosberger	Swiss National Science Foundation P400PM_183904, P2EZP3_172128, NIH K99NS126307

O'Connor	NIH R01NS104834, F32MH120873
----------	------------------------------

Pandarinath	The Simons Foundation (as part of the Simons-Emory International Consortium on Motor Control) Emory Neuromodulation and Technology Innovation Center (ENTICe) National Science Foundation ("NSF") NCS 1835364 DARPA PA-18-02-04-INI-FP-021 NIH Eunice Kennedy Shriver NICHD K12HD073945 NIH-NINDS/OD DP2NS127291 Alfred P. Sloan Foundation
Person	The Simons Foundation (as part of the Simons-Emory International Consortium on Motor Control)
Pruszynski	The Simons Foundation (as part of the Simons-Emory International Consortium on Motor Control) Canadian Institutes of Health Research, Project Grant: PJT-175010 Canada Research Chair Program BrainsCAN (Canada First Research Excellence Fund) Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (RGPIN-2022-04421)
Sober	The Simons Foundation (as part of the Simons-Emory International Consortium on Motor Control) NIH R01NS084844, R01NS109237, R01NS099375, R01EB022872, U24NS126936 NSF 1822677 NSF DGE-1937971 (to Kyle Thomas) NSF DGE-1444932 (to Andrea Pack) McKnight Foundation Kavli Foundation Azrieli Foundation Novo Nordisk Foundation Halle Institute for Global Research
Thakor	NSF DGE-2139757 (to Kiara Quinn) Johns Hopkins Discovery Award
Thanawalla	Salk Alumni Fellowship Award
Thompson	NIH R01NS124820
Trimmer	NIH R34NS118412 NSF 1050908